

University of Stuttgart
Institute for Signal Processing and System Theory
Professor Dr.-Ing. B. Yang



Masterarbeit Dxxxx TBD

6 DoF Pose Estimation with Diffusion Models from a Single RGB-D Image

**Schätzung der 6-DoF-Pose mit Diffusion Modelle aus einem einzelnen
RGB-D-Bild**

Author: Haoqing Wu

Date of work begin: Date of work begin TBD

Date of submission: Date of submission TBD

Supervisor: Supervisor's name TBD

Keywords: Keyword1, Keyword2 TBD

Abstract TBD

Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation	1
1.2. Aims and Objectives	2
1.3. Structure of the Thesis	2
2. Background	3
2.1. 6 DoF Pose Estimation	3
2.1.1. Definition	3
2.1.2. Representing 6 DoF Pose	4
2.1.3. Applications	6
2.1.4. Challenges	7
2.2. Diffusion Models	7
2.2.1. Generative Models	7
2.2.2. Theory and Fundamentals	10
2.2.3. Applications	15
3. Related Works	19
3.1. 6 DoF Pose Estimation	19
3.1.1. Traditional Methods	19
3.1.2. Template-Based Methods	19
3.1.3. Keypoint-Based Methods	19
3.1.4. Direct Regression Methods	19
3.2. Diffusion Models	19
3.2.1. Foundations	19
3.2.2. Improvements on Sampling	20
3.2.3. Improvements on Likelihood	21
3.2.4. Extended Data Structures	22
3.3. Diffusion models in Pose Estimation	23
4. Methodology	25
4.1. Introduction	25
4.2. Framework	25
4.2.1. Training Phase	25
4.2.2. Sampling Phase	26
4.2.3. Speed up Sampling with DDIM	27
4.3. Models	28
4.3.1. Denoiser Network	28
4.3.2. Backbone	28
4.3.3. Time Embedding and Postion Embedding	30

4.3.4. 2D Feature Extractor	31
4.3.5. 3D Feature Extractor	33
4.3.6. Feature Fusion	34
4.3.7. Residual Translation	35
4.3.8. Multi-Hypotheses Inference	36
4.3.9. Pose Refinement	37
5. Experiments	39
5.1. Datasets	39
5.1.1. LINEMOD Dataset	39
5.1.2. LINEMOD-O Dataset	40
5.1.3. Data Format	40
5.1.4. Data Augmentation	41
5.2. 2D Feature Extractor	42
5.2.1. Pretrained Model	42
5.2.2. Evaluation	43
5.3. 3D Feature Extractor	43
5.3.1. Training	43
5.3.2. Evaluation	45
5.4. Training	47
5.5. Evaluation	49
5.5.1. Evaluation Metrics	49
5.5.2. Baseline	50
5.5.3. Pose Estimation Results	50
5.6. Ablation Study	50
6. Discussion	51
7. Conclusion	53
A. Additionally	55
List of Figures	57
List of Tables	59
Bibliography	61

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation

In recent years, the field of the computer vision has witnessed a substantial transformation, driven by the increasing demand for more precise and robust object recognition and manipulation in various domains. This transformation is largely attributed to the swift development and integration of advanced technologies, such as deep learning and the use of three-dimensional (3D) data, which have significantly improved the capability of machines to understand and interact with the physical world. Among many subfields of computer vision, 6 DoF pose estimation, which aims to determine the position and orientation of the object in the 3D space, has garnered significant attention due to its vital role in many applications such as augmented reality, robotics and automation, autonomous driving, object manipulation, quality control and so on.

6 DoF pose estimation is also a task that requires many techniques from different fields to work together. It needs the learning-based methods to extract the features from the input data and estimate the pose, and also the not learning-based algorithms to process the data, refine the pose estimation. To meet the requirements of the different data input and increase the accuracy and reliability of the pose estimation, we need to process the data from 2D domain and sometimes also from 3D domain. The task can be extended to the multi-object or multi-view scenario, which is common in the robotics and autonomous driving field. Further considering the time series data, the pose estimation can be used to track the object in the video or even predict the future pose of the object. The complexity of the task increases the difficulty of the pose estimation in real world applications, but meanwhile also injects the vitality to the research toward this field.

Diffusion models, first introduced by Sohl-Dickstein et al[1] and proposed recently by Ho. et al[2], are a class of generative models that can be used to generate the high-quality data from the random noise. It has achieved the state-of-the-art performance in many image synthesis tasks compared with the previous methods. The application is not limited to the computer vision domain, but also in the natural language processing, temporal data modeling and multi-model learning. The usage of diffusion models in 6 DoF pose estimation task is still in the early stage with only a few works that have done and the potential of the diffusion models in this field is still not fully explored.

RGB-D images have been widely used in the computer vision tasks in the recent years with the increasing popularity of the RGB-D sensors and the data with depth information. It has been shown that the depth information can improve the upper bound of the network compared with RGB only method. So it is worthy to evaluate capability of the diffusion models on the RGB-D data in our pose estimation task.

1.2. Aims and Objectives

In this thesis we aim to investigate the possibility of using diffusion models in pose estimation task and adopt the advantage of the diffusion models in 2D domain to 3D domain, such as the capability to the large-scale data and the diversity of the generated data. To this end, we first review the related works about the 6 DoF pose estimation and diffusion models. And then design the diffusion network architecture with the single RGB-D image as input, which contains the diffusion backbone, 2D feature extractor and 3D feature extractor. Finally, we evaluate the performance of the diffusion models on the 6 DoF pose estimation task and compare it with the previous methods with different architecture.

1.3. Structure of the Thesis

The thesis is organized into seven chapters and the structure of the thesis is as follows:

Chapter 2 - Background This chapter gives an overview of the 6 DoF pose estimation and diffusion models. The 6 DoF pose estimation is introduced with the definition, representation of the pose and typical applications. The challenges of the pose estimation task are also discussed. The diffusion models are introduced with an overview of other generative models and the basic theory behind it together with the applications.

Chapter 3 - Related Works In this chapter, some previous works are reviewed which are related to the 6 DoF pose estimation and diffusion models. Different architectures of the pose estimation models are hierarchically introduced and discussed.

Chapter 4 - Methodology The proposed method and models are introduced in this chapter. The structure of the pose diffusion architecture is presented together with each modules and algorithms of the model in detail.

Chapter 5 - Experiments The experiments are conducted in this chapter. The datasets and evaluation metrics are introduced first. And we describe the setup of the training and evaluation for each models in this work. Then the qualitative and quantitative results of the experiments, the comparison with other methods as well as the ablation study are presented.

Chapter 6 - Discussion The results of the experiments are discussed here. The advantages and disadvantages of the proposed method are analyzed and the possible improvements are also discussed.

Chapter 7 - Conclusion Finally, we summarize the thesis and the contribution from this work and give an outlook of the future work.

2. Background

2.1. 6 DoF Pose Estimation

2.1.1. Definition

Six degree-of-freedom(DoF) pose refers to the six degrees of freedom of movement of a rigid body in three-dimensional space. Especially, it represents the freedom of a rigid body to move in three perpendicular directions, called translations, and to rotate about three perpendicular axes, called rotations. This concept is widely applied in the industrial and automotive field to measure and analyze the spacial properties of objects.

In domain of computer vision and robotics, 6 DoF pose estimation is a fundamental task that aims to estimate the 3D translation $\mathbf{t} = (t_x, t_y, t_z)$ and rotation $\mathbf{R} = (\Phi_x, \Phi_y, \Phi_z)$ of an object related to a canonical coordinate system using the sensor input, such as RGB or RGB-D data[3]. The object M is typically a known 3D CAD model, consisting of a set of vertices $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$, with $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times N}$ and triangles $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_M\}$, with $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $E \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times M}$ connecting the vertices. Furthermore, if the query image is a multi-object scenario with N objects $O = \{M_1, \dots, M_N\}$, we need to detect and estimate the pose of each object M_i in the image[4]. Following figure 2.1 shows a general structure of the learning-based 6 DoF pose estimation.




Figure 2.1.: Overview of the 6 DoF pose estimation

2.1.2. Representing 6 DoF Pose

6 DoF pose can be treated separately as 3D translation and 3D rotation. The 3D translation is simply represented by 3 scalars along the X, Y, and Z axis of the canonical coordinate system. We can use either the deep learning methods to estimate the depth and the corresponding 2D projection from RGB images or even get the depth information fused from RGB-D data[5]. After that, the object can be shifted back to the camera coordinate system by adding translation vector to the object vertices V

$$V' = V + \mathbf{t} \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly, the 3D rotation can be represented by 3 rotation matrices around the X, Y and Z axis. And rotating the object vertices V by the rotation matrix \mathbf{R}_i with $i \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ can be achieved by multiplying them. Rotation around X axis is defined as

$$V' = \mathbf{R}_X(\Phi_x)V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\Phi_x) & -\sin(\Phi_x) \\ 0 & \sin(\Phi_x) & \cos(\Phi_x) \end{bmatrix} V \quad (2.2)$$

Rotation matrix \mathbf{R}_Y and \mathbf{R}_Z can be defined respectively with

$$\mathbf{R}_Y(\Phi_y) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Phi_y) & 0 & \sin(\Phi_y) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(\Phi_y) & 0 & \cos(\Phi_y) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_Z(\Phi_z) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\Phi_z) & -\sin(\Phi_z) & 0 \\ \sin(\Phi_z) & \cos(\Phi_z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

The rotation matrix \mathbf{R} can be obtained by multiplying the three rotation matrices \mathbf{R}_X , \mathbf{R}_Y and \mathbf{R}_Z together, but changing the order of the multiplication will result in different rotation matrix. The common order is defined a $Z - Y - X$ order, which means the rotation around X axis is performed first, then Y axis and finally Z axis. All possible rotations in 3D Euclidean space establish a natural manifold known as special orthogonal group $\mathbb{SO}(3)$ [6].

Together with the translation vector \mathbf{t} , the 6 DoF pose can be represented by a 4×4 transformation matrix \mathbf{T} as

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_1 \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_2 \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{SE}(3) \quad (2.5)$$

The partitioned transformation matrix with 3×3 rotation matrix \mathbf{R} and a column vector \mathbf{t} that represents the translation is also called homogeneous representation of a transformation. All possible transformation matrices of this form generate the special Euclidean group $\mathbb{SE}(3)$

$$\mathbb{SE}(3) = \{\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4} | \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{SO}(3), \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^3\} \quad (2.6)$$

Normally, we use the shift in 3 orthogonal directions in cartesian coordinate system to represent the translation. However there are some different ways to represent the rotation.

One simple method to represent the rotation is to use the Euler angles ϕ , θ and ψ which are also marked as roll angle (around X axis), pitch angle (around Y axis) and yaw angle (around Z axis) respectively. The main drawback of this representation is the gimbal lock problem, which means the rotation around two axes will cause the rotation around the third axis to be the same as the rotation around the first axis.

An alternative representation of 6 DoF pose is a 4-dimensional vector that consists of translation and rotation quaternion which has a compacter form

$$\mathbf{r} = (q_w, q_x, q_y, q_z)^T \quad (2.7)$$

Where the quaternion q is defined as

$$q = q_w + q_x i + q_y j + q_z k \quad \text{with} \quad i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1 \quad (2.8)$$

Normally, regressing the rotation matrix directly is not a common choice since the same rotation can be achieved via different combinations of Euler angles. And the unit quaterion form is in many case prefered because it can ensure the uniqueness by restricting the quaterion on the upper hemisphere of $q_w = 0$ plane and can also guarantee a gimbal-lock free rotation in $\mathbb{SO}(3)$ [7].

Another representation that can be considered is called modified Rodrigues parameters (MRPs) which is a 3-dimensional vector $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)^T$. They are triplets in \mathbb{R}^3 ,bijectively and rationally mapped to quaternions through stereographic projection[8]. The MRP vector \mathbf{r} is defined as

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{\mathbf{q}}{1 + q_w} = \frac{1}{1 + q_w} (q_x, q_y, q_z)^T \quad (2.9)$$

where \mathbf{q} is the quaternion representation of the rotation. The MRP vector \mathbf{r} is also a unit vector, the advantage of using MRP is that a random assignment of the vector within the unit sphere will always result in a valid rotation. That property makes this representation more robust in the forward and reverse process of the diffusion pipeline.

Zhou et al.[9] proposed a novel representation of rotation called 6D continuous rotation representation. The mapping from the rotation matrix to the 6D representation with generally n dimensional rotation is defined as:

$$g_{GS} \left(\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ a_1 & \cdots & a_n \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.10)$$

The mapping from $\mathbb{SO}(3)$ to the 6D representation can be simplified as:

$$g_{GS} \left(\begin{bmatrix} & & \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ a_1 & a_2 \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.11)$$

The reverse mapping follows the Gram-Schmidt-like process:

$$f_{GS} \left(\begin{bmatrix} | & | \\ a_1 & a_2 \\ | & | \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ | & | & | \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.12)$$

$$b_i = \begin{cases} N(a_1) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ N(a_2 - (b_1 \cdot a_2)b_1) & \text{if } i = 2 \\ b_1 \times b_2 & \text{if } i = 3 \end{cases}^T \quad (2.13)$$

Here $N(\cdot)$ is the normalization function. It was proved by the sanity tests introduced in the paper that this kind of representation is an efficient way for the training in the deep neural networks, compared with quaternions and Euler angles that are not continuous and have singularities.

2.1.3. Applications

6 DoF pose estimation is a central technology that can be the critical part of many computer vision applications such as augmented reality(AR), robotics, 3D scene understanding and autonomous driving.

Augmented Reality

AR applications use 6 DoF pose estimation to accurately place the virtual objects in the real world. With precise estimation and quick inference of the pose guarantee a immersive and interactive experience which is the direction of the development of AR applications[10]. Furthermore, 6 DoF pose estimation can also be utilized to track the real world objects, enabling more natural interactions.

Robotics

6 DoF pose estimation helps robots to understand the scene so that the grasping and manipulation of objects can be achieved. In the field of medical robotics, it can be used to track the surgical instrument or a patient's body part[11]. In manufacturing, robots use the estimated pose to identify, sort and assemble the objects in field like automatic logistic sorting and manufacturing line.

3D Scene Understanding

In order to register the 3D objects into the scene or reconstruct the 3D environment from 2D images or 3D point clouds, 6 DoF pose estimation is required. The alignment of the 3D objects or 3D scenes is realized by estimating the rigid transformation using method like correspondance matching[12] or direct transformation estimation[13] follows the ideas of ICP[14].

