

L7

Hanwen Jin

January 18, 2020

$\partial_t + \nabla(\rho u) = 0$, $\rho(0, x) = g(x)$
 $u : (-\infty, \infty) \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ given, $\rho : (0, \infty) \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{CS} : \begin{cases} X(t; x) = U(t, X(0; x)) \\ X(0; x) = x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

1. $\nabla \cdot u = 0 : \rho(X(t; x)) = g(x) \mapsto \rho(t, x) = g(X(0; t, x))$
2. $\nabla u \neq 0 : \rho(t, X(t; x)) = g(x) \exp\left(-\int_0^t \nabla \cdot u(\tau, X(\tau, x)) d\tau\right)$. Where the $\exp(\dots)$ is the Jacobian.

$$J(x, t) = \det(\nabla X)(x, t) \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_t J(x, t) = J(x, t) \nabla \cdot u(t, X(t; x)) \quad (3)$$

$$J(x, 0) = 1 \quad (4)$$

If $\nabla u = 0 \implies J(x, t) = 1 \forall t$.

0.1 Numerical approximation of PDEs

Consider characteristic system

$$\begin{cases} u_t + cu_x = 0 \\ u(0, x) = f(x) \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

We know $g(\bar{x})$, want to guess $g(\bar{x} + 1)$

Know $g'(x) \implies g(\bar{x} + 1) = g(\bar{x}) + g'(\bar{x}) \times 1$

Recall: given $u(x)$, $u'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x+h) - u(x)}{h}$.

Can take $u'(x_0) \approx \frac{u(x_0+h) - u(x_0)}{h}$.

if $u \in C^2$, $\frac{u(x_0+h) - u(x_0)}{h} = u'(x_0) + \frac{1}{2}u''(\xi)h = u'(x_0) + \mathcal{O}(h)$

Where $\xi \in (x_0, x_0 + h)$

$\implies \left| u' \left(x_0 - \frac{u(x_0+h) - u(x_0)}{h} \right) \right| \leq Mh$, this is the order 1 approximation. n

is to power.

How to improve? $u(x+h) = u(x) + u'(x)h + \frac{u''(x)}{2}h^2 + \frac{u'''(\xi_1)}{6}h^3 \xi_1 \in (x, x+h)$

$u(x-h) = u(x) - u'(x)h + \frac{u''(x)}{2}h^2 - \frac{u'''(\xi_2)}{6}h^3 \xi_2 \in (x-h, x)$, we add them up, and have

$$\frac{u(x+h) - u(x-h)}{2h} = u'(x) + \frac{1}{12}(u'''(\xi_1) + u'''(\xi_2))h^2 \quad (6)$$

if $u \in C^3$, $\left| \frac{u(x+h)-u(x-h)}{2h} \right| \leq Mh^2$, which is 2nd order.

Transport equation :

$$\begin{cases} u_t + cu_x = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \\ u(0, x) = f(x), x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Recall: $u(t, x) = f(x - ct)$, u is continuous on line $x - ct = k$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note: u is transportation of f by $\frac{k}{t}$ to right if $c > 0$, left if $c < 0$

Figure missing

We choose a mesh (t_j, x_i) , $j \in N \cup \{0\}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta x = x_{i+1} - x_i \forall i \\ \Delta t = t_{j+1} - t_j \forall j \end{cases} \text{ mesh information} \quad (8)$$

How to compute $U_{ij} = U(x_i, t_j)$

$$U_t(t_j, x_i) = \frac{U_{i,j+1} - U_{ij}}{\Delta t}$$

$$U_x(t_j, x_i) = \frac{U_{i+1,j} - U_{ij}}{\Delta x}$$

u_{ij} depend on $u(k, 0)$ for $k \in \{i, \dots, i+j\}$

$$\frac{U_{i,j+1} - U_{ij}}{\Delta t} + c \frac{U_{i+1,j} - U_{ij}}{\Delta x} = 0$$

$$\iff u_{i,j+1} = -\sigma u_{i+1,j} + (\sigma + 1) u_{ij}$$

Where $\sigma = \frac{c\Delta t}{\Delta x}$ note: the sign of c matters.

Simulations:

1. $c > 0$: very bad 'oscillation' not good approximation.
2. $c < 0$: not too negative: looks ok
3. $c < 0$, very negative, bad approximation.

Case 1: $x - ct > k \implies t = \frac{x}{c} + \tilde{k}$. This means that u_{ij} has nothing to do with $u(t_j, x_i)$. Because we are not using characteristics.

Case 2: $c < 0$ Not too negative: $t = \frac{1}{c}x + k$, some information of characteristics will be used.

Case 3: $c < 0$ and very negative, same problem as $c < 0$.

In case 3, $x_i - ct_j \notin [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, this implies the foot of the characters doesn't belong to the interval $[x_i, x_{i+j}]$.

Case 3 precise c value:

$$x_i \leq x_i - ct_j \leq x_{i+j} \iff 0 \leq -ct_j \leq x_{i+j} - x_i$$

$$\iff 0 \leq -cj\Delta t \leq j\Delta x$$

$$\iff 0 \leq -c\sigma \leq 1$$

$$-1 \leq \sigma \leq 0$$

since $c < 0$, $\implies |\sigma| \leq 1$.

given c , $\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \leq \frac{1}{|c|}$ this means $\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \geq c$

'CFL condition' , -Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy' condition. It is a stability condition.

If $c > 0$, $\frac{u_{i,j+1} - u_{i,j}}{\Delta t} = c \frac{u_{i,j} - u_{i-1,j}}{\Delta x}$

Convergence order? 1st order convergence. Improve?

$$\frac{u_{i,j} + 1 - u_{i,j}}{\Delta t} = c \frac{u_{i+1,j} - u_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta x} \quad (9)$$

This is always unstable, use information about initial domain is useless.

The two 1st order schemes are the good ones. They are called upwind.

Conservation laws

$$u_t + (a(u))_x = 0 \iff u_t + a'(u) u_x = 0 \quad (10)$$

For Burgers equation, $a(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2$. We get

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x = 0, t > 0 \\ u(0, x) = f(x), x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Using the method of characteristics

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{ds} &= u, \frac{dt}{ds} = 1 \\ x(0) &= \tau, t(0) = 0, u(0) = f(\tau) \\ x(s) &= su + \tau, t(s) = s, u(s) = f(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (u(t, tf(\tau) + \tau)) = u_t + f(\tau) u_x \quad (12)$$

$$u(t, f(\tau) + \tau) = u(0, \tau) = f(\tau) \quad (13)$$

$\implies f$ is transported through the lines $x - tf(\tau) = \tau$.

How to invert and solve for τ ? Implicit function theorem tells me that If

$$F(x, t, \tau) = 0 \quad (14)$$

Suppose $F \in C^1$, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} \neq 0$ at (t_0, x_0, τ_0) , then one can invert in a small neighbourhood of t_0, x_0, τ_0 .

Example 0.1. $F(x, y) = x^2 - y = 0$, solve for x , $\partial_x F = 2x$ this means can solve everywhere except near $(0, 0) : x = \pm\sqrt{y}$ not a function.