

# Algebraic Number Theory

Alex Rutar<sup>\*</sup>  
University of Waterloo

Winter 2019<sup>†</sup>

---

<sup>\*</sup>*arutar@uwaterloo.ca*

<sup>†</sup>Last updated: April 7, 2019



---

# Contents

---

## **Chapter I      Field Theory in $\mathbb{C}$**

1	Fields over $\mathbb{Q}$ . . . . .	1
2	Finite Extensions and Embeddings . . . . .	3
3	Galois Theory over $\mathbb{Q}$ . . . . .	4

## **Chapter II      The Ring of Algebraic Integers**

4	Number Fields . . . . .	7
5	Traces, Norms, and Units . . . . .	10
6	Discriminants and Integral Bases . . . . .	13
7	Composita . . . . .	20
8	Resultants . . . . .	21

## **Chapter III      Dedekind Domains**

9	Noetherian Domains . . . . .	25
10	Reducibility . . . . .	25
11	Ramification . . . . .	29
12	Norms of Ideals . . . . .	30
13	Class Group . . . . .	32
14	Fermat's Last Theorem . . . . .	33
15	Quadratic Reciprocity . . . . .	34
16	Fermat's Last Theorem . . . . .	36
17	Lattices and Minkowski's Theorem . . . . .	37



---

# I. Field Theory in $\mathbb{C}$

---

## 1 FIELDS OVER $\mathbb{Q}$

### ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS

**Definition.** An **algebraic integer** is a root of a monic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . An **algebraic number** is the root of any non-zero polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . A **number field** is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $K, L$  are fields and  $K \subseteq L$ , we say that  $L$  is an **extension field** of  $K$  and  $K$  is a **subfield** of  $L$ . We write  $[L : K] = \dim_K L$ , the dimension of  $L$  over  $K$ .

*Example.* Equivalently, algebraic numbers are the roots of polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .  $\sqrt{-5}$  is a root of  $x^2 + 5 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is an algebraic integer, and  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ . A basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is given by  $\{1, \sqrt{-5}\}$ .

**Definition.** If  $K$  is a field, then  $f \in K[x]$  is **irreducible** if whenever  $f = gh$ ,  $g, h \in K[x]$ , then  $g$  or  $h$  is constant.

**1.1 Proposition.** Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a subfield and suppose  $f \in K[x]$  is irreducible. Then,  $f$  has distinct roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**PROOF** Suppose not and write  $f(x) = a_n(x-\alpha)^2 g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . Then  $f'(x) = 2a_n(x-\alpha)g(x) + a_n(x-\alpha)^2 g'(x)$ , and  $f'(\alpha) = 0$ . Let  $p$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Then  $p|f$  so  $p = f$  up to a constant. As well,  $f = p|f'$ , a contradiction. ■

### FIELD EXTENSIONS

**Definition.** If  $K \subseteq L$  are fields, then we write  $L/K$  and say that  $L$  is a **extension** of  $K$ . If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a field  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ , then the field  $K$  **adjoin**  $\theta$ , denoted  $K(\theta)$ , is defined to be the smallest subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  containing  $K$  and  $\theta$ .

*Example.* Set  $L := \{a + b\sqrt{-5} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ ; why is it that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) = L$ ? Certainly  $L$  is a field: the inverse of  $a + b\sqrt{-5}$  is given by  $\frac{a-b\sqrt{-5}}{a^2+5b^2}$ , which always since  $a^2 + 5b^2$  is not zero whenever  $\alpha \neq 0$ . To see equality, let  $M$  be any field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\sqrt{-5}$ . Then if  $a, b$  are both rational, then  $a \in M$  and  $b\sqrt{-5} \in M$  so  $a + b\sqrt{-5} \in M$ . Thus  $L$  is the smallest field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\sqrt{-5}$ .

*Example.* Consider  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . Then one can verify that  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) = \{a + b\zeta + c\zeta^2 : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a subfield. Then we say  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$  is **algebraic over  $K$**  if there exists a polynomial  $f \in K[x]$  such that  $f(\theta) = 0$ . We say  $p \in K[x]$  is the **minimal polynomial** of  $\theta$  if it is monic, has  $\theta$  as a root, and if it has minimal degree. The **degree of  $\theta$  over  $K$**  is  $\deg p(x)$ .

*Example.*  $\sqrt{-5}$  has minimal polynomial  $x^2 + 5$ , and  $\zeta$  has minimal polynomial  $x^2 + x + 1$ .

**1.2 Proposition. (Properties of the Minimal Polynomial)** Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a subfield,  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$  algebraic over  $K$ . Then there exists a unique minimal polynomial  $p(x)$  of  $\theta$  over  $K$ . In particular, the following hold:

1. If  $f(\theta) = 0$ ,  $p|f$ .
2.  $p$  is irreducible in  $K[x]$

PROOF If  $p, q \in K[x]$  are both minimal polynomials, then  $r = p - q$  has lower degree and  $r(\theta) = 0$ . If  $r$  is non-zero, let it have leading coefficient  $c$  so that  $r(x)/c$  is monic. But then  $\deg(r/c) < \deg p$  and  $r(\theta)/c = 0$ , contradicting minimality of  $p$ .

1. By the division algorithm, write  $f = pq + r$ . If  $r \neq 0$ , then  $\deg r < \deg p$  and  $r(\theta) = 0$ , a contradiction by the same reasoning above.
2. If  $p$  is reducible, write  $p = fg$  where  $f, g$  are not constant. Since  $F[x]$  is a UFD,  $0 = p(\theta) = f(\theta)g(\theta)$  so  $\theta$  is a root of  $f$  or  $g$ , contradicting minimality.

Thus the result holds. ■

*Remark.* Since  $p$  is irreducible,  $p$  has  $n = \deg p$  distinct roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition.** Suppose  $\theta$  has minimal polynomial  $p(x)$ . The roots  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{C}$  of  $p$  are called the **conjugates** of  $\theta$ .

**1.3 Proposition.** Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$  algebraic over  $K$ , and let  $n = \deg p$  be the degree of the minimal polynomial. Then every element  $\alpha \in K(\theta)$  has a unique representation in the form

$$\alpha = a_0 + a_1\theta + \dots + a_{n-1}\theta^{n-1}$$

where  $a_i \in K$ .

PROOF First note that

$$K(\theta) = \left\{ \frac{f(\theta)}{g(\theta)} : f, g \in K[x], g(\theta) \neq 0 \right\}$$

Set  $\alpha = f(\theta)/g(\theta) \in K(\theta)$ . Let's first see that  $p$  and  $g$  are coprime. Suppose not; then there exists non-constant  $h \in K[x]$  such that  $h|p$  and  $h|g$ . Since  $p$  is irreducible,  $h = cp$  for some  $c \in K^\times$ . Then since  $h|g$ ,  $p|g$  as well and  $g(\theta) = 0$ , a contradiction.

Since  $K[x]$  is a PID and  $p, g$  are coprime, there exist polynomials  $s, t \in K[x]$  so that  $sp + tg = 1$ . Evaluating at  $\theta$ , we must have  $t(\theta)g(\theta) = 1$  and

$$\alpha = \frac{f(\theta)}{g(\theta)} = f(\theta)t(\theta)$$

so  $\alpha$  is a polynomial in  $\theta$ . By the division algorithm,  $ft = pq + r$  where  $\deg r \leq n - 1$  and  $\alpha = r(\theta)$  is a polynomial expression in  $\theta$  with degree  $n - 1$ .

It remains to see uniqueness. Suppose  $\alpha = r_1(\theta) = r_2(\theta)$  where  $r_1, r_2 \in K[x]$  and  $\deg r_i < n$ . If  $r_1(x) - r_2(x) \neq 0$ , then  $\deg(r_1 - r_2) < n$ . But then  $r_1 - r_2$  has  $\theta$  as a root and  $\deg(r_1 - r_2) < n$ , contradicting minimality of  $p$ . ■

*Remark.* This says that  $\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $K(\theta)$  over  $K$ . In general, when  $\theta$  is algebraic over  $K$ ,  $K(\theta) = K[\theta]$ .

**1.4 Corollary.** Suppose  $M/L/K$ . Then  $[M : K] = [M : L][L : K]$ .

PROOF Exercise. ■

## 2 FINITE EXTENSIONS AND EMBEDDINGS

**Definition.** An injective ring homomorphism  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  is called an **embedding**. We write  $R \hookrightarrow S$  is the inclusion map.

thm:embed

**2.1 Theorem.** Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a subfield,  $L/K$  is a finite extension field. If  $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an embedding, then  $\sigma$  extends to an embedding  $L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  in exactly  $[L : K]$  ways.

**PROOF** First, let's prove the theorem for extensions of the form  $K(\alpha)/K$ . Let  $p(x) = a_0 + \cdots + a_m x^m \in K[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K$ . Since  $\sigma$  is injective,  $K \cong \sigma(K) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $g(x) = \sigma(a_0) + \cdots + \sigma(a_{m-1})x^{m-1} + x^m$ , which is irreducible over  $\sigma(K)$ . To see this, if  $(c_0 + c_1 x + \cdots + c_u x^u)(d_0 + d_1 x + \cdots + d_v x^v)$  is any factorization (with  $c_i, d_i \in \sigma(K)$ ), then  $(\sigma^{-1}(c_0) + \sigma^{-1}(c_1)x + \cdots + x^u)(\sigma^{-1}(d_0) + \sigma^{-1}(d_1)x + \cdots + x^v)$  is a factorization of  $p(x)$ , so it must be trivial. Now, let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{C}$  be the distinct roots of  $g(x)$ , and let  $\beta := \beta_i$  be arbitrary. Given an element  $\gamma = b_0 + b_1 \alpha + b_2 \alpha^2 + \cdots + b_{m-1} \alpha^{m-1}$  in  $K(\alpha)$ , let

$$\lambda_\beta(\gamma) = \sigma(b_0) + \sigma(b_1)\beta + \cdots + \sigma(b_{m-1})\beta^{m-1}$$

One can verify that this is a ring homomorphism which respects  $\sigma$ . Furthermore, there no other embeddings  $\lambda$  since  $0 = \lambda(0) = \lambda(p(\alpha)) = g(\lambda(\alpha))$ . Thus,  $\lambda(\alpha)$  is a root of  $g$ , so  $\lambda(\alpha) = \beta_i$  for some  $i$ . Since  $\lambda$  is a homomorphism, if  $\lambda_1(\alpha) = \lambda_2(\alpha)$ , then  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ , so there are at most  $[K(\alpha) : K]$  embeddings.

Now, the proof follows by induction. If  $[L : K] = 1$ , we are done; if  $[L : K] > 1$ , get  $\alpha \in L \setminus K$ . From above,  $\sigma$  extends to  $[K(\alpha) : K]$  embeddings  $\lambda : K(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and by induction, any such embedding extends to  $[L : K(\alpha)]$  embeddings  $\lambda : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Thus there are  $[L : K(\alpha)][K(\alpha) : K] = [L : K]$  embeddings extending  $\sigma$ , as desired. ■

*Remark.* Our most common use case will be when  $\sigma$  is the identity map on  $K$ .

*Example.* Consider the embedding of  $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}$ , then the two embeddings are given by  $\sqrt{d} \mapsto \sqrt{d}$  or  $\sqrt{d} \mapsto -\sqrt{d}$ . (TODO: understand) Note that  $\pm\sqrt{d}$  are conjugates: both are roots of the minimal polynomial  $x^2 - d$ .

*Example.* Suppose  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ . Since  $x^3 - 2$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[3]{2}$ , its conjugates are  $\sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{2}\omega, \sqrt[3]{2}\omega^2$  where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . All embeddings extend  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  are given by  $\sqrt[3]{2} \mapsto \sqrt[3]{2}\omega^k$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ .

**2.2 Theorem.** Let  $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  with  $[L : K] < \infty$ . Then there  $\theta \in L$  such that  $L = K(\theta)$ .

**PROOF** Since  $[L : K] < \infty$ , we have  $L = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$  for some  $m$ . By induction on  $m$ , it suffices to handle the case  $L = K(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be the conjugates of  $\alpha$  and  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$  are conjugates of  $\beta$  (over  $K$ ). Let  $c \in K^\times$  be such that  $\alpha + c\beta \neq \alpha_i + c\beta_j$  for any  $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$  ( $K$  is an infinite field, so such a  $c$  certainly exists), and set  $\theta := \alpha + c\beta$ . Certainly  $K(\theta) \subseteq K(\alpha, \beta)$ ; for the reverse inclusion, it suffices to show that  $\beta \in K(\theta)$ . Let  $f(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K$ , and  $g(x)$  the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $K$ . Note that  $\beta$  is a root of both  $f(\theta - cx)$  and  $g(x)$ ; and by choice of  $c$ , there are no others in common.

Let  $h(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $K(\theta)$ . Since  $\beta$  is a root of both  $f(\theta - cx)$  and  $g(x) \in K[x] \subseteq K(\theta)[x]$ , we must have  $h|f(\theta - cx)$  and  $h|g(x)$ , so  $\deg h = 1$  and  $\beta \in K(\theta)$ . ■

## NORMAL EXTENSIONS

**Definition.** Let  $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $[L : K] < \infty$ . We say  $L$  is a **normal extension** of  $K$  if it is closed under taking conjugates over  $K$ .

*Example.* For example,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}$  is a normal extension. If  $\alpha \in L$ , then  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d}$ . The conjugate of  $\alpha$  is  $a - b\sqrt{d}$ , which is also an element of  $L$ . On the other hand, a classic non-example is  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\sqrt[3]{2} \in L$  but  $\omega\sqrt[3]{2} \notin L$ , since  $\omega\sqrt[3]{2} \notin \mathbb{R}$ .

**2.3 Proposition.** Let  $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $[L : K] < \infty$ . Then  $L/K$  is normal if and only if for all  $\sigma : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sigma|_K = \text{id}_K$ ,  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $L$ .

**PROOF** Note that  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $L$  if and only if  $\sigma(L) = L$ .

If  $L/K$  is normal, let  $\alpha \in L$  be such that  $L = K(\alpha)$ . Then  $\sigma : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is specified fully by  $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha_i$ , where  $\alpha_i$  is a conjugate of  $\alpha$ . But then  $\sigma : K(\alpha) \rightarrow K(\alpha_i)$  is an isomorphism, and since  $L/K$  is normal,  $K(\alpha) = K(\alpha_i)$  and  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $L$ .

Conversely, let's show that  $L/K$  is normal. Let  $\alpha \in L$ , let  $\alpha_i$  be the conjugates of  $\alpha$  over  $K$ : we need to show that  $\alpha_i \in L$ . Let  $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha_i$  extend  $\text{id}_K$ , and by hypothesis,  $\sigma$  is an automorphism so  $\alpha_i \in K(\alpha) = L$ . ■

*Remark.* Recall that there are  $[L : K]$  embeddings that fix  $K$ ; in other words,  $\sigma : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sigma|_K = \text{id}_K$ . The corollary says that  $L/K$  is normal if and only if all of these embeddings are automorphisms. Thus  $L/K$  is normal if and only if exactly  $[L : K]$  automorphisms of  $L$  fixing  $K$ .

**2.4 Corollary.** Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$  algebraic over  $K$ . Then  $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is normal over  $K$  if all the conjugates of  $\alpha_i$  are in  $L$ .

**PROOF** Let  $\sigma : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an embedding extending  $\text{id}_K$ . If  $\theta \in L$ , then  $\theta = f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  for  $f(x) \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $\sigma(\theta) = f(\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n))$  where  $\sigma(\alpha_i)$  is some conjugate of  $\alpha_i$ , an element of  $L$  by hypothesis. Thus  $\sigma(\theta) \in L$  so  $\theta \in L$  as well. ■

**2.5 Corollary.**  $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $[L : K] < \infty$ . Then there exists a finite extension  $M/L$  such that  $M/K$  is normal.

**PROOF** Get  $\alpha \in L$  so that  $L = K(\alpha)$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the conjugates of  $\alpha$  over  $K$ . Set  $M = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , and by the previous corollary,  $M/K$  is normal. ■

*Example.* Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ .  $L/K$  is not normal, but  $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{2}\omega, \sqrt[3]{2}\omega^2)/\mathbb{Q}$  is normal.

## 3 GALOIS THEORY OVER $\mathbb{Q}$

**Definition.** Let  $L/K$  be any finite extension. The **Galois group** of  $L/K$  is defined

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) = \{\text{automorphisms } \sigma : L \rightarrow L \text{ s.t. } \sigma|_K = \text{id}_K\}$$

Now if  $H \leq \text{Gal}(L/K)$ ,  $L^H = \{\alpha \in L : \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \forall \sigma \in H\}$  is called the **fixed field** of  $H$ .