Autonomous Driving

Autonomous driving is also a cross-domain topic that requires many different technologies to work together. A well estimated pose of the vehicle inside the scene is the basis of many other subtasks of autonomous driving such as collision avoidance, trajectory planning and so on. Subtle errors in the pose estimation may lead to fatal consequences[15], because the vehicle move normally in high speed and the heading direction cause a large deviation in a long distance considering also the reaction time of the vehicle.

2.1.4. Challenges

6 DoF pose is widely used in many applications and became a popular research topic of computer vision in recent years. However, solving this problem is not trivial and even challenging in many cases.

First constrain would be the auto-occlusion or symmetries of the object since the object cannot be clearly and unequivocally observed from all angles[16]. The auto-occlusion means that the object itself is partially occluded by other parts of the object such as LINEMOD-O dataset[17]. This is common in many real world objects such as table or chair. The symmetries of the object means that the object has same appearance from different angles, which will cause ambiguity in the estimation such as T-LESS dataset[18]. Imagining an image of mug with the handle hidden behind it, it is hard to tell the orientation of the mug without the handle.

Textureless object is also a challenge for 6 DoF pose estimation, since many methods rely not only on the geometry of the object but also on the texture. It is hard for RGB-only methods[19] or keypoint based method[20] to extract enough local features if the object is complete textureless.

Another difficulty is the domain gap between the training and testing data. Normally, the training data consists of synthetic CAD models and images which are clean and annotated with the ground truth pose in order to have a precise supervision. But lacking the information of the real world, for example lighting and occlusion, the model trained on the synthetic data cannot generalize well to the real world data. Some dataset provides the real world data or 3D rendered images which can reduce the domain gap in some degree[21], but the noise and unvalid training samples still confuse the model.

If facing the multi-object scenario, which is common in the application like robotics and autonomous driving, the unknown number and type of objects will increase the difficulty of pose estimation for each object in the scene.

—————image here—————

2.2. Diffusion Models

2.2.1. Generative Models

One of the most fascinating and distinctive feature of human brain is the ability to create or imagine objects that do not immediately exist in reality. Humans can spontaneously

learn the underlying properties of the world and generate the hypotheses of the future. This procedure is similar to supervised learning and reinforcement learning with little amount of labeled data, but generalizes very well to many unseen scenarios and has a high level of robustness[22].

In order to achieve the similar ability of the generative process from the human brain, many generative models have been proposed in recent years, to not really synthesize the unseen data but to recover or modify the seen data with given constraints. Some of the most popular generative models are introduced below.

Generative Adversarial Networks

Generative Adversarial Networks(GANs)[23] is a smart idea to train a generative model by playing a min-max game between two neural networks. The generator G is trained to generate data that is indistinguishable from the real data, while the discriminator D is trained to distinguish the real data from the fake data generated by G . The training process can be formulated as the value function $V(D, G)$, and for the classification objective using cross entropy loss, the optimization problem can be written as

$$\min_G \max_D V(D, G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))] \quad (2.14)$$

The generator is optimized to maximize the probability of that the discriminator will classify the generated data as real, which explains the word "adversarial" in the name of GANs.

GANs have shown a great success in many applications such as generating high-resolution images which are difficult to distinguish from the real ones and the ability to learn the complicated distributions. However, the main challenge of GANs is the instability of the training process, which increases the difficulty of training and tuning the model. It will sometimes suffer from the mode collapse problem, where the generator only learns to generate a subset of the data distribution[24].

Some works have been done to solve these problems. For example, Wasserstein GANs[25] use a different loss function to stabilize the training process and avoid the mode collapse. Spectral Normalization[26] is another method to stabilize the training process by constraining the Lipschitz constant of the discriminator.

Variational Autoencoders

Variational autoencoders(VAEs)[27] is another popular generative model that is based on the encoder-decoder architecture. It allows the model to learn the latent representation of the input data and generate new data from the latent space. The encoder E is trained to map the input data x to the latent space z with a distribution $q(z|x)$, while the decoder D is trained to reconstruct the input data from the latent space z with a distribution $p(x|z)$. The training process can be formulated as

$$\min_{E,D} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q(z|x)}[\log p(x|z)]] - KL(q(z|x)||p(z)) \quad (2.15)$$

The first term is the reconstruction loss, which is the negative log-likelihood of the input data x given the latent representation z . The second term is the regularization term, which is the

Kullback-Leibler divergence between the latent distribution $q(z|x)$ and the prior distribution $p(z)$. With the regularisation term, we prevent the model to encode the input data far apart in the latent space, which will cause the model to generate unrealistic data.

And the reparameterization trick[28] is introduced afterwards to make the stochastic part of the loss function which is the latent representation z differentiable, so that the model can be trained with backpropagation. The latent representation z is sampled from a distribution $q(z|x)$, which is normally a Gaussian distribution. The trick constructs the random variable z into following expression where ϵ is a random variable sampled from a standard Gaussian distribution.

$$z \in \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \longrightarrow z = \mu + \sigma \odot \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad (2.16)$$

VAEs allow us to easily sample the latent representation z from the prior distribution $p(z)$ and generate novel data from the decoder D . It can also be used to make data compression and denoising, which is the main application of autoencoders. Since the flexibility and the robustness of VAEs, It is widely used in many applications such as image manipulation, text generation and speech synthesis.

Normalizing Flows

Normalizing flows[29] are a family of generative models with tractable marginal likelihood which can not be achieved with VAEs. A normalizing flow is a transformation of a simple distribution into a more complex distribution by a series of invertible and differentiable mappings. By repeating the rule of transformation, the initial probability density "flows" through the sequence of invertible mappings and become a valid distribution.

The basic rule for transformation of densities considers an invertible, smooth mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$, with inverse $f^{-1} = g$. Transforming a random variable z with distribution $q(z)$ through f results in a random variable $z' = f(z)$ has a distribution:

$$q(z') = q(z) \left| \det \frac{\partial f^{-1}}{\partial z'} \right| = q(z) \left| \det \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right|^{-1} \quad (2.17)$$

The last term is the Jacobian determinant of the transformation f , which is the determinant of the matrix of partial derivatives of f with respect to z . Given a chain of invertible mappings f_1, \dots, f_K , the transformation of the random variable z through the sequence of mappings and the density $q_K(z)$ can be written as

$$z_K = f_K \cdot \dots \cdot f_2 \cdot f_1(z_0) \quad (2.18)$$

$$\ln q_K(z_K) = \ln q_0(z_0) - \sum_{k=1}^K \ln \left| \det \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial z_{k-1}} \right| \quad (2.19)$$

The path of the transformation can be seen as a flow of the probability density from the initial distribution $q_0(z_0)$ to the final distribution $q_K(z_K)$. If the length of the normalizing flow tends to infinity, the model becomes an infinitesimal flow which is described by a differential equation.

Normalizing flows provide a flexible framework for modeling complex distributions, which is difficult to achieve with previous generative models. However the samples that are generated through flow-based models are not as realistic as the samples from GANs or VAEs, and the data will be projected into also high dimensional space, which is hard to interpret.

Diffusion Models

Diffusion models are a new class of state-of-the-art generative models that can synthesize high-quality images in recent years. The representative one, which is the Denosing Diffusion Probabilistic Models(DDPM) was initialized by Sohl-Dickstein et al[1] and proposed recently by Ho. et al[2].

A diffusion probabilistic model(diffusion model), inspired by the nonequilibrium thermodynamics, is a parameterized Markov chain trained using variational inference to produce samples from a given target distribution after finite steps. The basic idea behind diffusion models is trivial. Given an input data x_0 , we first gradually add Gaussian noise to it and finally get a sequence of noised data x_1, \dots, x_T , which we call it forward process. Afterward, a neural network is trained to recover the original data by estimating the noise and reversing the forward precess, which we call it sampling process or reverse process.

Figure 2.2 shows an overview of four different types of generative models that we mentioned in this section. Unlike VAE and flow-based model, diffusion model is based on the Markov chain and the latent variable has high dimensionality which has the same size of the input data. We recover the original data by estimating the noise and reversing the forward process iteratively, which ensures the high quality of the generated data.

The great succuss of some architecture using the diffusion model such as GLIDE[30] and DALLE-2/3[31] has shown the potential of the diffusion model in the field of generative models. The advantage of diffision model is that it is large-scale, flexible and offer high-quality samples. With the tradeoff of the relative longer training time and inference time because of its 2-phases architecture, it can synthesize highest-quality images than other generative models. This potential motivates us to apply the diffusion model also to 3D domain and the related tasks.

2.2.2. Theory and Fundamentals

In this section, we will introduce the detail of the diffusion model, the mathematical background in the forward process and the sampling process, and the conditional diffusion model. The extended version of the classic DDPM will also be briefly introduced.

Forward Process

Given an input data \mathbf{x}_0 from the target data distribution $q(\mathbf{x})$, we first define a forward process that gradually adds Gaussian noise to \mathbf{x}_0 with variance $\beta_t \in (0, 1)$ at each step t and finally get a sequence of noised data $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T$. At each step t , we have the new data x_t with the conditional distribution $q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ defined as:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t\mathbf{I}) \quad (2.20)$$

where $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$ is a normal distribution with mean $\sqrt{1 - \beta_t}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ and variance $\beta_t\mathbf{I}$. Thus, we can derive the posterior distribution from the input data \mathbf{x}_0 to \mathbf{x}_T in a tractable way:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) \quad (2.21)$$




Figure 2.2.: Overview of different types of generative models

Our goal is to track the noised data at an arbitrary step t with a close-form posterior distribution $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$. So the reparameterization trick is introduced so that we don't need to calculate the \mathbf{x}_t iteratively from $t = 0$.

Let $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ and $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{i=1}^t \alpha_i$ with Gaussian noise $\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{t-2}, \epsilon_{t-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$, we can simplify the noised the data \mathbf{x}_t in such a recursive way:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_t &= \sqrt{\alpha_t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \epsilon_{t-1} \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha_t} (\sqrt{\alpha_{t-1}} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t-1}} \epsilon_{t-2}) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \epsilon_{t-1} \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha_t \alpha_{t-1}} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{\alpha_t(1 - \alpha_{t-1})} \epsilon_{t-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \epsilon_{t-1}\end{aligned}$$

Notice that when we merge two Gaussian distributions with different variance, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_1^2 \mathbf{I})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_2^2 \mathbf{I})$, the new merged distribution is $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) \mathbf{I})$. So we can merge the second and third term in the equation above where $\bar{\epsilon}_{t-2}$ is the new Gaussian and get:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_t &= \sqrt{\alpha_t \alpha_{t-1}} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{\alpha_t(1 - \alpha_{t-1})} \epsilon_{t-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \epsilon_{t-1} \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha_t \alpha_{t-1}} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{\alpha_t(1 - \alpha_{t-1}) + (1 - \alpha_t)} \bar{\epsilon}_{t-2} \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha_t \alpha_{t-1}} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t \alpha_{t-1}} \bar{\epsilon}_{t-2} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

Finally, we can represent the sample \mathbf{x}_t with the following distribution:

$$\mathbf{x}_t \sim q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_t) \mathbf{I}) \quad (2.23)$$

where α_t and $\bar{\alpha}_t$ can be precomputed for any arbitrary step t from β_t . The variance hyperparameter β_t is normally chosen as a linear, quadratic or cosine schedule. The orginal design of DDPM used a linear schedule from $\beta_1 = 10^{-4}$ to $\beta_T = 0.02$ which is also commonly used in other diffusion models.

Reverse Process

The purpose of the reverse process is to reverse the forward process above and recover the original data \mathbf{x}_0 from a random Gaussian noise $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. Practically, the reverse conditional distribution is not directly tractable, because the computations involve the whole data distribution. Therefore, we need to train a model $p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t)$ to estimate the reverse conditional distribution $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t)$. Since the variance β_t is small enough, $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t)$ can be treated as Gaussian distribution, so does $p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t)$, which can be defined as follow:

$$p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) \quad (2.24)$$

Applying the estimated reverse conditional distribution for all timesteps we get:

$$p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) = p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t) \quad (2.25)$$

The reverse conditional probability is only trackable when conditioned on \mathbf{x}_0 :

$$q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0), \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_t \mathbf{I}) \quad (2.26)$$

With the help of Bayes' Rule and the properties of Gaussian probability density function, we can prove that:

$$\tilde{\beta}_t = \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \beta_t \quad (2.27)$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_t}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1})}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_t + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\beta_t}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 \quad (2.28)$$

Thanks to the reparameterization trick, we can represent $\mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}(\mathbf{x}_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon_t)$ from 2.22 and further simplify the expression of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ as:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1 - \alpha_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} \epsilon_t \right) \quad (2.29)$$

Notice that such a setup of p and q is similar to VAEs, so we can optimize the negative log-likelihood using the variational bound:

$$\begin{aligned} -\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0) &\leq -\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0) + D_{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | \mathbf{x}_0) || p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | \mathbf{x}_0)) \\ &= -\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0) + \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | \mathbf{x}_0)}{p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) / p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0)} \right] \\ &= -\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0) + \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | \mathbf{x}_0)}{p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})} + \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} | \mathbf{x}_0)}{p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})} \right] =: L \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

To make the lower bound L computable, the expression can be further rewritten after some manipulations in Appendix of [2] as:

$$L = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\underbrace{D_{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_T | \mathbf{x}_0) || p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_T))}_{L_T} + \sum_{t=2}^T \underbrace{D_{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t) || p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t))}_{L_{t-1}} - \underbrace{\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0 | \mathbf{x}_1)}_{L_0} \right] \quad (2.31)$$

Each term L_i with $i \in \{0, \dots, T\}$ compares the forward and reverse conditional distributions at each timestep i and in closed form, where L_T is constant and can be ignored during training, L_0 is the reconstruction term and is learned using a separate decoder in the original model[32].

The second term L_{t-1} describes the difference of $p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1})$ against the posteriors in forward process, which we need to learn during the training process. Replace $t-1$ with t and t with $t+1$ in the equation above in order to express it in a natural way, we use L_t in the following calculation.

