Botworld 1.0 (Technical Report)

Nate Soares, Benja Fallenstein

Machine Intelligence Research Institute
2030 Addison St. #300
Berkeley, CA 94704, USA

{nate,benja}@intelligence.org

April 10, 2014

Contents

| 1 | Introduction | | |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----|
| | 1.1 | Overview | 3 |
| | 1.2 | Cartesianism in Botworld | 5 |
| 2 | Imp | blementation | 7 |
| | 2.1 | Robots | 8 |
| | 2.2 | Items | 9 |
| | 2.3 | Commands and actions | 10 |
| | 2.4 | The step function | 11 |
| | | 2.4.1 Resolving conflicts | 11 |
| | | 2.4.2 Determining actions | 13 |
| | | 2.4.3 Updating items and robots | 15 |
| | | 2.4.4 Running robots | 17 |
| | | 2.4.5 Summary | 19 |
| | 2.5 | Games | 19 |
| 3 | Con | ncluding notes | 21 |
| \mathbf{A} | Grie | d Manipulation | 22 |
| | A.1 | - | 22 |
| | A.2 | Botworld Grids | 23 |
| В | Con | nstree Language | 24 |
| | B.1 | Robot/machine interactions | 26 |
| | B.2 | Encoding and Decoding | 27 |
| \mathbf{C} | Hel | per Functions | 31 |
| D | Vis | ualization | 33 |
| Bibliography | | | 36 |

Chapter 1

Introduction

This report introduces *Botworld*, a cellular automaton that provides a toy environment for studying self-modifying agents.

The traditional agent framework, used for example in Markov Decision Processes [8] and in Marcus Hutter's universal agent AIXI [4], splits the universe into an agent and an environment, which interact only via discrete input and output channels.

Such formalisms are perhaps ill-suited for real self-modifying agents, which are embedded within their environments [5]. Indeed, the agent/environment separation is somewhat reminiscent of Cartesian dualism: any agent using this framework to reason about the world does not model itself as part of its environment. For example, such an agent would be unable to understand the concept of the environment interfering with its internal computations, e.g. by inducing errors in the agent's RAM through heat [3].

Intuitively, this separation does not seem to be a fatal flaw, but merely a tool for simplifying the discussion. We should be able to remove this "Cartesian" assumption from formal models of intelligence. However, the concrete non-Cartesian models that have been proposed (such as Orseau and Ring's formalism for space-time embedded intelligence [5], Vladimir Slepnev's models of updateless decision theory [6, 7], and Yudkowsky and Herreshoff's tiling agents [9]) depart significantly from their Cartesian counterparts.

Botworld is a toy example of the type of universe that these formalisms are designed to reason about: it provides a concrete world containing agents ("robots") whose internal computations are a part of the environment, and allows us to study what happens when the Cartesian barrier between an agent and its environment breaks down. Botworld allows us to write decision problems where the Cartesian barrier is relevant, program actual agents, and run the system.

As it turns out, many interesting problems arise when agents are embedded in their environment. For example, agents whose source code is readable may be subjected to Newcomb-like problems [1] by entities that simulate the agent and choose their actions accordingly.

Furthermore, certain obstacles to self-reference arise when non-Cartesian agents attempt to achieve confidence in their future actions. Some of these issues are raised by Yudkowsky and Herreshoff [9]; Botworld gives us a concrete environment in which we can examine them.

One of the primary benefits of Botworld is *concreteness*: when working with abstract problems of self-reference, it is often very useful to see a concrete decision problem ("game") in a fully specified world that directly exhibits the obstacle under consideration. Botworld makes it easier to visualize these obstacles.

Conversely, Botworld also makes it easier to visualize suggested agent architectures, which in turn makes it easier to visualize potential problems and probe the architecture for edge cases.