*Remark.* Recall that  $|\text{Gal}(L/K)| \leq [L : K]$ , with equality if and only if  $L/K$  is normal. As well, one can verify that  $L^H$  is indeed a field, so  $L/L^H$  is an extension. In particular, this extension has certain properties:

thm:galfix

**3.1 Theorem.** Given  $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $L/K$  a finite normal extension. Let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . Then

- $L^G = K$
- If  $H \leq G$  and  $L^H = K$ , then  $H = G$

**PROOF** We first see that  $K = L^G$ . Let  $\sigma : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an embedding fixing  $K$ . Since  $L$  is normal,  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , so by definition of  $L^G$ ,  $\sigma$  fixes  $L^G$ . But then  $[L : L^G][L^G : K] \leq [L : L^G]$ , so  $[L^G : K] \leq 1$  and  $L^G = K$ .

Suppose now that  $L^H = K$ . Set  $L = K(\alpha)$  and consider the polynomial

$$f(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in H} (x - \sigma(\alpha)) = x^{|H|} - e_1 x^{|H|-1} + \cdots + e_{|H|} (-1)^{|H|}$$

where the  $e_i$  are elementary symmetric functions in the  $\sigma(\alpha)$ . If  $\tau \in H$ , then

$$\tau(e_1) = \sum_{\sigma \in H} \tau \sigma(\alpha) = \sum_{\sigma \in H} \sigma(\alpha) = e_1$$

since  $\tau \in H$  permutes the  $\sigma(\alpha)$  and  $e_1$  is a symmetric polynomial in  $\sigma(\alpha)$ . The same argument holds for any  $e_i$ , so  $e_i \in L^H = K$  for all  $i$ ; thus,  $f(x) \in K[x]$ . Since  $\text{id} \in H$ ,  $f(\alpha) = 0$ ; and  $\deg f = |H|$ . Since the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K$  has degree  $\leq |H|$ ,

$$[L : K] = [K(\alpha) : K] \leq |H| \leq |G| = [L : K]$$

so  $H = G$ . ■

*Remark.* Suppose  $L/K$  is normal, and  $L \supseteq F \supseteq K$  where  $F$  is a field. Then  $L/F$  is also normal since conjugates of  $\alpha \in L$  over  $F$  are a subset of conjugates of  $\alpha$  over  $K$ .

thm:ftfg

**3.2 Theorem. (Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory)** Let  $K \subseteq L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ ,  $L/K$  normal, with  $L/F/K$ .

- (i)  $L^{\text{Gal}(L/F)} = F$
- (ii) If  $H \leq G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , then  $\text{Gal}(L/L^H) = H$ .
- (iii)  $F/K$  is normal if and only if  $\text{Gal}(L/F) \trianglelefteq \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . In this case,

$$\text{Gal}(F/K) \cong \text{Gal}(L/K) / \text{Gal}(L/F)$$

**PROOF** (i) Since  $L/K$  is normal,  $L/F$  is normal and Theorem 3.1 states that  $F = L^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}$ .

(ii) Let  $H' = \text{Gal}(L/L^H)$ . By definition,  $H$  fixes  $L^H$ , so  $H \leq H' = \text{Gal}(L/L^H)$ . Since  $L/L^H$  is normal and  $H \leq \text{Gal}(L/L^H)$  has  $L^H$  as its fixed field, by the previous theorem,  $H = \text{Gal}(L/L^H) = H'$ .

(iii) Let  $H = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ . If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , then  $\sigma : F \rightarrow \sigma(F)$  is an isomorphism and  $\sigma \text{Gal}(L/F) \sigma^{-1} = \text{Gal}(L/\sigma(F))$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(L/F) \trianglelefteq \text{Gal}(L/K) &\iff \text{Gal}(L/\sigma(F)) = \sigma \text{Gal}(L/F) \sigma^{-1} = \text{Gal}(L/F) \\ &\iff \sigma(F) = F \text{ for all } \sigma \\ &\iff F/K \text{ is normal} \end{aligned}$$

since a field is normal if and only if it is fixed by all its automorphisms.

When this holds, we can compute  $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ . Since  $\sigma(F) = F$ , we have a well-defined map  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F/K)$  given by  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma|_F$ . The kernel is  $\{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K) : \sigma|_F = \text{id}_F\} = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ . Then by first isomorphism theorem,

$$\text{Gal}(F/K) \cong \text{Gal}(L/K) / \text{Gal}(L/F)$$

as required. ■

---

## II. The Ring of Algebraic Integers

---

### 4 NUMBER FIELDS

We now focus our attention on extensions, in particular finite extensions, of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . A major example throughout this section are the cyclotomic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; many of the theorems we will prove will provide tools to better understand extensions  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition.**  $K$  is a **number field** if  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We write  $\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq K$  to denote the subset of **algebraic integers** of  $K$ . The field of **algebraic numbers** over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is denoted  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . The set of **algebraic integers** is denoted  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ .

Recall that  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer if it has a minimal polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**4.1 Proposition.** *If  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  are primitive (their coefficients have no non-trivial common factor), then  $fg$  is also primitive.*

We can prove Gauss' Lemma by hiding the work under the observation that  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  is a UFD.

**PROOF** Suppose  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  are primitive. If  $fg$  is not primitive, then some prime  $p$  divides all coefficients of  $fg$ . Consider modulo  $p$ , so  $\overline{f}\overline{g} = 0$ . Then  $\overline{f} = 0$  or  $\overline{g} = 0$ , so  $p$  divides all coefficients of  $f$  or  $g$  and  $f, g$  are not primitive. ■

**4.2 Proposition.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic integer. Then the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .*

**PROOF** Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic integer, so there exists  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  monic such that  $h(\alpha) = 0$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $h = fg$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Since  $h, f$  are monic,  $g$  is also monic. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $af, bg \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $af, bg$  are primitive polynomials. (Recall that  $F \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is primitive if the coefficients of  $F$  have no non-trivial common factor.) Then by Gauss's Lemma,  $abh = (af)(bg) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is primitive, so  $ab = \pm 1$ , so  $a, b = \pm 1$  and  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  to begin with. ■

A simple observation following from this fact is that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Example. (Quadratic Extensions)* Let  $d$  be a squarefree integer. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[d] & : d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ \left\{ \frac{a+b\sqrt{d}}{2} : a \equiv b \pmod{2} \right\} & : d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\alpha = r + s\sqrt{d}$ ,  $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $s = 0$ , then  $\alpha = r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , so  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ . Now consider  $s \neq 0$ . The minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is

$$(x - (r + s\sqrt{d}))(x - (r - s\sqrt{d})) = x^2 - 2rx + (r^2 - ds^2)$$

By  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$  if and only if  $2r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $r^2 - ds^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

First, if  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $ds^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and since  $d$  is squarefree,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The other case is  $r = \frac{a}{2}$ , where  $a$  is an odd integer. Then  $ds^2 = \text{integer} + a^2/4$ , so  $s = b/2$  where  $b$  is an odd integer. Since  $r^2 - ds^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we need  $4 \mid (a^2 - db^2)$ . Modulo 4,  $a^2 \equiv db^2$ , and since  $a, b$  are odd,  $a^2 = b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

*Remark.* Notice in all of these examples, we got

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] \\ \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right] \end{cases}$$

which are all rings!

thm:algint

**4.3 Theorem.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer
- (ii)  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is finitely generated as an additive group
- (iii)  $\alpha$  is an element of some subring of  $\mathbb{C}$  having finitely generated additive group.
- (iv)  $\alpha A \subseteq A$  for some finitely generated additive subgroup  $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .

PROOF ( $i \Rightarrow ii$ ) . We know  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{a_0 + a_1\alpha + \cdots + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} : a_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  where  $n$  is the degree of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then it is generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ .

( $ii \Rightarrow iii$ ) .  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$  with finitely generated additive group.

( $iii \Rightarrow iv$ ) . Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  denote the subring with  $\alpha \in A$ ; then  $\alpha A \subseteq A$ .

( $iv \Rightarrow i$ ) . Let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  generate  $A$  as an additive group with  $\alpha A \subseteq A$ . In particular,  $\alpha a_i \in A$ , so there exists  $\{m_{ij} : j = 1, \dots, n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha a_i = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ij} a_j$ . Let  $M = (m_{ij})$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so that

$$(\alpha I_n - M) \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Thus,  $\alpha$  is a root of  $\det(xI_n - M) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . ■

*Remark.* The proof of ( $4 \Rightarrow 1$ ) gives a general method for computing polynomials which have a specific algebraic integer as a root.

**4.4 Corollary.**  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  is a ring. In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a ring for any number field  $K$ .

PROOF Say  $\alpha$  has degree  $n$  and  $\beta$  has degree  $m$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a subring with a finitely generated additive group because it is generated by  $\alpha^i \beta^j$  where  $0 \leq i < n$ ,  $0 \leq j < m$ . Since  $\alpha\beta, \alpha + \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$  we are done by condition (3) in Theorem 4.3. Finally,  $\mathcal{O}_K = K \cap \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  is an intersection of rings and thus also a ring. ■

**4.5 Proposition.** Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number. Then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $r\alpha$  is an algebraic integer.

This essentially says that if  $\alpha \in K$  and  $K$  is a number field, then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $r\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

PROOF Since  $\alpha$  is an algebraic number,  $\alpha$  satisfies a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Clear denominators to get  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  so  $h(\alpha) = 0$ . Write  $h(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{n-1} h(x) &= a_n^n x^n + a_n^{n-1} a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n^{n-1} a_0 \\ &= (a_n x)^n + a_{n-1} (a_n x)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n^{n-1} a_0 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g(x) = x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n^{n-1} a_0$ , so  $g(a_n \alpha) = 0$  and  $a_n \alpha$  is an algebraic integer. If  $a_n$  is negative, take  $-a_n \alpha$  instead. ■

## CYCLOTOMIC EXTENSIONS I: INTRODUCTION

**Definition.** We say  $\zeta_n$  is a **primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity** if  $\zeta_n^n = 1$  and  $\zeta_n^k \neq 1$  for any  $k < n$ . We call the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  a **cyclotomic field**.

*Example.* The  $4^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity are  $1, i, -1, -i$ , so  $i$  and  $-i$  are the primitive  $4^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity.

The cyclotomic fields play a fundamental role in number theory. For example, in class field theory, we have the following theorem:

**4.6 Theorem. (Kronecker-Weber)** *If  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is a finite normal extension and  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  is abelian, then  $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  for some  $n$ .*

We will not prove this theorem in full generality, but we will see partial results on assignments.

**4.7 Theorem.**  *$\zeta_n$  is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial*

$$\Phi_n(x) := \prod_{j \in (\mathbb{Z}_n)^\times} (x - \zeta_n^j)$$

PROOF Note that  $\zeta_n$  is a root of  $x^n - 1$ , so  $\zeta_n$  is an algebraic integer. Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  so that  $f(x) \mid (x^n - 1)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Recall that

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (x - \zeta_n^j)$$

If  $j \notin (\mathbb{Z}_n)^\times$ , then  $\zeta_n^j$  satisfies  $x^{\frac{n}{\gcd(n,j)}} - 1$  but  $\zeta_n$  does not, so  $\zeta_n$  and  $\zeta_n^j$  are not conjugates. Thus the only possible conjugates for  $\zeta_n$  are the  $\zeta_n^j$  where  $j \in (\mathbb{Z}_n)^\times$ ; it suffices to show that these are precisely the conjugates. In particular, let's show that if  $\theta = \zeta_n^t$  and  $p$  is prime with  $p \nmid n$ , then  $\theta^p$  is conjugate to  $\theta$ . With this, the result follows: if  $j$  is coprime to  $n$ , write  $j = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_m^{e_m}$  with  $p_i \nmid n$  and repeatedly apply the above result to  $\zeta_n$  for each  $p_i$ ,  $e_i$  times.

Thus let's prove the claim. Write  $x^n - 1 = f(x)g(x)$  with  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ; since  $\theta^p$  is a root of  $x^n - 1$ , either it is a root of  $f(x)$  - in which case we're done - or it is a root of  $g(x)$ . Suppose  $g(\theta^p) = 0$ , so  $\theta$  is a root of  $g(x^p) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  so  $f(x) \mid g(x^p)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Modulo  $p$ ,  $\bar{f}(x) \mid \bar{g}(x^p) = \bar{g}(x)^p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  is a UFD, let  $s(x)$  be an irreducible factor of  $f(x)$  so that  $s \mid \bar{f}$  and thus  $s \mid \bar{g}$ . But then  $x^n - \bar{1} = \bar{f}\bar{g}$ , so  $s^2 \mid (x^n - \bar{1})$  and  $s \mid \bar{n}x^{n-1}$ . Since  $n$  is coprime to  $p$ , this implies  $s = cx$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . But then  $cx \mid x^n - \bar{1}$ , a contradiction. ■

*Remark.* 1. For  $p$  prime, we have

$$\Phi_p(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (x - \zeta_p^j) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \cdots + 1$$

2.  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$  is a normal extension since conjugates of  $\zeta_n$  are  $\zeta_n^j \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ . As well,  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = |(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times| = \phi(n)$ .

**4.8 Proposition.**  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$ .

**PROOF** Set  $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q})$ , which consists of automorphisms  $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For such a  $\sigma$ , we must have  $\sigma(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^j$  for some  $\gcd(j, n) = 1$ . Thus to every  $\sigma \in G$ , we can associate the index  $j \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$  so that  $\sigma_j(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^j$ . This gives us a map  $G \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$  by  $\sigma_j \mapsto j$ . This map is a homomorphism:

$$\sigma_k \sigma_j(\zeta_n) = \sigma_k(\zeta_n^j) = \sigma_k(\zeta_n)^j = \zeta_n^{jk} = \sigma_{jk}(\zeta_n)$$

and bijectivity is left as a straightforward exercise. ■

## 5 TRACES, NORMS, AND UNITS

**Definition.** Suppose  $K$  is a number field with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ , and let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the usual embeddings extending  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Given  $\alpha \in K$ , we say its **trace** is

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha)$$

and its **norm**

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha)$$

**5.1 Proposition.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in K$  as above. Then

- (i)  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(r\alpha) = r \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)$
- (ii)  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha + \beta) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) + \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\beta)$
- (iii)  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\beta) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\beta)$
- (iv)  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(r\alpha) = r^n N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)$

**PROOF** Exercise. ■

*Example.* Consider  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = K$ . The minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{2}$  is  $x^2 - 2$ . The 4 embeddings  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are given by  $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \mapsto \pm\sqrt{2}, \pm\sqrt{3}$ , so  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}(-\sqrt{2})(-\sqrt{2}) = 4$ .

thm:relntr

**5.2 Theorem.** If  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ ,  $\alpha \in K$ , then

$$\frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha)$$

and

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}} = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}]}}$$

**PROOF** Each of the  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]$  embeddings  $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  extend to  $[K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)]$  embeddings  $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow K$ . So, letting  $\sigma_i$  be the embeddings  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\sigma_{ij}$  be the  $[K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)]$  extensions. Then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{[K:\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)]} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ij}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{[K:\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)]} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha) \right) = [K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} \sigma_i(\alpha)$$

and the proof is identical for  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)$ . ■

*Remark.* Given  $\alpha$  an algebraic integer, the value  $\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha)$  does not really make sense, since you need to choose the number field  $K$  containing  $\alpha$ . However, this proposition says that this distinction does not matter too much since if we divide by  $1/[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ , the trace does not depend on  $K$  containing  $\alpha$ .

**5.3 Corollary.** *If  $\alpha \in K$ ,  $K$  is a number field, then  $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha), N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$ . In particular, if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha), N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**PROOF** Let  $\alpha$  have minimal polynomial  $x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ . Note that  $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}$  is the  $-a_{n-1}$  coefficient and  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}$  is the  $\pm a_0$  coefficient of the minimal polynomial. These are both rationals, and if  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer, then they are both integers. Then by the previous proposition,  $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K$  and  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K$  are integer multiples / powers, and are thus still rational or integer. ■

Since  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a ring, it is natural to ask what the units are.

**5.4 Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a number field, and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  if and only if  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = \pm 1$ .*

**PROOF** If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ , then  $\alpha\beta = 1$  for some  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $1 = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(1) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha\beta) = N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha)N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\beta)$  is a product of integers, so they must be  $\pm 1$ .

Otherwise, suppose  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha) = 1$ , so that  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha) = \pm 1$ . Then if  $\sigma_i$  are the embeddings  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \mathrm{id}$ ,

$$\pm 1 = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha \prod_{i=2}^n \sigma_i(\alpha)$$

Note that each  $\sigma_i(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ , but since  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  may not be normal,  $\sigma_i(\alpha)$  may not be in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . However  $\prod_{i=2}^n \sigma_i(\alpha) = \pm \alpha^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is an algebraic integer and thus in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , so  $\alpha$  is a unit. ■

*Example.* In  $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \mathbb{Z}[i]^\times$  and  $N(a + bi) = a^2 + b^2$ . Thus the units are given by  $\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ . More generally, if  $\zeta$  is a root of unity and  $\zeta \in K$ , then  $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . This follows since  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)}(\zeta) = 1$  and we can apply Theorem 5.2.

