Functional Analysis

Alex Rutar* University of Waterloo

Fall 2019[†]

^{*}arutar@uwaterloo.ca

[†]Last updated: September 18, 2019

Contents

Chapter	r I Fundamentals of Functional Analysis	
1	Basic Elements of Functional Analysis	1
2	Linear operators and linear functionals	5
3	Axiom of Choice and the Hahn-Banach Theorem	8

I. Fundamentals of Functional Analysis

1 Basic Elements of Functional Analysis

Throughout, we denote by \mathbb{F} either the field \mathbb{R} or the field \mathbb{C} .

BANACH SPACES

Definition. Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{F} . A **norm** is a functional $\|\cdot\|: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that it is

- (non-negative) $||x|| \ge 0$ for any $x \in X$
- (non-degenerate) ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0
- (subadditivity) $||x+y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ for $x, y \in X$
- $(|\cdot| homogeneity) ||\alpha x|| = |\alpha| ||x|| \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, x \in X.$

We call the pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ a **normed vector space**. Furthermore, we say that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a **Banach space** provided that X is complete with respect to the metric $\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|$.

Example. (i) $(\mathbb{F}, |\cdot|)$ is a Banach space.

(ii) $(\mathbb{F}^b, ||\cdot||_p), x = (x_j)_{j=1}^n$,

$$||x||_p = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_j|^p\right)^{1/p} & 1 \le p < \infty \\ \max_{j=1,\dots,n} |x_j| & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

(iii) Consider the space

$$L_p^{\mathbb{F}} = \left\{ f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ is Lebesgue measurable,} \left(\int_0^1 |f|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\} \Big|_{\sim_{\text{a.e.}}}$$

where $1 \le p < \infty$.

- (iv) $L_{\infty}^{\mathbb{F}}[0,1]$, $||f||_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [0,1]} |f(t)|$.
- (v) Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then

$$C_b^{\mathbb{F}}(x) = \{ f : X \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ is continuous and bounded } \}, \quad ||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$$

is a Banach space.

Here is a more interesting example:

Example. Let (X,d) be a metric space. We define the space of Lipschitz functions

$$\operatorname{Lip}^{\mathbb{F}}(X,d) = \left\{ f: X \to \mathbb{F} \middle| f \text{ is bounded, } L(f) = \sup_{\substack{x,y \in X \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} < \infty \right\}$$

We note that for $f: X \to \mathbb{F}$ that

$$f \in \operatorname{Lip}^{\mathbb{F}}(X, d) \Leftrightarrow \text{there is } L \ge 0 \text{ s.t. } |f(x) - f(x)| \le Ld(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$
 (1.1)

It is easy to verify that $L(f) = \min\{L \ge 0 : (1.1) \text{ holds for } f\}$. It is an easy exercise to see that $\operatorname{Lip}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is a vector space, and that $L : \operatorname{Lip}^F(X,d) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a **semi-norm** (non-negative, subadditive, $|\cdot|$ -homogeneous). However, we do not have non-degeneracy (for example, constants are taken to 0). We define the Lipschitz norm

$$||f||_{\text{Lip}} = ||f||_{\infty} + L(f)$$

1.1 Proposition. (Lip^{\mathbb{F}}(X,d), $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}}$) is a Banach space.

PROOF Let $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(\operatorname{Lip}^{\mathbb{F}}(X,d),\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{Lip}})$. Since $\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{Lip}}$ on $\operatorname{Lip}^F(X,d)$, we see that $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly Cauchy (and bounded), and hence there is $f=\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n$ in $C_b^{\mathbb{F}}(X)$, where the limit is taken with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, since $(C_b^{\mathbb{F}}(X),\|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a Banach space. If $x,y\in X$, then

$$|f(x) - f(x)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \le \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_n(x) - f_n(y)|$$

$$\le \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(f_n) d(x, y) \le \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||f_n||_{\text{Lip}} d(x, y)$$

Since Cauchy sequences are bounded, we see that $|f(x) - f(y)| \le Ld(x,y)$, where $L = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_{\text{Lip}} < \infty$. Thus by (1.1), $f \in \text{Lip}^{\mathbb{F}}(X,d)$. Exercise: one may verify that $\|f - f_n\|_{\text{Lip}} \to 0$.

Another collection of basic examples are given by the sequence spaces. We can define

$$\ell_1^{\mathbb{F}} = \left\{ x = (x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \, \middle| \, ||x||_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j| < \infty \right\}$$

It is easy to see that $(\ell_1, ||\cdot||_1)$ is a normed vector space.