Finally, Botworld is a tool for communicating. It is our hope that Botworld will help others understand the varying formalisms for self-modifying agents by giving them a concrete way to visualize such architectures being implemented. Furthermore, Botworld gives us a concrete way to illustrate various obstacles, by implementing Botworld games in which the obstacles arise.

Botworld has helped us gain a deeper understanding of varying formalisms for self-modifying agents and the obstacles they face. It is our hope that Botworld will help others more concretely understand these issues as well.

1.1 Overview

Botworld is a high level cellular automaton: the contents of each cell can be quite complex. Indeed, cells may house robots with register machines, which are run for a fixed amount of time in each cellular automaton step. A brief overview of the cellular automaton follows. Afterwards, we will present the details along with a full implementation in Haskell.

Botworld consists of a grid of cells, each of which is either a *square* or an impassable *wall*. Each square may contain an arbitrary number of *robots* and *items*. Robots can navigate the grid and possess tools for manipulating items. Some items are quite useful: for example, *shields* can protect robots from attacks by other robots. Other items are intrinsically valuable, though the values of various items depends upon the game being played.

Among the items are *robot parts*, which the robots can use to construct other robots. Robots may also be broken down into their component parts (hence the necessity for shields). Thus, robots in Botworld are quite versatile: a well-programmed robot can reassemble its enemies into allies or construct a robot horde.

Because robots are transient objects, it is important to note that players are not robots. Many games begin by allowing each player to specify the initial state of a single robot, but clever players will write programs that soon distribute themselves across many robots or construct fleets of allied robots. Thus, Botworld games are not scored depending upon the actions of the robot. Instead, each player is assigned a home square (or squares), and Botworld games

are scored according to the items carried by all robots that are in the player's home square at the end of the game. (We may imagine these robots being airlifted and the items in their possession being given to the player.)

Robots cannot see the contents of robot register machines by default, though robots *can* execute an inspection to see the precise state of another robot's register machine. This is one way in which the Cartesian boundary can break down: It may not be enough to choose an optimal *action*, if the way in which this action is *computed* can matter.

For example, imagine a robot which tries to execute an action that it can prove will achieve a certain minimum expected utility u_{\min} . In the traditional agent framework, this can imply an optimality property: if there is any program p our robot could have run such that our robot can prove that p would have received expected utility $\geq u_{\min}$, then our robot will receive expected utility $\geq u_{\min}$ (because it can always do what that other program would have done). But suppose that this robot is placed into an environment where another robot reads the contents of the first robot's register machine, and gives the first robot a reward if and only if the first robot runs the program "do nothing ever". Then, since this is not the program our robot runs, it will not receive the reward.

It is important to note that there are two different notions of time in Botworld. The cellular automaton evolution proceeds in discrete steps according to the rules described below. During each cellular automaton step, the machines inside the robots are run for some finite number of ticks.

Like any cellular automaton, Botworld updates in discrete *steps* which apply to every cell. Each cell is updated using only information from the cell and its immediate neighbors. Roughly speaking, the step function proceeds in the following manner for each individual square:

- 1. The output register of the register machine of each robot in the square is read to determine the robot's *command*. Note that robots are expected to be initialized with their first command in the output register.
- 2. The commands are used in aggregate to determine the robot *actions*. This involves checking for conflicts and invalid commands.
- 3. The list of items lying around in the square is updated according to the robot actions. Items that have been lifted or used to create robots are removed, items that have been dropped are added.
- 4. Robots incoming from neighboring squares are added to the robot list.
- 5. Newly created robots are added to the robot list.
- 6. The input registers are set on all robots. Robot input includes a list of all robots in the square (including exiting, entering, destroyed, and created robots), the actions that each robot took, and the updated item list.
- Robots that have exited the square or that have been destroyed are removed from the robot list.
- 8. All remaining robots have their register machines executed (and are expected to leave a command in the output register.)

These rules allow for a wide variety of games, from NP-hard knapsack packing games to difficult Newcomb-like games such as a variant of the Parfit's

hitchhiker problem (wherein a robot will drop a valuable item only if it, after simulating your robot, concludes that your robot will give it a less valuable item).

