# **CR Review**Use Case Specification

## Submitted to:

Asst. Prof. Ma. Rowena C. Solamo
Faculty Member
Department of Computer Science
College of Engineering
University of the Philippines, Diliman

Submitted by: John Virgilio B. Afable Jr. John Philip O. Chanchico Lance Andrew B. Lim

In partial fulfillment of academic requirements for the course CS 191 Software Engineering I of the 1st Semester, AY 2019-2020



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

# Unique Reference:

The documents are stored in the

https://github.com/HappyMonkee/CR-Review/tree/master/02-Requirements%20Engineering/Project%20Deliverables referenced with CR Review - 2 - Review CR.pdf.

## Document Purpose:

The purpose of this document is to list and show the Use-Case specifications of a particular use-case of our CR Review application. Included in this document is the Use-case's name and description, Preconditions if any, Flow of Events, Activity Diagram, Entity-Relationship Diagram, Postconditions if any, relationships, and possible special requirements of the use-case.

# Target Audience:

Ms. Rowena Solamo; UP Diliman Students;

#### Revision Control:

Revision Date	Person Responsible	Version Number	Modification
09/21/2019	John Philip Ortiz Chanchico	1.0	Initial Document; Added Document Purpose;
09/21/2019	John Philip Ortiz Chanchico	1.1	Added Use-Case Name and Description; Added Preconditions, Flow of Events and Postconditions;
09/21/2019	John Philip Ortiz Chanchico	1.2	Added Activity Diagram/Swimlane Diagram;
09/22/2019	John Philip Ortiz Chanchico	1.3	Added ER Diagram and Relationships; Special Requirements have been set to NONE;
09/22/2019	John Philip Ortiz Chanchico	1.4	Edited and Corrected ER Diagram; Added Target Audience;
09/22/2019	John Philip Ortiz Chanchico	1.5	Replaced Activity Diagram; Added Unique Reference;

**Use-Case Name:** Review CR

Description: Enter text/string entry of a review into a field. Afterwards, ratings of various criteria are asked.

Average rating of these criteria is used as the overall rating of the CR. Entry is inserted under the

corresponding CR reviewed.

Preconditions: Must have viewed the CR Record from the CR List or the CR Map. Implies that there must be an

entry of the CR Record before you can review.

System: CR Record Maintenance System


Page 2 Version: 1.4 Group: 2

# Flow of Events:


Scenario Name	Description
Scenario 1 (Basic Flow)	1. User opens the application and chooses to view the CR List.
CR record is viewed from the CR	2. List of CRs is displayed and the user chooses a particular CR Record.
List and textual review is entered into the field.	3. CR Record is displayed along with its current reviews.
into the new.	4. The user chooses to review the CR.
	5. The user is asked to enter his review into a field.
	6. Afterwards, the user is asked to rate the CR according to different criteria. Average of this criteria is used as an overall rating of the CR.
	7. Review is saved along with all other past reviews.
Scenario 2	1. User opens the application and chooses to view the CR Map.
CR record is viewed from the CR Map and textual review is entered	2. Map of the vicinity is displayed and CRs around the user and the user chooses a particular CR Record.
into the field.	3. CR Record is displayed along with its current reviews.
	4. The user chooses to review the CR.
	5. The user is asked to enter his review into a field.
	6. Afterwards, the user is asked to rate the CR according to different criteria. Average of this criteria is used as an overall rating of the CR.
	7. Review is saved along with all other past reviews.
Scenario 3	1. User opens the application and chooses to view the CR List.
CR record is viewed from the CR	2. List of CRs is displayed and the user chooses a particular CR Record.
List and textual review is not	3. CR Record is displayed along with its current reviews.
entered into the field.	4. The user chooses to review the CR.
	5. The user is asked to enter his review into a field but opts not to.
	6. Afterwards, the user is asked to rate the CR according to different criteria. Average of this criteria is used as an overall rating of the CR.
	7. Review is saved along with all other past reviews.
Scenario 4	1. User opens the application and chooses to view the CR Map.
CR record is viewed from the CR Map and textual review is not	2. Map of the vicinity is displayed and CRs around the user and the user chooses a particular CR Record.
entered into the field.	3. CR Record is displayed along with its current reviews.
	4. The user chooses to review the CR.
	5. The user is asked to enter his review into a field but opts not to.
	6. Afterwards, the user is asked to rate the CR according to different criteria. Average of this criteria is used as an overall rating of the CR.
	7. Review is saved along with all other past reviews.

System: CR Record Maintenance System Version: 1.4

# Activity Diagram of the Flow of Events:



# Other Diagram:



**Postcondition:** After the review is added, it can no longer be deleted other than by the administrators.

**Relationships:** IsLocated - The CR is located in this Location. The location can have no CR but CRs need a

location to be identified.

Reviewed - The CR has been reviewed and has a Review. When the CR record is first added, it can have no review and it can stay that way, however Reviews are dependent on the CR and cannot exist without it. Thus, Review is a weak entity.

# Special Requirements:

NONE