## UNITS IN QUADRATIC EXTENSIONS

**5.5 Proposition.** *Let  $d$  be a square-free negative integer. Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}^\times = \{\pm 1\}$  unless*

- $d = -1$ , in which case the units are  $\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ .
- $d = -3$ , in which case the units are  $\left\{\pm 1, \frac{\pm 1 \pm \sqrt{-3}}{2}\right\}$ .

**PROOF** First suppose  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}^\times$ , where  $d$  is square-free. If  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d}$ , so  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]^\times$  if and only if  $N(\alpha) = a^2 - db^2 = \pm 1$ . So  $a + b\sqrt{d}$  is a unit if and only if  $(a, b)$  is a solution to the diophantine equation  $x^2 - dy^2 = \pm 1$ . Similarly,  $\frac{a+b\sqrt{d}}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$  for  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is a unit if and only if  $a^2 - db^2 = \pm 4$ . Now suppose additionally that  $d < 1$ .

*Case 1:  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .* If  $d < -1$ , then the only solution to  $x^2 - dy^2 = \pm 1$  is  $(\pm 1, 0)$ . If  $d = -1$ , then solutions to  $x^2 + y^2 = \pm 1$  are  $(\pm 1, 0)$  and  $(0, \pm 1)$ .

*Case 2:  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .* We want solutions to  $x^2 - dy^2 = \pm 4$ . If  $d < -3$ , then the only solutions are  $(\pm 2, 0)$ , which correspond to  $\{\pm 1\} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . If  $d = -3$ , then the solutions are  $(\pm 1, 0)$  and  $(0, \pm 1)$ . ■

*Remark.* When  $d < 0$ , the graph of  $x^2 - dy^2$  is an ellipse so there are only a finite number of integer pair solutions. Consider  $d = 2$ , so the graph is a hyperbola with asymptotes  $\pm\sqrt{2}$ . Integer solutions mean you're looking for  $b/a$  close to  $\sqrt{2}$ , so we're looking for (good) rational approximations to  $\sqrt{2}$ . In a precise sense, one can define the “best” rational approximation to  $\sqrt{2}$ . One intuition about “best” is to bound the denominator and be close to  $\sqrt{2}$ . Given  $\alpha$ , its continued fraction approximation of  $\alpha$  is

$$\alpha = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}}$$

The first few convergents to the continued fraction expansion of  $\sqrt{2}$  are  $1, 3/2, 7/5$ .

Consider  $\epsilon = 1 + \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^\times$ , so  $N(\epsilon) = -1$ . As well,  $\epsilon^n$  is also a unit for any  $n$ . For example,  $\epsilon^2 = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\epsilon^3 = 7 + 5\sqrt{2}$ . It turns out that  $\epsilon^n = p_n + q_n\sqrt{2}$ , where  $p_n/q_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  convergent of the continued fraction expansion of  $\sqrt{2}$ .

**5.6 Theorem. (Dirichlet Approximation)** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , let  $Q > 1$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1 \leq q \leq Q$  and  $|q\alpha - p| < \frac{1}{Q}$ . In particular, there are infinitely many pairs  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  for which  $|\alpha - p/q| < 1/q^2$ .*

**PROOF** The “in particular” statement follows from the first statement because  $|\alpha - p/q| < \frac{1}{Qq} \leq \frac{1}{q^2}$ . Since  $Q$  can be chosen arbitrarily, there are infinitely many such solutions.

Let's now prove the main statement. For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$  denote the integer part of  $x$ . Consider the  $Q$  intervals

$$\left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{Q}\right), \left(\frac{1}{Q}, \frac{2}{Q}\right), \dots, \left(\frac{Q-1}{Q}, 1\right) \right\}$$

and consider the  $Q+1$  numbers  $\{\alpha, 2\alpha, \dots, (Q+1)\alpha\}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is irrational, each of these numbers lies in one of the above intervals. By the pidgeonhole principle, get  $1 \leq m < n \leq Q$  such that  $|\{n\alpha\} - \{m\alpha\}| < 1/Q$  so that

$$|n\alpha - \lfloor n\alpha \rfloor - m\alpha + \lfloor m\alpha \rfloor| = |(n-m)\alpha - (\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor - \lfloor m\alpha \rfloor)| < \frac{1}{Q}$$



Take  $q = n - m$ ,  $p = \lfloor n\alpha \rfloor - \lfloor m\alpha \rfloor$ , and we are done.  $\blacksquare$

**5.7 Theorem.** If  $d > 1$  be squarefree and set  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Then, there exists a smallest unit  $\epsilon > 1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{\pm \epsilon^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

**PROOF** We treat the case where  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ; the proof when  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  follows identically.

Let  $\theta = p + q\sqrt{d}$ ,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q > 0$ . Then,

$$|N(\theta)| = |p + q\sqrt{d}||p - q\sqrt{d}| = \left| \frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{d} \right| \left| \frac{p}{q} - \sqrt{d} \right| q^2$$

By Dirichlet approximation, there are infinitely many pairs  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that  $|p/q - \sqrt{d}| < 1/q^2$ . For such  $(p, q)$ ,  $\left| \frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{d} \right| < 2\sqrt{d} + 1$ . Since  $2\sqrt{d} + 1$  is independent of the value of  $(p, q)$ , by the pidgeonhole principle, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that there are infinitely many  $\theta = p + q\sqrt{d}$  with  $|N(\theta)| = m$ . Enumerate these by  $\theta_i = p_i + q_i\sqrt{d}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let's show that  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is an infinite set. We might take  $\theta_i/\theta_1$  for infinitely many  $\theta_i$  (which certainly has norm 1), but  $\theta_i/\theta_1$  might not be an algebraic integer. We can, however, amend this as follows. Again by the pidgeonhole principle, there exists some  $\theta_0 := \theta_j$  such there are infinitely many  $\theta_i$  with  $p_i \equiv p_0 \pmod{m}$  and  $q_i \equiv q_0 \pmod{m}$ . Let  $\theta'_0$  be the conjugate of  $\theta_0$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\theta_i}{\theta_0} &= 1 + \frac{\theta_i - \theta_0}{\theta_0} = 1 + \frac{\theta_i - \theta_0}{\theta_0 \theta'_0} \theta'_0 \\ &= 1 + \frac{(p_i - p_0) + (q_i - q_0)\sqrt{d}}{m} \theta'_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have infinitely many  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ .

Now, let  $S = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times : \gamma > 0\}$ , so  $|S| = \infty$ ; let's show that  $S$  has a minimal element. Assuming this, let  $\epsilon \in S$  be minimal and set  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ : taking  $-\lambda$  if necessary, we may assume  $\lambda > 0$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $\epsilon^n \leq \lambda < \epsilon^{n+1}$ . Then  $1 \leq \lambda \epsilon^n < \epsilon$ , and since  $\epsilon > 1$  is minimal, we must have  $\lambda/\epsilon^n = 1$ ; i.e.  $\lambda = \epsilon^n$ .

Note the following: if  $1 < \gamma = x + y\sqrt{d}$  is a unit, then  $x, y \geq 1$ . To see this, consider the four values  $\gamma, -\gamma, \gamma^{-1}, -\gamma^{-1}$ , which are  $\frac{\pm x \pm y\sqrt{d}}{2}$ . Since  $x$  and  $x^{-1}$  cannot both be greater than 1, exactly one of the four values are greater than 1, so it must be the largest one; i.e. the one with  $x, y \geq 1$ . But now let  $\gamma > 1$  be arbitrary; by positivity, there are only finitely many  $\gamma_0 < \gamma$ , so there must be some minimal element.  $\blacksquare$

What happens in other number fields? If  $K$  is cubic, then  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  sometimes has a smallest unit  $\epsilon > 1$  and sometimes not.

## 6 DISCRIMINANTS AND INTEGRAL BASES

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be a number field, and let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be embeddings extending  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Given  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$ , we define the **discriminant** of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  to be

$$\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}^2$$

*Example.* If  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , then

$$\text{disc}(1, \sqrt{d}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \sqrt{d} & -\sqrt{d} \end{pmatrix}^2 = 4d$$

*Remark.* The value of  $\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is independent of the ordering of the  $\alpha_i$ : swapping rows or columns only changes sign in the determinant.

**6.1 Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $n$ . Then*

$$\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_1 \alpha_1) & \dots & \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_1 \alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_n \alpha_1) & \dots & \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(\alpha_n \alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

In the example we had earlier,

$$\text{disc}(1, \sqrt{d}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr}(1) & \text{Tr}(\sqrt{d}) \\ \text{Tr}(\sqrt{d}) & \text{Tr}(d) \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2d \end{pmatrix} = 4d$$

PROOF Let  $M = (\sigma_i(\alpha_j))_{ij}$ . Then

$$\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(M)^2 = \det(M^t M)$$

where

$$(M^t M)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n M_{ik} M_{jk} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\alpha_i) \sigma_k(\alpha_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = \text{Tr}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \quad \blacksquare$$

**6.2 Corollary.** *The value  $\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is rational, and if the  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is an integer.*

PROOF  $\text{Tr}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Q}$  and if the  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\text{Tr}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . ■

## CHANGE OF BASIS

Let's now understand how discriminants change under change of basis. Suppose  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is a basis for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in K$  are arbitrary (possibly not a basis). Since  $\sigma_i(\beta_k) \in K$ , there exists  $c_{kj}$  such that  $\sigma_i(\beta_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{kj} \sigma_i(\alpha_j)$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\beta_1) & \dots & \sigma_n(\beta_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\beta_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(\beta_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & \dots & c_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\alpha_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $C = (c_{ij})$  denote the above transition matrix; then,

$$\text{disc}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \det(C)^2 \text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

Now, if  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is a finite extension, then we know there exists  $\theta \in K$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ . Thus,  $\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(1) & \sigma_1(\theta) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\theta^{n-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(1) & \sigma_n(\theta) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\theta^{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}^2 \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(1) & \sigma_1(\theta) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\theta)^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(1) & \sigma_n(\theta) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\theta)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^2 \\ &= \prod_{i < j} (\sigma_i(\theta) - \sigma_j(\theta))^2 \end{aligned}$$

since it is the square of the determinant of a Vandermonde matrix. In particular, this value is non-zero since the  $\sigma_i(\theta)$  are distinct. Now the following proposition follows from this discussion:

**6.3 Theorem.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$  where  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Then  $\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is a basis for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ .*

PROOF Let  $C$  denote the transition matrix for  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  in terms of the  $(\theta^j)$ . Then

$$\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(C)^2 \text{disc}(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1})$$

so that  $\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$  if and only if  $\det(C) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are linearly dependent. ■

**6.4 Theorem.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ ,  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ . Then  $\text{disc}(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(f'(\theta))$  where  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\theta$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

PROOF Let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  be the conjugates of  $\theta$ . Then  $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \theta_i)$ , so  $f'(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \prod_{i \neq j} (x - \theta_i)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(f'(\theta)) &= \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma_n(f'(\theta)) = \prod_{k=1}^n f'(\theta_k) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{i \neq k} (\theta_k - \theta_i) = \prod_{i < k} (\theta_k - \theta_i)(\theta_i - \theta_k) \\ &= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{i < k} (\theta_i - \theta_k)^2 = \text{disc}(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{n-1}) \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

## CYCLOTOMIC EXTENSIONS II: DISCRIMINANTS

**6.5 Theorem.** *Let  $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$  and set  $d = \text{disc}(1, \zeta_n, \dots, \zeta_n^{\phi(n)-1})$ . Then  $d \mid n^{\phi(n)}$ , and if  $p$  is an odd prime,*

$$d = (-1)^{\binom{p}{2}} p^{p-2}$$

PROOF Let  $\Phi_n(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta_n$ , and write  $x^n - 1 = \Phi_n(x)g(x)$  where  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then  $nx^{n-1} = \Phi'_n(x)g(x) + \Phi_n(x)g'(x)$ , so  $n\zeta_n^{n-1} = \Phi'_n(\zeta_n)g(\zeta_n)$ . Thus

$$N(n\zeta_n^{n-1}) = N(\Phi'_n(\zeta_n)) \cdot N(g(\zeta_n))$$

Since  $\zeta_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}^\times$ ,  $N(\zeta_n) = \pm 1$ . Thus

$$\pm n^{\phi(n)} = (-1)^{\binom{\phi(n)}{2}} N(\Phi'_n(\zeta_n)) \cdot N(g(\zeta_n))$$

so  $\pm \text{disc}(\zeta_n)N(g(\zeta_n)) = n^{\phi(n)}$ . Since  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $g(\zeta_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)}$  and  $N(g(\zeta_n)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $\text{disc}(\zeta_n) \mid n^{\phi(n)}$ , as required.

Now, if  $p$  is an odd prime,  $x^p - 1 = \Phi_p(x)(x - 1)$ , so  $px^{p-1} = \Phi'_p(x)(x - 1) + \Phi_p(x)$ . Thus  $p\zeta_p^{p-1} = \Phi'_p(\zeta_p)(\zeta_p - 1)$ . Note that  $N(\zeta_p^{p-1}) = N(\zeta_p)^{p-1} = 1$  and since  $p - 1$  is even. We can also compute

$$N(\zeta_p - 1) = (-1)^{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^i) = \Phi_p(1) = p$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} p\zeta_p^{p-1} = \Phi'_p(\zeta_p)(\zeta_p - 1) &\Rightarrow p^{p-1} = N(\Phi'_p(\zeta_p))p \\ &\Rightarrow (-1)^{\binom{p}{2}} p^{p-2} = \text{disc}(\zeta_p) \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

*Remark.* In general, we have

$$\text{disc}\left(1, \zeta_n, \dots, \zeta_n^{\phi(n)-1}\right) = (-1)^{\phi(n)/2} \frac{n^{\phi(n)}}{\prod_{p \mid n} p^{\phi(n)/(p-1)}}$$

which we state here without proof.

## INTEGRAL BASES

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be a number field,  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ . We say  $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is an **integral basis** for  $K$  if  $\mathcal{O}_K = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ .

*Remark.* Clearly we must have  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . As well,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is a basis for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ : given  $\theta \in K$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $r\theta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , so  $r\theta \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$  and  $\theta \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ . Since  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is a basis. In particular, this means that  $A$  is in fact a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{O}_K$  (justifying the terminology).

**6.6 Theorem.** *If  $K$  is a number field, then  $K$  has an integral basis.*

PROOF Write  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  where  $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Consider the set of all bases  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$  for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Such a basis certainly exists; given any basis, we can clear denominators such that they are in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $A$  have  $|\text{disc}(A)|$  minimal (the discriminant is an integer, so such an  $A$  exists); let's show that  $A$  is in fact an integral basis.

Suppose not. Then there exists  $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$  where  $\gamma = a_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + a_n\alpha_n$  and  $a_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $a_1 = a + r$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ ; consider the basis  $\{\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n\}$  where  $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i$  for  $i > 1$ , and  $\alpha'_1 = \gamma - a\alpha_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_n) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - a & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}^2 \text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ &= r^2 \text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < r < 1$ ,  $|\text{disc}(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n)| < |\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)|$ , contradicting minimality.  $\blacksquare$

**6.7 Proposition.** *If  $K$  is a number field, then all integral bases have the same discriminant.*

PROOF Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$  be two integral bases; then

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij} \beta_i$$

for  $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $C = (c_{ij})$ . Since  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is also an integral basis,  $(C^{-1})_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  as well. Thus  $C \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so  $\det(C)^2 = 1$  and

$$\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det(C)^2 \text{disc}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$$

indeed have the same discriminant.  $\blacksquare$

**Definition.** If  $K$  is a number field, we say its **discriminant**  $\text{disc}(K)$  is the discriminant of any integral basis.

*Example. (Quadratic Number Field)* Consider  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . If  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\{1, \sqrt{d}\}$  is an integral basis; if  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\left\{1, \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right\}$  is an integral basis. Thus

$$\text{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})) = \begin{cases} 4d & d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ d & d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

prop:ext

**6.8 Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a number field,  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  a basis for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . If  $d = \text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , then for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , there exists  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$\alpha = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i}{d}, \quad d \mid m_i^2$$

*Example.* Consider  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , where  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $\{1, \sqrt{d}\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis,  $\sqrt{d} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , and  $\text{disc}(1, \sqrt{d}) = 4d$ . Since  $d$  is squarefree, if  $4d \mid m_i^2$ , then  $d \mid m_i$ . Thus, the proposition states that any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$  can be expressed in the form  $\frac{m_1 + m_2 \sqrt{d}}{2}$  for some  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that the converse is not necessarily true: not all such expressions are in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  (indeed, we need  $m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{2}$ ).

PROOF Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  be arbitrary so that  $\alpha = a_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + a_n\alpha_n$  for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  extend  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $\sigma_j(\alpha) = a_1\sigma_j(\alpha_1) + \cdots + a_n\sigma_j(\alpha_n)$  so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$

Define

$$\gamma_j := \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

where the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column is replaced, and  $\delta = \det(\sigma_j(\alpha_i))$ . Since  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $\sigma_j(\alpha_i) \in \mathcal{O}_K$  for any  $j$ , so  $\gamma_j, \delta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Note that  $d := \text{disc}(K) = \delta^2$ . By Cramer's rule,  $a_j = \frac{\gamma_j}{\delta}$ . Take  $m_j := da_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ ; but then  $da_j = \delta\gamma_j \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , so  $m_j \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}$ .

