## Representation Theory of Finite Groups

Alex Rutar\* University of Waterloo

Fall 2019<sup>†</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>arutar@uwaterloo.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Last updated: September 20, 2019

# Contents

Chapter	Introduction	
1	ensor Products	4
2	haracter Theory	4

### I. Introduction

Let G be a finite group of order n, and write  $G = \{g_1, ..., g_n\}$ . Fix  $g \in G$ ; then  $gg_i = gg_j$  if and only if i = j. Thus there exists some  $\sigma_g \in S_i$  such that  $gg_i = g_{\sigma_g(i)}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . In particular,  $\phi : G \to S_n$  by  $\phi(g) = \sigma_g$  is an embedding (injective group homomorphism). This observation is usually referred to as Cayley's Theorem.

Now let V be an n-dimensional complex vector space. We then denote GL(V) as the group of invertible linear operators  $T: V \to V$ . Now define  $\psi: S_n \to GL_n(V)$  by  $\psi(\sigma) = T_\sigma$  where if  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$  is a basis for V and  $T_\sigma(b_i) = b_{\sigma(i)}$ . This is an injective group homomorphism, so  $\psi \circ \phi: G \to GL(V)$  is an embedding of G into GL(V).

**Definition.** Let G be a finite group, and V a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. A **representation** of G is a group homomorphism  $\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ . We call  $\dim(V)$  the **degree** of the representation.

In particular, if *V* is *n*-dimensional, then  $GL(V) \cong GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Example.* 1. Consider  $\rho: G \to GL(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  given by  $\rho(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ . This is called the *trivial representation*.

- 2. Consider  $\rho: S_n \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  given by  $\rho(\sigma) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ , which is called the *sign representation*.
- 3. The representation fo *G* afforded by Cayley's theorem is called the *regular representation* of *G*. The next example is a good way to understand the regular rep of *G*.
- 4. Consider G,  $X = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ , and V = Free(X). Suppose G acts on X. Then  $\rho : G \to GL(V)$  given by  $\rho(g)(x_i) = gx_i$ . In particular, if we take X = G, then this is the regular representation of G
- 5. Consider the 4–gon, with vertices labelled a,b,c,d. Take  $X = \{a,b,c,d\}$  and the regular representation  $\rho: D_4 \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ . This action has a geometric notion.
- 6. Let  $C_n$  be a cyclic group of order n; let us define some  $\rho : C_n \to GL(V)$ . Say  $\rho(x) = T$  where  $t \in GL(V)$ ; then this is a representation if and only if  $T^n = I$ .

**Definition.** We say that two representations  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  and  $\tau: G \to GL(W)$  are **isomorphic** if there exists an isomorphism  $T: V \to W$  such that for all  $g \in G$ ,

$$T \circ \rho(g) = \tau(g) \circ T$$

Suppose  $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  and  $T: V \to W$  is an isomorphism. Then we can define  $\tau: G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$  by  $\tau(G) = T \circ \rho(g) \circ T^{-1}$ ; this  $\rho \cong \tau$ . In other words, the representation is unique up to isomorphism under change of basis.

Example. Consider  $G = \{g_1, ..., g_n\} = \{h_1, ..., h_n\}$ , and fix  $g \in G$ . Let  $gg_i = g_{\alpha(i)}$  and  $gh_i = h_{\beta(i)}$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in S_n$ . Fix an n-dimensional vector space V with basis  $\{b_1, ..., b_n\}$ . Then two regular representations are given by

$$\rho_1: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V), \rho(g)(b_i) = b_{\alpha(i)}$$

$$\rho_2: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V), \rho(g)(b_i) = b_{\beta(i)}$$

Let  $\gamma \in S_n$  be such that  $h_{\gamma(i)} = g_i$ , and define  $T: V \to V$  by  $T(v_i) = b_{\gamma(i)}$ . Then

$$gg_i = g_{\alpha(i)} = gh_{\gamma(i)} = h_{\beta\gamma(i)} = g_{\gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma(i)}$$

so that  $\alpha = \gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma$ . Thus for each  $b_i$ ,

$$T \circ \rho_{1}(g) \circ T^{-1}(b_{i}) = T \circ \rho_{1}(g)(b_{\gamma^{-1}(i)})$$

$$= T(b_{\alpha\gamma^{-1}(i)})b_{\gamma\alpha\gamma^{-1}(i)}$$

$$= b_{\beta(i)} = \rho_{2}(g)(b_{i})$$

so that  $T \circ \rho_1(g) \circ T^{-1} = \rho_2(g)$ .

