





HARD TO CACHE Research Poster



A VISUALIZED SUMMARY OF OUR RESEARCH

ADDRESSED PROBLEM

Despite advances in AI/HPC, >70% of computational studies fail replication due to undocumented dependencies, environment drift, and fragmented workflows. This erodes scientific trust and impedes progress.

Our research asks:

- How can we systematically quantify reproducibility risks in research artifacts?
- Can an automated framework bridge the gap between data collection, analysis, and public dissemination?

HardToCache contributes:

- A novel Scorecard methodology to audit reproducibility across 8 critical dimensions
- Integrated automation tools for scraping, scoring, and visualization

GOALS

- A Quantifiable Reproducibility Framework
- Seamless Automation Pipeline
- Accessible Science Dissemination
- Open Collaboration Infrastructure

RESOURCE NEEDS/LIST

Resources used:

- Google Colab - Python
- SGX3 Project Server - HTML/SSH
- Github
- Canva
- pandas, fitz, pathlib, matplotlib
- Google Sheets

METHODOLOGY

1. Collect
 - Automated scraping of research papers
 - Extract code/data from publications
2. Score
 - Apply 6-key Scorecard
 - Validate with expert feedback
3. Share
 - Generate website + poster
 - Publish tools/scores on GitHub


EXAMPLE PAPER SCORING

- Only a few papers had fully available code and datasets that were able to be reproduced on any given environment. Most lacked full environment or hardware details
- This suggests that while some research is highly reproducible, many papers will still miss key details
- Each category was scored from 0 (not mentioned/accessibile) to 5 (very clearly addressed) using keywords in the paper.

POSSIBLE EXPANSIONS

- Scale Across Disciplines
 - Biomedical research, Climate modeling, Social science
- Real-Time Monitoring
 - Develop a browser extension that shows the reproducibility score and live ratings.
- Enhanced Automation:
 - Auto-update scores when papers are revised

SCORECARD EXPLANATION



Canva Tip: Double-tap on the sample charts to change the data



Charli Brooks
Elizabeth City
State University
cnbrooks04@gmail.com






Silas Erving
Morehouse College
silaserving815@gmail.com



Chante Ray
Mississippi Valley
State University
chante.ray9@gmail.com



Seth Austin Mack
Morehouse
College
sethamack89@gmail.com





CHARD TO CACHE

Research Poster

A VISUALIZED SUMMARY OF OUR RESEARCH



Charli Brooks - 24965

ADDRESSED PROBLEM

Despite advances in AI/HPC, >70% of computational studies fail replication due to undocumented dependencies, environment drift, and fragmented workflows. This erodes scientific trust and impedes progress.

Our research asks:

- How can we systematically quantify reproducibility risks in research artifacts?
- Can an automated framework bridge the gap between data collection, analysis, and public dissemination?

HardToCache contributes:

- A novel Scorecard methodology to audit reproducibility across 8 critical dimensions
- Integrated automation tools for scraping, scoring, and visualization

GOALS

- A Quantifiable Reproducibility Framework
- Seamless Automation Pipeline
- Accessible Science Dissemination
- Open Collaboration Infrastructure

RESOURCE NEEDS/LIST

Resources used:

- Google Colab - Python
- SGX3 Project Server - HTML/SSH
- Github
- Canva
- pandas, fitz, pathlib, matplotlib
- Google Sheets

METHODOLOGY

1. Collect

- Automated scraping of research papers
- Extract code/data from publications

2. Score

- Apply 6-key Scorecard
- Validate with expert feedback

3. Share

- Generate website + poster
- Publish tools/scores on GitHub


EXAMPLE PAPER SCORING

- Only a few papers had fully available code and datasets that were able to be reproduced on any given environment. Most lacked full environment or hardware details
- This suggests that while some research is highly reproducible, many papers will still miss key details
- Each category was scored from 0 (not mentioned/accessible) to 5 (very clearly addressed) using keywords in the paper.