For 1 , and write

$$\ell_p^{\mathbb{F}} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \middle| ||x||_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}$$

Note that $0 \in \ell_p$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, $\alpha x \in \ell_p$ if $x \in \ell_p$. Let q = p/(p-1) so that 1/p + 1/q = 1. Then q is called the **conjugate index**. We have

1.2 Proposition. (Young's Inequality) If $a, b \ge 0$ in \mathbb{R} , then $ab \le a^p/p + b^q/q$, with equality only if $a^p = b^q$.

and

1.3 Proposition. (Hölder's Inequality) If $x \in \ell_p$ and $y \in \ell_q$, then $xy = (x_i y_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_1$, with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| x_i y_i \right| \le \|x\|_p \left\| y \right\|_q$$

with equality exactly when $\operatorname{sgn}(x_i y_i) = \operatorname{sgn}(x_k y_k)$ for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ where $x_i y_i \neq 0 \neq x_k y_k$, and $|x|^p = (|x_j|^p)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $|y|^q$ are linearly dependent in ℓ_1 .

and finally

1.4 Proposition. (Minkowski's Inequality) If $x, y \in \ell_p$, then $||x + y||_p \le ||x||_p + ||y||_p$ with equality exactly when one of x or y is a non-negative scalar combination of the other.

REVIEW OF TOPOLOGY

Let *X* denote a non-empty set, and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denote the power set of *X*.

Definition. A **topology** on a set X is a set τ of subsets of X such that

- (i) \emptyset , $X \in \tau$
- (ii) If $U_{\alpha} \in \tau$ for all $\alpha \in A$, then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \in \tau$.
- (iii) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U_i \in \tau$ for each $1 \le i \le n$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \tau$.

The sets $U \in \tau$ are called the **open sets** in X, and sets of the form $X \setminus U$ for some open set U are called the **closed sets** in X. The pair (X, τ) is called a **topological space**.

The metric topology on a metric space (X, d) is the topology

$$\tau_d = \{ U \subseteq X \mid \text{ for each } x_0 \in U, \text{ there is } \delta = \delta(x_0) \text{ s.t. } B_\delta(x_0) \subseteq U \}$$

Example. (i) Given two metrics d, ρ on X, we say that $d \sim \rho$ if and only if there are c, C > 0 such that

$$cd(x,y) \le \rho(x,y) \le Cd(x,y)$$
 for any $x,y \in X$

Note that $d \sim \rho$ implies that $\tau_d = \tau_\rho$, but the reverse implication is not true. An example of this are the metrics on $X = \mathbb{R}$ given by d(x,y) and $\rho(x,y) = \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|}$. Then $d \nsim \rho$ but $\tau_d = \tau_\rho$.

(ii) "Sorgenfry line" Set $X = \mathbb{R}$, and consider

$$\sigma = \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid \text{ for any } s \in V, \text{ there is } \delta = \delta(s) > 0 \text{ s.t. } [s, s + \delta) \subseteq V \}$$

It is an exercise to verify that $\tau_{|\cdot|} \subseteq \sigma$. We say that σ is **finer** than $\tau_{|\cdot|}$.

(iii) Relative topology: let (X, τ) be a topological space, and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq X$. Then we can define a topology $\tau|_A = \{U \cap A : U \in \tau\}$.

Definition. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be topological spaces, and $f: X \to Y$. We say that f is $(\tau - \sigma -)$ **continuous** at x_0 in X if,

• given $V \in \sigma$ such that $f(x_0) \in V$, then there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $x_0 \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq V$.

We say that f is $(\tau - \sigma -)$ continuous if it is continuous at each x_0 in X.

Space of bounded continuous functions into a normed space

Let $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ denote a normed space. We let $\tau_{\|\cdot\|}$ denote the topology given by the metric $\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|$. Let (X, τ) denote any topological space. Then we write

$$C_b^Y(X) = \{ f : X \to Y \mid f \text{ is bounded and } \tau - \tau_{\|\cdot\|} - \text{continuous} \}$$

With pointwise operations, we see that $C_b^Y(X)$ is a vector space. We also define for $f \in C_b^Y(X)$, $||f||_{\infty} = \sup\{||f(x)|| : x \in X\}$, making $(C_b^Y(X), ||\cdot||_{\infty})$ a normed vector space.

1.5 Theorem. If $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, then $(C_h^Y(X), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a Banach space.

PROOF Let $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(C_b^Y(X), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$. Then for any $x \in X$, we have that $(f_n(x))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ since $\|f_n(x) - f_m(x)\| \le \|f_n - f_m\|_{\infty}$, and hence admis a limit f(x). In particular, $x \mapsto f(x)$ defines a function from X to Y. We shall fix $x_0 \in X$ and show that f is continuous at x_0 . Given $\epsilon > 0$, we let

- n_1 be so $n, m \ge n_1$ so that $||f_n f_m||_{\infty} < \epsilon/4$.
- n_2 be so $n \ge n_2$ so that $||f_n(x_0) f(x_0)|| < \epsilon/4$.
- $N = \max\{n_1, n_2\}.$
- $U \in \tau$, $x_0 \in U$ such that $f_N(U) \subseteq B_{\epsilon/4}(f(x_0)) \subset Y$.

Then for $x \in U$, we let n_x be so $n_x \ge n_1$ and $n \ge n_x$, so that $||f_n(x) - f(x)|| < \epsilon/4$. We then have

$$\begin{split} \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| &\leq \left\| f(x) - f_{n_x}(x) \right\| + \left\| f_{n_x}(x) - f_N(x) \right\| + \|f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)\| + \|f_N(x_0) - f(x_0)\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \left\| f_{n_x} - f_N \right\|_{\infty} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} < \epsilon \end{split}$$

in other words that $f(U) \subseteq B_{\epsilon}(f(x_0))$.