Note: conjugate elements have the same cycle type.

#### Subrepresentations

What should a subrepresentation of  $\rho : G \to GL(V)$  mean?

We would like a subspace  $W \le V$  such that  $\tau : G \to GL(W)$  is a representation given by  $\tau(g)(w) = \rho(g)(w)$  for all  $w \in W$ . Moreover, to make this well-defined, we need W to b4  $\rho(g)$ -invariant for every  $g \in G$  ( $\rho(g)(W) \subseteq W$ ).

Suppose  $T: V \to V$  is a linear operator, and  $W \le V$  is a T-invariant subspace; i.e.  $T(W) \subseteq W$ . In particular, the restriction operator  $T_W: W \to W$  is well-defined.

**Definition.** Let  $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  be a representation. A subspace  $W \subseteq V$  is said to be G-stable if W is  $\rho(g)$ -invariant for all  $g \in G$ . A **subrepresentation** of  $\rho$  is a representation  $\rho_W: G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$  where for all  $g \in G$  and  $w \in W$ ,  $\rho_W(g)(w) = \rho(g)(w)$  where W is a G-stable subspace of V.

*Example.* Suppose  $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  be the regular representation. Take  $W = \operatorname{span}\{\sum_{g \in G} v_g\}$ , which is clearly G-stable, and  $\rho_W: G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$  is isomorphic to the trivial representation.

Similarly, let  $\rho: S_n \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  be the regular representation,  $W = \operatorname{span}\{\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) v_\sigma\}$ ; this is isomorphic to the sign representation.

**0.1 Theorem.** Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  be a representation,  $W \le V$  G-stable. Then there exists a G-stable subspace W' such that  $V = W \oplus W'$ .

PROOF Take any inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle$  on V. Then for any  $x, y \in V$ , define

$$\langle x, y \rangle^* = \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)(x), \rho(g)(y) \rangle$$

This is also an inner product. Let  $x, y \in V$  and let  $h \in G$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \langle \rho(h)(x), \rho(h)(y) \rangle^* &= \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)\rho(h)(x), \rho(g)\rho(h)(y) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(gh)(x), \rho(gh)(y) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)(x), \rho(g)(y) \rangle \end{split}$$

Thus every  $\rho(h)$  is unitary with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^*$ . Let  $W \leq V$  be G-stable, and take  $W' = W^{\perp}$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^*$ . Then  $V = W \oplus W'$ . Let's see that  $W^{\perp}$  is G-stable. Let  $x \in W^{\perp}$ ,  $w \in W$ ,

and  $g \in G$ , so that

$$\langle \rho(g)(x), w \rangle^* = \langle x, \rho(g)^*(w)^* \rangle = \langle x, \rho(g)^{-1}(w) \rangle^*$$
$$= \langle x, \underbrace{\rho(g^{-1})(w)}_{\in W} \rangle^*$$
$$= 0$$

and  $\rho(g)(W^{\perp}) \subseteq W^{\perp}$  as required.

**Definition.** Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  be a representation, and  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$  where each  $W_i$  is G-stable. For each i, let  $\rho_i = \rho_{w_i}$ . For each  $v = \sum w_i \in V$ , we have  $\rho(g)(v) = \sum \rho(g)(w_i) = \rho_i(g)(w_i)$ . In this case, we write

$$\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k$$

and call  $\rho$  a direct sum of the  $\rho_i$ 's.

The previous definition is written as an internal direct sum of V. Externally, given vector spaces  $W_1, \ldots, W_k$  and representations  $\rho_i : G \to GL(W_i)$ , we can define

$$(\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k) : G \to GL(W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k)$$

by  $(\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k)(g)(w_1, \ldots, w_k) = (\rho_1(g)(w_1), \ldots, \rho_k(g)(w_k))$ . If  $\rho_i : G \to GL(W_i)$  is a subrepresentation fo  $\rho : G \to GL(V)$ , we often say " $W_i$  is a subrepresentation of V".

**Definition.** Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  be a representation. We say  $\rho$  is **irreducible** if  $V \neq \{0\}$  and the only G-stable subspaces of V are  $\{0\}$  and V.

**0.2 Theorem.** Every representation  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  can be written as a direct sum of irreducible sub-representations.