Possible Expansions

- Scale Across Disciplines
 - Biomedical research, Climate modeling, Social science
- Real-Time Monitoring
 - Develop a browser extension that shows the reproducibility score and live ratings.
- Enhanced Automation:
 - Auto-update scores when papers are revised

SCORECARD EXPLANATION



Canva Tip: Double-tap on the sample charts to change the data



Charli Brooks
Elizabeth City
State University
cbrooks04@gmail.com

AUTHORS



Silas Erving
Morehouse College
slerving81@gmail.com



Chante Ray
Mississippi Valley
State University
chantey3@gmail.com



Seth Austin Mack
Morehouse
College
sethamaack89@gmail.co
m





HARD TO CACHE

Research Poster

A VISUALIZED SUMMARY OF OUR RESEARCH

ADDRESSED PROBLEM

Despite advances in AI/HPC, >70% of computational studies fail replication due to undocumented dependencies, environment drift, and fragmented workflows. This erodes scientific trust and impedes progress.

Our research asks:

- How can we systematically quantify reproducibility risks in research artifacts?
- Can an automated framework bridge the gap between data collection, analysis, and public dissemination?

HardToCache contributes:

- A novel Scorecard methodology to audit reproducibility across 8 critical dimensions
- Integrated automation tools for scraping, scoring, and visualization

GOALS

- A Quantifiable Reproducibility Framework
- Seamless Automation Pipeline
- Accessible Science Dissemination
- Open Collaboration Infrastructure

RESOURCE NEEDS/LIST

Resources used:

- Google Colab - Python
- SGX3 Project Server - HTML/SSH
- Github
- Canva
- pandas, fitz, pathlib, matplotlib
- Google Sheets

METHODOLOGY

1. Collect
 - Automated scraping of research papers
 - Extract code/data from publications
2. Score
 - Apply 6-key Scorecard
 - Validate with expert feedback
3. Share
 - Generate website + poster
 - Publish tools/scores on GitHub


EXAMPLE PAPER SCORING

- Only a few papers had fully available code and datasets that were able to be reproduced on any given environment. Most lacked full environment or hardware details
- This suggests that while some research is highly reproducible, many papers will still miss key details
- Each category was scored from 0 (not mentioned/accessible) to 5 (very clearly addressed) using keywords in the paper.

POSSIBLE EXPANSIONS

- Scale Across Disciplines
 - Biomedical research, Climate modeling, Social science
- Real-Time Monitoring
 - Develop a browser extension that shows the reproducibility score and live ratings.
- Enhanced Automation:
 - Auto-update scores when papers are revised

SCORECARD EXPLANATION



Canva Tip: Double-tap on the sample charts to change the data

AUTHORS



Charli Brooks
Elizabeth City
State University
cnbrooks04@gmail.com



Silas Erving
Morehouse College
silaserving815@gmail.com



Chante Ray
Mississippi Valley
State University
chanteray3@gmail.com



Seth Austin Mack
Morehouse
College
sethamack89@gmail.co
m

Team Members



Charli Brooks



Silas Erving



Chante Ray



Seth Austin Mack

Technology Used

CO



```
Memory usage: 1%           IPv4 address for ens4: 10.0.0.2
Swap usage: 0%

10 updates can be applied immediately.
3 of these updates are standard security updates.
To see these additional updates run: apt list --upgradable

*** System restart required ***
Last login: Fri Jun 27 05:29:24 2025 from 84.198.244.196
cnbrooks04@jp-codinginstitute:~$ cd ~/SGX3-Project
source my-virtual-env/bin/activate
(my-virtual-env) cnbrooks04@jp-codinginstitute:~/SGX3-Project$ cs pdf_scrap
cs: command not found
((my-virtual-env) cnbrooks04@jp-codinginstitute:~/SGX3-Project$ cd pdf_scrap
((my-virtual-env) cnbrooks04@jp-codinginstitute:~/SGX3-Project/pdf_scrap$ cd ../Hard-2-Cache
((my-virtual-env) cnbrooks04@jp-codinginstitute:~/SGX3-Project/Hard-2-Cache$ python app.py
 * Serving Flask app 'app'
 * Debug mode: on
WARNING: This is a development server. Do not use it in a production deployment. Use a product
ion WSGI server instead.
 * Running on all addresses (0.0.0.0)
 * Running on http://127.0.0.1:8056
 * Running on http://10.0.0.2:8056
Press CTRL+C to quit
 * Restarting with stat
 * Debugger is active!
 * Debugger PIN: 654-440-886
84.198.244.196 - - [27/Jun/2025 06:27:58] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 -
84.198.244.196 - - [27/Jun/2025 06:27:58] "GET /static/css/style.css HTTP/1.1" 304 -
```