1.2 Cartesianism in Botworld

Though we have stated that we mean to study non-Cartesian formalizations of intelligence, Botworld does in fact have a "Cartesian" boundary. The robot parts are fundamental objects, the machine registers are non-reducible. The important property of Botworld is not that it lacks a Cartesian boundary, but that the boundary is *breakable*.

In the real world the execution of a computer program is unaffected by the environment *most* of the time (except via the normal input channels). While the contents of a computer's RAM *can* be changed by heating it up with a desk lamp [3], they are usually not. An Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) would presumably make use of this fact. Thus, an AGI may commonly wish to ensure that its Cartesian boundary is not violated in this way over some time period (during which it can make use of the nice properties of Cartesian frameworks). Botworld attempts to model this in a simple way by requiring agents to contend with the possibility that they may be destroyed by other robots.

More problematically, in the real world, the internals of a computer program will always affect the environment—for example, through waste heat emitted by the computer—but it seems likely that these effects are usually unpredictable enough that an AGI will not be able to improve its performance by carefully choosing e.g. the pattern of waste heat it emits. However, an AGI will need to ensure that these unavoidable violations of its Cartesian boundary will in fact not make an expected difference to its goals. Botworld sidesteps this issue and only requires robots to deal with a more tractable issue: Contending with the possibility that their source code might be read by another agent.

Our model is not realistic, but it is simple to reason about. For all that the robot machines are not reducible, the robots are still embedded in their environment, and they can still be read or destroyed by other agents. We hope that this captures some of the complexity of naturalistic agents, and that it will serve as a useful test bed for formalisms designed to deal with this complexity. Although being able to deal with the challenges of Botworld is presumably not a good indicator that a formalism will be able to deal with all of the challenges of naturalistic agents, it allows us to see in concrete terms how it deals with some of them.

In creating Botworld we tried to build something implementable by a lower-level system, such as Conway's $Game\ of\ Life\ [2]$. It is useful to imagine such an implementation when considering Botworld games.

Future versions of Botworld may treat the robot bodies as less fundamental objects. In the meantime, we hope that it is possible to picture an implementation where the Cartesian boundary is much less fundamental, and to use Botworld to gain useful insights about agents embedded within their environ-

ment. Our intent is that when we apply a formalism for naturalistic agents to the current implementation of Botworld, then there will be a straightforward translation to an application of the same formalism to an implementation of Botworld in (say) the Game of Life.

Chapter 2

Implementation

This report is a literate Haskell file, so we must begin the code with the module definition and the Haskell imports.

```
module Botworld where
import Control.Applicative ((<$>), (<*>))
import Control.Monad (join)
import Control.Monad.Reader (Reader, asks)
import Data.List (delete, elemIndices, intercalate, sortBy)
import Data.Maybe (catMaybes, isJust, fromMaybe, mapMaybe)
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Text.Printf (printf)
```

Botworld cells may be either walls (which are immutable and impassible) or *squares*, which may contain both *robots* and *items* which the robots carry and manipulate. We represent cells using the following type:

```
type Cell = Maybe Square
```

The interesting parts of Botworld games happen in the squares.

```
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{data} \ Square &= Square \\ \{ \mathit{robotsIn} :: [Robot] \\ , \mathit{itemsIn} :: [Item] \\ \} \ \mathbf{deriving} \ (Eq, Show) \end{aligned}
```

The ordering is arbitrary, but is used by robots to specify the targets of their actions: a robot executing the command $Lift\ 3$ will attempt to lift the item at index 3 in the item list of its current square.

Botworld, like any cellular automaton, is composed of a grid of cells.

```
type Botworld = Grid Cell
```

We do not mean to tie the specification of Botworld to any particular grid implementation: Botworld grids may be finite or infinite, wrapping (Pac-Man

style) or non-wrapping. The specific implementation used in this report is somewhat monotonous, and may be found in Appendix A.