Revisit the reverse process from 2.24, we need to train μ_θ to approximate $\tilde{\mu}_t$ in 2.29, where ϵ_t can be reparameterized as the prediction from the input \mathbf{x}_t at time step t . Finally, we can have the expression of the approximation of the mean:

$$\mu_\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1 - \alpha_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} \epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right) \quad (2.32)$$

The lost term L_t can be formulated using l_2 distance:

$$L_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0, \epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{2 \|\Sigma_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)\|_2^2} \|\tilde{\mu}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) - \mu_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t)\|^2 \right] \quad (2.33)$$

which can be simplified ignoring the weighting term according to the original paper[2] as:

$$L_{simple} = \mathbb{E}_{t \sim [1, T], \mathbf{x}_0, \epsilon} \left[\left\| \epsilon_t - \epsilon_\theta(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon_t, t) \right\|^2 \right] + C \quad (2.34)$$

where C is a constant term which not related to θ and can be ignored during training. And we the variance is not considered in the loss function and it is improved in the later research[33] to let the network also learn the covariance matrix Σ_θ .

An overview of the forward and reverse process using a cat image as example is shown in figure 2.3.

Conditional Diffusion Model

Conditional diffusion, also called guided diffusion is very practical in many applications since we normally want to generate the data in particular style, direction or distribution and not in an arbitrary way. Typical usage of conditional diffusion is to sample data from a given class or category, as well as text prompt, image prompt and so on.

Mathematically, condition means the prior distribution $p(\mathbf{x})$ is conditioned on a given input y . By modifying the equation 2.25, we get

$$p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{0:T} | y) = p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t, y) \quad (2.35)$$




Figure 2.3.: Forward and reverse process of diffusion model using 2D image as example

Using the idea of the score-based generative model[34], we can train a score network for an unconditioned diffusion with score function:

$$\mathbf{s}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \approx \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t) \quad (2.36)$$

Extend the score function with condition y , we can get the conditional score function after applying Bayes' Rule:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t | y) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log p_\theta(y | \mathbf{x}_t) \quad (2.37)$$

Based on the score function we can derive the conditional diffusion model with two variations, namely the classifier guidance and classifier-free guidance.

Classifier guidance is a method that balances the trade-off between mode coverage and sample fidelity post-training. It combines the score estimate of a diffusion model with the gradient of an image classifier, which requires training an classifier f_ϕ separate from the diffusion model and use the gradients of the classifier as the guidance.

Without the an separate classifier f_ϕ , it is still possible to let the conditional and unconditional score function share the same network, which is called classifier-free guidance. The diffusion model is trained by randomly dropping the condition y during training. And the result turns out to be that the conditonal and unconditional score estimates are combined to attain a good tradeoff between quality and diversity[35].

The training and sampling algorithm of the conditional denoising diffusion probabilistic model can be summarized as following algorithm 1 and 2.

Algorithm 1 Training of Conditional Diffusion Model

- 1: **repeat**
 - 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$, conditional input y
 - 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \dots, T\})$
 - 4: $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
 - 5: Take a gradient descent step on $\nabla_\theta \|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, t, y)\|^2$
 - 6: **until** converged
-

Algorithm 2 Sampling of Conditional Diffusion Model

```

1:  $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ 
2: for  $t = T$  to 1 do
3:    $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$  if  $t > 1$ , else  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$ 
4:    $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left( \mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}} \epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t, y) \right) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}$ 
5: end for
6: return  $\mathbf{x}_0$ 

```

Extensions

—————Add if needed—————

2.2.3. Applications

Computer Vision

The majority of the applications of diffusion models lies in the field of computer vision, including super resolution, translation, inpainting and so on[36]. Diffusion models have shown a great performance in these 2D based manipulation tasks compared with other generative models such as GANs and VAEs.

Super-Resolution via Repeated Refinement (SR3)[37] and Cascaded Diffusion Models (CDM)[38] are two representative works in the field of super resolution. They use either an iterative way or concatenation of diffusion models to generate high-resolution image from low-resolution input. Figure 2.4 illustrates the process of cascaded super resolution, which is taken from the project page of the SR3. Implicit Diffusion Models (IDM) for Continuous Super-Resolution[39] integrates an implicit neural representation in the decoding process.

Inpainting and image translation are also two popular image manipulation tasks with different conditional inputs. Typical works are RePaint[40], Palette[41] and Diffusion-based Image Translation using Disentangled Style and Content Representation[42].




Figure 2.4.: Super resolution using diffusion model

In 3D domain, the diffusion model is also applied to the task of point cloud generation and completion. Luo et al.2021[43] and Zeng et al.2022[44] have presented the diffusion models for point cloud generation by treating point clouds as particles in a thermodynamic system. Lyu et al.2022[45] have proposed a coarse-to-fine point cloud completion diffusion model and also established a point-wise mapping between the output and ground truth. Figure 2.5 adapted from the paper shows the point cloud generation using diffusion architecture.




Figure 2.5.: Point cloud generation using diffusion model

Natural Language Processing

Natural language processing (NLP) has been dramatically developed in recent years. The iconic models like BERT[46], GPT series[47] and LLaMA[48] are all based on the transformer architecture. However, there are also some diffusion based methods that can generate text with high quality and diversity. In fact, diffusion models have been shown to have significant advantages over autoregressive models in terms of parallel generation, text interpolation, token-level controls such as syntactic structures and semantic contents, and robustness[49].

Discrete Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (D3PMs)[50], is diffusion-like generative models for discrete data that generalize the multinomial diffusion model[51], by going beyond corruption processes with uniform transition probabilities.

Diffusion-LM[52] proposes a non-autoregressive language model based on continuous diffusions, which iteratively denoises a sequence of Gaussian vectors into word vectors, yielding a sequence of intermediate latent variables, which makes it possible for simple, gradient-based methods to achieve complex control.

Multi-Modal Learning

Multi-modal learning is a field that combines different modalities such as text, image, video and audio. It tends to become the mainstream of the future research in the field of machine learning because of the higher requirement of the real-world applications.

Text-to-Image generation is a typical task in this field. A common pipeline is to first train a prior model that can generate image embedding conditioned on a text prompt,e.g. CLIP[53]. Then we use the prior output as condition to train a diffusion model to generate the final image. Famous works like Stable Diffusion[54] and DALLE-2[31] followed this pipeline

and achieved state-of-the-art results in text-to-image generation. Following Text-to-Image samples 2.6 are generated using Stable Diffusion.



Figure 2.6.: Image synthesis with text prompt in different styles using Stable Diffusion

ControlNet[55] attempts to control pre-trained large diffusion models to support additional semantic maps, like edge maps, segmentation maps, keypoints, shape normals, depths, etc. Authors use the "trainable copy" of the original weights of the pretrained diffusion model and connect these "copy" blocks with the original model with zero convolution layer. Thus, we don't need to retrain the whole model and also guarantee the quality as well as the flexibility of the model.

Text-to-3D generation and Image-to-3D are novel tasks in the field of multi-modal learning and has the potential to be applied in many cases such as 3D object reconstruction, 3D scene generation and so on. DreamFusion[56] adopts a pre-trained 2D text-to-image diffusion model to perform text-to-3D synthesis. It optimizes a randomly-initialized 3D model (a Neural Radiance Field, or NeRF) with a probability density distillation loss, which utilizes a 2D diffusion model as a prior for optimization of a parametric image generator. Figure 2.7 illustrates the process of the text-to-3D generation using DreamFusion, and the image is adapted from the demo in original work.



Figure 2.7.: 3D model (NeRF) synthesis prompt using DreamFusion

3. Related Works

3.1. 6 DoF Pose Estimation

3.1.1. Traditional Methods

In the early stage of the 6 DoF pose estimation research, the methods are mainly based on the hand-crafted feature descriptors such as SIFT[57], SURF[58] and ORB[59]. The main idea of these methods is to extract the feature points from the image and find the 2D-3D correspondences. After that, the pose of the object can be estimated by solving the Perspective-n-Point (PnP) problem. The PnP problem is a classic problem in computer vision which is to estimate the pose of an object given a set of 3D points in the object coordinate system and the corresponding 2D projections in the image plane. The PnP problem can be solved by using the RANSAC[60] algorithm to find the inliers and then use the least square method to estimate the pose. The main drawback of these methods is that they are sensitive to the occlusion, texture-less object and the illumination change.

ICP [14] and its improved version such as GICP[61] and NICP[62] are also used to estimate the pose of the object when the depth information (point cloud) is available as reference. The task is also called point cloud registration where a partial point cloud is registered to a complete one or the alignment between two 3D scenes is estimated. However, the ICP is basically a time-consuming iterative method that is sensitive to the initial pose and the convergence is not guaranteed. Using ICP alone is not sufficient to achieve a robust pose estimation, but it can be used as a post-processing method to refine the pose estimation at coarse level. And the optional ICP module as learning-free algorithm can effectively reduce the residual error existing in the learning-based methods and improve the final accuracy. In our framework, we also adapt the ICP as our refinement to further boost the performance.

3.1.2. Template-Based Methods

3.1.3. Keypoint-Based Methods

3.1.4. Direct Regression Methods

3.2. Diffusion Models

3.2.1. Foundations

Beside the original DDPM [1, 2] which is introduce in section 2.2.2, another two fundamental models of all works based on diffusion models are the score-based generative models (SGMs)

[34, 63] and stochastic differential equations (SDEs) [64, 65].

The concept of SGMs is to use the Stein-score function to optimize the estimated distribution. The score $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x})$ is defined as the gradient of the log-density of the probability density function (PDF) $p(\mathbf{x})$. It represents a vector field that points in the direction of the steepest ascent of the PDF. The advantage of the score-based model is that we can parameterize the model without considering the normalization constant of the PDF by the operation of derivative. That means we don't need any special treatment to make the normalizing constant trackable compared with the likelihood-based models. The training of the score-based models is to minimize the Fisher divergence between the model and the data distribution, which is defined as:

$$D_F = \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x})} \left[\left\| \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{s}_\theta(\mathbf{x}) \right\|^2 \right] \quad (3.1)$$

where $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x})$ is hard to track in practice, because we don't have the knowledge of the data distribution $p(\mathbf{x})$. However, we can utilize the method of score matching [66, 67] to minimize the Fisher divergence without knowing the ground truth score of the data.

The likelihood-based or score-based diffusion models can be further extended from the fixed step size to the continuous time domain using the stochastic differential equations (SDEs). Perturbing the data distribution with a continuously developing noise following the SDEs process, we have the following equation:

$$d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)dt + g(t)d\mathbf{w} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is called drift term and $g(t)$ is the diffusion coefficient, \mathbf{w} is a standard Wiener process (or Brownian motion). The forward processes of DDPMs and SDEs have the discrete version of the continuous-time SDEs. And the corresponding reverse SDE can be represented as:

$$d\mathbf{x} = \left[\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) - g^2(t) \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p_t(\mathbf{x}) \right] dt + g(t)d\mathbf{w} \quad (3.3)$$

where $p_t(\mathbf{x})$ is the density of the data distribution at time t . After that the time-based training objective can be formulated with modification from equation 3.1 as:

$$D_F = \mathbb{E}_{t \in \mathcal{U}(0, T)} \mathbb{E}_{p_t(\mathbf{x})} \left[\lambda(t) \left\| \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p_t(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{s}_\theta(\mathbf{x}, t) \right\|^2 \right] \quad (3.4)$$

where $\mathcal{U}(0, T)$ is a uniform distribution over the interval $[0, T]$ and $\lambda(t)$ is a weighting function that is used to balance the contribution of each timestep. The SDEs based diffusion models are more flexible and can be applied to the continuous-time domain, which is more fine-grained than the discrete-time models.

3.2.2. Improvements on Sampling

Sampling process of the original diffusion models takes a large amount of time because of its iterative architecture during the reverse process. In order to improve the efficiency of the sampling process, some works have been done to accelerate the sampling process.

Learning-Free Sampling

The first group of methods can be categorized as learning-free sampling. The main works of the advanced sampler focus on the efficient discretizing of the time-continuous solver. The SDE-based sampler such as Consistent Annealed Sampling (CAS) [68] which is improved from Annealed Langevin dynamics (ALD) [69] proposes an modified SDE with consistent scaling of the added noise and ensures the expected geometric progression of the noise and make the generated samples closer to the data distribution. Another work from Jolicoeur-Martineau et al. 2021 [70] introduce a new SDE solver with adaptive step size controlled by comparing the high-order and low-order SDE solvers' outputs.

There are also many methods rely on the ordinary differential equations (ODEs) solver. Song et al. 2021 [65] states the probability flow ODE with the marginals same as the reverse-time SDE. The ODE solvers are deterministic, therefore the convergence is much faster than the stochastic solvers with the small tradeoff of the sample quality. DDIM [71] is the pioneer of the work in this field, which extent the DDPM to non-Markovian perturbation. EDM proposes a sampling process using Heun's 2nd order method. DPM-Solver [72] is a fast dedicated high-order solver for diffusion ODEs with the convergence order guarantee. The advanced ODE solver let the sampling process much faster than DDIM and also improve the sample quality.

Learning-Based Sampling

Another sampling strategy is called learning-based sampling. By training the reverse process, the sampler is able to select the critical steps so that the training objective can be efficiently optimized. Watson et al. 2021 [73] introduce an exact dynamic programming algorithm that finds the optimal discrete time schedules for any pre-trained DDPM. After that, Differentiable Diffusion Sampler Search (DDSS) [74] is proposed to accelerate the sampling by differentiating through sample quality scores.

Instead of optimizing the schedule of the sampling process, works like Early-Stopped DDPM [45] and Truncated DDPM [75] propose to train the diffusion model without the complete forward and start the denoising from a non-gaussian distribution, so that the denoising steps can be significantly reduced. Another approach is to utilize the knowledge distillation [76, 77] to compress the sampling steps into just few steps. Progressive Distillation, for example, it is able to reduce the steps from over thousand to 4 steps without losing much sample quality by progressively parameterizing the sampler.

3.2.3. Improvements on Likelihood

Original DDPM need to optimize the variational evidence lower bound (ELBO) because of the intractable log-likelihood (see section 2.2.2). When we use the time-continuous diffusion such as SDEs, some methods are proposed to estimate the likelihood of the data distribution. Song et al. 2021 [64] realize a connection between the maximum likelihood estimation with the weighted combination of score matching with a specific weighting scheme. Variational Diffusion Models (VDM) [78] introduce a simplification of the time-continuous variational

lower bound which is invariant to the noise schedule and accelerating the optimization. Besides combining the score matching the maximum likelihood estimation, Nichol et al. 2021 [33] propose a hybrid way to define the training objective by adding the the variational lower bound with the score matching loss with a control parameter λ .