*Example.* Let  $\rho: S_3 \to GL(\mathbb{C}^3)$  be the permutation representation with respect to the standard basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ . Consider  $W_1 = \text{span}\{e_1 + e_2 + e_3\}$  and  $W_2 = \text{span}\{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3\}$ . Is  $W_2$  irreducible?

More generally, if  $V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$  and dim  $W_i = 1$  and deg $(\rho_i) = 1$ ,

$$\rho(gh)(\sum w_i) = \sum \rho_i(gh)(w_i) = \sum \rho_i(g)\rho_i(h)(w_i) = \sum \rho_i(h)\rho_i(g)(w_i)$$

so that  $\rho(gh) = \rho(hg)$ . In the our example, this does not happen, since  $\rho(g) \neq I$  when  $g \neq 1$  and  $S_3$  is not abelian.

*Example.* Let  $\rho: S_3 \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  be the regular representation. Let  $W_1 = \operatorname{span}\{\sum_{\sigma \in S_3} v_{\sigma}\}$  and  $W_2 = \operatorname{span}\{\sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) v_{\sigma}\}$ , and

$$W_{3} = \sum \alpha_{\sigma} v_{\sigma} | \alpha \begin{vmatrix} +\alpha_{(123)} + \alpha_{(1,3,2)} \\ = 0 \\ \alpha_{(12)} + \alpha_{(13)} + \alpha_{(23)} \\ = 0 \end{vmatrix} \epsilon$$

Now let's focus on  $W_3$ . A basis for  $W_3$  is given by

$$e_1 = v_{\epsilon} - v_{(123)}$$
  $e_2 = v_{\epsilon} - v_{(123)}$   $e_3 = v_{(12)} - v_{(13)}$   $e_4 = v_{(12)} - v_{(23)}$ 

Recall that  $S_3 = \langle (12), (123) \rangle$ ; suffices to show stability with respect to generators.

$$\rho(12): e_1 \mapsto e_4, e_2 \mapsto e_3, e_3 \mapsto e_2, e_4 \mapsto e_1$$
  
 $\rho(123): e_1 \mapsto e_2 - e_1, e_2 \mapsto -e_1, e_3 \mapsto e_4 - e_3, e_4 \mapsto -e_3$ 

Let  $U_1 = \text{span}\{e_1 - e_4, e_2 + e_3 - e_1\}$ 

#### 1 Tensor Products

Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  and  $\tau: G \to GL(W)$  be representations. We define the representation  $\rho \otimes \tau: G \to GL(V \otimes W)$ 

$$(\rho \otimes \tau)(g)(v \otimes w) = \rho(g)(v) \otimes \tau(g)(w)$$

#### 2 Character Theory

We define the character of  $\rho$  by  $\rho$  :  $G \to \mathbb{C}$  as  $\chi(G) = (\rho(g))$ .

*Remark.* If we choose a basis  $\beta$  for V, then define  $A(g) = [\rho(g)]_{\beta}$  and  $\chi(G)$  is given by the sum of the diagonal entries of A(g). Furthermore, if  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then (AB) = (BA).

The remark implies a number of facts:

- (i)  $\rho \cong \tau$ , then  $(\rho(g)) = (\tau(g))$ .
- (ii) (T) is the sum of eigenvalues of T
- (iii)  $\chi(1) = \dim(V)$ .
  - **2.1 Proposition.** For every  $g \in G$  the eigenvalues of  $\rho(g)$  have modulus 1. In particular,  $\chi(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(g)}$ .

PROOF Set n = |G|; then  $\rho(g)^n = \rho(g^n) = I$  so that  $\lambda^n - 1 = 0$  for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , so  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Furthermore,

$$\overline{\chi(g)} = \overline{\sum \lambda_i} = \sum \overline{\lambda_i} = \sum \lambda_i^{-1} = \chi(g^{-1})$$

proving the second component.

**2.2 Proposition.** Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  and  $\tau: G \to GL(W)$ . Then  $\chi_{\rho \oplus \tau} = \chi_{\rho} + \chi_{\tau}$  and  $\chi_{\rho \otimes \tau} = \chi_{\rho} \cdot \chi_{\tau}$ .

PROOF Let  $\beta_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis for V and  $\beta_2 = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  a basis for W.

Then a basis for  $V \oplus W$  is given by  $\beta = \{(v_1, 0), \dots, (v_n, 0), (0, w_1), \dots, (0, w_m)\}$ . In particular,

$$[(\rho \oplus \tau)(g)]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} [\rho(g)]_{\beta_1} & \\ & [\tau(g)]_{\beta_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the trace result follows.

