

## Contextual Combinatorial Cascading Bandit Experiment

# 1 Preliminary

## 1.1 Synthetic dataset

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\|_2 = 1\}$  be the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $E = \{1, \dots, L\}$  be the set of all base arms. We randomly choose  $\theta_*$  with  $\|\theta_*\|_2 = 1$  and randomly assign a  $\mu_i \in \mathcal{S}$  to  $i$  for any  $i \in E$ . At each time  $t$ , we choose  $b_{t,i} \in \mathcal{S}$  randomly for any base arm  $i$ . Also we fix a constant  $h$  to balance weights of  $\mu_i$  and disturbance  $b_{t,i}$ . Let  $x_{t,i} = \frac{\mu_i + h b_{t,i}}{\|\mu_i + h b_{t,i}\|_2}$  be the context of base arm  $i$  at time  $t$ . And the weight for base arm  $i$  at time  $t$  is  $w_t(i) = \theta_*^\top x_{t,i} + \epsilon_{t,i}$  where  $\epsilon_{t,i} \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i)$  for fixed  $\sigma_i$ .

## 1.2 MovieLens

Let  $L$  be the number of all movies and let  $M$  be the number of all users. The MovieLens dataset is a big matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times L}$  where  $A(i, j) \in \{0, 1\}$  denotes whether user  $i$  has watched movie  $j$  or not. We split  $A$  to be  $H + F$  by putting entry-1 of  $A$  to  $H$  and  $F$  with probability  $\sim \text{Ber}(p)$  for some fixed  $p$ . We can regard  $H$  as know information about history 'What users have watched' and regard  $F$  as future criterion. We use  $H$  to derive feature vectors of both users and movies by SVD decomposition  $H = U S V^\top$  where  $U = (u_1; \dots; u_M)$  and  $V = (v_1; \dots; v_L)$ . At every time  $t$ , use  $x_{t,i} = u_i v_j^\top$  as the context information of base arm  $i$  and randomly choose a user  $I_t$ . And use  $w_t(j) = F(I_t, j)$  as the weight of base arm  $j$ .

Notice that for this case, fixed number of base arms, it might have problem if we use  $(u_{I_t}, v_j)$  as context information. Since to find the best arm, it is equivalent to find the best one with highest weights sum, so is equivalent to the best one with highest  $\theta_v^\top x$ .

The measurement for MovieLens is accuracy because we don't know the true  $\theta_*$ .

# 2 Disjunctive case

## 2.1 Need to involve Contextual information

We experiment both on synthetic data and MovieLens. We compare our method with  $\gamma_k = 1$  to the algorithm in Cascading Bandits(ICML'2015) with  $L =, K =,$

## 2.2 Need to involve position discount parameter $\gamma$

We experiment both on synthetic data and MovieLens. We compare our method with  $\gamma_k = \gamma^{k-1}$  to the algorithm in Cascading Bandits(ICML'2015) with  $L =, K =, \gamma_k = 1$ .

## 2.3 Cascading Information

We experiment both on synthetic data and MovieLens. We compare our method with  $\gamma_k = \gamma^{k-1}$  to the algorithm in Qin Lijing(2014) with  $L =, K =, \gamma_k = 1$ .

Algorithm	Cumulative Reward $\sum_{t=1}^T r_i$
Li	4342.73
Qin	4323.26
Monkey	1765.41
Kveton	1787.81
Perfect Play	N/A

Table 1: Cumulative reward w.r.t different baselines, under Movielens setting.

### 3 Conjunctive case

#### 3.1 Need to involve Contextual information

We experiment on synthetic data. We compare our method with  $\gamma_k = 1$  to the algorithm in Cascading Bandits(ICML'2015) with  $L =, K =,$

#### 3.2 Need to involve position discount parameter $\gamma$

We experiment on synthetic data. We compare our method with  $\gamma_k = \gamma^{k-1}$  to the algorithm in Cascading Bandits(ICML'2015) with  $L =, K =, \gamma_k = 1.$

#### 3.3 Cascading Information

We experiment on synthetic data. We compare our method with  $\gamma_k = \gamma^{k-1}$  to the algorithm in Qin Lijing(2014) with  $L =, K =, \gamma_k = 1.$

## 4 Results

An example output, with  $T = 10000$ , is listed below.