3.2.4. Extended Data Structures

Most of the works based on diffusion models focus on the 2D image generation and manipulation tasks, where the data is continuous in 2D space. However, there are also some works that apply diffusion models to other data structures such as text, graph, point cloud and so on. The standard method of the diffusion model may not be suitable for these data structures, so some modifications are needed to adapt the diffusion model to different data structures.

Discrete Data

To adapt the perturbation of the Gaussian noise to the discrete data, some works extend the original DDPM to the discrete domain especially in NLP field. For instance, VQ-Diffusion [79] inspired from VQ-VAE [80] replaces the Gaussian noise with a mask-and-replace diffusion strategy to avoid the accumulation of error, which is the first application of diffusion model on vector-quantized data. Concrete Score Matching (CSM) [77] proposes an analogous score function called "Concrete score", which is a generalized Stein score for discrete data. It is defined by the rate of change of the probabilities with respect to local directional changes of the input. Liu et al. 2023 [81] propose a method dealing with the data on constrained and structured domains, including discrete data as a special case. The diffusion model is driven by a drift force that is a sum of two parts: one singular force designed by Doob's h-transform that enforce the outcome in the constrained domain, and one non-singular neural force field that ensures the statistical consistency.

Manifold

In many real-world applications, data lie on the non-Euclidean space. For instance, the Riemannian manifolds are widely used in the robotic and computer vision field. Riemannian Diffusion Model (RDM) [82] generalizes continuous-time diffusion models to arbitrary Riemannian manifolds and derive a variational framework for likelihood estimation. Similar work like Riemannian Score-based Generative Model (RSGM) [83] extends the SGMs to the setting of compact Riemannian manifolds.

Graph structure is also a common data structure that represents the relationship between different entities which is an efficient expression in the field like data mining, protein structure prediction and so on. Niu et al. 2020 [84] first propose a permutation invariant equivariant graph neural network called EDP-GNN and integrate it into the score-based generative model. Graph-GDP [85] further constructs a forward diffusion process with a SDE, which perturbs the graph with a known edge probability. And a position-enhanced graph score network is trained to extract the structure and position information from the graph for permutation equivariant score estimation.

The majority of the raw data lie on the high-dimensional space but can be represented in the low-dimensional manifold using the network like autoencoder. And working on these latent space is more efficient and effective. Out of this reason, some recent works utilize the diffusion model on the manifold which is compressed from the original data space. The Latent Score-Based Generative Model (LSGM) [86] proposes a method to jointly train a score-based diffusion model and a variational autoencoder by optimizing the ELBO of the VAE together with the training objective of the score matching model. Instead of the joint training, Latent Diffusion Model (LDM) [54] used in the influential work of Stable Diffusion, addresses the training into two separate phases. First, the autoencoder is pretrained to project the data into latent space. Then, the diffusion model is trained to directly sample the latent expression of the data.

3.3. Diffusion models in Pose Estimation

The objective in 6 DoF pose estimation lies on the $\mathbb{SE}(3)$ of Lie group, which is a differentiable manifold that is generalized from the Euclidean space. Recent works from Leach et al. 2022 [87] first explores the usage of diffusion model to generate the probability density on $\mathbb{SO}(3)$. Jagvaral et al. 2023 [88] further optimized the loss function from the Euclidean space to the manifold $\mathbb{SO}(3)$. Urain et al. 2023 [89] propose smooth cost functions for joint grasp on $\mathbb{SE}(3)$ through diffusion. Yim et al. 2023 [90] introduce a framework called FrameDiff to learn the $\mathbb{SE}(3)$ equivariant score of the diffusion models over multiple frames in protein structure generation. Another work from Hsiao et al. 2023 [91] focus on the advantages of diffusion models towards the pose ambiguity problem on $\mathbb{SE}(3)$ and convergence acceleration improved by using surrogate Stein score. Wang et al. 2023 [92] propose a diffusion network for the camera pose estimation with a series of input images. The diffusion-aided bundle adjustment is achieved by optimizing the geometric consistency between poses via Sampson Epipolar Error during the reverse diffusion process.

4. Methodology

4.1. Introduction

In this chapter, we will introduce the detail of our proposed method, namely the pose hypotheses diffusion. The intuitive idea is to directly denoise the pose which consists of translation and rotation, $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{r})^T$. As the diffusion model is basically one of the generative models, we use the diffusion pipeline to generate the possible pose hypotheses which is similar to the image synthesis but with different objective. So we call this kind of pose estimation method as pose hypotheses diffusion.

Through the experiments using different representation of the rotation, we find that the 6D representation of the rotation introduced in 2.11 is the most efficient one. So we use this representation in the following chapters and the comparision with other forms of rotation will be discussed in the experiment section.

Repeating the sampling process of the pose for one reference input \mathbf{r} , we can get a set of pose hypotheses $\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_N$, which compose a hypothesis distribution $h(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{r})$ that can be used to estimate the pose \mathbf{T} of the reference input \mathbf{r} . For a given object without any ambiguity, the distribution $h(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{r})$ should be a delta distribution in ideal case, or in other words, squeezed to a single point in the spatial solving space. The pose hypotheses $\mathbf{T}_1, \dots, \mathbf{T}_N$ should be close to each other and the variance of the distribution should be small. On the contrary, if the object is symmetrical, the distribution of the pose should fit the corresponding pattern of the symmetry in the solving space.

image here

4.2. Framework

This section introduces the overall structure of the pose hypotheses diffusion, including the 2-phase architecture of the diffusion model and the other modules in the whole model.

Similarly to the original diffusion pipeline, we first need to train a model to estimate the noise ϵ_θ conditioned with the input \mathbf{x}_t , the timestep t as well as the guidance \mathbf{y} . Then we can go through the reverse process in which we generate the pose hypothesis \mathbf{T}_{t-1} with conditional distribution $q(\mathbf{T}_{t-1}|\mathbf{T}_t, \mathbf{y})$ step by step using the equations we derived in section 2.2.2.

4.2.1. Training Phase

In the training phase of the pose hypotheses diffusion, we basically let the backbone network to predict the noise given by the noised pose \mathbf{T}_t , the noised pose can be derived from the

reference pose \mathbf{T}_0 with the noise schedule β_t , which introduced before in the forward process. The most application using diffusion has a convolutional UNet-like backbone[93], which performs well in the 2D tasks. However, dealing with the pose estimation task, we have a different objective and convolutional neural network is no longer suitable. In our model, we utilize the transformer encoder as the backbone network, which has been proved to be effective and flexible in not only the natural language processing but also the computer vision tasks.

Additionally, we also need to provide the timestep t and the guidance y to the backbone. The guidance here is the feature of the reference RGB or RGB-D image depending on the requirement of the task or the dataset. We use RGB-D image in our experiments which has both 2D and 3D features can be extracted and fused in to the model. As 2D feature extractor, a pretrained self-supervised Vision Transformer with DINO[94] is used and the 3D feature is extracted from a pretrained FoldingNet encoder[95]. The structure of the training process is shown in figure 4.1.




Figure 4.1.: Structure of the training phase of the pose hypotheses diffusion

4.2.2. Sampling Phase

Assuming that the denoiser is converged in the training phase, we can use the denoiser to iteratively generate the pose hypotheses with the randomly initialized transformation \mathbf{T}_T . Same as the training phase, we need to provide the timestep t and the guidance y to the backbone. Given a reference RGB-D image, we use the pretrained 2D and 3D encoder to extract the downstream features and concatenate them as the conditional embedding of the diffusion backbone.

Since during the training phase, the network has learned the noise distribution conditioned each timestep embedding, so the denoiser has the capability to predict the noise ϵ_θ from $t = T$ to $t = 0$. Then we can use the equation 2.24 to get the pose hypothesis \mathbf{T}_{t-1} and repeat the process until we get the final pose hypothesis \mathbf{T}_0 .

In the training phase, we feed the network with batch of data that is different in the timestep t and the guidance y (different reference images). During the sampling phase, we can easily make the batch size to one and only infer one pose hypothesis \mathbf{T}_0 for one reference input. Another efficient way is to simultaneously sample multiple pose hypotheses by batchifying the randomly initialized transformation and conditioned with the same reference input. And

the mean of the sampled pose hypotheses can be more precisely estimated as the final pose estimation if the pose of the object is uniquely determined in the space. This can be switched that the batch of the random Gaussian initialization is conditioned with different reference inputs, which can infer multiple pose for different frames or different objects.

After the optional multi-hypotheses inference, we can further use some algorithms to refine the pose. In our model, we choose iterative closest point (ICP)[96] algorithm to refine the pose hypotheses and finally get the output transformation \mathbf{T}_r . The structure of the sampling process is shown in figure 4.2. Details of each models in training phase and sampling phase will be introduced in next section.




Figure 4.2.: Structure of the sampling phase of the pose hypotheses diffusion

4.2.3. Speed up Sampling with DDIM

It is relatively slow to generate the sample from DDPM, because the denoising process follows the whole Markov chain of the reverse process which is quite long. Slow inference reduced performance of the real-time application of pose estimation, which we need to optimize. In order to speed up the sampling process, we use the denoising diffusion implicit model (DDIM)[71] with same training procedure as DDPM but more efficient in the reverse process.

First, we rewrite the reverse conditional probability in 2.26 to be parameterized by a desired standard deviation σ_t using the reparameterization trick in 2.22 as:

$$q_\sigma(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}\mathbf{x}_0 - \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}\mathbf{x}_0}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}}, \sigma_t^2 \mathbf{I}) \quad (4.1)$$

Compared with the original probability $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; \tilde{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0), \tilde{\beta}_t \mathbf{I})$, we have:

$$\tilde{\beta}_t = \sigma_t^2 = \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \beta_t \quad (4.2)$$

Then we let $\sigma_t^2 = \eta \cdot \tilde{\beta}_t$, where η is a hyperparameter that controls the sampling stochasticity. $\eta = 0$ corresponds the best performance of the model and $\eta = 1$ corresponds to the original

DDPM. In the reverse process, we only sample a subset of the complete diffusion steps $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_S\}$ and the reverse process can be formulated as:

$$q_{\sigma, \tau}(\mathbf{x}_{\tau_{t-1}} | \mathbf{x}_{\tau_t}, \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{\tau_{t-1}}; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1} - \sigma_t^2} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{\tau_t} - \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}}, \sigma_t^2 \mathbf{I}) \quad (4.3)$$

With DDIM we can use a non-Markovian diffusion process whose reverse process can be much faster than the original DDPM, and still keep the quality of the samples. It also remains the consistency of the reverse process when $\eta = 0$, where the reverse process is deterministic. That makes sure that multiple samples from the same reference input have similar high-level features and in our case the pose hypotheses are consistent with each other.

4.3. Models

4.3.1. Denoiser Network

The following figure 4.3 illustrates the structure of our main network in diffusion model. As in previous section mentioned, the transformer encoder processes the translation and rotation vector together with their position embedding conditioned with time embedding and 2D/3D feature embedding of the reference image and predicts the noise added at this timestep.

It is worth mentioning that the fusion of 2D and 3D feature is not pointwise aligned, which means we don't extract the pointwise feature from the 2D and 3D domain and feed to the network. Instead of doing that, we separately extract the global features and concatenate them together in order to reduce the difficulty of the convergence of the backbone with the tradeoff of the robustness and generalization of the model. This part of optimization will be discussed later.

4.3.2. Backbone

A modified transformer encoder is utilized as the backbone of our diffusion model. The Transformer[97] is a sequence-to-sequence model that uses multi-head self-attention layers to understand the relevant token in the sequence and follows the encoder-decoder structure in the NLP tasks. However, in our case the encoder part is what we need to estimate the noise.

Similar to the vanilla transformer, our model consists of N stacked transformer encoder blocks. As shown in the left part of figure 4.3, each block is made up of two sub-layers. The first is a multi-head self-attention mechanism, and the second is a simple, position-wise fully connected feed-forward network. We use residual connections around each of the two sub-layers and the Layer norm (LN) is applied before each sub-layer, which follows the design of Vision Transformer (ViT)[98].

The core of the transformer encoder is the multi-head self-attention mechanism, which is illustrated in figure 4.4. First, we create three vectors from each input vector \mathbf{x}_i , namely the query vector \mathbf{q}_i , the key vector \mathbf{k}_i and the value vector \mathbf{v}_i . These vectors are created by multiplying the input vector \mathbf{x}_i with three matrices \mathbf{W}^Q , \mathbf{W}^K and \mathbf{W}^V respectively that we




Figure 4.3.: Denoiser network with backbone and feature extractor

trained during the training phase. Then we use the scaled dot-product attention to calculate the output vector \mathbf{y}_i :

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \text{softmax} \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{k}_i^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \mathbf{v}_i \quad (4.4)$$

where d_k is the dimension of the key vector \mathbf{k}_i . The scaled dot-product attention is the core of the transformer encoder and the multi-head self-attention is the extension of the scaled dot-product attention. The multi-head self-attention is defined as:

$$\text{MultiHead}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) = \text{Concat}(\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n) \mathbf{W}^O \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_i = \text{Attention}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{W}_i^Q, \mathbf{K}\mathbf{W}_i^K, \mathbf{V}\mathbf{W}_i^V)$ and $\mathbf{W}_i^Q, \mathbf{W}_i^K, \mathbf{W}_i^V$ and \mathbf{W}^O are the trainable parameters. The multi-head self-attention allows the model to jointly attend to information from different representation subspaces at different positions. With a linear projection at the end, the model is able to learn a more complex function.

To effectively process the conditional input, we use modified adaptive layer normalization (adaLN) to replace the original layer normalization in the transformer encoder which is introduced in [99, 100]. The learnable scale and shift parameters are regressed from the conditional input and applied in each sub-layer of the transformer encoder blocks. The modified




Figure 4.4.: Multi-head self-attention and scaled dot-product attention

transformer encoder block can be formulated as:

$$\mathbf{y}' = \alpha_1(\mathbf{c}) \odot \text{Attention}[\gamma_1(\mathbf{c}) \odot N(\mathbf{x}) + \beta_1(\mathbf{c})] \quad (4.6)$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \alpha_2(\mathbf{c}) \odot \text{FeedForward}[\gamma_2(\mathbf{c}) \odot N(\mathbf{y}') + \beta_2(\mathbf{c})] \quad (4.7)$$

where \mathbf{y} is the output of the block, \mathbf{y}' is the intermediate expression after the first sub-layer, \mathbf{c} is the conditional input, $\alpha_1(\mathbf{c}), \alpha_2(\mathbf{c}), \beta_1(\mathbf{c}), \beta_2(\mathbf{c}), \gamma_1(\mathbf{c})$ and N are the learnable parameters and N is the layer normalization. The \odot denotes the element-wise multiplication.

