Representation Theory of Finite Groups

Alex Rutar* University of Waterloo

Fall 2019[†]

^{*}arutar@uwaterloo.ca

[†]Last updated: September 9, 2019

Contents

Chapter I Introduction

I. Introduction

Let G be a finite group of order n, and write $G = \{g_1, ..., g_n\}$. Fix $g \in G$; then $gg_i = gg_j$ if and only if i = j. Thus there exists some $\sigma_g \in S_i$ such that $gg_i = g_{\sigma_g(i)}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. In particular, $\phi : G \to S_n$ by $\phi(g) = \sigma_g$ is an embedding (injective group homomorphism). This observation is usually referred to as Cayley's Theorem.

Now let V be an n-dimensional complex vector space. We then denote GL(V) as the group of invertible linear operators $T: V \to V$. Now define $\psi: S_n \to GL_n(V)$ by $\psi(\sigma) = T_{\sigma}$ where if $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ is a basis for V and $T_{\sigma}(b_i) = b_{\sigma(i)}$. This is an injective group homomorphism, so $\psi \circ \phi: G \to GL(V)$ is an embedding of G into GL(V).

Definition. Let G be a finite group, and V a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space. A **representation** of G is a group homomorphism $\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$. We call $\dim(V)$ the **degree** of the representation.

In particular, if *V* is *n*-dimensional, then $GL(V) \cong GL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Example. 1. Consider $\rho: G \to GL(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ given by $\rho(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$. This is called the *trivial representation*.

- 2. Consider $\rho: S_n \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ given by $\rho(\sigma) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$, which is called the *sign representation*.
- 3. The representation fo *G* afforded by Cayley's theorem is called the *regular representation* of *G*. The next example is a good way to understand the regular rep of *G*.
- 4. Consider G, $X = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$, and V = Free(X). Suppose G acts on X. Then $\rho : G \to GL(V)$ given by $\rho(g)(x_i) = gx_i$. In particular, if we take X = G, then this is the regular representation of G
- 5. Consider the 4–gon, with vertices labelled a,b,c,d. Take $X = \{a,b,c,d\}$ and the regular representation $\rho: D_4 \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$. This action has a geometric notion.
- 6. Let C_n be a cyclic group of order n; let us define some $\rho : C_n \to GL(V)$. Say $\rho(x) = T$ where $t \in GL(V)$; then this is a representation if and only if $T^n = I$.

Definition. We say that two representations $\rho: G \to GL(V)$ and $\tau: G \to GL(W)$ are **isomorphic** if there exists an isomorphism $T: V \to W$ such that for all $g \in G$,

$$T \circ \rho(g) = \tau(g) \circ T$$

Suppose $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ and $T: V \to W$ is an isomorphism. Then we can define $\tau: G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$ by $\tau(G) = T \circ \rho(g) \circ T^{-1}$; this $\rho \cong \tau$. In other words, the representation is unique up to isomorphism under change of basis.

Example. Consider $G = \{g_1, ..., g_n\} = \{h_1, ..., h_n\}$, and fix $g \in G$. Let $gg_i = g_{\alpha(i)}$ and $gh_i = h_{\beta(i)}$ where $\alpha, \beta \in S_n$. Fix an n-dimensional vector space V with basis $\{b_1, ..., b_n\}$. Then two regular representations are given by

$$\rho_1: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V), \rho(g)(b_i) = b_{\alpha(i)}$$

$$\rho_2: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V), \rho(g)(b_i) = b_{\beta(i)}$$

Let $\gamma \in S_n$ be such that $h_{\gamma(i)} = g_i$, and define $T: V \to V$ by $T(v_i) = b_{\gamma(i)}$. Then

$$gg_i = g_{\alpha(i)} = gh_{\gamma(i)} = h_{\beta\gamma(i)} = g_{\gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma(i)}$$

so that $\alpha = \gamma^{-1}\beta\gamma$. Thus for each b_i ,

$$T \circ \rho_{1}(g) \circ T^{-1}(b_{i}) = T \circ \rho_{1}(g)(b_{\gamma^{-1}(i)})$$

$$= T(b_{\alpha\gamma^{-1}(i)})b_{\gamma\alpha\gamma^{-1}(i)}$$

$$= b_{\beta(i)} = \rho_{2}(g)(b_{i})$$

so that $T \circ \rho_1(g) \circ T^{-1} = \rho_2(g)$.