2.1 Robots

Each robot can be visualized as a little metal construct on wheels, with a little camera on the front, lifter-arms on the sides, a holding area atop, and a register machine ticking away deep within.

```
data Robot = Robot
  {frame :: Frame
  , inventory :: [Item]
  , processor :: Processor
  , memory :: Memory
  } deriving (Eq, Show)
```

The robot frame is colored (the robots are painted) and has a *strength* which determines the amount of weight that the robot can carry in its inventory.

```
\mathbf{data}\ Frame = F \{ color :: Color, strength :: Int \} \mathbf{deriving}\ (Eq, Show)
```

The color is not necessarily unique, but may help robots distinguish other robots. In this report, colors are represented as a simple small enumeration. Other implementations are welcome to adopt a more fully fledged datatype for representing robot colors.

```
data Color = Red \mid Orange \mid Yellow \mid Green \mid Blue \mid Violet \mid Black \mid White deriving (Eq, Ord, Enum)
```

The frame strength limits the total weight of items that may be carried in the robot's inventory. Every item has a weight, and the combined weight of all carried items must not exceed the frame's strength.

```
canLift :: Robot \rightarrow Item \rightarrow Bool

canLift \ r \ item = strength \ (frame \ r) \geqslant sum \ (map \ weight \$ \ item : inventory \ r)
```

Robots also contain a register machine, which consists of a *processor* and a *memory*. The processor is defined purely by the number of instructions it can compute per Botworld step, and the memory is simply a list of registers.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{newtype} \ Processor = P \ \{speed :: Int \} \ \textbf{deriving} \ (Eq, Show) \\ \textbf{type} \ Memory = [Register] \end{array}
```

In this report, the register machines use a very simple instruction set which we call the *constree language*. A full implementation can be found in Appendix B. However, when modelling concrete decision problems in Botworld, we may choose to replace this simple language by something easier to use. (In particular, many robot programs will need to reason about Botworld's laws. Encoding Botworld into the constree language is no trivial task.)

2.2 Items

Botworld squares contain *items* which may be manipulated by the robots. Items include *robot parts* which can be used to construct robots, *shields* which can be used to protect a robot from aggressors, and various types of *cargo*, a catch-all term for items that have no functional significance inside Botworld but that players try to collect to increase their score.

At the end of a Botworld game, a player is scored on the value of all items carried by robots in the player's *home square*. The value of different items varies from game to game; see Section 2.5 for details.

Robot parts are either processors, registers, or frames.

Every item has a weight. Shields, registers and processors are light. Frames are heavy. The weight of cargo is variable.

```
weight :: Item \rightarrow Int

weight (Cargo \_ w) = w

weight Shield = 1

weight (RegisterPart \_) = 1

weight (ProcessorPart \_) = 1

weight (FramePart \_) = 100
```

Robots can construct other robots from component parts. Specifically, a robot may be constructed from one frame, one processor, and any number of registers.¹

```
construct :: [Item] \rightarrow Maybe\ Robot
construct\ parts = \mathbf{do}
FramePart\ f \leftarrow singleton\ \$\ filter\ isFrame\ parts
ProcessorPart\ p \leftarrow singleton\ \$\ filter\ isProcessor\ parts
\mathbf{let}\ robot = Robot\ f\ []\ p\ [r\ |\ RegisterPart\ r \leftarrow parts]
\mathbf{if}\ all\ isPart\ parts\ \mathbf{then}\ Just\ robot\ \mathbf{else}\ Nothing
```

Robots may also shatter robots into their component parts. As you might imagine, each robot is deconstructed into a frame, a processor, and a handful of registers. 2

¹The following code introduces the helper function $singleton :: [a] \rightarrow Maybe\ a$ which returns $Just\ x$ when given [x] and Nothing otherwise, as well as the helper functions $isFrame, isProcessor, isPart :: Item \rightarrow Bool$, all of which are defined in Appendix C.

²The following code introduces the function force $R:Constree \to Register \to Register$, which sets the contents of a register. It is defined in Appendix B.