For the second part, we have

$$\frac{m_j^2}{d} = da_j^2 = d \left( \frac{\gamma_j}{\delta} \right)^2 = \frac{d\gamma_j^2}{\delta^2} = \gamma_j^2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$$

so  $m_j^2/d \in \mathbb{Z}$  as well. ■

## REAL AND COMPLEX EMBEDDINGS

Let  $K$  be a number field and let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the embeddings extending  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $r_1$  denote the number of embeddings where  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ; then, the other embeddings come in pairs: if  $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\bar{\sigma} : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a (distinct) embedding.

We say that  $r_1$  is the number of real embeddings, and  $2r_2$  is the number of complex embeddings; in this case,  $n = r_1 + 2r_2$ .

*Example.* Let  $d$  be squarefree. Then  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  for  $d > 0$  has  $r_1 = 2$ ,  $r_2 = 0$ , while  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  for  $d < 0$  has  $r_1 = 0$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ .

**6.9 Proposition.** *Let  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ ; then, the sign of  $\text{disc}(K)$  is  $(-1)^{r_2}$ .*

PROOF Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be an integral basis for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . Consider

$$\delta = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\delta} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\sigma}_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \bar{\sigma}_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \bar{\sigma}_n(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \bar{\sigma}_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\text{disc}(K) = \delta^2$ . If  $\sigma_i$  is real, then  $\bar{\sigma}_i = \sigma_i$ . If  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$  are complex conjugate pairs, then in  $\bar{\delta}$  we swap column  $i$  with column  $j$ . Thus  $\bar{\delta} = (-1)^{r_2}\delta$ , so  $\delta$  is purely imaginary if  $r_2$  is odd, and real if  $r_2$  is even. This proves the claim. ■

## CYCLOTOMIC EXTENSIONS III: ALGEBRAIC INTEGERS IN $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})$

thm:cepr

**6.10 Theorem.** *If  $p$  is prime,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^r})} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^r}]$ .*

PROOF For notation  $\zeta = \zeta_{p^r}$  and we take  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) = \mathbb{Q}(1 - \zeta)$ . Let  $s = \phi(p^r)$ , so  $\{1, 1 - \zeta, \dots, (1 - \zeta)^{s-1}\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ . Let's show that it is an integral basis.

By Proposition 6.8, we know if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , there exist  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i (1 - \zeta)^i}{d}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} d = \text{disc}(1 - \zeta) &= \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i, j \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^r)^\times}} ((1 - \zeta^i) - (1 - \zeta^j))^2 \\ &= \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ i, j \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^r)^\times}} (\zeta^i - \zeta^j)^2 = \text{disc}(\zeta) = \pm p^{p-2} \end{aligned}$$

Let's first treat the case  $\beta = \frac{l_1 + l_2(1 - \zeta) + \dots + l_s(1 - \zeta)^{s-1}}{p}$ . Let  $i$  be minimal so that  $p \nmid l_i$ . Set

$$\gamma = \frac{l_i(1 - \zeta)^{i-1} + \dots + l_s(1 - \zeta)^{s-1}}{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K$$

Since  $(1 - x) \mid (1 - x^j)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $(1 - \zeta) \mid (1 - \zeta^j)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  so that

$$(1 - \zeta)^s \mid \prod_{p \nmid j} (1 - \zeta^j) = \Phi_{p^r}(1) = p$$

over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Thus  $p = (1 - \zeta)^s \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Since  $\lambda, \gamma, 1 - \zeta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $(1 - \zeta)^{s-i} \lambda \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . However,

$$(1 - \zeta)^{s-i} \lambda \gamma = \lambda \frac{l_i(1 - \zeta)^{s-1}}{p} + \lambda \frac{l_{i+1}(1 - \zeta)^s}{p} + \dots$$

where the tail terms are all algebraic integers, so

$$\theta := \frac{l_i}{1 - \zeta} = \lambda \frac{l_i(1 - \zeta)^{s-1}}{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K$$

Then  $(1 - \zeta)\theta = l_i$  and, taking norms,  $N(1 - \zeta)N(\theta) = N(l_i)$  so that  $pN(\theta) = l_i^s$  and  $p \mid l_i$  and no such  $l_i$  exists. But now since  $d = \pm p^{p-2}$ , we may repeat the above argument for each factor of  $p$ , and we are done.  $\blacksquare$

*Remark.* This demonstrates a general tool for verifying that a given basis of algebraic integers is indeed integral. One need simply check each prime  $p$  such that  $p^2 \mid d$ ; if there are no algebraic integers of the form  $\alpha = \frac{m_1\beta_1 + \dots + m_n\beta_n}{p}$  where  $|m_i| < p$  for every such  $p$ , then  $\beta$  is indeed an integral basis.

If there is some  $\alpha$  of this form, then update  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$  with the new algebraic integer  $\alpha$ ; the new discriminant is  $d/p^2$ , and we may repeat the above process. This process will terminate after a finite number of steps (though it may take a while), giving a general procedure to compute integral bases for arbitrary number fields.

## 7 COMPOSITA

**Definition.** If  $K, L$  are number fields, then the **compositum** of  $K$  and  $L$  is the smallest field containing  $K \cup L$ . We denote it by  $KL = LK$ .

*Remark.* For the skeptical, such a compositum always exists. Take  $F = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L$ , which is a non-zero ring; then  $(0)$  extends to a maximal ideal  $I$  and  $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L/I$  is a field containing both  $K$  and  $L$ .

Our goal in this section is to relate  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{KL}$ .

lem:ext-comp

**7.1 Lemma.** Suppose  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = m$ ,  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ , and  $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = mn$ . If  $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\tau : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are embeddings, then there exists an embedding  $\epsilon : KL \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\epsilon|_K = \sigma$ ,  $\epsilon|_L = \tau$ .

**PROOF** Since  $[KL : K] = n$ , each  $\sigma_i : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  extends to  $n$  distinct embeddings  $\sigma_{ij} : KL \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by Theorem 2.1. Since  $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = mn$ , every such embedding arises this way. Let's see that for fixed  $i$ ,  $\sigma_{ij}|_L$  is distinct for each  $j$ . ■

thm:comp

**7.2 Theorem.** Let  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ ,  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = m$ ,  $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = mn$ , and  $d = \gcd(\text{disc}(K), \text{disc}(L))$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{KL} \subseteq \frac{1}{d} \mathcal{O}_K \mathcal{O}_L$ .

**PROOF** Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be an integral basis for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$  an integral basis for  $L/\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $KL = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\alpha_i \beta_j : (i, j) \in [n] \times [m]\}$ . Since  $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = mn$ , the  $\alpha_i \beta_j$  are a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of algebraic integers. Then  $\alpha \in KL$  can be represented as

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i \beta_j a_{ij}}{r}$$

with  $a_{ij}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gcd(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nm}, r) = 1$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{KL}$  we want to show that  $r \mid \text{disc}(K)$  and  $r \mid \text{disc}(L)$ ; the result will follow by Proposition 6.8.

By symmetry, let's show that  $r \mid \text{disc}(K)$ . Given  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , by Lemma 7.1 there exists  $\sigma'_i : KL \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  so that  $\sigma'_i|_K = \sigma_i$  and  $\sigma'_i|_L = \text{id}_L$ . Then

$$\sigma'_i(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \sigma(\alpha_j), \quad x_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_{ij} \beta_j}{r}$$

since  $x_j \in L$ . Equivalently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma'_1(\alpha) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma'_n(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let

$$\gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma'_1(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma'_n(\alpha_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}$$



so that, by Cramer's rule,  $x_i = \frac{\gamma_i}{\delta}$  where  $\gamma_i, \delta \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\delta^2 = \text{disc}(K)$ . Thus  $\delta^2 x_i = \text{disc}(K)x_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ , so  $\text{disc}(K)x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . However,

$$\text{disc}(K)x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\text{disc}(K)a_{ij}}{r} \beta_j$$

where  $\text{disc}(K)x_i \in \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$ . However,  $\beta_j$  are integral basis for  $L$ , so  $\text{disc}(K)a_{ij}/r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\gcd(a_{11}, \dots, a_{mn}, r) = 1$ ,  $r \mid \text{disc}(K)$ . ■

## CYCLOTOMIC EXTENSIONS IV: ALGEBRAIC INTEGERS IN $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$

**7.3 Theorem.**  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ .

**PROOF** Let's do this by induction on the number of prime factors of  $n$ ; we already did the base case  $n = p^r$  in Theorem 6.10. For  $k \geq 2$  let

$$n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}, \quad m := p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_{k-1}^{e_{k-1}}, \quad K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m), \quad L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_k^{e_k}})$$

First, let's see that  $KL = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ . Note that  $\zeta_n \in KL$  since  $m$  and  $p_k^{e_k}$  are coprime; thus, there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $xm + yp_k^{e_k} = 1$ . Then  $\zeta_m^y \zeta_{p_k^{e_k}}^x = e^{2\pi i/n}$ , so  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \subseteq KL$ . As well,

$$\phi(n) = \phi(m)\phi(p_k^{e_k}) = [K : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot [L : \mathbb{Q}] \geq [KL : \mathbb{Q}] \geq [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = \phi(n)$$

so  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = KL$  and  $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}][L : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = KL$  and  $[KL : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}][L : \mathbb{Q}]$  and by Theorem 7.2, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} \subseteq \frac{1}{d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_k^{e_k}})} = \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m] \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p_k^{e_k}}] = \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$$

where  $d = \gcd(\text{disc } K, \text{disc } L)$ . Recall by Theorem 6.5, we have  $\text{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)) \mid n^{\phi(n)}$ . Thus  $\text{disc}(K) \mid m^{\phi(m)}$  and  $\text{disc}(L) \mid (p_k^{e_k})^{\phi(p_k^{e_k})}$  so that  $d = 1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ . ■

## 8 RESULTANTS

If  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , how do I describe  $\{x : f(x) = g(x) = 0\}$ ? The answer: when the resultant  $R(f, g) = 0$ .

**Definition.** Let  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ , so  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$ ,  $g(x) = b_m x^m + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0$ . The **resultant** of  $f$  and  $g$  is

$$R(f, g) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_n & a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \\ b_m & b_{m-1} & b_{m-2} & \cdots & b_0 \\ 0 & a_n & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_0 \\ 0 & b_m & b_{m-1} & \cdots & b_0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$R(f, g)$  is homogeneous of degree  $m$  in the  $a_i$  and degree  $n$  in the  $b_j$ . We want to show that if  $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , then  $R(f, g) = 0$  if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  have a common factor in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Equivalently, if  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ , then  $R(f, g) = 0$  if and only if  $f, g$  have a common root.

**8.1 Proposition.**  $f$  and  $g$  have a common root in  $\mathbb{C}$  if and only if there exists  $h, k \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  such that  $hf = kg$  and  $\deg(h) \leq m - 1$ ,  $\deg(k) \leq n - 1$ .

PROOF If  $f, g$  have a common root  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $(x - \alpha) | f, g$ . Then  $f = (x - \alpha)k$ ,  $g = (x - \alpha)h$  and  $hf = (x - \alpha)kh = kg$ . Conversely, if  $hf = kg$ ,  $\deg h \leq m - 1$ ,  $\deg k \leq n - 1$ , then by Pigeonhole principle, the roots of  $k$  cannot contain all the roots of  $f$ , so one root must be a root of  $g$ . ■

At this point, we can turn our question into one of linear algebra. Let  $h = c_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \dots + c_1x + c_0$ ,  $k = d_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + x_0$ . Treat  $c_i, d_j$  as indeterminants. Then we need to solve the system of equations  $hf = kg$ . For example, the  $x^{n+m-2}$  coefficient on both sides is  $a_n c_{m-2} + a_{n-1} c_{m-1} = b_m d_{n-2} + b_{m-1} d_{n-1}$ . Thus,  $hf = kg$  encodes  $n + m$  equations, and  $(c_0, \dots, c_{m-1}; d_0, \dots, d_{n-1})$  is a solution if and only if it is in the kernel of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & 0 & 0 & b_m & 0 & 0 \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & 0 & b_{m-1} & b_m & 0 \\ a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & a_n & b_{m-2} & b_{m-1} & b_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

But this matrix has non-trivial kernel if and only if  $0 = \det(A) = \det(A^t) = R(f, g)$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  roots of  $f$ ,  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  roots of  $g$ . Then  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are  $a_n$  times an elementary symmetric function in the  $x_i$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}$  are  $b_m$  times an elementary symmetric function in the  $y_i$ . Thus  $R(f, g) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n] =: P$  is a symmetric polynomial times  $a_n^m b_m^n$ . From last time, if  $x_i = y_j$ , then  $R(f, g) = 0$ , i.e.  $(x_i - y_j) | R(f, g)$ . The  $x_i - y_j$  are irreducible coprime elements of  $P$ , so  $\prod_{i,j} (x_i - y_j) | R(f, g)$ . Set  $S := a_n^m b_m^n \prod_{i,j} (x_i - y_j)$ , so  $g(x) = b_m \prod_{j=1}^m (x - y_j)$ . In particular,  $a_n^m \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) = S$ , so that

$$S = a_n^m \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \tag{II.1}$$

$$S = b_m^n \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) (-1)^{mn} \tag{II.2}$$

(1.1) tells us that  $S$  is homogeneous of degree  $n$  in the  $b_j$ 's, and (1.2) says  $S$  is homogenous of degree  $m$  in the  $a_i$ . The resultant has the same property, and  $S | R$ , so  $R = cS$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . But the constant term in  $S$  is  $a_n^m b_m^n$  and same for  $R$ , so  $R = S$ . Thus we've shown that  $R(f, g) = a_n^m b_m^n \prod_{i,j} (x_i - y_j)$ . Let's consider the case  $g = f'$ . Then  $R(f, f') = 0$  iff  $f$  has a double root, i.e. when  $\text{disc}(f) = 0$ .

Let  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_n)$ . Then  $R(f, f') = \prod_{i=1}^n f'(\alpha_i)$ . But then  $f'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} (x - \alpha_j)$ , so  $f'(\alpha_k) = \prod_{j \neq k} (\alpha_k - \alpha_j)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R(f, f') &= \prod_i \prod_{j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \\ &= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 \\ &= \text{disc}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we've shown

**8.2 Proposition.**  $\text{disc}(\alpha) = R(f, f')(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}$  for  $\alpha$  algebraic and  $f$  the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ .

*Example.* Let  $\theta$  be a root of  $f(x) = x^3 + x^2 - 2x + 8$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ . Let's calculate  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . First, we have

$$\text{disc}(\theta) = -R(f, f') = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 8 \\ 3 & 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^2 = -4 \cdot 503$$

Either this is the minimal discriminant of a basis of algebraic integers, or the basis has discriminant 503: when we change basis, the factor must change by a square of an integer. We know from Q3 HW1 that  $\mathcal{O}_K \neq \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ , e.g. because  $(\theta - \theta^2)/2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\text{disc}(K) = -503$ . One can check that  $\text{disc}(1, \theta, \frac{\theta^2 - \theta}{2}) = -503$  using a change of basis matrix. Thus  $1, \theta, \frac{\theta^2 - \theta}{2}$  is an integral basis of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

**Definition.** A **power basis** for  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is an integral basis of the form  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ ; i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .

**8.3 Proposition.** Let  $\theta$  be a root of  $f(x) = x^3 + x^2 - 2x + 8$ , and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has no power basis.

**PROOF** Given  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , we'll compute  $\text{disc}(\lambda)$  and show  $2 \mid \text{disc}(\lambda)$ , so  $\text{disc}(\lambda) \neq -503$ . Since  $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , we can write  $\lambda = a + b\theta + c\frac{\theta^2 - \theta}{2}$  for  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . One can compute  $\lambda^2 = A_1 + A_2\theta + A_3\frac{\theta^2 - \theta}{2}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= a^2 - 2c^2 - 8bc \\ A_2 &= -2c^2 + 2ab + 2bc - b^2 \\ A_3 &= 2b^2 + 2ac + c^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}(\lambda) &= (-503) \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \end{pmatrix}^2 \\ &= (-503)(bA_3 - cA_2)^2 \\ &= -503 \cdot (2b^3 - bc^2 + b^2c + 2c^3)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

■



---

# III. Dedekind Domains

---

## 9 NOETHERIAN DOMAINS

**Definition.**  $R$  is **Noetherian** if every ideal of  $R$  is finitely generated; i.e.  $I = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ .

noe

**9.1 Proposition.** *The following are equivalent:*

1. Every ascending chain of ideals in  $R$  stabilizes.
2. Every non-empty set  $S$  of ideals of  $R$  has a maximal element in  $S$ .
3.  $R$  is Noetherian.

