Solutions of Assignment 12

Theory of Computation, Fall 2022

$$div(m,0) = m, \quad m \in \mathcal{N}$$
 (1)

Note: The proof is as follows.

The definition of $rem(m, n), m, n \in \mathcal{N}$ is as follows:

$$rem(0,n) = 0$$
 $rem(m+1,n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } eq(rem(m,n), pred(n)) \\ rem(m,n)+1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ (2)

We learn that:

- rem(0,0) = 0.
- Assume that rem(k,0) = 0, there is rem(k+1,0) = 0 since pred(0) = 0 and eq(rem(k,0), pred(0)) = 1.

We can conclude that $rem(m, 0) = 0, m \in \mathcal{N}$.

The definition of $div(m, n), m, n \in \mathcal{N}$ is as follows:

$$div(0,n) = 0$$

$$div(m+1,n) = \begin{cases} div(m,n)+1, & \text{if } eq(rem(m,n), pred(n)) \\ div(m,n), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(3)

We learn that:

- div(0,0) = 0.
- $\bullet \hspace{0.3cm} \forall m \in \mathcal{N}, \; eq(rem(m,0),pred(0)) = 1 \implies div(m+1,0) = div(m,0) + 1.$

We can conclude that $div(m, 0) = m, m \in \mathcal{N}$.

Proof:

Define $g: \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ to be

$$g(m,n) = f(f(\dots f(n)\dots)) \tag{4}$$

where there are m compositions.

g can be written as

$$g(0,n) = f(n)$$

 $g(m+1,n) = f(g(m,n))$ (5)

Since f is primitive recursive, so is g.

We have that

$$F(n) = g(n, n) \tag{6}$$

that is,

$$F(n) = g(id_{1,1}(n), id_{1,1}(n))$$
(7)

Therefore, F is the composition of primitive recursive functions. Thus F is primitive recursive.

Note: The proof based on that "F is the composition of n primitive recursive functions f, so F is primitive recursive" is incorrect, because n is not a constant. You may refer to the proof showing that $sum_f(m,n)$ is primitive recursive on class (W12) to see why.

Proof:

Fix an arbitrary $k \ge 2$. For $i \in [1, k]$, define P_i as follows.

$$P_i(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (n_i = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}) \land (\forall j < i, n_j \neq \max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(8)

 P_i is a primitive recursive predicate since P_i can be written as

$$P_i(n_1,\ldots,n_k) = (n_i > n_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (n_i > n_{i-1}) \wedge (n_i \geq n_{i+1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (n_i \geq n_k)$$

$$\tag{9}$$

Now φ_k can be written as follows.

$$\varphi_k(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k P_i(n_1, \dots, n_k) \cdot n_i$$
(10)

Therefore, φ_k is the composition of primitive recursive functions. Thus φ_k is primitive recursive.

Another Proof:

 φ_2 can be written as follows.

$$\varphi_2(n_1, n_2) = \max\{n_1, n_2\} = \begin{cases} id_{2,1}(n_1, n_2), & \text{if } geq(n_1, n_2) \\ id_{2,2}(n_1, n_2), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(11)

Since geq is primitive recursive, so is φ_2 .

Fix an arbitrary $k \ge 2$. φ_k can be written as follows.

$$\varphi_k(n_1,\ldots,n_k) = \varphi_2(n_1,\varphi_2(n_2,\ldots\varphi_2(n_{k-1},n_k)\ldots))$$
(12)

Therefore, φ_k is the composition of primitive recursive functions. Thus φ_k is primitive recursive.

Note: Here *k* is a fixed constant, so **another proof** is correct.

Proof:

 h_p can be written as follows.

$$h_p(n) = \prod_{t=0}^n p(t) = mult_p(n)$$
(13)

Note: Here $mult_p$ is defined as follows.

$$mult_p(0) = p(0)$$

 $mult_p(n+1) = mult_p(n) \cdot p(succ(n))$ (14)

Therefore, mult_p is primitive recursive.

Then h_p is the composition of primitive recursive functions. Thus h_p is primitive recursive.

Grading

100 pts. in total

- Q1. 10 pts.
- Q2. 40 pts.
- Q3. 30 pts.
- Q4. 20 pts.