```
shatter :: Robot \rightarrow [Item]

shatter \ r = FramePart \ (frame \ r) : ProcessorPart \ (processor \ r) : rparts \ \mathbf{where}

rparts = map \ (RegisterPart \circ forceR \ Nil) \ (memory \ r)
```

2.3 Commands and actions

Robot machines have a special *output register* which is used to determine the action taken by the robot in the step. Robot machines are run at the *end* of each Botworld step, and are expected to leave a command in the output register. This command determines the behavior of the robot in the following step.

Available commands are:

- Move, for moving around the grid.
- Lift, for lifting items.
- *Drop*, for dropping items.
- Inspect, for reading the contents of another robot's register machine.
- Destroy, for destroying robots.
- Build, for creating new robots.
- Pass, which has the robot do nothing.

Robots specify the items they want to manipulate or the robots they want to target by giving the index of the target in the appropriate list. The *Ints* in *Lift* and *Build* commands index into the square's item list. The *Ints* in *Inspect* and *Destroy* commands index into the square's robot list. The *Ints* in *Drop* commands index into the inventory of the robot which gave the command.

Depending upon the state of the world, the robots may or may not actually execute their chosen command. For instance, if the robot attempts to move into a wall, the robot will fail. The actual actions that a robot may end up taking are given below. Their meanings will be made explicit momentarily (though you can guess most of them from the names).

```
data Action
= Created
| Passed
| MoveBlocked Direction
```

MovedOut Direction MovedIn Direction CannotLift Int GrappledOver Int Lifted Int Dropped Int InspectTargetFled Int InspectBlocked Int Inspected Int Robot DestroyTargetFled Int DestroyBlocked Int Destroyed Int BuildInterrupted [Int] Built [Int] Robot Invalid**deriving** (Eq, Show)

2.4 The step function

Botworld cells are updated given only the current state of the cell and the states of all surrounding cells. Wall cells are immutable, and thus we need only define the step function on squares.

```
step :: Square \rightarrow [(Direction, Cell)] \rightarrow Square
```

We begin by computing what each robot would like to do. We do this by reading from (and then zeroing out) the output register of the robot's register machine.

This leaves us both with a list of robots (which have had their machine's output register zeroed out) and a corresponding list of robot outputs.³

```
step sq neighbors = Square robots' items' where
(robots, intents) = unzip $ map takeOutput $ robotsIn sq
```

Notice that we read the robot's output register at the beginning of each Botworld step. (We run the robot register machines at the end of each step.) This means that robots must be initialized with their first command in the output register.

2.4.1 Resolving conflicts

Before we can compute the actions that are actually taken by each robot, we need to compute some data that will help us identify failed actions.

³The following code introduces the function $takeOutput :: Decodable \ o \Rightarrow Robot \rightarrow (Robot, Maybe \ o)$, defined in Appendix B.1, which reads a robot's output register, decodes the contents into a Haskell object, and clears the register.

Items may only be lifted or used to build robots if no other robot is also validly lifting or using the item. In order to detect such conflicts, we compute whether each individual item is contested, and store the result in a list of items which corresponds by index to the cell's item list.

```
contested :: [Bool]

contested = map \ isContested \ [0..pred \$ \ length \$ \ itemsIn \ sq] \ \mathbf{where}
```

We determine the indices of items that robots want to lift by looking at all lift orders that the ordering robot could in fact carry out:⁴

```
isValidLift\ r\ i = maybe\ False\ (canLift\ r)\ (itemsIn\ sq\ !!?\ i)
allLifts = [i\ |\ (r, Just\ (Lift\ i)) \leftarrow zip\ robots\ intents, isValidLift\ r\ i]
```

We then determine the indices of items that robots want to use to build other robots by looking at all build orders that actually do describe a robot:

```
isValidBuild = maybe\ False\ (isJust \circ construct) \circ mapM\ (itemsIn\ sq!!?) allBuilds = [is\ |\ Build\ is\ \_\leftarrow catMaybes\ intents, isValidBuild\ is\ ]
```

We may then determine which items are in high demand, and generate our item list with those items removed.