**PROOF** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $S$  be a non-empty set of ideals with no maximal element. Since  $S$  is non-empty, get  $I_1 \in S$ . Then for any  $I_k \in S$ ,  $I_k$  is not maximal and get  $I_{k+1} \supsetneq I_k$ . This is an infinite chain of ideal which does not stabilize.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$  be an ascending chain of ideals, and let  $S = \{I_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . By assumption,  $S$  has a maximal element,  $I_N$ ; but then for any  $n \geq N$ ,  $I_n = I_N$  and the chain stabilizes.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$  be an ascending chain of ideals, and set  $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ . By assumption,  $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Since each  $x_i \in I_j$  for some  $j$ , get  $k$  so that  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I_k$ ; but then  $I_k = I_n$  and the chain stabilizes.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  not finitely generated. Then  $I \neq (0)$ , so get  $a_1 \in I$ . For any finite  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in I$ , since  $I$  is not finitely generated, there exists  $a_{k+1} \in I \setminus (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . Thus by the axiom of choice, choose  $a_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\{(a_1, \dots, a_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  does not stabilize, a contradiction. ■

**9.2 Theorem. (Hilbert)** *If  $R$  is Noetherian, then  $R[x]$  is Noetherian.*

**Remark.** The canonical example of a Noetherian domain are PIDs. It is also easy to see that if  $R$  is Noetherian,  $R/I$  is also Noetherian. This means that a lot of rings are Noetherian.

## 10 REDUCIBILITY

**Definition.** We say that  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is **reducible** if there exists  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  such that  $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ . If  $\alpha$  is not reducible, then we say  $\alpha$  is **irreducible**.

**Definition.** A **Dedekind domain** is an integral domain  $R$  satisfying 3 properties:

1.  $R$  is Noetherian.
2. Every prime ideal is maximal.
3.  $R$  is integrally closed in its field of fractions.

Note that Property (2) says that “ $R$  is 1-dimensional as a geometric object”.

**Definition.** If  $R \subseteq S$  subrings with  $R, S$  integral domains, we say  $s \in S$  is **integral over  $R$**  if there exists  $f(x) \in R[x]$ ,  $f$  monic, such that  $f(s) = 0$ . We say  $R$  is **integrally closed in  $S$**  if  $s \in S$  is integral over  $R$  iff  $s \in R$ .

Let  $K$  be a number field,  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq K$ . Then  $\{\alpha \in K : \alpha \text{ is integral over } \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathcal{O}_K$ .

If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $D^{-1}R = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  is integral iff  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\mathbb{Z}$  is integrally closed in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

If  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ , then  $D^{-1}R = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ . Note that  $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$  (it has a minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), so  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$  is not integrally closed in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ .

**10.1 Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be a number field,  $0 \neq I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  an ideal. Then there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $a \in I$ .*

PROOF Say  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be conjugates of  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ . Then  $a := N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}(\alpha) = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n = a/\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq K$  is an algebraic integer,  $\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $a \in I$ . ■

*Remark.* If  $0 \neq I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is a non-zero ideal.

**Definition.** Given  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  an ideal, then  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is called an **integral basis** of  $I$  if  $\alpha_i \in I$  and every element of  $I$  has a unique representation as an integer linear combination of the  $\alpha_i$ .

**10.2 Theorem.** *Every non-zero ideal  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  has an integral basis. More specifically, if  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  is an integral basis for  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then there exists  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is an integral basis for  $I$  where*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= a_{11}\omega_1 \\ \alpha_2 &= a_{21}\omega_1 + a_{22}\omega_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \alpha_n &= a_{n1}\omega_1 + \cdots + a_{n,n-1}\omega_{n-1} + a_{nn}\omega_n \end{aligned}$$

PROOF We already know there exists  $a \in I$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $a\omega_i \in I$ . Let  $a_{11} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be minimal such that  $\alpha_1 := a_{11}\omega_1 \in I$ . Let  $\alpha_2 := a_{21}\omega_1 + a_{22}\omega_2$  with  $a_{22}$  minimal and  $\alpha_2 - a_{22}\omega_2 \in (\omega_1) \cap I$ . In general, let  $\alpha_i := a_{i1}\omega_1 + \cdots + a_{ii}\omega_i$  with  $a_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  minimal. Note that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is a basis for  $I$ , since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \vdots \\ \omega_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  is a basis for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is as well. Now let  $\beta \in I$ . Since  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  is an integral basis for  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , so there exists  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\beta = b_1\omega_1 + \cdots + b_n\omega_n$ . Let's see that  $a_{nn} \mid b_n$ . If not, then  $b_n = a_{nn}q + r$ ,  $0 < r < a_{nn}$ ,  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $b_1\omega_1 + \cdots + b_{n-1}\omega_{n-1} + r\omega_n = \beta - qa_{nn}\omega_n \in I$ , contradicting minimality of  $a_{nn}$ . Thus  $\beta = b_1\omega_1 + \cdots + b_{n-1}\omega_{n-1} + qa_{nn}\omega_n$  where  $a_{nn}\omega_n = \alpha_n +$  a linear combination of  $\omega_i$  for  $i < n$ . Thus  $I \ni \beta - q\alpha_n = b_1\omega_1 + \cdots + b_{n-1}\omega_{n-1}$ . Apply the same argument to  $\beta - q\alpha_n$ . ■

*Example.* Consider  $I = (7) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . An integral basis for  $(7)$  is  $7, 7\sqrt{2}$  since  $7, 7\sqrt{2} \in I$  and every element of  $I$  is of the form  $7(a + b\sqrt{2})$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**10.3 Theorem.** *If  $K$  is a number field, then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a Dedekind domain.*

PROOF  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is Noetherian: if  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ , if  $I = (0)$  we're done, else choose an integral basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  for  $I$  and  $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

Every non-zero prime ideal is maximal: let  $0 \neq \rho \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ . Let's show  $|\mathcal{O}_K/\rho| < \infty$ . This is enough: because  $\mathcal{O}_K/\rho$  is an integral domain and it is finite, it must be a field. [To see this, consider  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  by  $\alpha(x) = xr$ . This is injective, and since  $R$  is finite, it is surjective, so there exists  $s \in R$  with  $rs = 1$ .] Choose an integral basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We know there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \neq a \in \rho$ , so  $a\omega_i \in \rho$  and there are at most  $a^n$  possible integral combinations.

$\mathcal{O}_K$  is integrally closed in  $K$ : let  $\gamma \in K$  which is integral over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , so  $\gamma^n + \alpha_{n-1}\gamma^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1\gamma + \alpha_0 = 0$ . Note that  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \gamma] = A$ . If we show  $A$  is finitely generated (as an additive group), then  $\gamma$  is an algebraic integer, and hence in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Since  $A$  is generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$  by all  $\alpha_0^{m_0} \dots \alpha_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} \gamma^{m_n}$ , we need to show that only finitely many products are necessary to generate (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). If  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , we can take  $m_i < [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ , and we can take  $m < n$  since  $\gamma^n$  is expressible as a product over the  $\alpha_i$  (from its minimal polynomial). ■

Let  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal and let  $S$  be the set of finitely generated ideals  $J \subseteq I$ . Get a maximal element  $M \in S$ . If  $M \neq I$ , then get  $r \in I \setminus M$  but  $M \subsetneq M + (r) \subseteq I$ , contradicting maximality of  $M$ .

**10.4 Lemma.** *If  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, then every non-zero ideal contains a product of prime ideals.*

PROOF Let  $S$  be the set of non-zero ideals that don't contain a product of primes. Suppose  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Then let  $M \in S$  be maximal:  $M$  can't be a prime ideal, so get  $r, s \in R \setminus M$  with  $rs \in M$ . Then  $M_1 := M + (r)$ ,  $M_2 := M + (s)$  are not in  $S$ , so  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  both contain products of primes. But then  $M_1 M_2 \subseteq M$  contains a product of primes, so  $S = \emptyset$  (this is called Noetherian induction). ■

**10.5 Lemma.** *If  $I \subsetneq R$  is an ideal,  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, and  $K = \text{Frac}(R)$ . Then there exists  $\gamma \in K \setminus R$  such that  $\gamma I \subseteq R$ .*

PROOF It's clear if  $I = (0)$ . Thus, assume  $I \neq (0)$ , and get  $0 \neq a \in I$ . Since  $I \subsetneq R$ , so  $a$  is not a unit, so  $1/a \in K \setminus R$ . Let  $(a) \supseteq \rho_1 \cdots \rho_r$  where  $\rho_i$  are prime ideals and  $r$  is minimal. Let  $m$  be a maximal ideal containing  $I$ , so  $m \supseteq I \supseteq (a) \supseteq \rho_1 \cdots \rho_r$ , and since  $m$  is maximal,  $m$  is prime. In general, if  $q$  is a prime ideal and  $q \supseteq J_1 \cdots J_r$ , then  $q \supseteq J_i$  for some  $i$ . [Let  $j_i \in J_i$  for  $i < r$  with  $j_i \notin q$ , and  $\alpha \in J_r$  arbitrary. Then  $j_1 \cdots j_{r-1} \alpha \in q$ , so by primality,  $\alpha \in q$ .] Thus without loss of generality  $\rho_1 \subseteq m$ . Since  $R$  is Dedekind,  $m = \rho_1$ .

If  $r = 1$ , then  $I = (a)$  is principal, then  $\gamma = 1/a$  works. If  $r > 1$ , choose  $b \in \rho_2 \cdots \rho_r$  and set  $\gamma = \frac{b}{a}$ . Then

$$\gamma I = \frac{b}{a} I \subseteq \frac{b}{a} \rho_1 \subseteq \frac{1}{a} \rho_1 \cdots \rho_r \subseteq \frac{1}{a} (a) = R$$

■

**10.6 Proposition.** *If  $R$  is a Dedekind domain,  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ , then there exists an ideal  $J$  of  $R$  such that  $IJ$  is principal.*

PROOF This is clear if  $I = (0)$ . Otherwise, let  $0 \neq \alpha \in I$ . Set  $J = \{\beta \in R : \beta I \subseteq (\alpha)\}$ .  $IJ \subseteq (\alpha)$  by definition, so we need to show that  $(\alpha) \subseteq IJ$ . Let  $B = \frac{1}{\alpha} IJ$ ; we know  $B \subseteq R$  is an ideal; we want to show that  $B = R$ . If  $B \neq R$ , then by the lemma, get  $\gamma \in K \setminus R$  such that  $\gamma B \subseteq R$ . Then

$J \subseteq B$  since  $\alpha \in I$ , so  $\gamma J \subseteq \gamma B \subseteq R$ ; that is,  $\gamma J$  consists of elements of  $R$ . Since  $\gamma B = \gamma \frac{1}{\alpha} IJ$ ,  $\gamma J I \subseteq (\alpha)$ , and by definition of  $J$ ,  $\gamma J \subseteq J$ .

$J$  has an integral basis, so has a finitely generated additive group. Thus we cannot have  $J \supseteq \gamma J \supseteq \gamma J^2 \supseteq \dots$  since  $\gamma \in K \setminus R$ . However, this is a contradiction to the assumption that  $B \neq R$ , so  $IJ = (\alpha)$ . ■

**Definition.** If  $A, B$  are ideals, we say  $A|B$  ( $A$  **divides**  $B$ ) if there exists an ideal  $C$  such that  $AC = B$ .

**10.7 Corollary.** Let  $A, B, C$  be ideals in a Dedekind domain, with  $C \neq 0$ . Then

(i)  $A \supseteq B$  if and only if  $A|B$ .

(ii)  $AC = BC$  implies  $A = B$ .

**PROOF** (i) There exists  $I$  such that  $CI = (\alpha)$ . Then  $(\alpha)A = ACI = BCI = \alpha(B)$ , so  $A = B$ .

(ii) If  $A|B$ , then get  $C$  such that  $B = AC \subseteq A$ . Conversely, if  $A \supseteq B$ , this is clear if  $A = (0)$ ; else, let  $0 \neq A$ . Then there exists  $J$  such that  $JA = (\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then  $(\alpha) = JA \supseteq JB$ , so  $R \supseteq \frac{1}{\alpha} JB$ . Let  $C = \frac{1}{\alpha} JB$ , and  $AC = \frac{1}{\alpha} AJB = B$ . ■

**10.8 Theorem.** If  $F$  is a Dedekind domain, then every proper non-zero ideal factors uniquely into a product of prime ideals.

**PROOF** Let  $S$  be the set of non-zero proper ideals that cannot be written as a product of primes. If  $S \neq \emptyset$ , let  $M \in S$  be a maximal element.  $M$  can't be a maximal ideal of  $F$ ; otherwise,  $M$  is prime. Let  $\rho$  be a maximal ideal with  $M \subsetneq \rho$ . Since  $M \subseteq \rho$ ,  $\rho|M$  so there is an ideal  $C$  such that  $M = \rho C$ . Since  $M \neq \rho$ ,  $C \neq R$ .  $C$  cannot be a product of prime ideals, or  $M$  is a product of prime ideals. Thus  $C \in S$ , but  $M \subseteq C$  and  $M \in S$  is maximal, so  $M = C$ . But then  $M = \rho C = \rho M$  and can cancel  $M$ , so that  $\rho = R$ , a contradiction.

It remains to show unique factorization. Given  $I \neq 0, R$ , say  $I = \rho_1 \dots \rho_r = q_1 \dots q_s$ . Then  $I \subseteq \rho_1$ , so  $\rho_1$  contains some  $q_i$ . Without loss of generality,  $\rho_1 \supseteq q_1$ . Then  $\rho_1 = q_1$  since prime ideals are maximal, and cancel from both sides to get  $\rho_2 \dots \rho_r = q_2 \dots q_s$ , and we're done by induction. ■

**Example.** Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , which does not have unique factorization:  $6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$ . However,  $(2)$  is not a prime ideal since  $1 + \sqrt{-5}, 1 - \sqrt{-5} \notin (2)$ , but  $6 \in (2)$ . Note that  $(2) = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$  and  $(6) = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5})(3, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(3, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$ .

**10.9 Theorem.**  $R$  is a PID if and only if  $R$  is a UFD and a Dedekind domain.

**10.10 Theorem.** If  $K$  is a number field, then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  has unique factorization into primes iff  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a PID.

**PROOF** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) By (unique) factorization into prime ideals, it is enough to show that every prime ideal  $\rho$  is principal. Get  $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a \in \rho$ . Write  $a = \pi_1 \dots \pi_r$  where  $\pi_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$  are primes. Without loss of generality,  $\pi_1 \in \rho$ . We know that  $(\pi_1) \subseteq \rho$ . However,  $(\pi_1)$  is prime, so equality holds since  $(\pi_1)$  is maximal.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Say  $\pi_1 \dots \pi_r = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_s$  where  $\pi_i, \lambda_j$  are prime. Note that  $(\pi_i)$  and  $(\lambda_j)$  are prime ideals, so we are done by unique factorization into prime ideals. ■



*Example.* Consider  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ , for  $d > 0$ . When is  $\mathcal{O}_K$  a PID? When  $d = 1, 2$ ,  $K$  is a Euclidean domain. It was conjectured (correctly) by Gauss that this is true when  $d \in \{1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ .

If  $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  is a prime ideal, then  $0 \neq 1 \in \rho$ . Write  $a = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$ , so by primality, some  $p_i \in \rho$ . Then  $(p) = p\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \rho$ , so  $\rho | (p)$  and  $\rho \cdot q_1 \cdots q_s = (p)$  for some ideals  $q_i$ . Thus every prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  shows up as a factor in  $(p)$  for some prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore,  $\rho$  cannot be a factor of  $(p)$  and  $(q)$  for distinct primes  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ : otherwise,  $p, q \in \rho$  so  $1 \in \rho$ . If  $p$  is the unique prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$  for which  $p \in \rho$ , then we say  $\rho$  **lies over**  $p$ .

## 11 RAMIFICATION

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be a number field,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  a prime. We say  $p$  **ramifies** in  $K$  if there exists  $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  prime ideal such that  $\rho^2 | (p)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

**11.1 Theorem.** If  $D = \text{disc}(K)$ , then  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \nmid D$ , then  $p$  is unramified.

**PROOF** Note that  $\rho q = \rho^2 q$ , we can cancel so  $\rho = \mathcal{O}_K$ . Thus let  $\alpha \in \rho q \setminus \rho^2 q$  such that  $\alpha/p \notin \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $\alpha^2 \in \rho^2 q^2 \subseteq (p)$ , so  $\frac{\alpha^2}{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . In particular, if  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\frac{(\alpha\beta)^p}{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Note that  $\text{Tr}((\alpha\beta)^p) = p \text{Tr}\left(\frac{(\alpha\beta)^p}{p}\right)$ , so  $p | \text{Tr}((\alpha\beta)^p)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\alpha\beta)^p &= \left( \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(\alpha\beta) \right)^p = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(\alpha\beta)^p + p\gamma \\ &= \text{Tr}((\alpha\beta)^p) + p\gamma \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Thus  $p | \text{Tr}(\alpha\beta)^p$ , so  $p | \text{Tr}(\alpha\beta)$ .

Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  be an integral basis for  $K$ . Then  $\alpha = a_1\omega_1 + \cdots + a_n\omega_n$  for  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\frac{\alpha}{p} \notin \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $p \nmid a_i$  for some  $a_i$ . Without loss of generality,  $p \nmid a_1$ . Since  $p | \text{Tr}(\alpha\omega_i)$  for all  $i$ , then  $p | \text{Tr}(\alpha\omega_1)$  where

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha\omega_1) = \text{Tr}((a_1\omega_1 + \cdots + a_n\omega_n)\omega_1) = \sum_j a_j \text{Tr}(\omega_j\omega_1)$$

Now,

$$D = \text{disc}(K) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr}(\omega_1\omega_1) & \cdots & \text{Tr}(\omega_1\omega_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \text{Tr}(\omega_n\omega_1) & \cdots & \text{Tr}(\omega_n\omega_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 D &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \text{Tr}(\omega_1\omega_1) & \cdots & \text{Tr}(\omega_1\omega_n) \\ \text{Tr}(\omega_2\omega_1) & \cdots & \text{Tr}(\omega_2\omega_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \text{Tr}(\omega_n\omega_1) & \cdots & \text{Tr}(\omega_n\omega_n) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \text{Tr}(\omega_1\omega_1) + \cdots + a_n \text{Tr}(\omega_1\omega_n) & \cdots & a_1 \text{Tr}(\omega_1\omega_n) + \cdots + \text{Tr}(\omega_n\omega_n) \\ \text{Tr}(\omega_2\omega_1) & \cdots & \text{Tr}(\omega_2\omega_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \text{Tr}(\omega_n\omega_1) & \cdots & \text{Tr}(\omega_n\omega_n) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

so  $p | a_1 D$ , but  $p \nmid a_i$  so  $p | D$ . ■

*Remark.* In fact,  $p$  ramifies if and only if  $p \mid \text{disc}(K)$ .

*Example.* Consider  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}] = \mathcal{O}_K$ , so  $\text{disc}(K) = 12$ . Let's see that 3 ramifies: then  $(3) = (\sqrt{3})^2$ .

## 12 NORMS OF IDEALS

**Definition.** The norm of  $0 \neq I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  is defined to be  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^K(I) := N(I) := \|I\| := |\mathcal{O}_K/I| = [\mathcal{O}_K : I]$ .

**12.1 Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a number field,  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be an integral basis for  $I$ . Then

$$N(I) = \left| \frac{\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}{\text{disc}(K)} \right|^{1/2}$$

**PROOF** If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  are choices of integral bases for  $I$ , then they have the same discriminant. To see this, if  $P$  is a change of basis, then  $P \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  so  $\det(P) = \pm 1$ . Let's choose the integral basis for  $I$  that we constructed earlier. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= a_{11}\omega_1 \\ \alpha_2 &= a_{21}\omega_1 + a_{22}\omega_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \alpha_n &= a_{n1}\omega_1 + \dots + a_{nn}\omega_n \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}^2 \text{disc}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}{\text{disc}(K)} = (a_{11} \dots a_{nn})^2$$

Thus we need to show that  $N(I) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$ . Let's show that every element of  $\mathcal{O}_K/I$  has a unique representation as  $r_1\omega_1 + \dots + r_n\omega_n$ .

Every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$  can be represented in this form since  $\gamma = \sum b_i\omega_i$ . Write  $b_n = q_n a_{nn} + r_n$ , where  $0 \leq r_n < a_{nn}$ . Replace  $\gamma$  by  $\gamma - q_n\alpha_n$ , and divide  $a_{n-1,m-1}$  into the  $\omega_{n-1}$  coefficient of  $\gamma - q_n\alpha_n$ . Repeat. But then  $\sum (r_i - s_i)\omega_i \in I$ , and  $a_{nn} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  was chosen minimally, so  $a_{nn} \mid (r_n - s_n)$ . Thus  $r_n = s_n + q_n a_{nn}$  where  $0 \leq r_n, s_n < a_{nn}$  so  $r_n = s_n$ . Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (r_i - s_i)\omega_i \in I$ , and  $a_{n-1,n-1}$  was chosen minimally with this property, so  $r_{n-1} = s_{n-1}$ . ■

*Remark.*  $N(I)$  is supposed to be a generalization of norms of elements.

**12.2 Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a number field,  $I = (\alpha)$ . Then  $N(I) = |N(\alpha)|$ .

**PROOF** Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  be the embeddings of  $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha\omega_1) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha\omega_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha\omega_1) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha\omega_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_n(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\omega_1) & \dots & \sigma_1(\omega_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\omega_1) & \dots & \sigma_n(\omega_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Apply  $(\det)^2$  to both sides so that  $\text{disc}(\alpha\omega_1, \dots, \alpha\omega_n) = N(\alpha)^2 \text{disc}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ . Then  $N(I) = |N(\alpha)|$  by the previous theorem. ■

*Remark.* Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be given by  $x \mapsto x^n$ . Most points  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  have  $n$  distinct preimages (all except  $z = 0$ ).

Now consider  $x \mapsto \prod (x - \lambda_i)^{e_i}$  where  $n = \sum e_i = \deg f$ . If  $x \neq \lambda_i$ , then there are  $n$  preimages (counting multiplicity), but  $\lambda_i$  has  $n - e_i$  preimages  $\lambda_i$  ramified.

In algebraic geometry,  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  we have the map  $\pi$  given by  $\rho \mapsto \rho \cap \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ , then there are usually  $n$  preimages to this map. Then  $p$  is ramified if and only if  $\pi^{-1}(p) < n$ .

**12.3 Theorem. (Fermat)** Let  $K$  be a number field,  $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  a prime ideal,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , and  $\rho \nmid (\alpha)$ , then  $\alpha^{N(\rho)-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\rho}$ .

*PROOF*  $\mathcal{O}_K/\rho$  is a field, so  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\rho)^\times$  is a group with size  $N(\rho) - 1$ . Then  $\rho \nmid (\alpha)$  if and only if  $\alpha \notin \rho$  and the result follows by Lagrange. ■

**12.4 Proposition.** If  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  is an ideal, then  $N(I) \in I$ .

*PROOF* Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N(I)}$  be the distinct classes in  $\mathcal{O}_K/I$ . Then  $1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_{N(I)}$  are also distinct. Thus  $1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_{N(I)}$  is a permutation, so in  $\mathcal{O}_K/I$ ,  $N(I) + \sum \alpha_i = \sum \alpha_i$  and  $N(I) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K/I$ , i.e.  $N(I) \in I$ . ■

*Remark.* Alternatively, apply Lagrange to the additive group  $\mathcal{O}_K/I$ .

**12.5 Corollary.** If  $K$  is a number field and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then there are only finitely many ideals  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  with  $N(I) = a$ .

*PROOF* If  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  is an ideal, and  $N(I) = a$ , then  $a \in I$ . Thus  $(a) \subseteq I$  so  $I|(a)$ . Factor  $(a) = \prod p_i^{e_i}$  prime ideals, and since  $I \mid \prod p_i^{e_i}$  so  $I = \prod p_i^{f_i}$  for some  $0 \leq f_i \leq e_i$ . ■

*Example.* Which  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[i]$  have norm 5? Since  $5 = (1 + 2i)(1 - 2i)$  is a factorization into primes, since if  $N(I) = 5$ , then  $I = (1 + 2i)^a(1 - 2i)^b$  for  $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$ . In this case, you can check  $N(I) = 5$  if and only if  $I = (1 + 2i)$  or  $I = (1 - 2i)$ .

*Remark.* Note: if  $N(I) = I$  if and only if  $I = \mathcal{O}_K$ . If  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$  and  $\rho$  is a prime ideal, we already showed  $\rho|(p)$  for some prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, once we show  $N$  is multiplicative, we will know  $(p) = \rho J$ , so  $N((p)) = N(\rho)N(J)$  so  $N(\rho) = p^f$  (prove directly) for some  $1 \leq f \leq n$ . We write  $f(\rho|p) := f$ . If  $N(I)$  is prime, then  $I$  is a prime ideal.

**Definition.** If  $B, C \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  are ideals, we say  $D \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  is the **gcd** of  $B, C$  if  $D|B$ ,  $D|C$ , and whenever  $E|B$  and  $E|C$ , we have  $E|D$ .

One can see that the gcd exists and is unique by factorization of ideals into primes. Here's another proof of existence: write  $B = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  and  $C = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ . Then  $\text{gcd}(B, C) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ . If the gcd exists, then  $D|E$  and  $E|D$  so  $D \subseteq E \subseteq D$  and equality holds.

**12.6 Lemma.** Suppose  $0 \neq B, C \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  are ideals. Then there exists  $\alpha \in B$  such that  $\text{gcd}((\alpha)/B, C) = 1$  (this makes sense since  $\alpha \in B$  implies  $B|(\alpha)$ , so  $(\alpha) = B \frac{(\alpha)}{B}$ ).

PROOF If  $C = \mathcal{O}_K$ , choose any  $\alpha \in B$ . Otherwise,  $C = \prod_{i=1}^4 \rho_i^{e_i}$  is a product of prime ideals. If  $r = 1$ , then  $C = \rho^e$ . Choose  $\alpha \in B \setminus B\rho$ , so  $\gcd\left(\frac{(\alpha)}{B}, \rho^e\right) = \rho^m$ . Suppose  $m \geq 1$ , so  $\rho \mid \frac{(\alpha)}{B}$  so  $(\alpha) = B \frac{(\alpha)}{B} = B\rho E \subseteq B\rho$ . Thus  $\alpha \in B\rho$ , a contradiction.

For  $r > 1$ , let  $B_m := B \frac{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_r}{\rho_m}$ . We can find  $\alpha_m \in B_m$  such that  $\gcd\left(\frac{(\alpha_m)}{B_m}, \rho_m\right) = 1$ . Let  $\alpha := \sum \alpha_i$ . Then  $B \supseteq B_i$ , so  $\alpha_i \in B$  and  $\alpha \in B$ . For  $i \neq m$ ,  $\alpha_i \in B_i \subseteq B\rho_m$ . Let's show that  $\alpha \notin B\rho_m$  for any  $m$ . If  $\alpha \in B\rho_m$ , then since  $\alpha_i \in B\rho_m$  for  $i \neq m$ , we have  $\alpha_m \in B\rho_m$ . Thus  $(\alpha_m) \subseteq B\rho_m$ , so  $B\rho_m \mid (\alpha_m)$  and  $(\alpha_m) = B\rho_m D$ . Thus  $\alpha_m \in B_m$ , so  $(\alpha_m) = B_m E$ , where  $E = \frac{(\alpha_m)}{B_m}$ . But then  $B\rho_m D = (\alpha_m) = B_m E = B \frac{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_r}{\rho_m} E$  so  $\rho_m D = \frac{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_r}{\rho_m} E$ . But then  $\gcd(E, \rho_m) = 1$  so  $\rho_m \mid \frac{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_r}{\rho_m}$ , a contradiction.

Now suppose  $\gcd((\alpha)/B, C) \neq 1$ . Then there exists  $M$  such that  $\rho_m \mid (\alpha)/B$ , so  $B\rho_m \mid (\alpha)$  and  $\alpha \in B\rho_m$ , a contradiction. ■

**12.7 Lemma.** Suppose  $0 \neq B, C \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ . If  $\alpha\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{BC}$ , then  $\gcd\left(\frac{(\alpha)}{B}, C\right) = 1$ , then  $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{C}$ .

PROOF Since  $\alpha\beta \in BC$ ,  $BC \mid (\alpha)(\beta)$  so  $(\alpha)(\beta) = BCD$ , so  $\frac{(\alpha)}{B}(\beta) = CD$ . ■

**12.8 Theorem.** If  $B, C \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  are ideals, then  $N(BC) = N(B)N(C)$ .

PROOF The lemma says there exists  $\gamma \in B$  such that  $\gcd\left(\frac{(\gamma)}{B}, C\right) = 1$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N(B)} \in \mathcal{O}_K$  represent the distinct classes in  $\mathcal{O}_K/B$ . Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N(C)} \in \mathcal{O}_K$  represent the distinct classes in  $\mathcal{O}_K/C$ . Let's show that  $\alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j$  represent the distinct classes in  $\mathcal{O}_K/BC$ , so  $N(BC) = N(B)N(C)$ .

First note that  $\alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j$  are distinct modulo  $BC$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j &\equiv \alpha_k + \gamma\beta_l \pmod{BC} \\ \alpha_i - \alpha_k &\equiv \gamma(\beta_l - \beta_j) \\ \alpha_i - \alpha_k &\equiv 0 \pmod{B} \end{aligned}$$

so that  $I = k$ ; i.e.  $\alpha_i = \alpha_k$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $0 \equiv \gamma(\beta_l - \beta_j) \pmod{BC}$ , so by the second lemma,  $\beta_l - \beta_j \equiv 0 \pmod{C}$  and  $j = l$ . Next, we need to show if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then there exists  $i, j$  such that  $\alpha \equiv \alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j \pmod{BC}$ .

Let  $i$  be such that  $\alpha \equiv \alpha_i \pmod{B}$ . Then  $\alpha - \alpha_i \in B = \gcd((\alpha), BC) = (\alpha) + BC$ , so  $\alpha - \alpha_i = \gamma\beta + \lambda$ ,  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $\lambda \in BC$ . Let  $j$  be such that  $\beta \equiv \beta_j \pmod{C}$ . Thus  $\alpha = \alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j + \gamma(\beta - \beta_j) + \lambda$ ,  $\gamma \in B$ ,  $\beta - \beta_j \in C$ . Then  $\gamma(\beta - \beta_j) = \lambda \in BC$ , so  $\alpha \equiv \alpha_i + \gamma\beta_j \pmod{BC}$ . ■

## 13 CLASS GROUP

**Definition.** The **class group** of  $K$  is  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is the set of ideals modulo the set of principal ideals, where the group operation is multiplication of ideals. We write  $h_K := |\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)|$ .

We'll show that  $h_K < \infty$ . Consider  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ . It turns out that  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is the set of line bundles on  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ .

If  $E$  is an elliptic curve, we can define an addition on points  $p, q$ , and the points form a group. Then the class group of the elliptic curve is  $E \times \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is  $x \mapsto x^2$ , then  $d(x^2) = 2x$  vanishes at  $x = 0$  if and only if  $0$  is ramified.

**13.1 Theorem.** *If  $K$  is a number field, then there exists  $c_K$  constant such that for all  $0 \neq A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  ideal, there exists  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $|N(\alpha)| \leq c_K N(A)$ .*

**PROOF** Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  be an integral basis for  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $t := \lfloor N(A)^{1/m} \rfloor$ . Consider all elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of the form  $c_1 \omega_1 + \dots + c_n \omega_n$  where  $0 \leq c_i \leq t$ . There are  $(t+1)^n$  such elements, and  $(t+1)^n > N(A)$ . By pidgeonhole, there exists some  $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$  so that  $\beta_1 \equiv \beta_2 \pmod{A}$ . Set  $\alpha = \beta_1 - \beta_2 \in A$ ; then,  $\alpha = t_1 \omega_1 + \dots + t_n \omega_n$  with  $|t_i| \leq t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |N(\alpha)| &= \left| \prod_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(\alpha) \right| \\ &= \left| \prod_{j=1}^n (t_1 \sigma_j(\omega_1) + \dots + t_n \sigma_j(\omega_n)) \right| \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^n (|t_1| |\sigma_j(\omega_1)| + \dots + |t_n| |\sigma_j(\omega_n)|) \\ &\leq t^n \prod_{j=1}^n (|\sigma_j(\omega_1)| + \dots + |\sigma_j(\omega_n)|) \\ &< N(A) c_K \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_K = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\sigma_j(\omega_i)| \right)$ . ■

*Remark.* Later, we'll show we can take  $c_K = \sqrt{|\text{disc}(K)|}$ .

**13.2 Theorem.** *If  $K$  is a number field, then the class number  $h_K < \infty$ .*

**PROOF** We'll show that every ideal class contains an ideal with norm at most  $c_K$ . Then we are done from the previous theorem.

Let  $0 \neq I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ ; then, get  $0 \neq A$  such that  $IA$  is principal. The previous theorem tells us there exists  $0 \neq \alpha \in A$  such that  $|N(\alpha)| \leq c_K N(A)$ . Since  $\alpha \in A$ , it follows that  $(\alpha) \subseteq A$ , so  $(\alpha) = AB$  for some  $B$ . Thus, in the class group  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ , we have  $A = I^{-1}$  and  $B = A^{-1}$ , so  $B = I$  in  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ . Since  $AB = (\alpha)$ ,  $N(A)N(B) \leq c_K N(A)$ , so  $N(B) \leq c_K$ . In other words,  $B$  and  $I$  are in the same class and  $N(B) \leq c_K$ . ■

## 14 FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM

We will prove FLT for “regular primes”; i.e. there are no “non-trivial”  $\mathbb{Z}$ -solutions to  $x^p + y^p = z^p$  where  $p$  is a regular prime.

