

# Lecture 16

Dr. Umair Rehman

# Agenda

---

- Command Design Pattern
  - Direct Invocation Approach vs Command Design Pattern

# Behavioral Design Patterns

---

- Focused on managing communication and interactions between objects.
- Promoting flexibility and efficient communication.
- By using behavioral patterns
  - Objects can work together more seamlessly
  - Maintaining clear responsibilities and reducing dependencies

# Popular Behavioral Design Patterns

---

- **Iterator:** Sequential access to collection.
- **Chain of Responsibility:** Pass request along handlers.
- **Command:** Encapsulate actions as objects.
- **Observer:** Notify on state changes.
- **State:** Alter behavior by state.
- **Strategy:** Switchable algorithms at runtime.
- **Template Method:** Algorithm skeleton, modifiable steps.

# Example: Universal Home Automation Remote

---

- Remote designed for controlling multiple home devices
- Supports current and future devices (e.g., lights, fans, TV, thermostat)

# Example: Universal Home Automation Remote

---

- Perform Multiple Actions on Each Device
  - Basic control (on/off)
  - Advanced settings adjustments (e.g., fan speed, dimming lights)

# Example: Universal Home Automation Remote

---

- Flexibility & Scalability
  - Seamless addition of new devices and actions
  - Minimal changes needed for future expansions

# Direct Invocation Approach

---

- The Direct Invocation approach allows each button on the remote to directly invoke a specific command on a device.
- Buttons on the remote are directly mapped to device-specific commands (e.g., “Turn on Light,” “Adjust Fan Speed”).



# Direct Invocation Approach

---

```
1. #include <iostream>
2.
3. // Device classes
4. class Light {
5. public:
6.     void turnOn() { std::cout << "The light is on." << std::endl; }
7.     void turnOff() { std::cout << "The light is off." << std::endl; }
8. };
9.
```

# Direct Invocation Approach

---

```
9.  
10. class Fan {  
11. public:  
12.     void turnOn() { std::cout << "The fan is on." << std::endl; }  
13.     void turnOff() { std::cout << "The fan is off." << std::endl; }  
14. };  
15.
```

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
16. // Invoker class (RemoteControl) - tightly coupled to specific devices
17. class RemoteControl {
18. private:
19.     Light* light;
20.     Fan* fan;
21.
22. public:
23.     RemoteControl(Light* l, Fan* f) : light(l), fan(f) {}
24.
25.     // Methods to control specific devices
26.     void turnOnLight() { light->turnOn(); }
27.     void turnOffLight() { light->turnOff(); }
28.     void turnOnFan() { fan->turnOn(); }
29.     void turnOffFan() { fan->turnOff(); }
30. };
31.
```

# Direct Invocation Approach

---

```
31.  
32. // Client code  
33. int main() {  
34.     Light light;  
35.     Fan fan;  
36.     RemoteControl remote(&light, &fan);  
37.  
38.     // Directly controlling the light and fan through RemoteControl  
39.     remote.turnOnLight(); // Output: "The light is on."  
40.     remote.turnOffLight(); // Output: "The light is off."  
41.     remote.turnOnFan(); // Output: "The fan is on."  
42.     remote.turnOffFan(); // Output: "The fan is off."  
43.  
44.     return 0;  
45. }  
46.
```

# Cons of Direct Invocation Approach

---

- Tight Coupling:
  - RemoteControl depends directly on Light and Fan.
- Dependency:
  - RemoteControl needs to know specifics of each device it controls.
- Impact of Changes:
  - Modifications in Light or Fan could require adjustments in RemoteControl.

# Cons of Direct Invocation Approach

---

- Scalability Issue:
  - Adding new devices (e.g., TV) requires altering `RemoteControl` to accommodate device-specific methods like `turnOnTV()` and `turnOffTV()`.
- Maintenance Difficulty:
  - `RemoteControl` grows longer and harder to maintain with each addition.

# Cons of Direct Invocation Approach

---

- No Reusability:
  - Actions (e.g., turning on/off) are hardcoded into RemoteControl.
- Duplication Issue:
  - Creating different remotes for various rooms requires replicating similar code.
- Reuse Constraints:
  - Code is not easily reusable across different remote types or future devices.

# Cons of Direct Invocation Approach

---

- Single Responsibility Principal Violation:
  - RemoteControl handles multiple responsibilities.
  - Manages both action execution and device-specific details.
  - Changes in device behavior could disrupt RemoteControl functionality.



# Refactor with Command Design Pattern

---

- The Command pattern is a behavioral design pattern
- Transforms a request or operation into a distinct object
  - Encapsulating all necessary details to execute the action
- Benefits:
  - Easier to add new commands.
  - Supports undo/redo functionality.
  - Enables action queuing.
  - Allows command history logging.

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
1. #include <iostream>
2.
3. // Command interface
4. class Command {
5. public:
6.     virtual void execute() = 0;
7.     virtual ~Command() = default;
8. };

```

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
10. // Receiver class for Light
11. class Light {
12. public:
13.     void turnOn() { std::cout << "The light is on." << std::endl; }
14.     void turnOff() { std::cout << "The light is off." << std::endl; }
15. };
```

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
17. // Receiver class for Fan
18. class Fan {
19. public:
20.     void turnOn() { std::cout << "The fan is on." << std::endl; }
21.     void turnOff() { std::cout << "The fan is off." << std::endl; }
22. };
```