Now let us check that $||f||_{\infty} < \infty$. Since $|||f_n||_{\infty} - ||f_m||_{\infty}| \le ||f_n - f_m||_{\infty}$, so $(||f_n||_{\infty})_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Cauchy, hence bounded. If $x \in X$, then

$$||f(x)|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||f_n(x)|| \le \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||f_n(x)|| \le \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||f_n||_{\infty} < \infty$$

so $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} ||f(x)|| < \infty$.

Notice that if ϵ , n_1 are as above, and further x_0 , N are as above, we have for $n \ge n_1$

$$||f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)|| \le ||f_n(x_0) - f_N(x_0)|| + ||f_N(x_0) - f(x_0)|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

so $||f_n - f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x_0 \in X} ||f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)|| \le \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$. This is uniform since n_1 is chosen uniformly in X.

1.6 Corollary. $(C_h^{\mathbb{F}}(X), ||\cdot||_{\infty})$ is a Banach space.

Let's first note the following general priniple: let (X,d), (Y,ρ) be metric spaces, where (X,d) is complete. If $\psi: X \to Y$ is a $(d-\rho-)$ isometry, then $(\psi(X),\rho|_{\psi(X)})$ is a complete metric space.

Example. (i) Let *T* be a non-empty set and let

$$\ell_{\infty}(T) = \left\{ x = (x_t)_{t \in T} \in \mathbb{F}^T \mid ||x||_{\infty} \right\} < \infty$$

With pointwise operations, $(\ell_{\infty}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a normed space. In fact, it is a Banach space. Let us note that

$$f \mapsto (f(t))_{t \in T} : C_h(T, \mathcal{P}(T)) \to \ell_{\infty}(T)$$

is a surjective linear isometry, and the result follows.

(ii) Let $c = \{x \in \ell_{\infty} \mid \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \text{ exists} \}$. Then $(c, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a Banach space. Consider the topological space given by $\omega = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, with topology

$$\tau_{\omega} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \ge n\}$$

The map $f \mapsto (f(n))_{n=1}^{\infty} : C_b(\omega) \to c$ is a linear surjective isometry.

(iii) $c_0 = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0 \} \subseteq c \subseteq \ell_{\infty}.$

1.7 Lemma. If $x_0 \in X$ where (X, τ) is a topological space, then

$$\mathcal{I}(x_0) = \{ f \in C_b(x) \mid f(x_0) = 0 \}$$

is closed, hence complete, subspace of $C_b(X)$.

PROOF If $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(x_0)$ and $f = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ in $C_b(X)$, then $f(x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x_0) = 0$. Thus $f \in \mathcal{I}(x_0)$, and closed subsets of complete spaces are themselves complete.

Now, $f \mapsto (f(n))_{n=1}^{\infty} : \mathcal{I}(\infty) \to c_0$ is a (linear) surjective isometry.

(iv) Consider the Sorgenfty line (\mathbb{R} , σ): verify that

$$c_b(\mathbb{R}, \sigma) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ is bounded and } \lim_{t \to t_0^+} f(t) = f(t_0) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

2 Linear operators and linear functionals

Let X, Y be vector spaces. We let $\mathcal{L}(X, Y) = \{S : X \to Y \mid S \text{ is linear}\}$; this is itself a vector space with pointwise operations. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. We denote

$$D(X) = \{x \in X : ||x|| < 1\}$$

$$S(X) = \{x \in X : ||x|| = 1\}$$

$$B(X) = \{x \in X : ||x|| \le 1\}$$

(Yes, this notation is confusion. No, I didn't choose it.)

- **2.1 Proposition.** If X, Y are normed spaces and $S \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, then the following are equivalent:
 - (i) S is continuous
 - (ii) S is continuous at some $x_0 \in X$
- (iii) $||S|| = \sup_{x \in D(X)} ||Sx|| < \infty$.

Moreover, in this case, we have

$$||S|| = \min\{L > 0 : ||Sx|| \le L ||x|| \text{ for } x \in X\}$$
$$= \sup_{x \in S(X)} ||Sx|| = \sup_{x \in B(X)} ||Sx||$$

Proof $(i \Rightarrow ii)$ Obvious $(ii \Rightarrow iii)$ Note that

$$Sx_0 + D(Y) = \{Sx_0 + y : t \in D(Y)\} = \{y \in Y : ||Sx_0 - y'|| < 1\}$$

is a neighbourhood of Sx_0 . By the definition of metric continuity, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$x_0 + \delta D(X) = \{x_0 + \delta x : x \in D(x)\} = \{x' \in X : ||x_0 - x'|| < \delta\}$$

such that

$$Sx_0 + \delta S(D(X)) = S(x_0 + \delta D(x) \subseteq Sx_0 + D(Y)$$

which implies that $\delta S(D(X)) \subseteq D(Y)$ and $S(D(X)) \subseteq D(Y)/\delta$, in other words that $||Sx|| \le 1/\delta$ for $x \in D(X)$.