A basis for  $V \otimes W$  is given by  $\gamma = \{v_i \otimes w_j : 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m\}$  in lexicographic order. Fix  $g \in G$ , and set  $A = [\rho(g)]_{\beta_1}$ ,  $B = [\rho(g)]_{\beta_2}$ . Fix  $v_i \otimes w_j \in \gamma$ . Then

$$(\rho \otimes \tau)(g)(v_i \otimes w_j) = \rho(g)(v_i) \otimes \tau(g)(w_j)$$

$$= (a_{1i}v_1 + \dots + a_{ni}v_n) \otimes (b_{1j}w_1 + \dots + b_{mj}v_m)$$

$$= \dots + a_{ii}b_{jj} \cdot (v_i \otimes w_j) + \dots$$

$$= ([\rho \otimes \tau)(g)]_{\delta}) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ii}b_{jj} = (A)() = \chi_{\rho}(g) \cdot \chi_{\tau}(g)$$

Example. Suppose  $\rho: S_n \to \operatorname{GL}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is the permutation representation with respect to  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Then  $\chi(\sigma) = |\{e_i : \rho(\sigma)(e_i) = e_i\}| = |\operatorname{Fix}(\sigma)|$ , which is the number of indices i fixed by  $\sigma$ . Since  $S_n$  acts transitively on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , there is exactly 1 orbit, so by Burnside's lemma,

$$n! = |S_n| = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi(\sigma)$$

*Example.* Let  $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  be the regular representation. Note that if  $g \ne 1$ , then for all  $h \in G$ ,  $gh \ne h$ . In particular, this means that  $\chi(g) = 0$  if  $g \ne 1$ , and  $\chi(1) = |G|$  (the dimension of V).

*Example.* Let  $\rho: S_3 \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  be the regular representation. Recall that  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus U_1 \oplus U_2$  where  $W_1$  is the trivial representation,  $W_2$  is the sign representation, and  $U_1, U_2$  are isomorphic. Let  $S_3 = \langle (12), (123) \rangle$ ; then we have

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} x_1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline x_2 & -1 & 1 \\ x_3 & a & b \\ x_4 & a & b \\ \end{array}$$

In particular,  $\chi(12) = 1 - 1 + 2a = 0$  and  $\chi(123) = 1 + 1 + 2b = 0$ , so b = -1.

*Example.* Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  be a representation. In particular,  $\rho(ghg^{-1}) = \rho(g)\rho(h)\rho(g)$  so that  $\rho(ghg^{-1}) = \rho(h)$  so  $\chi(ghg^{-1}) = (h)$ ; in other words, that characters are constant on conjugacy classes.

**2.3 Lemma.** (Schur) Let  $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  and  $\tau: G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$  be irreducible representations, and suppose  $T: V \to W$  is linear such that for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\tau(g) \circ T = T \circ \rho(g)$ . Then either T = 0 or T is an isomorphism and  $\rho \cong \tau$ . Moreover, if V = W and  $\rho = \tau$ , then T is a scalar multiple of the identity.

Proof Assume  $T \neq 0$ .

Let's first see that T is injective, and let  $v \in \ker(T)$ . Then for any  $g \in G$ ,  $T(\rho(g)(v)) = \tau(g)(T(v)) = 0$ , so  $\rho(g)(v) \in \ker(T)$ . Thus  $\ker(T)$  is G-stable (with respect to  $\rho$ ). Since  $\rho$  is irreducible and  $T \neq 0$ ,  $\ker(T) = \{0\}$ .

We also have that T is surjective. Let  $v \in \text{Im}(T)$  and say v = T(X) with  $x \in V$ . Then for  $g \in G$ ,  $\tau(g)(v) = \tau(g)(T(x)) = T(\rho(g)(x)) \in \text{Im}(T)$  so Im(T) is G-stable, and again by irreducibility of  $\tau$ , Im(T) = W. Thus T is an isomorphism.

Now let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be an eigenvalue of T and consider  $T' = T - \lambda I$ . Now, note that for  $g \in G$ ,  $\rho(g)T' = T'\rho(g)$ , but T' has non-trivial kernel, so in fact T' = 0.