```
uses = allLifts + concat \ allBuilds
isContested \ i = i \in delete \ i \ uses
```

Robots may only be destroyed or inspected if they do not possess adequate shields. Every attack (*Destroy* or *Inspect* command) targeting a robot destroys one of the robot's shields. So long as the robot possesses more shields than attackers, the robot is not affected. However, if the robot is attacked by more robots than it has shields, then all of its shields are destroyed *and* all of the attacks succeed (in a wild frenzy, presumably).

To implement this behavior, we generate first a list corresponding by index to the robot list which specifies the number of attacks that each robot receives in this step:

```
 \begin{array}{l} attacks :: [Int] \\ attacks = map \ numAttacks \ [0\mathinner{.\,.}\ pred \$ \ length \$ \ robotsIn \ sq] \ \mathbf{where} \\ numAttacks \ i = length \$ \ filter \ (\equiv i) \ allAttacks \\ allAttacks = mapMaybe \ (getAttack \ggg) \ intents \\ getAttack \ (Inspect \ i) = Just \ i \\ getAttack \ (Destroy \ i) = Just \ i \\ getAttack \ \_ = Nothing \\ \end{array}
```

⁴The following code introduces the helper function (!!?) :: $[a] \to Int \to Maybe\ a$, used to safely index into lists, which is defined in Appendix C.

We then generate a list corresponding by index to the robot list which for each robot determines whether that robot is adequately shielded in this step⁵:

```
shielded :: [Bool]
shielded = zipWith isShielded [0..] robots where
isShielded i r = (attacks !! i) \leq length (filter isShield $ inventory r)
```

Any robot that exits the square in this step cannot be attacked in this step. Moving robots evade their pursuers, and the shields of moving robots are not destroyed. We define a function that determines whether a robot has successfully fled. This function makes use of the fact that movement commands into non-wall cells always succeed.

```
fled :: Maybe Command \rightarrow Bool
fled (Just (Move dir)) = isJust  join  lookup dir neighbors
fled \_= False
```

2.4.2 Determining actions

We may now map robot commands onto the actions that the robots actually take. We begin by noting that any robot with invalid output takes the *Invalid* action.

```
resolve :: Robot \rightarrow Maybe\ Command \rightarrow Action

resolve\ robot = maybe\ Invalid\ act\ \mathbf{where}
```

As we have seen, Move commands fail only when the robot attempts to move into a wall cell.

```
act :: Command \rightarrow Action

act (Move dir) = (\mathbf{if} \ isJust \ cell \ \mathbf{then} \ MovedOut \ \mathbf{else} \ MoveBlocked) \ dir

\mathbf{where} \ cell = join \$ \ lookup \ dir \ neighbors
```

Lift commands can fail in three different ways:

- 1. If the item index is out of range, the command is invalid.
- 2. If the robot lacks the strength to hold the item, the lift fails.
- 3. If the item is contested, then multiple robots have attempted to use the same item.

Otherwise, the lift succeeds.

```
act\ (Lift\ i) = maybe\ Invalid\ tryLift\ $ itemsIn\ sq\ !!?\ i where tryLift\ item
```

 $^{^5{\}rm This}$ function introduces the helper function is Shield :: Item \to Bool defined in Appendix C.

```
| \neg \$ canLift \ robot \ item = CannotLift \ i
| contested !! \ i = GrappledOver \ i
| otherwise = Lifted \ i
```

Drop commands always succeed so long as the robot actually possesses the item they attempt to drop.