 $(iii \Rightarrow i)$ If $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then

$$||Sx|| = (||x|| + \epsilon) \left| \left| S\left(\frac{1}{||x|| + \epsilon} ||x||\right) \right| \right| \le (||x|| + \epsilon)||S||$$

Then, letting $\epsilon \to 0^+$, we see that

$$||Sx|| \le ||x|| ||S|| = ||S|| ||X||$$

If $x, x' \in X$, then $||Sx - S'x|| \le ||S|| ||x - x'||$ is S is Lipschitz, hence continuous.

To complete the proof, the content of (iii) implies (i) tellus us that the Lipschitz constant $L(S) \le ||S||$. Furthermore, if ||x|| = 1, the preceding proof gives us that $||S||_{S(X)}$. Conversely,

$$||S|| = \sup_{x \in D(X) \setminus \{0\}} ||Sx|| = \sup_{x \in D(X) \setminus \{0\}} ||x|| \left| \left| S\left(\frac{1}{||x||}x\right) \right| \right| \le \sup_{x \in S(X)} ||Sx||$$

The remaining equivalence is obvious.

We now let $\mathcal{B}(X,Y) = \{ S \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \mid S \text{ is bounded } \}$. We will see that $\|\cdot\|$, above, defines a norm on $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$.

2.2 Theorem. If X, Y are normed spaces, then $(\mathcal{B}(X, Y), ||\cdot||)$ is a normed space. Furthermore, if Y is a Banach spaces, then so to is $(\mathcal{B}(X, Y), ||\cdot||)$.

Proof Define

$$\Gamma: \mathcal{B}(X,Y) \to C_h^Y(\mathcal{B}(X))$$

given by $\Gamma(S) = S|_{B(X)}$. Then, by definition, Γ is linear, with

$$\|\Gamma(S)\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in B(X)} \|Sx\| = \|S\|$$

Thus $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm: if $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$||S + T|| = ||\Gamma(S + T)||_{\infty} = ||\Gamma(S) + \Gamma(T)||_{\infty} \le ||\Gamma(S)||_{\infty} + ||\Gamma(T)||_{\infty} = ||S|| + ||T||$$
$$||\alpha S|| = ||\Gamma(\alpha S)||_{\infty} = |\alpha| ||\Gamma(S)||_{\infty} = |\alpha| ||S||.$$

Furthermore, $\Gamma: \mathcal{B}(X,Y) \to C_h^Y(\mathcal{B}(X))$ is an isometry.

Now suppose that Y is a Banach space. We will show that $\Gamma(\mathcal{B}(X,Y))$ is closed in $C_b^Y(B(X))$, and hence $B(X,Y) = \Gamma^{-1}(\Gamma(\mathcal{B}(X,Y)))$ is complete. Let $(S_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ be $\|\cdot\|$ – Cauchy. Then $(\Gamma(S_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ – Cauchy in $C_b^Y(B(X))$, and hence there is $f \in C_b^Y(B(X))$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\Gamma(S_n) - f\|_{\infty} = 0$. Then we let $S: X \to Y$ be given by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} ||x|| f\left(\frac{x}{||x||}\right) & x \neq 0\\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

If $x, x' \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ are all such that $x, x', x + \alpha x' \neq 0$, then

$$S(x + \alpha x') = \left\| x + \alpha x' \right\| f\left(\frac{1}{x + \alpha x'}(x + \alpha x')\right)$$

$$= \left\| x + \alpha x' \right\| \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n\left(\frac{1}{x + \alpha x'}(x + \alpha x')\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} (S_n x + \alpha S_n x') = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\|x\| S_n\left(\frac{1}{\|x\|}x\right) + \alpha \|x'\| S_n\left(\frac{1}{\|x\|}x'\right) \right]$$

$$= \|x\| f\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) + \alpha \|x'\| f\left(\frac{x'}{\|x\|}\right)$$

$$= Sx + \alpha Sx'$$

The above computation is easily performed if any of x, x', $x + \alpha x'$ are 0. Hence $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. We se that S is continuous (say, at a point on S(X)), so $S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. Finally, as $S|_{\mathcal{B}(X)} = f = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n|_{\mathcal{B}(X)}$ (with respect to the uniform norm), we have

$$||S - S_n|| = \sup_{x \in B(X)} ||(S - S_n)x|| = ||f - \Gamma(S_n)||_{\infty}$$

goes to 0 as *n* goes to infinity.

Definition. Given a vector space X, let $X' = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{F})$ denote the **algebraic dual**. If further X is a normed space, we let $X^* = \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{F})$ denote the (continuous) dual.

- **2.3 Corollary.** If X is a normed spaces, then X^* is always a Banach space.
- **2.4 Theorem.** Let for $x \in \ell_1$, $f_x : c_0 \to \mathbb{F}$ be given by $f_x(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j y_j$. Then $f_x \in c_0^*$ with $||f_x|| = ||x||_1$. Furthermore, every element of c_0^* arises as above.