**2.4 Corollary.** Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  and  $\tau: G \to GL(W)$  be irreducible, and  $T: V \to W$  linear. Consider

$$T' = \frac{1}{|G|} = \sum_{g \in G} \tau(g)^{-1} T \rho(g)$$

Then

- (i) If  $T' \neq 0$ , then  $\rho \cong \tau$  via T'.
- (ii) If V = W,  $\rho = \tau$ , then  $T' = (T)/\dim(V) \cdot I$ .

PROOF Clearly  $T': V \to W$  is linear, and for any  $h \in G$ ,

$$\tau(h)T' = \tau(h)\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G} \tau(g^{-1})T\rho(g)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \tau(hg^{-1})T\rho(g)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \tau(g^{-1})T(\rho(gh))$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \tau(g^{-1})T\rho(g)\rho(h)$$

$$= T'\rho(h)$$

If V = W and  $\rho = T$ , then  $(T') = \frac{1}{|G|}(T) \cdot |G| = (T) = \alpha \dim(V)$ , so  $\alpha = (T)/\dim(V)$ .

Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  and  $\tau: G \to GL(W)$  be irreducible representations, and  $T: V \to W$  linear. Let  $\beta$  be a basis for V and  $\gamma$  a basis for W. Then for  $g \in G$ , let  $[\rho(g)]_{\beta} = (a_{ij}(g))$ ,  $[\tau(g)]_{\gamma} = (b_{kl}(g))$ ,  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = (X_{ki})$ , and  $[T']_{\beta}^{\gamma} = (x'_{ki})$ .

By matrix multiplication,  $x'_{ki} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} \sum_{j,l} b_{kl}(g^{-1}) x_{lj} a_{ji}$ . If  $\rho \not\cong \tau$ , then T' = 0, so by viewing the RHS as a polynomial in the  $x_{ij}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} b_{kl}(g^{-1}) a_{ji}(g) = 0$$

But now it  $\rho = \tau$ , then  $T' = \lambda I$  where  $\lambda = (T)/\dim(B)$  so that

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} \sum_{j,l} a_{kl}(g^{-1}) x_{lj} a_{ji}(g) = \lambda \delta_{ki} = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \sum_{j,l} \delta_{ki} \delta_{jl} x_{lj}$$

Then by equating coefficients of  $x_{lj}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} a_{kl}(g^{-1}) a_{ji}(g) = \frac{1}{\dim(V)} \delta_{ki} \delta_{jl}$$

*Remark.* If *G* is a finite group, the consider the vector space of all functions  $\phi: G \to \mathbb{C}$ . For any  $\phi, \psi$  in this vector space,  $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \phi(g) \overline{\psi(g)}$  defines an inner product. Then if  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are characters of *G*, then

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} \chi_1(g) \chi_2(g^{-1})$$

We thus have:

**2.5 Theorem.** If  $\chi$  is a character of an irreducible representation, then  $\langle \chi, \chi = 1 \rangle$ , and if  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  correspond to non-isomorphic representations, then  $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = 0$ .

Proof Say  $[\rho(g)]_{\beta} = (a_{ij}(g))$  where  $\rho$  is an irreducible representation with character  $\chi$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \langle \chi, \chi \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} \chi(g) \chi(g^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} \chi(g^{-1}) \chi(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} \sum_{i,j} a_{ii} (g^{-1}) a_{jj} (g) = \sum_{i,j} \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} a_{ii} (g^{-1}) a_{jj} (g) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} a_{ii} (g^{-1}) a_{ii} (g) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i} \frac{1}{\dim(V)} = 1 \end{split}$$

To see the second part,

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} \chi_1(g) \chi_2(g^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g} \sum_{ij} a_{ii}(g) a_{jj}(g^{-1}) = \sum_{i,j} 0 = 0$$

If  $\chi$  is a character corresponding to an irreducible representation, we say  $\chi$  is irreducible. If  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  are isomorphic representations, we say  $\chi_{\rho}$  and  $\chi_{\tau}$  are isomorphic (in fact  $\chi_{\rho} = \chi_{\tau}$ ).

**2.6 Corollary.** Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  be a representation with character  $\chi$ . Say  $V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$  is an irreducible decomposition of V. If  $\tau: G \to GL(W)$  is an irreducible representations with character  $\phi$ , then the number of  $W_i$  isomorphic to W (i.e.  $\rho_i \cong \tau$ ) is  $\langle \chi, \phi \rangle$ .

Proof Write  $\chi = n_1 \chi_1 + \dots + n_l \chi_l$ , where the  $\chi_i$  are pairwise non-isomorphic. Then  $\langle \chi, \chi_i \rangle = n_i$ .