**Definition.** We say that  $p$  is regular if  $p \nmid h_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}$ .

The key point: if  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}$  is any ideal and  $I^p$  is principal, then  $I$  is principal. If  $K$  is a number field, then you can prove there exists a number field  $L$  such that  $L/K$  is normal with  $\text{Gal}(L/K) = \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ .  $L$  is called the Hilbert class field. This has the property that every ideal  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  becomes principal in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ ; i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_L I = (\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$ .

### COMPUTING AN IDEAL CLASS GROUP

Last time, we showed every ideal class has a representation of norm at most  $c_K$ . You can take  $C_K = \sqrt{|\text{disc}(K)|}$ . How to determine  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ ? First, we want to write down all the ideals  $I$  with  $N(I) < C_K$ . Write  $I = \prod \rho_i e^i$ , so it suffices to determine the primes with  $N(\rho) < C_K$ . To do this, we go through all the primes  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $p < C_K$ , and factor  $(p)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

*Example.* Consider  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23}))$ , so  $C_K = \sqrt{23} < 5$ . Thus we need ideals with norm at most 4, so it suffices to consider  $(2), (3)$ . Current HW gives method to find factorization, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) &= \underbrace{\left(2, \frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right)}_p \underbrace{\left(2, \sqrt{1-\sqrt{-23}2}\right)}_{p'} \\ (3) &= \underbrace{\left(3, \sqrt{1-\sqrt{-23}2}\right)}_q \underbrace{\left(3, \sqrt{1+\sqrt{-23}2}\right)}_{q'} \end{aligned}$$

is a product of primes. Thus all the ideals of norm at most 4 are products of the above primes. Write  $I \sim J$  if  $I = J$  in  $\text{Cl}(K)$ . Note that  $pp'(2)$ , so  $p' = p^{-1}$ . Similarly,

$$pq = \left(6, 2\sqrt{1-\sqrt{-23}2}, 3\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right), \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right)^2\right) = (6)$$

so  $q = p^{-1}$  and  $q \sim p'$ . An analogous computation shows  $p', q' \sim (1)$  so  $q' \sim p$ . We now have

$$N\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right) = 8 = N\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right)$$

and these two ideals are distinct. Since  $p$  is not principal b/c there is no principal ideal of norm 2. Similarly,  $p'$  is not principal. Since we know there are at least two distinct principal ideals of norm 8, we must have  $p, p'$  not principal. Thus  $p^3, p'^3$  are not principal, so  $p^3 \sim 1$  and  $p$  has order 3 in  $\text{Cl}(K)$ .

## 15 QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY

This is about solving quadratic equations modulo  $p$ . Let's solve  $x^2 + bx + c$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . This reduces to the question of solving  $x^2 = a \pmod{p}$ ; i.e. when is  $a$  a square mod  $p$ ?

**Definition.** We define the **Legendre symbol** by

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & a \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{F}_p \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let  $H$  be the set of squares in  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ . On homework 2, we showed  $[(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times : H] = 2$ . Since it is index 2,  $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus if  $a, b$  are not squares, then  $ab$  is a square. Similarly, if  $a$  is not square,  $b$  is square, so  $ab$  is not square. This says that

$$\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$$

Said another way, the map  $\phi : (\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  with  $a \mapsto \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  is a homomorphism, and  $\ker \phi = H$ . By multiplicity of the Legendre symbol, we need to look for squares which are primes modulo  $p$ . When  $q$  is square, modulo  $p$ , for  $q \neq p$  and  $q$  prime.

*Example.* Let's compute  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$  for some small  $p$ .

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} p & 3 & 5 & 7 & 11 & 13 \\ \hline \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{array}$$

This leads us to the following proposition:

**15.1 Proposition.** *We have*

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

**PROOF** We first show in general that for all  $a$ ,  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{p-1} 2 \pmod{p}$ . Note that  $\left(a^{(p-1)/2}\right)^2 = a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , so  $a^{(p-1)/2} = \pm 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . If  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ , then  $a = b^2 \pmod{p}$  so  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv b^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Note that  $x^{(p-1)/2} - 1$  has at most  $(p-1)/2$  roots in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and there are  $(p-1)/2$  squares, each which is a root of  $x^{(p-1)/2} - 1$ . Thus the non-squares are not roots, i.e. if  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$ , then  $a^{(p-1)/2} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times \{\text{squares}\} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times \\ \downarrow x \mapsto x^2 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto 2x} 2\mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \end{array}$$

Thus  $2\mathbb{Z}_{p-1} = \ker(\mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2)$  where the map is multiplication by  $(p-1)/2$ .

The result follows by applying with  $a = -1$ . ■

We want to find  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$  where  $p \neq q$  are odd primes. Let's view  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$  and let  $H$  denote the squares in  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ . This corresponds to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ . Let  $H = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*}))$ . Given  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ , let  $\sigma_a$  denote the Galois group element  $\sigma_a : \zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^a$ . Now  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = 1$  if and only if  $q \in H$  if and only if  $\sigma_q$  fixes  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*})$ .

Consider  $Q$  the unique ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  lying over  $q$ , so  $\sigma_q$  acts on  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]/Q$  via

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_q \left( \sum a_i \zeta_p^i \right) &\equiv \sum a_i \zeta_p^{qi} \\ &\equiv \left( \sum a_i \zeta_p^i \right)^q \end{aligned}$$

since  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]/Q$  has characteristic  $q$ . Thus for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]/Q$ ,  $\sigma_q(\alpha) = \alpha^q$ , so we say that  $\sigma_q$  is the Frobenius associated to  $q$ . We say  $\sigma_q = \text{Frob}_q$  in  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ , so also  $\sigma_q = \text{Frob}_q$  in  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*})/\mathbb{Q})$ . Suppose  $\rho \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*}) = K$ . Then  $\sigma_q = \text{id}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_K/\rho = \mathbb{F}_q$  (exercise). From homework, we see that  $q$  is not prime. Thus  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = 1$  if and only if  $(q)$  is not prime in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*})$  if and only if  $\left(\frac{p^*}{q}\right) = 1$ . Thus

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p^*}{q}\right)$$

One way of thinking about reciprocity is that  $(q)$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*})$  if and only if  $\sigma_q = \text{id}$  in  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*})/\mathbb{Q})$ .

$$\left(\frac{p^*}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p}{1}\right) = \left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{q}\right) \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$$

Additionally,

$$\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

*Example.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{17}{113}\right) &= \left(\frac{113}{17}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{11}{17}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{17}{11}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{6}{11}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{11}\right) \left(\frac{3}{11}\right) \\ &= -\left(\frac{11}{3}\right) = -\left(\frac{-1}{3}\right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* Let  $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ . If  $q$  is prime, we say  $\sigma \in G$  is  $\text{Frob}_q$  if, given  $Q$  lying over  $q$ ,  $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha^q \pmod{Q}$ . Which elements of  $G$  are of the form  $\text{Frob}_q$  for some  $q$ ?

The answer is “all of them”: fix  $\sigma_a \in G$ ; how many  $q$  have  $\text{Frob}_q = \sigma_a$ ? The answer is all  $a$ , for infinitely many such  $q$ . This is Dirichlet’s Theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions.

In fact, this generalizes to all Galois groups, and is called the Chebotarev Density. One consequence: if  $K$  is a number field, then  $\{p \in \mathbb{Z} : p \text{ splits completely in } \mathcal{O}_K\}$ .

## 16 FERMAT’S LAST THEOREM

**Definition.** We say  $p$  is a **regular prime** if  $p \nmid h_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}$ .

**16.1 Theorem. (Kummer)** If  $p \geq 3$  is a regular prime and  $p \nmid x, y, z$ , for  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $x^p + y^p \neq z^p$ .

**16.2 Lemma.** Let  $\zeta = \zeta_p$ . In  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$

- the elements  $1 - \zeta, 1 - \zeta^2, \dots, 1 - \zeta^{p-1}$  are associates.
- $1 + \zeta$  is a unit
- $p = u(1 - \zeta)^{p-1}$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^\times$ ,  $(1 - \zeta)$  is the only prime dividing  $p$ .

**PROOF** Consider  $\frac{1-\zeta^j}{1-\zeta} = 1 + \zeta + \dots + \zeta^{j-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ . As well,  $\frac{1-\zeta}{1-\zeta^j} = \frac{1-\zeta^{jk}}{1-\zeta^j} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  where  $jk \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Thus  $1 - \zeta, \dots, 1 - \zeta^p$  are associates. Note that  $1 + \zeta = \frac{1-\zeta^2}{1-\zeta}$ , so it is a unit. As well,

$$1 + x + \dots + x^{p-1} = \prod (x - \zeta^j)$$



so  $p = \prod (1 - \zeta^j) = u(1 - \zeta)^{p-1}$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^\times$ . ■

**16.3 Lemma.** If  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^\times$ , then  $\frac{u}{\bar{u}}$  is a root of unity. Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^a$  for some  $a$ , so  $\overline{\sigma(\zeta)} = \zeta^{-a} = \sigma(\bar{\zeta})$ . Thus for any  $\sigma$ ,

$$\left| \sigma\left(\frac{u}{\bar{u}}\right) \right|^2 = \sigma\left(\frac{u}{\bar{u}}\right) \overline{\sigma\left(\frac{u}{\bar{u}}\right)} = 1$$

so all the conjugates of  $\frac{u}{\bar{u}}$  have complex norm 1. It is a fun exercise to show that if  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer and all its conjugates have norm 1, then  $\alpha$  is a root of unity.

On a HW a while ago, we showed that the roots of unity in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  are  $\pm \zeta^j$ . In  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ ,  $z^p = x^p + y^p = \prod_j (x + \zeta^j y)$ . Let's show that the ideals  $(x + \zeta^j y)$  are relatively prime. Let  $\rho$  be a common prime factor of  $(x + \zeta^j y)(x + \zeta^{j'} y)$ . It's a factor of

$$(x + \zeta^j y) - (x + \zeta^{j'} y) = (\zeta^j y(1 - \zeta^{j'-j})) = (y(1 - \zeta))$$

and  $(y(1 - \zeta)) \mid (yp)$ . Thus,  $\rho \mid (yp)$ ; but also,  $\rho \mid (z^p)$ . Since  $(z^p), (yp)$  are coprime,  $\rho \mid (1)$ , a contradiction.

Since the  $(x + y\zeta^j)$  are coprime and  $\prod_j (x + y\zeta^j)$  is a  $p^{\text{th}}$  power, we must have each  $(x + y\zeta^j) = I_j^p$ . Since  $p \nmid h_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)}$  and  $I_j^p$  is trivial in  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta))$ , we have that  $I_j$  is principal.

Take  $j = 1$ , and we have  $(x + \zeta y) = (t)^p$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , so  $x + \zeta y = ut^p$ . Consider  $t = b_0 + b_1\zeta + \dots + b_{p-2}\zeta^{p-2}$ . Then modulo  $p\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , we have  $t^p \equiv b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_{p-2} \pmod{p}$ . But then  $\bar{t} = b_0 + \dots + b_{p-2}\zeta^{-1}$ , so  $\bar{t}^p \equiv b_0 + \dots + b_{p-2} \pmod{p}$  and  $t^p \equiv \bar{t}^p \pmod{p}$ . Furthermore,  $\frac{u}{\bar{u}} = \pm \zeta^j$ . Consider the case where  $+$ , so

$$x + y\zeta = ut^p = \zeta^j \bar{u} t^p \equiv \zeta^j \bar{u} \bar{t}^0 = \zeta^j (x + \bar{\zeta} y)$$

Set  $\zeta^j = \frac{u}{\bar{u}}$ , then  $x + y\zeta - y\bar{\zeta}^{j-1} - x\bar{\zeta}^j \equiv 0 \pmod{p} (*)$ . But now,

$$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]/(p) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(p, x^{p-1} + \dots + x + 1) = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^{p-1} + \dots + x + 1) = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x - 1)^{p-1}$$

so, modulo  $p$ ,  $1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{p-2}$  form a basis. If  $j \notin \{0, 1, 2, p-1\}$ , then  $(*)$  contradicts linear independence.

## 17 LATTICES AND MINKOWSKI'S THEOREM

**Definition.** A lattice is an Abelian subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

*Example.* If  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a lattice in  $K \cong \mathbb{Q}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a lattice since we have an integral basis.

*Example.* Consider  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $\tau$  be in the upper half plane. Then  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\tau$ , and  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda = \mathcal{T}$  is the torus. We say that  $\mathcal{T}$  is an elliptic curve, and every elliptic curve arises like this.

Choose a basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  for  $\Lambda$ ; this basis is also an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  is a basis for  $\Lambda$  and  $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n$  is a basis for  $\Lambda$ , then we have a change of basis matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha'_n \end{pmatrix} = P \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $P \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\det P = \pm 1$ . Thus, we can define the **volume** of  $\Lambda$  to be

$$d(\Lambda) = |\det(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)|$$

This is independent of the choice of basis since any change of basis matrix has determinant 1.

**17.1 Theorem. (Blichfeldt)** *If  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a lattice,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with Lebesgue measure  $\mu(S)$ . Suppose  $\mu(S) > md(\Lambda)$ , or  $\mu(S) \geq md(\Lambda)$  and  $S$  is compact, then there exist distinct  $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in S$  such that  $x_i - x_j \in \Lambda$ .*

**PROOF** Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$  be a basis. Let  $P = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \alpha_i \mid 0 \leq \theta_i < 1 \right\}$ , so that  $\mu(P) = d(\Lambda)$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , let  $R(\lambda) = \{v \in P \mid \lambda + v \in S\}$ . Then  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu(R(\lambda)) = \mu(S) > m\mu(P)$ . Thus, there exists  $v_0 \in P$  which occurs in at least  $m+1$  of the  $R(\lambda)$ 's. If instead  $S$  is compact, for any  $\epsilon_r > 0$ , get  $v_r \in P$  which occurs in at least  $m+1$  of  $R(\lambda)$ 's, and take a convergent subsequence with limit  $v_0$ .

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m+1}$  distinct such that  $v_0 \in R(\lambda_i)$ ; then  $x_i = \lambda_i + v_0 \in S$ . Then  $x_i - x_j = \lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \Lambda$ .

Now consider the case  $\mu(S) = m\mu(P)$  and  $S$  compact. For any  $\epsilon_r > 0$ , let  $S_r = (1 + \epsilon_r)S$  so that  $\mu(S_r) > m\mu(P)$ . Then get  $(x_1^r, \dots, x_{m+1}^r)$  such that  $x_{i_r} - x_{j_r} \in \Lambda$ . Taking a convergent subsequence such that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} x_{j_r} = x_j$  exists. Since  $\Lambda$  is discrete, if  $x_i = x_j$ , then we would have some  $r$  such that  $x_{i,r} = x_{j,r}$ . ■

**17.2 Theorem. (Minkowski)** *Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a lattice,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then if  $\mu(S) > m2^n d(\Lambda)$  or  $S$  is compact and  $\mu(S) = m2^n d(\Lambda)$ , then there exist  $m$  pairs  $(\lambda_1, -\lambda_1), \dots, (\lambda_m, -\lambda_m)$  with  $\lambda_j \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\lambda_j \in S$ .*

**PROOF** Either  $\mu(S/2) > md(\Lambda)$  or  $\mu(S/2) = md(\Lambda)$  and  $S/2$  is compact. Thus, the previous theorem tells us there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in S$  such that  $x_i/2 - x_j/2 \in \Lambda$ . Order these  $x_i$  such that  $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_{m+1}$  where we say  $x_i > x_j$  if the first non-zero coordinate of  $x_i - x_j$  is positive. Take  $\lambda_j = x_j/2 - x_{m+1}/2$ . By choice of ordering, the  $\pm \lambda_j$  are distinct. Since  $S$  is symmetric,  $-x_{m+1}/2 \in S$ . Since  $S$  is convex,  $\lambda_j = x_j/2 + (-x_{m+1})/2 \in S$ . ■

*Remark.* The bound is sharp: consider  $S = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x_1| < m, |x_j| < 1\}$ . Then  $\mu(S) = m2^n = m2^n d(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  contains exactly  $m$  lattice points.