—————Reason for using transformer—————

4.3.3. Time Embedding and Postion Embedding

Why we need time embedding and position embedding in our case? As the architecture of diffusion model determines that we need to let the network learn the influence of timestep on the noise estimation. So we have to encode the timestep information into the network. For the same reason, the sequence of the transformer input which is the transformation vector is also relevant and should be encoded, because the each bit of the vector represents the different meaning and can not be shuffled.

The way we embed time and position information is generally called positional encoding. It should satisfy the following conditions[101]:

- It should be unique and deterministic defined for each position (or timestep).
- The encoded distance between any two steps should be consistent across different timesteps.
- The value should be bounded and generalize to any input.

The most common positional encoding is the sine and cosine positional encoding[97], which is defined as:

$$\text{PE}_{(pos,2i)} = \sin\left(\frac{pos}{10000^{2i/d_{model}}}\right) \quad (4.8)$$

$$\text{PE}_{(pos,2i+1)} = \cos\left(\frac{pos}{10000^{2i/d_{model}}}\right) \quad (4.9)$$

where pos is the position, i is the dimension and d_{model} is the dimension of the input vector. And we add a fully connected layer to the positional encoding to make it trainable. Figure 4.5 shows the 64-dimensional positional encoding for a sequence with length of 100 using the sine and cosine positional encoding.




Figure 4.5.: The 64-dimensional positional encoding for a sequence with length of 100

4.3.4. 2D Feature Extractor

As the 2D feature extractor, the self-supervised Vision Transformer with DINO[94] is used. DINO is a self-supervised learning method that trains a Vision Transformer with a small set of negative examples. It is a contrastive learning method that maximizes the agreement between differently augmented views of the same image. The architecture of DINO shares the same overall structure with recent self-supervised approaches and also the similarities with knowledge distillation.

Knowledge distillation is a learning paradigm where a student network g_{θ_s} is trained to match the output of a given teacher network g_{θ_t} , parameterized by θ_s and θ_t respectively. Given an input image x , both networks output probability distributions over K dimensions denoted by P_s and P_t . The probability P is obtained by normalizing the output of the network g with a softmax function. Given a fixed teacher network g_{θ_t} , the student network g_{θ_s} is trained to minimize the cross-entropy loss between the two distributions w.r.t the student parameters θ_s :

$$L = \min_{\theta_s} H(P_t(x), P_s(x)) \quad (4.10)$$

where $H(a, b) = -a \log b$ is the cross-entropy loss. And this optimization problem is adapted to self-supervised learning by constructing different distorted views or crops of an image with multi-crop strategy. Making a set of two global views, x_1^g and x_2^g and several local views with smaller resolution, the loss function can be formulated as:

$$L_{DINO} = \min_{\theta_s} \sum_{x \in [x_1^g, x_2^g]} \sum_{\substack{x' \in V \\ x' \neq x}} H(P_t(x), P_s(x')) \quad (4.11)$$

The structure of the self-supervised architecture is shown in figure 4.6. The model passes two different random transformations of the input image to both networks with same structure




Figure 4.6.: Self-supervised architecture of DINO

but different parameters. The output of the teacher network is centered and a stop-gradient (sg) is applied on the teacher to let the gradients only propagate through the student network. The teacher parameters are updated with an exponential moving average (ema). [h]

The backbone of the DINO is ViT[98], which proved that the transformer architecture also performs well on the 2D vision tasks. The standard transformer processes 1D sequences, and in order to handle 2D images, the input is first flattened into a sequence of patches. For a input image $x \in R^{H \times W \times C}$ and patch size p , the patchified input can be denoted as $x_p \in R^{N \times (p^2 C)}$ with the number of patches $N = HW/p^2$. The reason why the patches are fed into the transformer rather the raw image is that it is relatively easier for the network to understand the relationship between the patches than the raw pixels.

Similar to the vanilla transformer for NLP tasks, the patches need to be embedded with the positional encoding. A standard learnable 1D position embedding is used in the original paper. In order to solve the classification task with ViT, an extra token is add to the sequence of patches, which is called class token. An additional multi-layer perceptron (MLP) layer is used for the classification. But for the downstream tasks like ours, we only need the latent feature from the transformer encoder output. The overview of the ViT model is shown in figure 4.7, using the illustration in the original publication.




Figure 4.7.: Sturcture of the ViT model

Compared with convolutional neural network which has a dominant position in the field of

2D vision tasks, the Vision Transformer has advantages as well as drawbacks under some scenarios. The transformer is more computationally expensive and requires more memory than the convolutional neural network. And the transformer is not as robust as the convolutional neural network in the case of small dataset. On the other hand, Vision Transformer architecture is more flexible and can be easily applied to different tasks with different input size. It offers a more natural way to process the 2D image, which is more similar to the human brain. And the transformer is more suitable for the tasks that require the global information of the image, such as the classification task. In our case, we use the Vision Transformer pretrained with DINO to extract the global feature of the reference image and feed it to the transformer encoder as the conditional embedding.

We directly use the weights of the model pretrained on ImageNet[102], because the 2D feature downstream network generalizes well on other datasets than 3D feature extractor, which has right now rare well generalized downstream backbone that can cover any 3D objects. Out of this reason, we train the 3D feature extractor from scratch on the dataset we use and this part will be introduced in next section.

4.3.5. 3D Feature Extractor

Due to the permutation invariance and transformation invariance of the point cloud, the 3D networks are differently constructed compared with the 2D networks. Famous works like PointNet[103] and PointNet++[104] are the pioneers of the 3D deep learning. After that the convolutional neural network is also introduced to the 3D domain such as KPConv[105].

In our case, we use an encoder-decoder architecture to first build up a point cloud completion task of the target dataset and utilize the latent feature from the encoder output as the 3D global feature of the reference RGB-D image. The reason of using the point cloud completion task rather than classification or semantic segmentation task is because we need the network to learn the geometrical feature such as shape, position and orientation of the object. And the point cloud completion is more suitable than separately reconstruct the CAD model and reference partial point cloud, because the network can learn the relationship between the two point clouds and the latent feature is more robust and generalizable.

FoldingNet consists of a graph-based encoder and a folding-based decoder. The graph-based encoder follows the design of [106] using the graph structure of the point cloud neighborhood. The encoder is built up with MLP and graph-based maxpooling layers, which are constructed from the k-nearest neighbor through the spatial position of the nodes in the point cloud. For each point, a local covariance matrix with the size of 3x3 is computed, flattened to a vector and concatenated with the point position as the input vector fed to the network. Afterward, the input vector is passed through MLP and graph layers to get the topology information of the local neighborhood. Then the max-pooling is applied to all nodes and the global feature is projected to a latent space by using another perceptron.

The folding-based decoder is built up with two consecutive MLP to wrap a fixed 2D grid into the shape of the reference point cloud and that explains why this network is called FoldingNet. First, a 2D grid matrix is initialized in a standard square shape at the origin and is concatenated with the replicated latent feature from the encoder output to become the input of the first folding MLP. The output is the first folded point cloud and it is again concatenated with the replicated latent feature and fed to the second folding MLP.




Figure 4.8.: 3D feature extraction with FoldingNet based point cloud completion

The final output point cloud is aligned with the reference point cloud using the bi-directional Chamfer distance as the loss function, which can be formulated as:

$$L_{CD}(S, \hat{S}) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{x \in S} \min_{\hat{x} \in \hat{S}} \|x - \hat{x}\|_2, \frac{1}{|\hat{S}|} \sum_{\hat{x} \in \hat{S}} \min_{x \in S} \|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \right\} \quad (4.12)$$

where S is the reference point cloud and \hat{S} is the output point cloud. The formulation enforces that the output point cloud is close to the reference point cloud and vice versa. In our case, given a partial point cloud as input, the reconstruct point cloud is aligned with the CAD model at the pose of partial point cloud. The structure of the FoldingNet is shown in figure 4.8.

4.3.6. Feature Fusion

The way of fusing the features and embedding from different domains determines the performance of the model and the convergence of the training phase. Because features may compensate each other or may be redundant in some cases and the network cannot efficiently learn the relationship between the latent feature and the ground truth. In our model, we have the following feature or embedding vectors that need to be integrated into the network: the 2D feature f_{2D} from the pretrained DINO model, the 3D feature f_{3D} from the pretrained FoldingNet model, the positional encoding of the timestep (time embedding) e_t and the positional encoding of the transformation vector (position embedding) e_p .

For the position embedding e_p , we follow the pipeline of the Transformer that e_p is directly added to the input vector x_t , which is first replicated to the dimension of the hidden dimension of the network. For the conditional guidance of the diffusion model f_{2D} , f_{3D} and e_p , we have two ways introduced in our setup to merge these features into the backbone. First method is that these features are projected separately to the hidden dimension of the network with fully connected layers and then add together. Another way is to directly concatenate these features along the feature dimension and then project to the hidden dimension. After that

the merged feature vector is fed to the Transformer encoder with adaLN after each attention layer and feed forward layer. Figure illustrate the detail of the feature fusion process using both methods.




Figure 4.9.: Structure of the feature fusion process using (a): addition of the conditional features and embedding; (b): concatenation of the conditional features and embedding

4.3.7. Residual Translation

Compared with the rotation estimation in the 6 DoF estimation task, translation is relatively easier to determine, at least in a rough range. Because we can use the position of the bounding box of the object in the camera coordinate combined with the camera parameter to calculate the coarse position of the object in the 3D space. In another way, we can directly use the mean of the point cloud's position to roughly approximate the translation if the depth information is available. The error exists is mainly because the point cloud is sometimes not complete, so that the gravity center of the partial point cloud is not the real center of the object. That's

also the reason for the error of the 2D only method that the occlusion cause a shift between the center of the visible part and the ground truth.

With the help the deep learning method, we can minimize the error of the estimation when we use the gravity center of the reference point cloud as the initial translation. The model is trained to learn the residual translation from the gravity center to the ground truth center. First, we calculate the mean of the reference point cloud (\overrightarrow{OQ} in figure 4.10) which is the initial translation and move the point cloud to the origin. Then we let the network to minimize the residual error between the estimated translation and the shifted ground truth translation $\overrightarrow{OP'}$. The estimated residual translation is added to the initial translation \overrightarrow{OQ} to get the final translation estimation \overrightarrow{OP} . This process is basically enable the network to approximate the shift between the mean of the partial point cloud and it's original center, instead of the position of the object in the 3D space. This modification let the solving space significantly to be reduced compared with directly estimate the translation \overrightarrow{OP} and make the training more efficient.




Figure 4.10.: Illustration of the residual translation estimation (projected in 2D space)

4.3.8. Multi-Hypotheses Inference

One of the advantages of diffusion model is the high quality and diversity of the generated objects. Using the case of image synthesis as an example, we can derive dozen of high-resolution and meaningful images from the diffusion model with the same prompt. In our case, we want to explore the diversity of the pose hypotheses if there is ambiguity in the reference input. In another word, for a symmetrical object, we want to derive a distribution which fit the symmetry pattern of the object and for an object with uniquely determined pose, we want to use the multi-hypotheses inference to get a more precise estimation of the pose.

To be determined

4.3.9. Pose Refinement

To further refine the 6 DoF pose of the reference input, we can use the coarse pose estimation from the diffusion model as the initial pose and use some algorithms to refine the pose. Iterative and not learning-based method like random sample consensus (RANSAC)[60] and iterative closest point (ICP)[96] are popular in the field of pose estimation. RANSAC, for example, uses repeated random sub-sampling to iteratively estimate the optimal result in the set of data containing outliers. The goal of RANSAC is to find a global optimal solution which excludes the outliers and contains the inliers as much as possible. This technique is widely used in the correspondance based point cloud registration. Our pose hypotheses diffusion model samples directly the estimated 6 DoF pose of the reference input and we utilize ICP to the point cloud transformed via the coarse pose estimation.

The ICP algorithm is also an iterative solution to the rigid point cloud registration problem. Given a set of source points A and a set of target points B , the goal of ICP is to find a rigid transformation (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}) that minimizes the distance between the source points and the transformed target points. First step is to find the corresponding points pair using nearest neighbor search. Let the set of corresponding pairs be:

$$C = \{(i, j) \mid \mathbf{a}_i \in A \text{ and } \mathbf{b}_j \in B \text{ are corresponding points}\} \quad (4.13)$$

After that we use sum of squared distance of the corresponding point pairs as the error to be minimized. The minimization problem can be formulated as:

$$(\mathbf{R}^*, \mathbf{t}^*) = \underset{\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{(i, j) \in C} \|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{b}_j\|_2^2 \quad (4.14)$$

To solve this problem efficiently, we normally use the singular value decomposition (SVD) which guarantees the accuracy and speed during a large number of iterations. The ICP algorithm is summarized in the following algorithm 3:

Algorithm 3 Iterative Closest Point

Require: Source point cloud $A = \{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i=1}^N$, target point cloud $B = \{\mathbf{b}_i\}_{i=1}^N$, maximum number of iterations k_{max} , threshold ϵ

Ensure: Rigid transformation (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t})

- 1: Initialize (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}) with coarse pose estimation
 - 2: **for** $k = 1$ to k_{max} **do**
 - 3: $A^* \leftarrow \operatorname{Trans}(A, (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}))$
 - 4: $C = \{(i, j) \mid \mathbf{a}_i \in A \text{ and } \mathbf{b}_j \in B\} \leftarrow \operatorname{NearstSearch}(A^*, B)$
 - 5: $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}) \leftarrow \operatorname{SVD}(C)$
 - 6: $e = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{(i, j) \in C} \|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{b}_j\|_2^2$
 - 7: **if** $e < \epsilon$ **then**
 - 8: Break
 - 9: **end if**
 - 10: **end for**
-

Theoretically, the ICP algorithm can converge to the global optimal solution if the initial pose is close enough to the ground truth pose. However, in practice, the ICP algorithm is sensitive

to the initial pose and can easily get stuck in the local optimal solution. Because of that , we use the coarse pose estimation from the diffusion model as the initial pose and run the ICP algorithm within an acceptable number of iterations to have a good tradeoff of inference speed and accuracy.