Proof If $x \in \ell_1$ and $y \in c_0 \subseteq \ell_\infty$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j y_j| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j| \|y\|_{\infty} = \|x\|_1 \|y\|_{\infty} < \infty$$

so $f_x(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j y_j$ is well-defined. It is obvious that f_x is linear: $f_x(y + \alpha y') = f_x(y) + \alpha f(y')$ for $y, yl \in c_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Also, $||f_x|| \le ||x||_1$. We let $y^n = (\overline{\operatorname{sgn} x}, \dots, \overline{\operatorname{sgn} x_n}, 0, 0, \dots) \in c_0$, with $||y^n|| = 1$. Then

$$||f_x|| \ge |f_x(y^n)| = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \overline{\operatorname{sgn} x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$$

so that $||f_x|| \ge ||x||_1$, and hence equality holds.

Now let $f \in c_0^*$, and write $e_n = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, 0, ...) \in c_0$, and let $x_n = f(e_n)$. Then, let $y \in c_0$ and $y^n = (y_1, ..., y_n, 0, 0, ...)$ and we have

$$||y - y^n||_{\infty} = \sup_{j \ge n+1} |y_j|$$

which goes to 0 as n goes to infinity. Then since f is continuous, we have

$$f(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(y^n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j x_j = \sum_{j=1}^\infty x_j y_j = f_x(y)$$

We use sequence $(y^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as in $y^n \in c_0$, to see that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_i| = |f(y^n)| \le ||f|| < \infty$$

so $x \in \ell_1$. Thus $f = f_x$, as desired.

2.5 Corollary. $\ell_1 \cong c^*$ isometrically isomorphically.

PROOF For $y \in c$, let $L(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n$. Given $y \in c$, let $y^n = (y_1, \dots, y_n, L(y), L(y), \dots) \in c$. Notice that $\|y - y^n\|_{\infty} \to 0$ similarly as above.

We let 1 = (1, 1, ...), and $1_n = (0, ..., 0, 1, 1, ...)$. If m < n, then $1_n - 1_m \in c_0$, so

$$|f(1_n) - f(1_m)| = |f_x(1_n - 1_m)| \le \sum_{j=m+1}^n |x_j|$$

so that $(f(1_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{F} . Let $x_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(1_n)$. Let $\tilde{x} = (x_0, x_1, ...) \in \ell_1$. Then letting $x_j = f(e_j)$, we see that

$$f(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(y^n) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j y_j + x_0 L(y)$$

Similarly as above, we may show that $||f|| = ||\tilde{x}||_1$.

Remark. We write $c_0^* \cong \ell_1$ isometrically.

2.6 Corollary. $(\ell_1, ||\cdot||_1)$ is complete.

3 Axiom of Choice and the Hahn-Banach Theorem

Definition. Let S be a non-empty set. A **partial ordering** is a binary relation \leq on S which satisfies for $s, t, n \in S$,

- (i) (reflexivity) $s \le s$
- (ii) (transitivity) $s \le t$, $t \le u$ implies $s \le u$
- (iii) (anti-symmetry) $s \le t$, $t \le s$ implies s = t

We call the pair (S, \leq) a **partially ordered set**. We say that (S, \leq) is **totally ordered** if, given $s, t \in S$, at least one of $s \leq t$ or $t \leq s$ holds. We say that (S, \leq) is **well-ordered** if given any $\emptyset \neq S_0 \subseteq S$, there is some $s_0 \in S_0$ such that $s_0 \leq s$ for $s \in S_0$. A **chain** in a poset (S, \leq) is any $\emptyset \neq C \subseteq S$ such that $(S, \leq)_C$ is totally ordered.

Example. (i) $X \neq \emptyset$, $(\mathcal{P}(X), \subseteq)$ is a poset

- (ii) (\mathbb{R}, \leq) is a totally ordered set
- (iii) (\mathbb{N}, \leq) , $(\omega = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \leq)$, are well-ordered sets.
 - **3.1 Theorem.** The following are equivalent:
 - (i) (Axiom of Choice 1): For any $x \neq \emptyset$, there is a function $\gamma : \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to X$ such that $\gamma(A) \in A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.
 - (ii) (Axiom of Choice 2): Given any $\{A_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}\in\Lambda}$ where $A_{\lambda}\neq\emptyset$ for each λ ,

$$\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} = \{(a_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} : a_{\lambda} \in A_{\lambda} \text{ for each } \lambda\} \neq \emptyset$$

- (iii) (Zorn's Lemma): In a poset (S, \leq) , if each chain $C \subseteq S$ admits an upper bound in S, then (S, \leq) admis a maximal element.
- (iv) (Well-ordering principle): Any $S \neq \emptyset$ admits a well-ordering

Proof Exercise.

Definition. Let X be a vector space (over k). A subset $S \subseteq X$ is called

- **linearly independent** if for any distinct $x_1, ..., x_n \in S$, the equation $0 = \alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n = 0$ where $\alpha_i \in k$ implies $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n = 0$.
- **spanning** if each $x \in X$ admits $x_i \in S$ and $\alpha_i \in k$ such that $x = \alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n$.
- Hamel basis if it is both linearly independent and spanning
- **3.2 Proposition.** Any vector space X admits a Hamel basis.

