

# My title\*

My subtitle if needed

David Qi

Haobo Ren

Xinrui Xie

October 31, 2024

First sentence. Second sentence. Third sentence. Fourth sentence.

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Data

### 2.1 Overview

Josh Pasek have discussed how to the use of Aggregation and Predictive Modeling on polling can increase prediction effectiveness(Pasek 2015). In this article, we will make use of predictive modeling to create a model that aim to predict the support rate of Kamala Harris in the 2024 United States presidential election using a linear regression model trained form past polling results and Dow Jones Industrial Average.

### 2.2 Measurement

### 2.3 Outcome variables

### 2.4 Predictor variables

## 3 Model

We will use a linear model to predict the general polling result of Harris.

---

\*Code and data are available at: [https://github.com/HaoboRrrr/USA\\_Election](https://github.com/HaoboRrrr/USA_Election)

### 3.1 Model set-up

For each poll, we assumed they are being sampled similarly.

Let the support rate for Harris at day  $t$  be denoted by  $R_t$ . And we denote the Dow Jones Industrial Average at day  $t$  by  $D_t$ . Then we will use linear regression model:

$$R_t = D_{t-60} + D_{t-90} + \epsilon_t$$

Where  $\epsilon_t$  is an independent, normally distributed error term.

### 3.2 Model justification

We expect a positive relationship between the support rate of Harris and Dow Jones Industrial Average 60 and 90 days before. Since people tend to support the party in power when the economy is better, where the condition of the economy is reflected by  $D_t$ .

TODO: find paper to support this. finishing reading <https://dspacemainprd01.lib.uwaterloo.ca/server/api/core/72b7-44e5-8fdc-8a5cf0b77b24/content>

## 4 Results

## 5 Discussion

### 5.1 First discussion point

### 5.2 Second discussion point

### 5.3 Third discussion point

### 5.4 Weaknesses and next steps

## **Appendix**

### **A Additional data details**

### **B Model details**

#### **B.1 Posterior predictive check**

#### **B.2 Diagnostics**

## **C Appendix 1: Polling methodology**

## **D Appendix 2: Ideal methodology and survey**

### **D.1 Objective**

The survey aims to precisely capture voter intention and mood across important demographic and geographic divisions in order to predict the result of the US presidential election.

### **D.2 Sampling Approach**

- Stratified Random Sampling: To replicate the national electorate, stratify the sample according to age, gender, race/ethnicity, education level, urban/rural domicile, and area.
- Sample Size: Aim for a starting sample of five thousand people. This results in around 2000 to 2500 replies every wave, assuming a response rate of 40–50%.

### **D.3 Respondent Recruitment**

- Panel Providers: Partner with an online panel provider to find respondents that match each stratum's specifications. Specify quotas to ensure that, for instance, you have 18% of respondents from the Midwest or 25% aging between 30 - 40.
- Random Sampling: Randomly select respondent within each strata.
- Incentives: To promote greater response rates and retention, provide a small monetary reward, such as \$5 to \$10 for each completed survey.
- Multi-Mode: To improve inclusivity and take into consideration differences in internet availability, use both phone and online surveys.

### **D.4 Data Validation**

- De-duplication: To keep distinct replies between waves, make sure respondents don't participate more than once each wave.
- Quality checks: highlight straight-lining, inconsistent replies, and other low-effort answers.

## D.5 Essential Questions

- Are you currently registered to vote?
  - Yes
  - No
- Which state are you currently registered to vote in? (short answer)
- Do you plan to vote in the upcoming Presidential election?
  - Yes, I plan to vote in person on election day
  - Yes, I plan to vote in person early
  - Yes, I plan to vote by mail
  - No, I do not plan to vote
- If the election were held today, who would you vote for?
  - Democrat Kamala Harris
  - Republican Donald Trump
  - A third party / Independent candidate
  - Unsure
- How certain are you about your choice
  - Scale 1 to 5
- Your gender identification
  - Female
  - Male
  - Other
- Age Range
  - 18 to 24
  - 25 to 29
  - 30 to 39
  - 40 to 49
  - 50 to 59
  - 60 or older
- Which of the following best describes your racial background?
  - White or Caucasion
  - Black or African American
  - Hispanic

- Asian or Pacific Islander
  - Native American
  - Other
- How would you describe your educational level
  - High School or less
  - College Graduate
  - Post-graduate degree
  - Unsure
- Employment Status
  - Full time
  - Part time
  - Unemployed
- What's your estimated household income?
  - Under \$30,000
  - \$30k - \$49,999
  - \$50k - \$99,999
  - \$100k - \$199,999
  - \$200k - \$249,999
  - \$250k or more
  - Unsure
- Do you consider yourself to be lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer or questioning?
  - Yes
  - No
  - Unsure
  - Prefer not to answer
- Would you best describe the neighborhood or area you live in as
  - Urban
  - Suburban
  - Rural
  - Unsure
- What is your religious background
  - Religious
  - Spiritual
  - Not religious or spiritual

## References

Pasek, Josh. 2015. "Predicting Elections:considering Tools to Pool the Polls." *Public Opinion Quarterly* 79 (2): 594–619. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfu060>.