Top 10 Python Libraries

 Pandas Data analysis and manipulation	 NumPy Mathematical functions
 Matplotlib Data visualisations	 Seaborn Data visualisations
 Tensorflow Machine Learning	 Keras Deep Learning
 SciPy Scientific computing	 PyTorch Machine Learning
 Scrapy Web crawling	 SQLModel Interact with SQL databases



Scoring of Papers' Scorecard Explanation


Scorecard Table

Paper ID	Paper	Code	Data	Comp.	GPU Req	Docs	Setup	Results	Avg Score	Notes
119	5	4	1	2	1	3	2	3	2.63	Missing datasets/hardware
49	5	4	3	3	3	3	2	4	3.38	High-quality artifacts, no container
39	5	5	4	4	2	4	3	4	3.88	Strongest overall, container missing
100	5	4	4	4	4	3	2	3	3.63	Code missing, but detailed results
191	5	4	4	3	4	4	2	2	3.50	No container, Joel parsing effort
179	5	1	5	4	1	4	3	3	3.25	Great data, weak automation
23	5	5	5	3	1	4	3	4	3.75	Needs Docker to improve
99	5	5	5	3	0	4	3	4	3.63	Mostly reproducible
13	5	5	5	4	4	4	3	4	4.25	Very strong, Docker would perfect it
63	5	5	5	4	4	4	2	4	4.13	Minor env tweaks needed

Scoring Criteria

Each paper was scored on the following 8 metrics. All metrics are evaluated on a 0–5 scale, defined as follows:

Metric	0	1	2	3	4	5
Paper Availability	Not available	Paywalled	Partially open	Open, lacks DOI	Open w/ DOI	Fully open (e.g. arXiv/Zenodo)
Code & Software Availability	None	Link broken	Incomplete or undocumented	Complete but limited	Good docs, installable	Versioned, reproducible, containerized
Dataset Availability	None	Proprietary	Partial	Public but unclear	Licensed and usable	Fully open, well-described
Computer Requirements	Not mentioned	Vague	Basic specs	Moderate specs + OS	OS + RAM + tool versions	Full specs + env (e.g. Conda, Docker)
GPU Requirements	Not mentioned	Assumed only	GPU required, unspecified	GPU mentioned	GPU + RAM specs provided	All specs + setup scripts provided
Documentation Quality	None	Minimal README	Incomplete or unclear	Functional but sparse	Clear and complete	Rich docs + tutorials or examples
Ease of Setup	Not reproducible	Needs manual editing	Works with effort	Mostly works	Setup script available	One-click setup (Docker, VM)
Result Reproducibility	No results	Unverifiable	Partially match	Mostly match	Matches with effort	Fully reproduced



Methodology

Collect

- Automated scraping of research papers
- Extract code/data from publications

1

Score

- Apply 8-key Scorecard:
- Validate with feedback

2

Share



- Auto-generate website + poster
- Publish tools/scores on GitHub

3

Possible Expansions

Building on our foundation, HardToCache could:

- Scale Across Disciplines
 - Adapt scoring framework for:
 - Biomedical research, Climate modeling, Social science
- Real-Time Monitoring
 - Develop a browser extension that shows:
 - !(Reproducibility Score) Live ratings for arXiv/PubMed papers
- Enhanced Automation:
 - Integrate with:
 - CI/CD platforms → Auto-update scores when papers revise
 - Container registries → One-click environment rebuilds



THANK
YOU FOR
LISTENING