PROOF Let $\mathcal{L} = \{L \subseteq X : L \text{ is linearly independent}\}$. Then (\mathcal{L}, \subseteq) is a poset. Verify that for any chain $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, that $U = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{C}} L \in \mathcal{L}$ and is an upper bound for \mathcal{C} . Apply Zorn to find a maximal element M in (\mathcal{L}, \subseteq) . Verify that M is spanning for X.

3.3 Corollary. If X is an infinite dimensional normed space, then there exists $f \in X' \setminus X^*$.

PROOF Our assumption provides $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which is linearly independent. By normalizing each element, we may and will suppose that each $||e_n|| = 1$. Let

$$\operatorname{span}\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j e_{n_j} : m \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}, n_1 < \dots < n_m \right\}$$

and let B be any linearly independent set containing $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Define $f: X = \operatorname{span} B \to \mathbb{F}$ be given for $x = \sum_{b \in B \setminus \{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}} \alpha_b b + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_{n_j}$ by $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j n_j$. The point is that $f(e_n) = n$ and f(e) = 0 for any other $e \in B$. Notice that

$$||f|| = \sup_{x \in B(X)} |f(x)| \ge \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f(e_n)| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n = \infty$$

Definition. Let X be a \mathbb{R} -vector space. A **sublinear functional** is any $\rho: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that it satisfies

- (non-negative homogenity) $\rho(tx) = t\rho(x)$ for $t \ge 0$, $x \in X$.
- (subadditivity) $\rho(x+y) \le \rho(x) + \rho(y)$ for $x, y \in X$.

3.4 Theorem. (Hahn-Banach) Let X be a \mathbb{R} -vector space, $\rho: X \to \mathbb{R}$ a sublinear functional, $Y \subseteq X$ a subspace and $f \in Y'$ such that $f \leq \rho|_Y$. Then there exists $F \in X'$ such that $F|_Y = f$ and $F \leq \rho$ on X.

PROOF We first do this for extensions by a single point $x \in X \setminus Y$. We wish to find $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(y) + \alpha c \le \rho(y + \alpha x)$$

for $y \in Y$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, we let $F : \operatorname{span} Y \cup \{x\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $F(y + \alpha x) = f(y) + \alpha c$, and we have that F is linear and satisfies $F \le \rho$ on $\operatorname{span} Y \cup \{s\}$. To do this, let y_+, y_- in Y and observe that $f(y_+) + f(y_-) = f(y_+ + y_-) \le \rho(y_+ + y_-) \le \rho(y_+ + x) + \rho(y_- - x)$ so that $f(y_-) - \rho(y_- - x) \le \rho(y_+ + x) - f(y_+)$. It thus follows that

$$\sup\{f(y) - \rho(y - x) : y \in Y\} \le \{\rho(y + x) - f(y) : y \in Y\}$$

so we may find $c \in \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$\sup\{f(y) - \rho(y - x) : y \in Y\} \le c \le \inf\{\rho(y + x) - f(y) : y \in Y\}$$

If t > 0, then for $y \in Y$,

$$c \leq \rho\left(\frac{1}{t}y + x\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{t}y\right) \Rightarrow tc \leq \rho(y + tx) - f(y) \Rightarrow f(y) + tc \leq \rho(y + tx)$$

and if s > 0, then for $y \in Y$,

$$f\left(\frac{1}{s}y\right) - \rho\left(\frac{1}{s}y - x\right) \le c \Rightarrow sc \le f(y) - \rho(y + sx) \Rightarrow f(y) - sc \le \rho(y - sx)$$

Clearly, $f(y) + 0 \le \rho(y + 0x)$. Hence, we have our desired inequality.

We now use Zorn's lemma to lift this result to the whole space. Consider the set of "p-extensions" of f,

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ (\mathcal{M}, \psi) \mid Y \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq X, \mathcal{M} \text{ is a subspace, } \psi \in \mathcal{M}', \psi|_{Y} = f, \psi \leq P|_{\mathcal{M}} \}$$

Define a partial order on \mathcal{E} by

$$(\mathcal{M}, \psi) \leq (\mathcal{N}, \phi)$$
 iff $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}, \phi|_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi$

Suppose $C \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is a chain with respect to \leq . We let

- $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{(M,\omega)} \mathcal{M}$ which is a subspace, since \mathcal{C} is a chain.
- and define $\phi: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\phi(x) = \psi(x)$ whenever $x \in \mathcal{M}$, which is again well-defined since C is a chain.

Furthermore, we see that $\phi \in U'$, since if $x,y \in \mathcal{U}$, get $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $y \in \mathcal{N}$ for some $(\mathcal{M},\psi) \leq (\mathcal{N},\psi') \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $\phi(x+y) = \psi'(x+y) = \psi'(x) + \psi'(y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$, etc. Likewise, $\psi \leq p|_{\mathcal{U}}$. Thus by Zorn's lemma, \mathcal{E} admits a maximal element \mathcal{M} , F Then $\mathcal{M} = X$, for if not, then we would find $x \in X \setminus \mathcal{M}$ and we apply step one to span $\mathcal{M} \cup \{x\}$ to get F', a strictly larger element violating maximality.