Note: conjugate elements have the same cycle type.

Subrepresentations

What should a subrepresentation of $\rho: G \to GL(V)$ mean?

We would like a subspace $W \le V$ such that $\tau : G \to GL(W)$ is a representation given by $\tau(g)(w) = \rho(g)(w)$ for all $w \in W$. Moreover, to make this well-defined, we need W to b4 $\rho(g)$ -invariant for every $g \in G$ $(\rho(g)(W) \subseteq W)$.

Suppose $T: V \to V$ is a linear operator, and $W \le V$ is a T-invariant subspace; i.e. $T(W) \subseteq W$. In particular, the restriction operator $T_W: W \to W$ is well-defined.

Definition. Let $\rho: G \to GL(V)$ be a representation. A subspace $W \subseteq V$ is said to be **G-stable** if W is $\rho(g)$ -invariant for all $g \in G$. A **subrepresentation** of ρ is a representation $\rho_W: G \to GL(W)$ where for all $g \in G$ and $w \in W$, $\rho_W(g)(w) = \rho(g)(w)$ where W is a G-stable subspace of V.

Example. Suppose $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ be the regular representation. Take $W = \operatorname{span}\{\sum_{g \in G} v_g\}$, which is clearly G-stable, and $\rho_W: G \to \operatorname{GL}(W)$ is isomorphic to the trivial representation.

Similarly, let $\rho: S_n \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ be the regular representation, $W = \operatorname{span}\{\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) v_\sigma\}$; this is isomorphic to the sign representation.

0.1 Theorem. Let $\rho: G \to GL(V)$ be a representation, $W \le V$ G-stable. Then there exists a G-stable subspace W' such that $V = W \oplus W'$.

PROOF Take any inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$ on V. Then for any $x, y \in V$, define

$$\langle x, y \rangle^* = \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)(x), \rho(g)(y) \rangle$$

This is also an inner product. Let $x, y \in V$ and let $h \in G$. Then

$$\begin{split} \langle \rho(h)(x), \rho(h)(y) \rangle^* &= \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)\rho(h)(x), \rho(g)\rho(h)(y) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(gh)(x), \rho(gh)(y) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)(x), \rho(g)(y) \rangle \end{split}$$

Thus every $\rho(h)$ is unitary with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^*$. Let $W \leq V$ be G-stable, and take $W' = W^{\perp}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^*$. Then $V = W \oplus W'$. Let's see that W^{\perp} is G-stable. Let $x \in W^{\perp}$, $w \in W$,

and $g \in G$, so that

$$\langle \rho(g)(x), w \rangle^* = \langle x, \rho(g)^*(w)^* \rangle = \langle x, \rho(g)^{-1}(w) \rangle^*$$
$$= \langle x, \underbrace{\rho(g^{-1})(w)}^* \rangle^*$$
$$= 0$$

and $\rho(g)(W^{\perp}) \subseteq W^{\perp}$ as required.

Definition. Let $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ be a representation, and $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$ where each W_i is G-stable. For each i, let $\rho_i = \rho_{w_i}$. For each $v = \sum w_i \in V$, we have $\rho(g)(v) = \sum \rho(g)(w_i) = \rho_i(g)(w_i)$. In this case, we write

$$\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k$$

and call ρ a direct sum of the ρ_i 's.

The previous definition is written as an internal direct sum of V. Externally, given vector spaces W_1, \ldots, W_k and representations $\rho_i : G \to GL(W_i)$, we can define

$$(\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k) : G \to GL(W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k)$$

by
$$(\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_k)(g)(w_1, \ldots, w_k) = (\rho_1(g)(w_1), \ldots, \rho_k(g)(w_k)).$$