5. Experiments

In this section, we first introduce the datasets we use in our experiments and then we show the details of the training and evaluation of the pose hypotheses diffusion model and its feature extractors on the datasets. We compare our model with other methods and show the ablation study of our model.

5.1. Datasets

5.1.1. LINEMOD Dataset

The LINEMOD dataset [107] is a widely used dataset for the 6 DoF pose estimation. It contains 15 objects containing ape, bench vise, bowl, camera, can, cat, cup, driller, duck, egg box, glue, hole puncher, iron, lamp and phone. These objects are texture-less with discriminative color, shape and size and are placed in a cluttered scene. As the training dataset, it provides for each object 1312 RGB-D images from different view points annotated with the ground truth. These training data are based on the synthetic CAD Model without any occlusion and noise. There are also vividly rendered training data placed together in the scene to simulate the real scenario. The Benchmark for 6D Pose Estimation (BOP) [108] introduced the training data generated from an open-source, light-weight, procedural and photorealistic (PBR) renderer BlenderProc[109] into the LINEMOD dataset. This set of PBR-BlenderProc4BOP training images are sorted in 50 different scenes with 50000 images in total which are rendered with different lighting, occlusion. The test dataset are captured with the Kinect sensor. The following figures 5.1 show the training data and test data of the LINEMOD dataset.




Figure 5.1.: LIMEMOD dataset. Left: training data with Synthetic CAD Model; Middle: training data rendered with PBR-BlenderProc4BOP; Right: test data captured with Kinect Sensor

5.1.2. LINEMOD-O Dataset

To evaluate the model on the occluded scene, Brachmann et al. [17] refined the benchmark portion of the LINEMOD dataset with occluded objects and annotated ground truth pose under different light conditions to make the pose estimation more challenging. The meaning of the high-level occlusion data is to simulate the real scenario where the object is partially occluded by other objects, so that the model can learn the robustness of the pose estimation and generalize better to the real world data. The following figure 5.2 shows the low-level occluded scene and high-level occluded scene.



Figure 5.2.: Comparison of LINEMOD-O dataset with LINEMOD dataset. Left: low-level occluded scene; Right: high-level occluded scene

5.1.3. Data Format

In order to unify the data format of the different dataset for 6 DoF pose estimation task. We follow the data format of the BOP dataset. The structure of the dataset is shown in the following table 5.1.

Clarification of the naming in table 5.1:

- `models[_MODELTYPE]_eval`: "Uniformly" resampled and decimated 3D object models used for calculation of errors of object pose estimates.
- `MODELTYPE`, `RAINTYPE`, `VALTYPE` and `TESTTYPE` are optional and used if more data types are available (e.g. images from different sensors).

Camera parameters in `scene_camera.json`:

- `cam_K` - 3x3 intrinsic camera matrix K (saved row-wise).
- `depth_scale` - Multiply the depth image with this factor to get depth in mm.
- `cam_R_w2c` (optional) - 3x3 rotation matrix R_w2c (saved row-wise).
- `cam_t_w2c` (optional) - 3x1 translation vector t_w2c.
- `view_level` (optional) - Viewpoint subdivision level.

Ground truth annotation in `scene_gt.json`:

- `obj_id` - Object ID.
- `cam_R_m2c` - 3x3 rotation matrix R_m2c (saved row-wise).

Table 5.1.: Dataset Structure of BOP Format

Directory	Contents
DATASET_NAME	
— camera[_TYPE].json	Camera parameters
— dataset_info.json	Dataset-specific information
— test_targets_bop19.json	Test targets for the BOP Challenge
— models[_MODELTYPE][_eval]	
— models_info.json	Dimension and symmetry
— obj_OBJ_ID.ply	3D file of the object
— train val test[_TYPE]	Training/Validation/Test images
— SCENE_ID OBJ_ID	
— scene_camera.json	Camera parameters of the scene
— scene_gt.json	Ground truth annotation
— scene_gt_info.json	Meta information
— depth	Depth images
— mask	Masks of object silhouettes
— mask_visib	Masks of the visible parts of object silhouettes
— rgb gray	Color/gray images

- cam_t_m2c - 3x1 translation vector t_m2c.

Meta information of the ground truth in scene_gt_info.json:

- bbox_obj - 2D bounding box of the object silhouette given by (x, y, width, height), where (x, y) is the top-left corner of the bounding box.
- bbox_visib - 2D bounding box of the visible part of the object silhouette.
- px_count_all - Number of pixels in the object silhouette.
- px_count_valid - Number of pixels in the object silhouette with valid depth.
- px_count_visib - Number of pixels in the visible part of the object silhouette.
- isib_fract - The visible fraction of the object silhouette.

5.1.4. Data Augmentation

Although the quantity of the training data from the BlenderProc is large enough, we still need some data augmentation tricks to make the model robuster against the noise and invalid data. The data augmentation for point cloud in our case is firstly the random rotation of the partial point cloud and CAD model with the modification of the ground truth transformation. And we add noise to points with a Gaussian distribution scaled by a factor k_n related to the scale of the objects to simulate the real-world data captured by the depth sensor. And the symmetry of the object is also considered, that we generate extra data by flipping or rotating the symmetrical CAD models with the corresponding ground truth.

To remove the noise and outliers in the point cloud. We use the statistical outlier filter in the data preprocessing stage. The statistical outlier filter is an algorithm that removes outliers

from a point cloud based on the statistical analysis of point neighborhoods. The algorithm removes points that are further away from their neighbors compared to the average for the point cloud. The filter is controlled by the the number of neighbors n_{nb} and the standard deviation multiplier σ . The effect of the algorithm is shown in figure.

After that the point cloud is then downsampled to 1000 points to reduce the computational cost but still maintain the geometry information of the object. The whole original training dataset is divided into the training part (90%) and validation part (10%) randomly and together with the separate test dataset we get the dataset we preprocessed for the training and evaluation of our models.

As the 2D image is combined with 3D point cloud in the pose estimation task and we don't need to train the 2D feature extractor, we only use the data augmentation of the random rotation during the training of the 3D feature extractor. Because the 2D image with 3D depth uniquely defines uniquely the 6 DoF pose of the object. So during the training of the pose estimation task we don't let orginal point cloud rotate, but still add noise and consider the symmetry of the object.

5.2. 2D Feature Extractor

5.2.1. Pretrained Model

DINO, as our 2D feature encoder, provides many pretrained weight of the backbone with different number of parameters and backbone architecture which are pretrained on ImageNet for general image downstream tasks. The evaluation of the self-supervised architecture is using the linear and k -NN classifier on the feature extracted from the model. The following table 5.2 shows the evaluation results of the different pretrained backbones on the ImageNet dataset according to the original paper [94].

Table 5.2.: Evaluation of the pretrained DINO model on ImageNet

Backbone	#Params.	Dim.	#Layers	#Heads	Patch Size	k -NN	Linear
ViT-S/16	21M	384	12	6	16	74.5	77.0
ViT-S/8	21M	384	12	6	8	78.3	79.7
ViT-B/16	85M	768	12	12	16	76.1	78.2
ViT-B/8	85M	768	12	12	8	77.4	80.1

After we register the pretrained 2D backbone to our model, we need to feed the image with some preprocessing into the ViT. The image is first cropped to a bounding box with the object we want to estimate its pose. Then we resize the cropped image to the size of 224x224 and normalize the image with the mean and standard deviation of the ImageNet dataset, while the ViT is pretrained on the ImageNet. The mean and standard deviation of the RGB channels are (0.485, 0.456, 0.406) and (0.229, 0.224, 0.225) respectively. After that, the image is processed following the ViT architecture and as output we get the feature we can use in the pose estimation task.

The 2D encoder need to be lightweight since it is just a downstream task for our diffusion model, so in our setup we don't use the backbone with a very deep network and large number of parameters, e.g., ViT-L or ViT-G. And it needs also to be well generalized, because the image in our case is not seen during the training of the 2D encoder. ImageNet used in DINO contains more than 1.4 million annotated images from different categories, which has the capability to let the network learn the latent feature expression from the majority of the real-life objects.

5.2.2. Evaluation

Since we use the 2D feature not for the classification or segmentation tasks, but as the input for the pose estimation where the feature is the latent expression of pose that is hard to quantitatively evaluate, we evaluate the influence of the 2D feature extractor together with the pose estimation diffusion model later. Instead, the attention map of the input image can be visualized to indirectly evaluate the network and validate whether the Transformer can grasp the important part of the image. The attention map is the output of the last attention layer in the Transformer encoder. Figure 5.3 shows the attention maps of 3 objects as examples fed to the ViT.

By Comparing the outputs from different scale of ViT models and patch size, we can find that the patch size significantly determine the attention map. Because the object we dealing with has relative simple geometry and some times textureless, the transformer can not encode the image in a very accurate way for the pose difference if the patch size is too big. The following figure 5.4 shows the attention maps of the same image fed to the ViT with different patch size and scale of ViTs.

From the figure 5.4 we can see that the key points of the cat e.g., ears, tail and eyes are not precisely localized if the patch size is not small enough. For the classification task is this drawback not that obvious, because the network can learn the latent feature from the majority of the image. But for the pose estimation task, the network need to learn the latent feature from the key points or edges of the object, which is more sensitive to the resolution.

Considering the tradeoff of the inference speed and performance, we use ViT-B/8 in our experiments which has a quite satisfied performance and relative acceptable number of parameters. The ablation study of different setups will be shown later in this experiments section.

5.3. 3D Feature Extractor

5.3.1. Training

The 3D feature extractor is trained using a point cloud completion task. The reference partial point cloud is fed to the FoldingNet and the output is aligned with the CAD model transformed with the ground truth pose as the previous figure 4.8 shows. The loss function is the bi-directional Chamfer distance between the output point cloud and the transformed CAD model (see equation 4.12).




Figure 5.3.: Visualization of the attention maps of the input image, where 12 heatmaps represent 12 attention heads output in transformer. Top: hole puncher; Middle: glue; Bottem: phone (occluded)

The model is trained on the single Nvidia Tesla V100-SXM2 video card with 16GB memory. We use the BlenderProc4BOP training dataset for LM which contains 50k images with 15 objects and we can further crop several objects from each image. To that end, we finally have more than 560k separate partial point clouds for training. After the tuning of the hyperparameters, we use the batch size of 32 and the Adam optimizer with the cosine annealing learning rate scheduler. The initial learning rate is $1e^{-4}$ and the end-up learning rate is $1e^{-6}$. The model specific hyperparameter is the number of the folding MLP layers, which is by default set to 2 in our case. The number of k -nearest neighbor is set to 64 and the number of the graph-based local maxpooling layers is set to 2. The reconstructed point number is set to 2025, whose square root is an integer responding to the size of the 2D grid. The dimension of the latent feature is set to 512 for the downstream task. The model is trained for 200k iteration which is about 114 epochs.




Figure 5.4.: Attention maps of the different scale of ViTs and patch size. From left to right: ViT-S/16, ViT-S/8, ViT-B/16, ViT-B/8. Each column represents 3 random attention heads.

5.3.2. Evaluation

The evaluation metric of the point cloud completion task is the Chamfer distance (CD) between the output point cloud and the ground truth point cloud. The following graph 5.5 shows the training and validation loss of the model during the whole training process.

We stop the training after 200k iterations to prevent the overfitting problem, because the validation loss is not decreasing anymore. And the distribution of each category's CD in the test dataset are shown in figure 5.6

With the visualization of the reconstructed point cloud, we can validate that the model has the capability to localize the partial point cloud and complete the missing part of the object. The implicit expression of the 6 DoF pose as well as the object class is learned by the model without giving the class label, so that we can use the feature to represent the pose and object class of the reference input in the diffusion model.

image

The following figure shows the development of the output from FoldingNet decoder during the training process.

image

From the visualization we can find that the shape of the reconstructed point cloud is getting more and more similar to the ground truth, but the difference exists because of the capability of the backbone on data with heavy occlusion and noise. We further compare the training of point cloud completion task on all 15 categories with overfitted models on single object to validate the generalization of the network. From figure 5.7 we can find that the Chamfer




Figure 5.5.: Chamfer distance of the training and validation dataset during the point completion pretraining




Figure 5.6.: Categorical level Chamfer distance distribution of the test dataset

distance of the overfitted the models has lower mean and variance than the model trained on all categories. Table 5.3 shows the quantitative comparision. The visualization of the overfitting case on one objects is illustrated in figure.

image

To evaluate the influence of the number of k -nearest neighbor on the result, we train the model with different setups and the following table shows the corresponding CDs on the test dataset.

The number of the k -nearest neighbor determines the receptive field of the local graph-based maxpooling layer. The local feature can be more robust to the noise and outliers if the number of k -NN is larger. However, the model can not learn the global feature of the object if the number of k -NN is too large. In our experiments of the following diffusion model, we choose the number of 64, which is proved to be optimal within the objects of our dataset.




Figure 5.7.: Comparision of the Chamfer distance distributions between the model trained with the whole data set and the overfitted model on single object (the first 7 objects of LM are shown)

5.4. Training

The training of the diffusion network for the pose hypotheses estimation can be done after the 2D and 3D feature encoders are pretrained (for 2D feature extractor is the checkpoint of the pretrained model loaded from the public cloud storage). We load the checkpoint of the encoders and freeze the network of these two parts to avoid the parameters of pretrained model being updated during the training of the diffusion network.

The training is also running on the single Nvidia Tesla V100-SXM2 16G video card. Like setup of the 3D encoder, we use the BlenderProc4BOP training dataset and divide the original data into 90%/10% partitions for training and validation. After tuning the hyperparameters from the experiments, we use the following setup (table 5.5) to represent the pose hypotheses diffusion model.

Clarification of some hyperparameters in table 5.5:

- CA - Cosine annealing learning rate scheduler.
- β_1 - Initial value of the variance schedule at time step 1.
- β_T - Final value of the variance schedule at time step T .
- Scheduler (Diffusion) - Variance scheduler of the forward diffusion process which represents the change of β with the time step t .
- Σ_θ - Diagonal variance of the reverse process which is fixed in the original paper [2] but can be optimized to be learnable [33].
- Rotation - Representation of the rotation matrix for 6 DoF pose. We use the 6D continuous representation in our case. Recall the section 2.1.2.
- Translation - Instead of directly estimate the absolute translation, we let the network to learn the residual of the translation using the mean of the partial point cloud as the reference point.