Trivially, any \mathbb{C} -vector siace is a \mathbb{R} -vector space.

- **3.5 Lemma.** Let X be a \mathbb{C} -vector space.
 - (i) If $f \in X'_{\mathbb{R}}$ into \mathbb{R} , then define $f_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $f_{\mathbb{C}}(x) = f(x) if(ix)$ defines an element of $X' = X'_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (ii) If $g \in X'$, then f = Re g in $X'_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies $g = f_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (iii) If X is a normed \mathbb{C} -vector space, then for $f \in X'_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$f \in X_{\mathbb{R}}^*$$
 if and only if $f_{\mathbb{C}} \in X^* = X_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with $||f|| = ||f_{\mathbb{C}}||$

PROOF (i) and (ii) are straightforward exercises; let's see (iii). We let fr $x \in X$, $z = \operatorname{sgn} f_{\mathbb{C}}(x)$. Then

$$\mathbb{R} \ni |f_{\mathbb{C}}(x)| = \overline{z} f_{\mathbb{C}}(x) = f_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z}x) = \operatorname{Re} f_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{z}x) = f(\overline{z}x) = |f(\overline{z}x)|$$

$$\leq ||f|| ||\overline{z}x|| = ||f|| ||\overline{z}|| ||x|| = ||f|| ||x||$$

so we see that $||f_{\mathbb{C}}|| \le ||f||$. Conversely,

$$|f(x)| = |\operatorname{Re} f_{\mathbb{C}}(x)| \le |f_{\mathbb{C}}(x)| \le ||f_{\mathbb{C}}|| ||x|| \text{ so that } ||f|| \le ||f_{\mathbb{C}}||$$

3.6 Corollary. If X is a normed space, $Y \subseteq X$ a subspace and $f \in Y^*$, then there exists $F \in X^*$ such that $F|_Y = f$ and ||F|| = ||f||.

PROOF Define $\rho: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $p(x) = ||f|| \cdot ||x||$, so p is sublinear and $\operatorname{Re} f \leq p|_Y$. Apply Hahn-banach to to this data and get $\tilde{F} \in X_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ such that $\tilde{F}|_Y = \operatorname{Re} f$ and $\tilde{F} \leq p$, and let $F = \tilde{F}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

3.7 Corollary. If X is a normed space, $x \in C$, then there is $f \in X^*$ such that

$$||x|| = f(x) = |f(x)|$$
 and $||f|| = 1$

PROOF Let $f_0: \mathbb{F} x \to \mathbb{F}$ be given by $f_0(\alpha x) = \alpha ||x||$. If $x \neq 0$, then

$$||f_0|| = \sup_{\|\alpha x\| \le 1} |f_0(\alpha x)| = \sup_{\|\alpha x\| \le 1} |\alpha| ||x|| = 1$$

and apply the previous corollary. If x = 0, this is trivial.

3.8 Theorem. Let X be a normed space and X^{**} denote the bidual. For $x \in X$, define $\hat{x}: X^* \to \mathbb{F}$ by $\hat{x}(f) = f(x)$. Then $\hat{x} \in X^{**}$ with $||\hat{x}|| = ||x||$, so that $x\hat{x}: X \to X^{**}$ is a linear isometry.

PROOF Notice that $|\hat{x}(f)| = |f(x)| \le ||f|| ||x||$ so $||\hat{x}|| \le ||x||$. The last corollary provides for $x \in X$ an $f_x \in S(X^*)$ with $|f_x(x)| = ||x||$. Then $||\hat{x}|| \le |\hat{x}(f_x)| = ||x||$. Hence $||\hat{x}|| = ||x||$. Clearly $x \mapsto \hat{x}$ is linear.

Remark. Since X^{**} , being a dual space, is complete, we have that $\hat{X} = \{\hat{x} : x \in X\}$ satisfies that its closure $\overline{\hat{X}} \subseteq X^{**}$ is complete. Hence $\overline{\hat{X}}$ is a Banach space containing a dense copy of X. Often, we will simply write $\overline{\hat{X}} = \overline{X}$ and call it the **completion** of X.

GEOMETRIC HAHN-BANACH

If $A, B \subset X$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ (and other suitable assumptions), we will find a \mathbb{R} -hyperplane between A and B.

Definition. In a vector space, a **hyperplane** is any set of the form $x_0 + \ker f$ with $x_0 \in X$ and $f \in X'$. Then a \mathbb{R} -**hyperplane** is any set of the form $x_0 + \ker R$ is any set of th

- **3.9 Proposition.** Let X be a normed space.
 - (i) If $f \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$, then ker f is closed and nowhere dense.
 - (ii) if $f \in X' \setminus X^*$, then $\overline{\ker f} = X$.

Thus a hyperplane in X is either closed and nowhere dense, or it is dense.