Suppose  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ . Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the embeddings, so  $r_1$  is the number of real embeddings  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r_1}\}$  and  $r_2$  pairs of complex embeddings  $\{\sigma_{r_1+1}, \overline{\sigma}_{r_1+1}, \dots, \sigma_{r_1+r_2}, \overline{\sigma}_{r_1+r_2}\}$ . Put these together into  $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$\sigma \mapsto (\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_{r_1}(\alpha), \text{Re } \sigma_{r_1+1}(\alpha), \text{Im } \sigma_{r_1+1}(\alpha), \text{Re } \sigma_{r_1+r_2}(\alpha), \text{Im } \sigma_{r_1+r_2}(\alpha))$$

**17.3 Lemma.** *Let  $A \neq 0$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $\sigma(A)$  is a lattice  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d(\Lambda) = 2^{-r_2} \sqrt{|\text{disc}(K)|} N(A)$ .*

**PROOF** Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be an integral basis for  $A$ . Let  $D_0$  be the determinant of the matrix whose  $i$  row is

$$(\sigma_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \sigma_{r_1}(\alpha_i), \text{Re } \sigma_{r_1+1}(\alpha_i), \text{Im } \sigma_{r_1+1}(\alpha_i), \dots)$$

From a long time ago, we know  $\det(\sigma_j(\alpha_i)) = \sqrt{|\text{disc}(K)|}N(A)$ . Using the fact that  $\text{Re } \sigma = \frac{\sigma + \bar{\sigma}}{2}$  and  $\text{Im } \sigma = \frac{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}{2i}$  and row operations to see  $D_0 = \left(\frac{1}{-2i}\right)^{r_2} \det(\sigma_j(\alpha_i))$ . In particular,  $D_0 \neq 0$  so  $\Lambda$  is a lattice and  $d(\Lambda) = D_0$ . ■

**17.4 Theorem.** *If  $A \neq 0$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then there exists  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $|N(\alpha)| \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \sqrt{|\text{disc}(K)|}$ .*

**PROOF** Given  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , let  $S_t = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_i| \leq t, i = 1, \dots, r_1; x_{r_1+2j+1}^2 + x_{r_1+2j+2}^2 \leq t^2, j = 0, \dots, r_2 - 1\}$ .  $S_t$  is clearly convex and symmetric, and  $\mu(S_t) = 2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} t^n$ . Choose  $t$  such that  $2^{r_1} \pi^{r_2} t^n = 2^n \frac{1}{r_2} \sqrt{|\text{disc}(K)|}N(A)$ , i.e.

$$t = \left( \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{r_2} \sqrt{|\text{disc}(K)|}N(A) \right)^{1/n}$$

Apply Minkowski's Theorem with  $m = 1$ . Thus there exists  $0 \neq \alpha \in A$  such that  $\sigma(\alpha) \in S_t$ . Then

$$|N(\alpha)| = \left| \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} \sigma_{i+r_2}(\alpha) \overline{\sigma_{i+r_2}(\alpha)} \right| \leq t^{r_1+r_2}$$

since  $\sigma(\alpha) \in S_t$ . Thus,  $|N(\alpha)| \leq t^n = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \sqrt{|\text{disc}(K)|}N(A)$ . ■

**17.5 Corollary.** *We can take  $C_k = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{r_2} \sqrt{|\text{disc}(K)|}$ .*

**17.6 Theorem.** *If  $p$  is an odd prime, then  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  if and only if there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p = x^2 + y^2$ .*

**PROOF** We already know  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . First suppose  $p = x^2 + y^2$ . The modulo 4, the squares are 0,1 and since  $p$  is odd, we see that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Since  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ ,  $p$  splits in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Then  $p = \rho q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , where  $p, q$  are primes. Then  $p^2 = N(p) = N(\rho)N(q)$ . Thus  $N(\rho) = p$ , and since  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a PID,  $\rho = (a + bi)$ . Then  $p = N(\rho) = a^2 + b^2$ . ■

**PROOF** We show  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$  implies  $p = x^2 + y^2$ . There exists  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $l^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be the lattice with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $(1, l)$  and  $(0, p)$ . Then  $d(\Lambda) = p$ . Let  $S$  be a disc with radius  $r$ . Then  $\mu(S) = \pi r^2 \geq 2^2 p$ . Choose  $r = 2\sqrt{p/\pi}$ . By Minkowski,  $S$  contains a non-zero lattice point  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  with  $m(1, l) + n(0, p)$ , so the lattice point is  $(m, ml + np)$ . It's in  $S$ , so  $0 < m^2 + (ml + np)^2 \leq r^2 = 2p$ . Then,  $m^2 + (ml + np)^2 \equiv m^2 + (ml)^2 \equiv m^2(1 + l^2) \equiv 0$ , so  $m^2 + (ml + np)^2 = p$ . ■

*Remark.* If  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $(a_1^2 + b_1^2)(a_2^2 + b_2^2) = c_1^2 + c_2^2$ . To see thus,  $a := a_1^2 + a_2^2 = N(a_1 + ia_2)$  and  $b := b_1^2 + b_2^2 = N(b_1 + ib_2)$ . Then  $ab = N(z_2) = c_1^2 + c_2^2$ . In particular, if  $n = \prod p_i$  where  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $n = x^2 + y^2$ . In fact, you can prove  $n = x^2 + y^2$  iff the prime factors  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  occur to even exponents.

**17.7 Proposition. (Euler's Four Squares Identity)** We have

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i^2 \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^4 c_i^2$$

where

$$c_1 = a_1 b_1 - a_2 b_2 - a_3 b_3 - a_4 b_4$$

$$c_2 = a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 + a_3 b_4 - a_4 b_3$$

$$c_3 = a_1 b_3 - a_2 b_4 + a_3 b_1 + a_4 b_2$$

$$c_4 = a_1 b_4 + a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2 + a_4 b_1$$

This follows since the norm on  $\mathcal{H}$  (quaternions) is multiplicative.

**17.8 Theorem. (Four Squares)** If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then there exists  $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2$ .

PROOF In light of the Four Squares identity, it suffices to show that primes are sums of four squares.

Claim: If  $p$  is prime, then there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . proof later

Given  $p$  prime, choose  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a^2 + b^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Consider the lattice  $\Lambda$  with basis

$$\{(1, 0, a, b), (0, 1, b, -a), (0, 0, p, 0), (0, 0, 0, p)\}$$

so  $d(\Lambda) = p^2$ . Let  $S$  be a ball of radius  $r$ . Then  $\mu(S) = \pi^2 r^4 / 2$ . Choose  $r^2 = 4p / \pi \sqrt{2}$ , so  $\mu(S) = p^2$ . By Minkowski,  $S$  contains a non-zero lattice point  $(x, y, z, w)$  with  $0 < x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 \leq r^2 < 2p$ . Note that  $(x, y, z, w) = \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2 + \gamma v_3 + \delta v_4$ . Then  $x = \alpha$ ,  $y = \beta$ ,  $z = a\alpha + b\beta + p\gamma$ ,  $w = b\alpha - a\beta + p\delta$ . Modulo  $p$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 &\equiv x^2 + y^2 + (ax + by)^2 + (bx - ay)^2 \\ &\equiv x^2 + y^2 + a^2 x^2 + b^2 y^2 + b^2 x^2 + a^2 y^2 \\ &\equiv (1 + a^2 + b^2)x^2 + (1 + a^2 + b^2)y^2 \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

we have  $a^2 + b^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . ■

**17.9 Lemma.** For every prime  $p$ , there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x^2 + y^2 + 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

PROOF If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ , so you can solve with  $y = 0$ . Thus, we can assume  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$ . Equivalently, we want to solve  $y^2 + 1 \equiv -x^2 \pmod{p}$ . Note that  $|\{y^2 + 1 \mid y \in \mathbb{F}_p\}| = (p+1)/2$ . Furthermore,  $y^2 + 1$  is not 0 since  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$ . Thus  $y^2 + 1$  only takes non-zero values,  $(p+1)/2$  such values. Only  $(p-1)/2$  non-zero squares, so  $y^2 + 1$  must be non-square for some  $y = y_0$ . Thus  $(-y_0^2 + 1)$  is a square, i.e. there exists  $x$  such that  $x^2 \equiv -(y_0^2 + 1)$ . ■

**17.10 Theorem. (Dirichlet Unit)** If  $K$  is a number field with  $r_1$  real embeddings and  $2r_2$  complex embeddings, then  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong \mu_K \times \mathbb{Z}^{r_1 + r_2 - 1}$ , where  $\mu_K$  is the set of roots of unity in  $K$ .

PROOF Let  $\theta : K \rightarrow V := \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$  by

$$\alpha \mapsto (\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_{r_1}(\alpha), \sigma_{r_1+1}(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_{r_1+r_2}(\alpha))$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  are the real embeddings and  $\sigma_{r_1+1}, \dots, \sigma_{r_1+r_2}$  are the complex embeddings. Let  $N : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $N(x_1, \dots, x_{r_1}, z_{r_1+1}, \dots, z_{r_1+r_2}) = \prod x_i \cdot \prod |z_j|^2$ . Then  $N(\theta(\alpha)) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$ . Furthermore,  $V$  is a ring with coordinate-wise operations  $V^\times = (\mathbb{R}^\times)^{r_1} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r_2}$ . Set  $G := \{v \in V^\times \mid |N(v)| = 1\}$ , so  $G$  is a subgroup of  $V^\times$ . It is also closed as a topological space since it is the inverse image of 1 under the continuous map  $v \mapsto |N(v)|$ . Consider  $U := \theta(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) = \theta(\mathcal{O}_K) \cap G$ . Then  $\theta(\mathcal{O}_K) \subseteq V$  is a lattice, and  $U \subseteq G$  is discrete.

For example, consider  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ ,  $\theta : K \rightarrow V = \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $a + b\sqrt{2} \mapsto (a + b\sqrt{2}, a - b\sqrt{2})$ . Then  $N : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ , so  $G = \{(x, y) : xy = 1\}$  is the set of hyperbolas. Note that  $G$  is closed but not compact. In this case,  $U = \theta(\pm(1 + \sqrt{2})^{\mathbb{Z}})$ , and  $U \subseteq G$  is discrete. (see diagram on phone)

Then  $G/U$  is compact.

Let's show that  $G/U$  is compact in the quotient topology of  $V^\times/U$ . To do this, let's find  $S \subseteq G$  compact  $S \twoheadrightarrow G/U$ .

If  $v \in V^\times$ , then multiply by  $v$  is continuous because it is multiplication by a matrix, and  $|\deg| = |N(v)|$ . Thus if  $R \subseteq V$  is any region, then  $\lambda(vR) = \lambda(R)|N(v)|$ . In particular, if  $v \in G$ , then  $\lambda(R) = \lambda(vR)$ . Let  $C \subseteq V$  be any compact, symmetric, convex region with  $\lambda(C) \geq 2^n$ . Then for all  $g \in G$ ,  $g^{-1}C$  is also symmetric, compact, and convex, with the same volume. Then by Minkowski, there exists  $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\theta(\alpha) \in g^{-1}C$ . In particular,  $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| = |N(\theta(\alpha))| \in |N(g^{-1}C)|$

Recall

$$\theta : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2} = V, \alpha \mapsto (\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_{r_1}(\alpha), \sigma_{r_1+1}(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_{r_1+r_2}(\alpha))$$

$$N : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x_i, z_j) \mapsto \prod x_i \cdot \prod |z_j|^2$$

$$V^\times = (\mathbb{R}^\times)^{r_1} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r_2}, G = \{v \in V^\times : |N(v)| = 1\}$$

$$U = \theta(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) = \theta(\mathcal{O}_K) \cap G$$

$$U \subseteq G \text{ is discrete.}$$

Let's show that  $G/U$  is compact. Last time, we chose  $C \subseteq V$  any compact, symmetric, convex region with  $\lambda(C) \geq 2^n(\theta(\mathcal{O}_K))$ .  $C$  is compact, so  $|N(C)| \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is compact, and thus contains finitely many integers. If  $g \in G$  is arbitrary, then  $(g^{-1}C) = (C) \geq 2^n(\theta(\mathcal{O}_K))$ . Thus by Minkowski,  $g^{-1}C$  contains some  $\theta(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ . As well,  $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| = |N(\theta(\alpha))| \in |N(g^{-1}C)| = |N(C)|$ , so  $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|$  is one of the finitely many integers contained in  $|N(C)|$ . If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{O}_K$  represent all possible  $|N(\alpha_i)| \in |N(C)|$ , then  $|N(\alpha)| = |N(\alpha_i)|$  for some  $i$ , so  $\alpha \in \alpha_i \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . This says for all  $g \in G$ , there exists  $i$  such that  $g^{-1}C \cap \theta(\alpha_i \mathcal{O}_K^\times) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $gU \cap \theta(\alpha_i^{-1})C \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $G/U$  is represented by  $G \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^m \theta(\alpha_i)^{-1}C$  is a finite union of compact sets.

Now, consider the "log map"  $L : V^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$  via  $(x_i; z_j) \mapsto (\log|x_i|; 2\log|z_j|)$ , a continuous group homomorphism. Recall  $G = \{v \in V^\times : \prod |x_i| \cdot \prod |z_j|^2 = 1\}$ . Then  $L(G) \subseteq H := \{(y_i) : \sum y_i = 0\} \cong \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2-1}$ . Furthermore  $L(G) = H$ .

Let's understand  $L(U) \subseteq L(G) = H$ . We'll show that  $L(U)$  is a lattice and understand  $\ker(L|_U)$ . Clearly  $\ker L = \{\pm 1\}^{r_1} \times (S^1)^{r_2}$  is compact. As well,  $\theta(\mu_K) \subseteq U \cap \ker L$ . Since  $U \subseteq V^\times$

is discrete, and hence closed, so  $U \cap \ker L \subseteq \ker L$  is discrete and closed, so  $U \cap \ker L$  is compact. Since  $U \cap \ker L$  is compact and discrete, it is finite. Thus  $U \cap \ker L \subseteq \theta(\mu_k)$ .

Consider  $L(G) \cong \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2-1}$  and choose a box  $B = \{(y_i) : |y_i| \leq b\}$ . Let's show that  $L(U) \cap B$  is finite. If  $L(\theta(\alpha)) \in B$ , then  $|\sigma(\alpha)| \leq e^b$  for some  $\sigma$  real, and  $|\sigma(\alpha)| \leq e^{b/2}$  for some  $\sigma$  complex. Then

$$\prod_{\sigma} (t - \sigma(\alpha)) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

has bounded coefficients. There are only finitely many such polynomials, so there are only finitely many  $\alpha$  and  $L(U) \subseteq L(G)$  is discrete.

Thus  $L(U) \subseteq L(G) \cong \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2-1}$  is a discrete subgroup. Thus  $L(U) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$  for some  $r \leq r_1 + r_2 - 1$ . Since  $G/U \rightarrow L(G)/L(U)$  is a surjection,  $L(G)/L(U) \cong (S^1)^r \times \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2-1-r}$  is compact, so  $r = r_1 + r_2 - 1$ .

Given  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ ,  $L(\theta(\epsilon)) \in L(U)$ , so there exists  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $L(\theta(\epsilon)) = \sum a_i L(\theta(\epsilon_i)) = L(\theta(\prod \epsilon_i^{a_i}))$ . Thus  $L(\theta(\epsilon^{-1} \prod \epsilon_i^{a_i})) = 0$ , so  $\epsilon^{-1} \prod \epsilon_i^{a_i} \in \ker L|_U$ , so  $\epsilon = \zeta \prod \epsilon_i^{a_i}$ , and  $\zeta \in \mu_K$ . Lastly, if  $\prod \epsilon_i^{a_i} = 1$ , then  $0 = \sum a_i L(\theta(\epsilon_i))$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis, so  $a_i = 0$ . ■

*Example.* If  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , then  $r_1 = 2$ ,  $r_2 = 0$ ,  $\mu_K = \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ , Thus  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ , then  $r_2 = 0$ ,  $r_1 = 4$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{\pm 1\} \times \mathbb{Z}^3$ .

Let's look at all  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . Recall that  $\text{disc}(K) \approx D$  (up to a linear factor); order all of those  $K$  by  $\text{disc}(K)$ . Given  $B > 0$ , there are only finitely many  $K$  with  $|\text{disc}(K)| \leq B$ ; call this number  $N_B$ . Let's compute the growth rate of  $N_B$ . We have  $N_B \approx B \cdot (\text{Probability of being square free})$ . If  $p$  fixed is prime, then the probability of being divisible by  $p^2$  is  $1/p^2$ . Being divisible by  $q$  is independent of being divisible by  $p$ . Thus the probability of being squarefree is should equal  $\prod_p (1 - 1/p^2)$ . What is this product?

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^2}} &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^4} + \cdots \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \cdots \\ &= \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the probability of being squarefree is  $6/\pi^2$ . Thus  $N_B \approx 6/\pi^2 B \approx cB$ .

Special case of Malle conjecture. Consider  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  of degree  $n$  with Galois closure Galois group  $S_n$ . Order by  $|\text{disc}(K)|$ . Can ask how many such  $K$  are at most  $B$ ? The conjecture is that it grows like  $cB$  for some constant  $c$ .

Davenport-Heilbrom proved this in '71 when  $n = 3$ .  $n = 4, 5$  were proven by Bhargava's thesis

Similar but different kind of questions. Let  $X$  be the solution set to a set of polynomial equations in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . For example,  $y^2 = x^3 + 17x$ . Let's concentrate on the rational solutions; we denote this by  $X(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $X = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ . We'll take  $X(\mathbb{Q})$  and order the elements by "height". Given  $(x, y) \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ , clear denominators to get  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  coprime. We then say  $H(x, y) = \max\{|a|, |b|\}$ . Just like in other settings, if  $B > 0$  is fixed, then  $N_B := |\{(x, y) \in X(\mathbb{Q}) : h(x, y) < B\}|$  is finite. For the circle,  $N_B \approx 12/\pi^2 B^2$ .

The Batyrev-Mann Conjecture roughly says  $N_B \approx cB^a(B)^b$  where  $a, b$  are specific geometric constants.