Table 5.3.: Mean and standard deviation of the Chamfer distance with the model trained on the whole dataset and the overfitted model on single object (7 of 15 objects selected)

Trained on the complete LM								
Category	Ape	Vise	Bowl	Cam.	Can	Cat	Cup	Avg.
Mean	0.093	0.251	0.109	0.241	0.243	0.171	0.117	0.175
Std.	0.042	0.079	0.050	0.103	0.073	0.071	0.066	0.069
Overfitted on single object								
Category	Ape	Vise	Bowl	Cam.	Can	Cat	Cup	Avg.
Mean	0.067	0.154	0.084	0.166	0.129	0.087	0.079	0.109
Std.	0.036	0.061	0.031	0.062	0.076	0.047	0.044	0.051

Table 5.4.: CD with different number of k -nearest neighbor of the FoldingNet

# k -NN	8	16	32	64
CD	0.215	0.206	0.208	0.193

- #layers - Number of the multi-head attention layers.
- #heads - Number of the attention heads.
- Hidden dim. - Dimension of the hidden layer in the Transformer encoder.
- FF dim. - Dimension of the feed forward layers in the Transformer encoder, which is chosen to be 4 times of the hidden dim.
- TE dim. - Dimension of the time embedding in the diffusion model.
- PE dim. - Dimension of the position embedding of the input tokens for Transformer encoder.
- 2D dim. - Dimension of the 2D feature extracted from the pretrained 2D encoder.
- 3D dim. - Dimension of the 3D feature extracted from the pretrained 3D encoder.
- Feat. fusion - The operation of the feature fused into the backbone. In our case, we first use the addition of the 2D, 3D features as well as the time embedding and then utilize the adaptive layer normalization (AdaLN) to integrate the features into the network. Recall the section [4.3.6](#).

The loss function is defined by the mean square error (MSE) between the noise ϵ added at a particular time step t and the estimated noise ϵ_θ . The loss function is formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MSE}} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{T}_B} \|\epsilon_t - \epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0, \epsilon, t, y)\|^2 \quad , \mathfrak{T}_B \subseteq \mathfrak{T} = \{1, \dots, T\} \quad (5.1)$$

where B is the batch size, \mathfrak{T}_B is the subset of the whole time steps with the batch size and ϵ_θ is the estimated noise depending on the input \mathbf{x}_0 , the noise ϵ , the time step t and the condition y (see section [2.2.2](#)). The loss is calculated with the MSE of the randomly sampled subset

Table 5.5.: Hyperparameters of the pose hypotheses diffusion model

Training param.	Conf.	Diffusion	Conf.	Backbone	Conf.
Batch size	16	Steps	400	Model	Transformer
Optimizer	Adam	β_1	$1e^{-4}$	#Layers	8
Scheduler	CA	β_T	$2e^{-2}$	#Heads	4
Initial lr.	$1e^{-4}$	Scheduler	Linear	Hidden dim.	512
End-up lr.	$1e^{-6}$	Σ_θ	Learnable	FF dim.	2048
#Iterations	200k	Rotaion	6D	TE dim.	256
		Translation	Residual	PE dim.	512
		Loss func.	MSE	2D dim.	768
		3D dim.	512		
		Feat. fusion	Add + adaLN		

controled by the batch size instead of the whole time steps, but it will cover each time step during the training process. Figure shows the loss of the model during the training process.

—————image—————

5.5. Evaluation

5.5.1. Evaluation Metrics

No matter we use which form of the rotation representation during the training phase that is introduced in section 2.1.2, we transform it back to the rotation matrix \mathbf{R} to evaluate the pose estimation together with the tranlation, in order to meet the requirement of the data format of BOP. The pose is represented by a 4x4 matrix $\mathbf{T} = [\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{0}, 1]$, where \mathbf{R} is a 3x3 rotation matrix and \mathbf{t} is a 3x1 translation vector. As evaluation metrics, we adopt the same metrics used in BOP Challenge 2019/2020/2022/2023 [108, 110]. The following metrics are used to evaluate the pose estimation.

Visible Surface Discrepancy (VSD):

$$e_{\text{VSD}}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \bar{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{V}, \bar{V}, \tau) = \text{avg}_{p \in \hat{V} \cup \bar{V}} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \in \hat{V} \cap \bar{V} \wedge |\bar{D}(p) - \hat{D}(p)| < \tau \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

An object model is rendered in two poses: the estimated pose $\hat{\mathbf{P}}$ and the ground truth pose $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$. And the result of the rendering is two distance maps \hat{S} and \bar{S} . The distance maps are compared with the distance map S_I of the test image I to calculate the visibility masks \hat{V} and \bar{V} . The error is control by a misalignment tolerance τ .

Maximum Symmetry-Aware Surface Distance (MSSD):

$$e_{\text{MSSD}}(\hat{\mathbf{P}}, \bar{\mathbf{P}}, S_M, V_M) = \min_{\mathbf{S} \in S_M} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in V_M} \|\hat{\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}\|_2 \quad (5.3)$$

where S_M is the set of all symmetry transformations of the object model and V_M is the set of model vertices. The MSSD is the minimum of the maximum symmetric-aware surface

distance between the estimated pose $\hat{\mathbf{P}}$ and the ground truth pose $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$. The maximum distance between the model vertices is important for robotic application, where the maximum surface deviation strongly indicates the chance of a successful grasp.

Maximum Symmetry-Aware Projection Distance (MSPD):

$$e_{\text{MSPD}}(\hat{\mathbf{P}}, \bar{\mathbf{P}}, S_M, V_M) = \min_{S \in S_M} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in V_M} \left\| \text{proj}(\hat{\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{x}) - \text{proj}(\bar{\mathbf{P}}S\mathbf{x}) \right\|_2 \quad (5.4)$$

The $\text{proj}()$ is the 2D projection which results in pixels and other variables are identical to the MSSD. The MSPD considers global object symmetries and replaces the average by the maximum distance to increase robustness against the sampling of the object model compared with the previous method [111].

After the error functions are determined, we use the threshold of the error to define the correctness of the pose estimation. The pose is correctly estimated if the error $e < \theta_e$, where $e \in \{e_{\text{VSD}}, e_{\text{MSSD}}, e_{\text{MSPD}}\}$ and θ_e is the threshold of correctness.

AR_{VSD} is the average recall rates calculated for the misalignment tolerance τ from 5% to 50% of the object diameter with a step of 5%, and the threshold of correctness θ_{VSD} ranging from 0.05 to 0.5 with a step of 0.05. AR_{MSSD} is the average recall rate calculated for the threshold of correctness θ_{MSSD} ranging from 0.05 to 0.5 of the object diameter with a step of 0.05. AR_{MSPD} is the average recall rate calculated for the threshold of correctness θ_{MSPD} ranging from $5r$ to $50r$ with a step of $5r$, where $r = w/640$ and w is the image width in pixels. The average recall rate is defined as:

$$\text{AR} = (\text{AR}_{\text{VSD}} + \text{AR}_{\text{MSSD}} + \text{AR}_{\text{MSPD}}) / 3 \quad (5.5)$$

The most popular metrics for pose estimation have been average distance (ADD) and the average distance for a rotationally symmetric object (ADD-S) [107]. The ADD is the average distance between the model vertices transformed with the estimated pose and the model vertices transformed with the ground truth pose and the ADD-S is the version considering the pose ambiguity of the rotationally symmetric object. The ADD is defined as:

$$\text{ADD} = \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{x} \right\|_2 \quad (5.6)$$

where V is the set of model vertices. The ADD-S is defined as: It has some limitation ADD comes from a high dependence on the geometry of the object model and the sampling density of its surface, i.e. the average distance is dominated by higher-frequency surface parts such as the thread of a fuse. The maximum distance used in MSSD and MSPD is less dependent on the geometry and sampling of the object model [110].

5.5.2. Baseline

5.5.3. Pose Estimation Results

5.6. Ablation Study

6. Discussion

7. Conclusion

A. Additionally

You may do an appendix

List of Figures

2.1.	Overview of the 6 DoF pose estimation	3
2.2.	Overwiew of different types of generative models	11
2.3.	Forward and reverse process of diffusion model using 2D image as example .	14
2.4.	Super resolution using diffusion model	15
2.5.	Point cloud generation using diffusion model	16
2.6.	Image synthesis with text prompt in different styles using Stable Diffusion .	17
2.7.	3D model (NeRF) synthesis prompt using DreamFusion	17
4.1.	Structure of the training phase of the pose hypotheses diffusion	26
4.2.	Structure of the sampling phase of the pose hypotheses diffusion	27
4.3.	Denoiser network with backbone and feature extractor	29
4.4.	Multi-head self-attention and scaled dot-product attention	30
4.5.	The 64-dimensional positional encoding for a sequence with length of 100 .	31
4.6.	Self-supervised architecture of DINO	32
4.7.	Sturcture of the ViT model	32
4.8.	3D feature extraction with FoldingNet based point cloud completion	34
4.9.	Structure of the feature fusion process using (a): addition of the conditional features and embedding; (b): concatenation of the conditional features and embedding	35
4.10.	Illustration of the residual translation estimation (projected in 2D space) . .	36
5.1.	LIMEMOD dataset. Left: training data with Synthetic CAD Model; Middle: training data rendered with PBR-BlenderProc4BOP; Right: test data captured with Kinect Sensor	39
5.2.	Comparison of LINEMOD-O dataset with LINEMOD dataset. Left: low-level occluded scene; Right: high-level occluded scene	40
5.3.	Visualization of the attention maps of the input image, where 12 heatmaps represent 12 attension heads output in transformer. Top: hole puncher; Middle: glue; Bottem: phone (occluded)	44
5.4.	Attension maps of the different scale of ViTs and patch size. From left to right: ViT-S/16, ViT-S/8, ViT-B/16, ViT-B/8. Each column represents 3 random attention heads.	45
5.5.	Chamfer distance of the training and validation dataset during the point completion pretraining	46
5.6.	Categorical level Chamfer distance distribution of the test dataset	46
5.7.	Comparision of the Chamfer distance distributions between the model trained with the whole data set and the overfitted model on single object (the first 7 objects of LM are shown)	47

List of Tables

5.1. Dataset Structure of BOP Format	41
5.2. Evaluation of the pretrained DINO model on ImageNet	42
5.3. Mean and standard deviation of the Chamfer distance with the model trained on the whole dataset and the overfitted model on single object (7 of 15 objects selected)	48
5.4. CD with different number of k -nearest neighbor of the FoldingNet	48
5.5. Hyperparameters of the pose hypotheses diffusion model	49

Bibliography

- [1] J. Sohl-Dickstein, E. A. Weiss, N. Maheswaranathan and S. Ganguli, “Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics,” 2015.
- [2] J. Ho, A. Jain and P. Abbeel, “Denoising diffusion probabilistic models,” 2020.
- [3] S. Peng, Y. Liu, Q. Huang, X. Zhou and H. Bao, “PVNet: Pixel-Wise Voting Network for 6DoF Pose Estimation,” in *2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. Long Beach, CA, USA: IEEE, Jun. 2019, pp. 4556–4565. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8954204/>
- [4] F. Manhardt, “Towards monocular 6d object pose estimation,” Ph.D. dissertation, Technische Universität München, 2021.
- [5] Y. Xiang, T. Schmidt, V. Narayanan and D. Fox, “Posecnn: A convolutional neural network for 6d object pose estimation in cluttered scenes,” *CoRR*, vol. abs/1711.00199, 2017. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1711.00199>
- [6] H. A. Hashim, “Special orthogonal group $\text{so}(3)$, euler angles, angle-axis, rodriguez vector and unit-quaternion: Overview, mapping and challenges,” *ArXiv preprint ArXiv:1909.06669*, 2019.
- [7] V. Mansur, S. Reddy, S. R and R. Sujatha, “Deploying complementary filter to avert gimbal lock in drones using quaternion angles,” in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Power and Communication Technologies (GUCON)*, 2020, pp. 751–756.
- [8] G. Terzakis, M. Lourakis and D. Ait-Boudaoud, “Modified rodrigues parameters: An efficient representation of orientation in 3d vision and graphics,” *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, vol. 60, 03 2018.
- [9] Y. Zhou, C. Barnes, L. Jingwan, Y. Jimei and L. Hao, “On the continuity of rotation representations in neural networks,” in *The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2019.
- [10] Y. Zhu, M. Li, W. Yao and C. Chen, “A review of 6d object pose estimation,” in *2022 IEEE 10th Joint International Information Technology and Artificial Intelligence Conference (ITAIC)*, vol. 10, 2022, pp. 1647–1655.
- [11] H. Cao, L. Dirnberger, D. Bernardini, C. Piazza and M. Caccamo, “6impose: Bridging the reality gap in 6d pose estimation for robotic grasping,” 2023.
- [12] Z. Qin, H. Yu, C. Wang, Y. Guo, Y. Peng and K. Xu, “Geometric transformer for fast and robust point cloud registration,” 2022.
- [13] K. Fu, S. Liu, X. Luo and M. Wang, “Robust point cloud registration framework based on deep graph matching,” 2021.