PROOF To see (i), $\ker f = f^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a closed set since f is continuous. Furthermore, if $Y \subseteq X$ is a proper closed subspace, then it is nowhere dense. If not, then there would exist $y_0 \in T$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $y_0 + \delta D(X) \subseteq Y$. But then $D(X) \subseteq \frac{1}{\delta}(Y - y_0) = Y$, so $X = \operatorname{span} D(X) \subseteq Y$, a contradiction.

To see (ii), suppose that ker f is not dense in X. Then there would be $x_0 \in X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $(x_0 + \delta D(X)) \cap \ker f = \emptyset$, so

$$0 \notin f(x_0 + \delta D(X)) = f(x_0) + \delta f(D(X)) \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{\delta} f(x_0) \notin -f(D(X)) = f(D(X)) \tag{3.1}$$

But then $||f|| \le \frac{1}{\delta}f(x_0)$, for if $||f|| > \frac{1}{\delta}f(x_0)$, there would be $x \in D(X)$ such that $|f(x)| > \frac{1}{\delta}|f(x_0)|$. Thus

$$\left| \frac{f(x_0)}{\delta f(x)} \right| < 1 \Longrightarrow \frac{f(x_0)}{\delta f(x)} = \frac{1}{\delta} f(x)$$

contradicting the statement in (3.1).

Definition. Let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq X$. We say that A is

- **convex** if for $a, b \in A$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, $(1 \lambda)a + \lambda b \in A$.
- **absorbing** at $a_0 \in A$ if for any $x \in X$, there is $\epsilon(a_0, x) > 0$ such that $a_0 + tx \in A$ for $0 \le t < \epsilon$.

For example, if X is a normed space, then any open set is absorbing around any of its points.

- **3.10 Lemma.** (Minkowski Functional) Let $A \subset X$ be a convex set containing 0 and absorbing at 0. Define $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$ by $p(x) = \inf\{t > 0 : x \in tA\}$. Then p is a sublinear functional. Moreover, we have that
 - (i) $\{x \in X : p(x) < 1\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{x \in X : p(x) \le 1\}$; and
 - (ii) if X is normed and A is a neighbourhood of 0, then there is N > 0 such that $p(x) \le N ||x||$ for $x \in X$.

PROOF First note, for any $x \in X$, if A is absorbing at 0, there is s > 0 such that $sx \in A$, so $x \in \frac{1}{s}A$ and hence $0 \le p(x) < \infty$.

Let's see non-negative homogeneity. Clearly p(0) = 0. If s > 0 and $x \in X$, then

$$p(sx) = \inf\{t > 0 : sx \in tA\} = \inf\left\{t > 0 : x \in \frac{t}{s}A\right\} = s \cdot \inf\left\{\frac{t}{s} > 0 : x \in \frac{t}{s}\right\} = sp(x)$$

We also have subadditivity. First, note that if s, t > 0 and $a, b \in A$, then

$$sa + tb = (s+t)\left(\frac{s}{s+t}a + \frac{s}{s+t}b\right) \in (s+t)A \Longrightarrow sA + tA \subseteq (s+t)A$$

by convexity, and also $(s + t)A = \{(s + t)a : a \in A\} \subseteq \{sa + tb : a, b \in A\} = sA + tA$. Thus sA + tA = (s + t)A. Now for $x, y \in X$, we have

$$p(x) + p(y) = \inf\{s > 0 : x \in sA\} + \inf\{t > 0 : y \in tA\}$$

$$= \inf\{s + t : s > 0, t > 0, x \in sA, y \in tA\}$$

$$\geq \inf\{s + t : s > 0, t > 0, x + y \in sA + tA = (s + t)A\}$$

$$= \inf\{r > 0 : x + y \in rA\} = p(x + y)$$

so that p is a sublinear functional. Then

- (i) If p(x) < 1, then there is 0 < t < 1 so $x \in tA$; i.e. $\frac{1}{t}x \in A$ and $x = (1 t) = +t\frac{1}{t}x \in A$. The second inclusion is obvious.
- (ii) The assumptions provide $\delta > 0$ so $\delta D(X) \subseteq A$. Then for $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$x \in (||x|| + \epsilon)D(X) = \frac{||x|| + \epsilon}{\delta}\delta D(X) \subseteq \frac{||x|| + \epsilon}{\delta}A$$

so $p(x) \le \frac{\|x\| + \epsilon}{\delta}$ so $p(x) \le \frac{1}{\delta} \|x\|$; the result follows with $N = 1/\delta$.

3.11 Theorem. (Hyperplane Separation) Let X be an \mathbb{F} –vector space, $A, B \subset X$ be convex with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and A absorbing at some a_0 . Then there are $f \in X'$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} f(a) \ge \alpha \ge \operatorname{Re} f(b)$$

for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Moreover, if X is normed, then

- If A is a neighbourhood of a_0 , we have $f \in X^*$; and
- if A is absorbing around each of its points (for example if A is open), then we have $\operatorname{Re} f(a) > \alpha \geq \operatorname{Re} f(b)$.