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
24. // Concrete Command to turn on the light
25. class LightOnCommand : public Command {
26. private:
27.     Light* light;
28. public:
29.     LightOnCommand(Light* l) : light(l) {}
30.     void execute() override { light->turnOn(); }
31. };
32.
```

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
33. // Concrete Command to turn off the light
34. class LightOffCommand : public Command {
35. private:
36.     Light* light;
37. public:
38.     LightOffCommand(Light* l) : light(l) {}
39.     void execute() override { light->turnOff(); }
40. };
41.
```

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
42. // Concrete Command to turn on the fan
43. class FanOnCommand : public Command {
44. private:
45.     Fan* fan;
46. public:
47.     FanOnCommand(Fan* f) : fan(f) {}
48.     void execute() override { fan->turnOn(); }
49. };
```

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
51. // Concrete Command to turn off the fan
52. class FanOffCommand : public Command {
53. private:
54.     Fan* fan;
55. public:
56.     FanOffCommand(Fan* f) : fan(f) {}
57.     void execute() override { fan->turnOff(); }
58. };
59.
```



# Command Design Pattern

---

```
60. // Invoker class (RemoteControl)
61. class RemoteControl {
62. private:
63.     Command* command;
64. public:
65.     void setCommand(Command* cmd) { command = cmd; }
66.     void pressButton() {
67.         if(command) {
68.             command->execute();
69.         }
70.         else {
71.             std::cout << "No command set." << std::endl;
72.         }
73.     }
74. };
75.
```

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
76. // Client code
77. int main() {
78.     // Create receiver objects
79.     Light light;
80.     Fan fan;
81.
82.     // Create command objects
83.     LightOnCommand lightOn(&light);
84.     LightOffCommand lightOff(&light);
85.     FanOnCommand fanOn(&fan);
86.     FanOffCommand fanOff(&fan);
87.
88.     // Create invoker
89.     RemoteControl remote;
```

# Command Design Pattern

---

```
91.    // Turn on the light
92.    remote.setCommand(&lightOn);
93.    remote.pressButton(); // Output: "The light is on."
94.
95.    // Turn off the light
96.    remote.setCommand(&lightOff);
97.    remote.pressButton(); // Output: "The light is off."
98.
99.    // Turn on the fan
100.   remote.setCommand(&fanOn);
101.   remote.pressButton(); // Output: "The fan is on."
102.
103.   // Turn off the fan
104.   remote.setCommand(&fanOff);
105.   remote.pressButton(); // Output: "The fan is off."
106.
107.   return 0;
108. }
109.
```

# I. Client Creates the Receiver (Light)

---

1. `Light light;`
- 2.

- The Light object (light) is created.
- This object has methods like `turnOn()` and `turnOff()` to perform specific actions.
- Light object is the receiver because
  - Ultimately carry out the requested action

## 2. Client Creates the Command (LightOnCommand)

---

1. `LightOnCommand lightOn(&light);`  
2.

- The client creates a `LightOnCommand` object (`lightOn`) and provides it with a reference to the `light` object (the receiver).
- Dependency Injection occurs here:
  - the `light` object (dependency) is injected into the `LightOnCommand` through the constructor.
- This means `lightOn` now "knows" which `Light` instance to control.

### 3. Client Creates the Invoker (RemoteControl)

---

1. `RemoteControl remote;`
- 2.

- The client creates the `RemoteControl` object (`remote`), which will act as the invoker.
- The `RemoteControl` doesn't yet know what command it will execute
  - it will simply be given a command later.

## 4. Client Sets the Command in the Invoker

---

1. `remote.setCommand(&lightOn);`
- 2.

- The client tells the remote to store the `lightOn` command by calling `remote.setCommand(&lightOn);`.
- This means the remote is now set up with a command to execute
  - But doesn't yet know what the command does

# 5. Invoker (RemoteControl) Executes the Command

---

```
1. void pressButton() {  
2.     if (command) {  
3.         command->execute(); // Executes the stored command  
4.     }  
5. }  
6.
```

- The RemoteControl's pressButton() method is called.
- Inside this method, remote calls execute() on the stored command (lightOn).
- The RemoteControl doesn't know what execute() does or which device it controls.
- It just knows that it's supposed to call execute() on the command when the button is pressed.



## 6. Receiver (Light) Performs the Action:

---

```
1. void execute() override {  
2.     light->turnOn(); // Calls the turnOn method on the Light receiver  
3. }  
4.
```

- When `execute()` is called on `lightOn`, it performs its predefined action.
- In this case, `LightOnCommand` calls the `turnOn()` method on the `Light` object (the receiver) it controls.
- This step enables the command to tell the receiver to perform the specific action it's designed for.

# 7. Command Executes the Action on the Receiver:

---

```
1. void turnOn() {  
2.     std::cout << "The light is on." << std::endl;  
3. }  
4.
```

- The Light object (receiver) receives the call to turnOn() and performs the actual action of turning on the light.
- This step completes the action requested by the client, and we see the output "The light is on."

# Advantages of Command Pattern

---

- Loose Coupling:
  - The `RemoteControl` doesn't know about the specific devices'
  - It only knows about commands.
  - This makes it easier to add new devices without changing `RemoteControl`.

# Advantages of Command Pattern

---

- Scalability:
  - New commands (for new devices or actions) can be added independently without modifying the `RemoteControl` class.

# Advantages of Command Pattern

---

- Reusability:
  - Each command class is independent and can be reused across different contexts or with different invokers (e.g., other types of remotes).

# Advantages of Command Pattern

---

- Flexibility:
  - Allows us to implement additional features like undo/redo or action logging by adding methods to commands or wrapping them in higher-level structures, without modifying existing code.

# Conclusion

---

- Command Design Pattern
  - Direct Invocation Approach vs Command Design Pattern