```
act\ (Drop\ i) = maybe\ Invalid\ (const\ \ Dropped\ i)\ (inventory\ robot\ !!?\ i)
```

Inspect commands, like Lift commands, may fail in three different ways:

- 1. If the specified robot does not exist, the command is invalid.
- 2. If the specified robot moved away, the inspection fails.
- 3. If the specified robot had sufficient shields this step, the inspection is blocked.

Otherwise, the inspection succeeds.

Destroy commands are similar to inspect commands: if the given index actually specifies a victim in the robot list, and the victim is not moving away, and the victim is not adequately shielded, then the victim is destroyed.

Robots can destroy themselves. Programs should be careful to avoid unintentional self-destruction.

```
 \begin{array}{l} act \; (Destroy \; i) = maybe \; Invalid \; tryDestroy \; (robots \; !!? \; i) \; \mathbf{where} \\ tryDestroy \; \_ \\ | \; fled \; (intents \; !! \; i) = DestroyTargetFled \; i \\ | \; shielded \; !! \; i = DestroyBlocked \; i \\ | \; otherwise = Destroyed \; i \\ \end{array}
```

Build commands must also pass three checks in order to succeed:⁶

- 1. All of the specified indices must specify actual items.
- 2. None of the specified items may be contested.
- 3. The items must together specify a robot.

```
act\ (Build\ is\ m) = maybe\ Invalid\ tryBuild\ \$\ mapM\ (itemsIn\ sq!!?) is where tryBuild = maybe\ Invalid\ checkBuild\ o\ construct checkBuild\ blueprint
```

⁶The following code introduces the function $setState :: Memory \rightarrow Robot \rightarrow Robot$, defined in Appendix B.1.

```
| any (contested!!) is = BuildInterrupted is
| otherwise = Built is $ setState m blueprint
```

Pass commands always succeed.

```
act \ Pass = Passed
```

With the *resolve* function in hand it is trivial to compute the actions actually executed by the robots in the square:

```
localActions :: [Action] \\ localActions = zipWith \ resolve \ robots \ intents
```

2.4.3 Updating items and robots

With the local actions in hand, we can start computing the new robot and item lists. We begin by computing which items were unaffected and which items were willingly dropped.⁷

```
unaffected :: [Item]
unaffected = removeIndices (lifts + concat builds) (itemsIn sq) where
lifts = [i \mid Lifted \ i \leftarrow localActions]
builds = [is \mid Built \ is _ \leftarrow localActions]
dropped :: [Item]
dropped = [inventory \ r !! \ i \mid (r, Dropped \ i) \leftarrow zip \ robots \ localActions]
```

We cannot yet compute the new item list entirely, as doing so requires knowledge of which robots were destroyed. The items and parts of destroyed robots will fall into the square, but only *after* the destroyed robot carries out their action

We now turn to robots that began in the square, and update their inventories. (Note that because the inventories of moving robots cannot change, we do not need to update the inventories of robots entering the square.)

Robot inventories are updated whenever the robot executes a Lift action, executes a Drop action, or experiences an attack (in which case shields may be destroyed.)⁸

```
\begin{array}{l} updateInventory :: Int \rightarrow Action \rightarrow Robot \rightarrow Robot \\ updateInventory \ i \ a \ r = \mathbf{let} \ stale = inventory \ r \ \mathbf{in} \ \mathbf{case} \ a \ \mathbf{of} \\ MovedOut \ \_ \rightarrow r \\ Lifted \ n \rightarrow r \ \{inventory = (itemsIn \ sq \ !! \ n) : defend \ stale \} \\ Dropped \ n \rightarrow r \ \{inventory = defend \ removeIndices \ [n] \ stale \} \end{array}
```

⁷The following code introduces the helper function $removeIndices :: [Int] \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]$ which is defined in Appendix C.

⁸The following code introduces the helper function $dropN::Int \to (a \to Bool) \to [a] \to [a]$, which drops the first n items matching the given predicate. It is defined in Appendix C.