62 Bibliography

- [14] P. J. Besl and N. D. McKay, “A method for registration of 3-d shapes,” *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 14, pp. 239–256, 1992. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:21874346>
- [15] R. A. Rill and K. Faragó, “Collision avoidance using deep learning-based monocular vision,” *SN Computer Science*, vol. 2, 09 2021.
- [16] G. Marullo, L. Tanzi, P. Piazzolla and E. Vezzetti, “6d object position estimation from 2d images: a literature review,” *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 82, pp. 1–39, 11 2022.
- [17] E. Brachmann, “6D Object Pose Estimation using 3D Object Coordinates [Data],” 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.11588/data/V4MUMX>
- [18] T. Hodaň, P. Haluza, Š. Obdržálek, J. Matas, M. Lourakis and X. Zabulis, “T-LESS: An RGB-D dataset for 6D pose estimation of texture-less objects,” *IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, 2017.
- [19] A. Kendall, M. Grimes and R. Cipolla, “Posenet: A convolutional network for real-time 6-dof camera relocalization,” 2016.
- [20] G. Pavlakos, X. Zhou, A. Chan, K. G. Derpanis and K. Daniilidis, “6-dof object pose from semantic keypoints,” 2017.
- [21] T. Hodan, V. Vineet, R. Gal, E. Shalev, J. Hanzelka, T. Connell, P. Urbina, S. N. Sinha and B. Guenter, “Photorealistic image synthesis for object instance detection,” 2019.
- [22] A. Lamb, “A brief introduction to generative models,” 2021.
- [23] I. J. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville and Y. Bengio, “Generative adversarial networks,” 2014.
- [24] A. Borji, “Pros and cons of gan evaluation measures,” 2018.
- [25] M. Arjovsky, S. Chintala and L. Bottou, “Wasserstein gan,” 2017.
- [26] T. Miyato, T. Kataoka, M. Koyama and Y. Yoshida, “Spectral normalization for generative adversarial networks,” 2018.
- [27] D. P. Kingma and M. Welling, “Auto-encoding variational bayes,” 2022.
- [28] D. P. Kingma, T. Salimans and M. Welling, “Variational dropout and the local reparameterization trick,” 2015.
- [29] D. J. Rezende and S. Mohamed, “Variational inference with normalizing flows,” 2016.
- [30] A. Nichol, P. Dhariwal, A. Ramesh, P. Shyam, P. Mishkin, B. McGrew, I. Sutskever and M. Chen, “Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models,” 2022.
- [31] A. Ramesh, P. Dhariwal, A. Nichol, C. Chu and M. Chen, “Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents,” 2022.
- [32] L. Weng, “What are diffusion models?” *lilianweng.github.io*, Jul 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2021-07-11-diffusion-models/>
- [33] A. Nichol and P. Dhariwal, “Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models,” 2021.
- [34] Y. Song and S. Ermon, “Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution,” 2020.

- [35] J. Ho and T. Salimans, “Classifier-free diffusion guidance,” 2022.
- [36] L. Yang, Z. Zhang, Y. Song, S. Hong, R. Xu, Y. Zhao, W. Zhang, B. Cui and M.-H. Yang, “Diffusion models: A comprehensive survey of methods and applications,” 2023.
- [37] C. Saharia, J. Ho, W. Chan, T. Salimans, D. J. Fleet and M. Norouzi, “Image super-resolution via iterative refinement,” *arXiv:2104.07636*, 2021.
- [38] J. Ho, C. Saharia, W. Chan, D. J. Fleet, M. Norouzi and T. Salimans, “Cascaded diffusion models for high fidelity image generation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.15282*, 2021.
- [39] S. Gao, X. Liu, B. Zeng, S. Xu, Y. Li, X. Luo, J. Liu, X. Zhen and B. Zhang, “Implicit diffusion models for continuous super-resolution,” 2023.
- [40] A. Lugmayr, M. Danelljan, A. Romero, F. Yu, R. Timofte and L. V. Gool, “Repaint: Inpainting using denoising diffusion probabilistic models,” 2022.
- [41] C. Saharia, W. Chan, H. Chang, C. A. Lee, J. Ho, T. Salimans, D. J. Fleet and M. Norouzi, “Palette: Image-to-image diffusion models,” 2022.
- [42] G. Kwon and J. C. Ye, “Diffusion-based image translation using disentangled style and content representation,” 2023.
- [43] S. Luo and W. Hu, “Diffusion probabilistic models for 3d point cloud generation,” 2021.
- [44] X. Zeng, A. Vahdat, F. Williams, Z. Gojcic, O. Litany, S. Fidler and K. Kreis, “Lion: Latent point diffusion models for 3d shape generation,” 2022.
- [45] Z. Lyu, Z. Kong, X. Xu, L. Pan and D. Lin, “A conditional point diffusion-refinement paradigm for 3d point cloud completion,” 2022.
- [46] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee and K. Toutanova, “Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding,” 2019.
- [47] A. Radford and K. Narasimhan, “Improving language understanding by generative pre-training,” 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:49313245>
- [48] H. Touvron, T. Lavigne, G. Izacard, X. Martinet, M.-A. Lachaux, T. Lacroix, B. Rozière, N. Goyal, E. Hambro, F. Azhar, A. Rodriguez, A. Joulin, E. Grave and G. Lample, “Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models,” 2023.
- [49] H. Zou, Z. M. Kim and D. Kang, “A survey of diffusion models in natural language processing,” 2023.
- [50] J. Austin, D. D. Johnson, J. Ho, D. Tarlow and R. van den Berg, “Structured denoising diffusion models in discrete state-spaces,” 2023.
- [51] E. Hoogeboom, D. Nielsen, P. Jaini, P. Forré and M. Welling, “Argmax flows and multinomial diffusion: Learning categorical distributions,” 2021.
- [52] X. L. Li, J. Thickstun, I. Gulrajani, P. Liang and T. B. Hashimoto, “Diffusion-lm improves controllable text generation,” 2022.

64 Bibliography

- [53] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark, G. Krueger and I. Sutskever, “Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision,” 2021.
- [54] R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser and B. Ommer, “High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models,” 2022.
- [55] L. Zhang, A. Rao and M. Agrawala, “Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models,” 2023.
- [56] B. Poole, A. Jain, J. T. Barron and B. Mildenhall, “Dreamfusion: Text-to-3d using 2d diffusion,” 2022.
- [57] D. Lowe, “Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints,” *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 60, pp. 91–, 11 2004.
- [58] H. Bay, T. Tuytelaars and L. Van Gool, “Surf: Speeded up robust features,” vol. 3951, 07 2006, pp. 404–417.
- [59] E. Rublee, V. Rabaud, K. Konolige and G. Bradski, “Orb: An efficient alternative to sift or surf,” in *2011 International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2011, pp. 2564–2571.
- [60] M. A. Fischler and R. C. Bolles, “Random sample consensus: A paradigm for model fitting with applications to image analysis and automated cartography,” *Commun. ACM*, vol. 24, no. 6, p. 381–395, jun 1981. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/358669.358692>
- [61] A. Segal, D. Hähnel and S. Thrun, “Generalized-icp,” 06 2009.
- [62] J. Serafin and G. Grisetti, “Nicp: Dense normal based point cloud registration,” in *2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2015, pp. 742–749.
- [63] Y. Song and S. Ermon, “Improved techniques for training score-based generative models,” 2020.
- [64] Y. Song, C. Durkan, I. Murray and S. Ermon, “Maximum likelihood training of score-based diffusion models,” 2021.
- [65] Y. Song, J. Sohl-Dickstein, D. P. Kingma, A. Kumar, S. Ermon and B. Poole, “Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations,” 2021.
- [66] A. Hyvärinen, “Estimation of non-normalized statistical models by score matching,” *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, vol. 6, p. 695–709, dec 2005.
- [67] P. Vincent, “A connection between score matching and denoising autoencoders,” *Neural Computation*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 1661–1674, 2011.
- [68] A. Jolicoeur-Martineau, R. Piché-Taillefer, R. T. des Combes and I. Mitliagkas, “Adversarial score matching and improved sampling for image generation,” 2020.
- [69] T. Dockhorn, A. Vahdat and K. Kreis, “Score-based generative modeling with critically-damped langevin diffusion,” 2022.
- [70] A. Jolicoeur-Martineau, K. Li, R. Piché-Taillefer, T. Kachman and I. Mitliagkas, “Gotta go fast when generating data with score-based models,” 2021.
- [71] J. Song, C. Meng and S. Ermon, “Denoising diffusion implicit models,” 2022.

- [72] C. Lu, Y. Zhou, F. Bao, J. Chen, C. Li and J. Zhu, “Dpm-solver: A fast ode solver for diffusion probabilistic model sampling in around 10 steps,” 2022.
- [73] D. Watson, J. Ho, M. Norouzi and W. Chan, “Learning to efficiently sample from diffusion probabilistic models,” 2021.
- [74] D. Watson, W. Chan, J. Ho and M. Norouzi, “Learning fast samplers for diffusion models by differentiating through sample quality,” 2022.
- [75] H. Zheng, P. He, W. Chen and M. Zhou, “Truncated diffusion probabilistic models and diffusion-based adversarial auto-encoders,” 2023.
- [76] T. Salimans and J. Ho, “Progressive distillation for fast sampling of diffusion models,” 2022.
- [77] C. Meng, R. Rombach, R. Gao, D. P. Kingma, S. Ermon, J. Ho and T. Salimans, “On distillation of guided diffusion models,” 2023.
- [78] D. P. Kingma, T. Salimans, B. Poole and J. Ho, “Variational diffusion models,” 2023.
- [79] S. Gu, D. Chen, J. Bao, F. Wen, B. Zhang, D. Chen, L. Yuan and B. Guo, “Vector quantized diffusion model for text-to-image synthesis,” 2022.
- [80] A. van den Oord, O. Vinyals and K. Kavukcuoglu, “Neural discrete representation learning,” 2018.
- [81] X. Liu, L. Wu, M. Ye and qiang liu, “Learning diffusion bridges on constrained domains,” in *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=WH1yCa0TbB>
- [82] C.-W. Huang, M. Aghajohari, A. J. Bose, P. Panangaden and A. Courville, “Riemannian diffusion models,” 2022.
- [83] V. D. Bortoli, E. Mathieu, M. Hutchinson, J. Thornton, Y. W. Teh and A. Doucet, “Riemannian score-based generative modelling,” 2022.
- [84] C. Niu, Y. Song, J. Song, S. Zhao, A. Grover and S. Ermon, “Permutation invariant graph generation via score-based generative modeling,” 2020.
- [85] H. Huang, L. Sun, B. Du, Y. Fu and W. Lv, “Graphgdp: Generative diffusion processes for permutation invariant graph generation,” 2022.
- [86] A. Vahdat, K. Kreis and J. Kautz, “Score-based generative modeling in latent space,” 2021.
- [87] A. Leach, S. M. Schmon, M. T. Degiacomi and C. G. Willcocks, “Denoising diffusion probabilistic models on SO(3) for rotational alignment,” in *ICLR 2022 Workshop on Geometrical and Topological Representation Learning*, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=BY88eBbkpe5>
- [88] Y. Jagvaral, F. Lanusse and R. Mandelbaum, “DIFFUSION GENERATIVE MODELS ON SO(3),” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=jHA-yCyBGb>
- [89] J. Urain, N. Funk, J. Peters and G. Chalvatzaki, “Se(3)-diffusionfields: Learning smooth cost functions for joint grasp and motion optimization through diffusion,” 2023.

66 Bibliography

- [90] J. Yim, B. L. Trippe, V. D. Bortoli, E. Mathieu, A. Doucet, R. Barzilay and T. Jaakkola, “Se(3) diffusion model with application to protein backbone generation,” 2023.
- [91] T.-C. Hsiao, H.-W. Chen, H.-K. Yang and C.-Y. Lee, “Confronting ambiguity in 6d object pose estimation via score-based diffusion on se(3),” 2023.
- [92] J. Wang, C. Rupprecht and D. Novotny, “PoseDiffusion: Solving pose estimation via diffusion-aided bundle adjustment,” 2023.
- [93] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer and T. Brox, “U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation,” 2015.
- [94] M. Caron, H. Touvron, I. Misra, H. Jégou, J. Mairal, P. Bojanowski and A. Joulin, “Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2021.
- [95] Y. Yang, C. Feng, Y. Shen and D. Tian, “Foldingnet: Point cloud auto-encoder via deep grid deformation,” 2018.
- [96] P. Besl and N. D. McKay, “A method for registration of 3-d shapes,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 239–256, 1992.
- [97] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, L. Kaiser and I. Polosukhin, “Attention is all you need,” 2023.
- [98] A. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly, J. Uszkoreit and N. Houlsby, “An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale,” 2021.
- [99] E. Perez, F. Strub, H. de Vries, V. Dumoulin and A. Courville, “Film: Visual reasoning with a general conditioning layer,” 2017.
- [100] W. Peebles and S. Xie, “Scalable diffusion models with transformers,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.09748*, 2022.
- [101] A. Kazemnejad, “Transformer architecture: The positional encoding,” *kazemnejad.com*, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://kazemnejad.com/blog/transformer_architecture_positional_encoding/
- [102] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li and L. Fei-Fei, “Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database,” in *2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2009, pp. 248–255.
- [103] C. R. Qi, H. Su, K. Mo and L. J. Guibas, “Pointnet: Deep learning on point sets for 3d classification and segmentation,” 2017.
- [104] C. R. Qi, L. Yi, H. Su and L. J. Guibas, “Pointnet++: Deep hierarchical feature learning on point sets in a metric space,” 2017.
- [105] H. Thomas, C. R. Qi, J.-E. Deschaud, B. Marcotegui, F. Goulette and L. J. Guibas, “Kpconv: Flexible and deformable convolution for point clouds,” 2019.
- [106] Y. Shen, C. Feng, Y. Yang and D. Tian, “Mining point cloud local structures by kernel correlation and graph pooling,” 2018.
- [107] S. Hinterstoisser, V. Lepetit, S. Ilic, S. Holzer, G. Bradski, K. Konolige and N. Navab, “Model based training, detection and pose estimation of texture-less 3d objects in heavily cluttered scenes,” vol. 7724, 10 2012.

- [108] T. Hodan, F. Michel, E. Brachmann, W. Kehl, A. G. Buch, D. Kraft, B. Drost, J. Vidal, S. Ihrke, X. Zabulis, C. Sahin, F. Manhardt, F. Tombari, T.-K. Kim, J. Matas and C. Rother, “Bop: Benchmark for 6d object pose estimation,” 2018.
- [109] M. Denninger, M. Sundermeyer, D. Winkelbauer, Y. Zidan, D. Olefir, M. Elbadrawy, A. Lodhi and H. Katam, “Blenderproc,” 2019.
- [110] T. Hodan, M. Sundermeyer, B. Drost, Y. Labbe, E. Brachmann, F. Michel, C. Rother and J. Matas, “Bop challenge 2020 on 6d object localization,” 2020.
- [111] E. Brachmann, F. Michel, A. Krull, M. Y. Yang, S. Gumhold and C. Rother, “Uncertainty-driven 6d pose estimation of objects and scenes from a single rgb image,” in *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2016, pp. 3364–3372.

Declaration

Herewith, I declare that I have developed and written the enclosed thesis entirely by myself and that I have not used sources or means except those declared.

This thesis has not been submitted to any other authority to achieve an academic grading and has not been published elsewhere.

Stuttgart, TBD Date of sign.

Haoqing Wu