```
\_ \rightarrow r \{inventory = defend \ stale \}
where defend = dropN \ (attacks !! i) \ isShield
```

We use this function to update the inventories of all robots that were originally in this square. Notice that the inventories of destroyed robots are updated as well: destroyed robots get to perform their actions before they are destroyed.

```
veterans :: [Robot]

veterans = zipWith3 \ updateInventory \ [0..] \ localActions \ robots
```

Now that we know the updated states of the robots, we can compute what items fall from the destroyed robots. In order to do this, we need to know which robots were destroyed. We compute whether each robot was destroyed and store the data in a list which corresponds by index to the original robot list.

```
survived :: [Bool]

survived = map \ isAlive \ [0..pred \$ \ length \ veterans] \ \mathbf{where}

isAlive \ n = n \notin [i \mid Destroyed \ i \leftarrow localActions]
```

With this we can compute the list of items that fall from destroyed robots, given in part/inventory pairs.

```
fallen :: [([Item], [Item])]
fallen = [(shatter \ r, inventory \ r) \mid (r, False) \leftarrow zip \ veterans \ survived]
```

We retain some structure in the list of fallen items which will be made visible to surviving robots in their program input.

This is the last piece of data that we need to compute the updated item list in the square, which is just the *unaffected*, *dropped*, and *fallen* boxes without the additional structure:

```
items' :: [Item]

items' = unaffected + dropped + concat [xs + ys | (xs, ys) \leftarrow fallen]
```

Computing the updated robot list is somewhat more difficult. Before we can, we must identify which robots enter this square from other squares. We compute this by looking at the intents of the robots in neighboring squares. Remember that move commands always succeed if the robot is moving into a non-wall square. Thus, all robots in neighboring squares which intend to move into this square will successfully move into this square.

```
incomingFrom :: (Direction, Cell) \rightarrow [(Robot, Direction)]
incomingFrom (dir, neighbor) = mapMaybe movingThisWay cmds where
cmds = maybe [] (map \ takeOutput \circ robotsIn) \ neighbor
movingThisWay \ (robot, Just \ (Move \ dir'))
| \ dir \equiv opposite \ dir' = Just \ (robot, dir)
movingThisWay \ \_ = Nothing
```

We compute both a list of entering robots and a corresponding list of the directions which those robots entered from.

```
(travelers, origins) = unzip \$ concatMap incomingFrom neighbors
```

We also determine the list of robots that have been created in this timestep:

```
children = [r \mid Built \_r \leftarrow localActions]
```

This allows us to compute a list of all robots that either started in the square, entered the square, or were created in the square in this step. Note that this list also contains robots that exited the square and robots that have been destroyed. This is intentional: the list of all robots (and what happened to them) is sent to each remaining robot as program input.

```
allRobots :: [Robot]

allRobots = veterans + travelers + children
```

2.4.4 Running robots

All robots that remain in the square (and were not destroyed) will have their register machines run before the next step. Before they may be run, however, their input registers must be updated. Each robot receives five inputs:

- 1. The host robot's index in the following list.
- 2. The list of all robots in the square, including robots that exited, entered, were destroyed, and were created.
- 3. A list of actions corresponding to the list of robots.
- 4. The updated item list, with some additional structure.
- 5. Some private input.

We have already computed the list of all robots. It is worth noting here that when this robot list is converted into machine input, some information will be lost: processors and memories are not visible to other robots (except via *Inspect* commands). This data-hiding is implemented by the constree encoding code; see Appendix B.2 for details.

We next compute the list of actions corresponding to the list of robots. As with the robot list, some of this data will be lost when it is converted into machine input. Specifically, robots cannot distinguish between *Passed* and *Invalid* actions. Also, the results of an *Inspect* command are visible only to the inspecting robot. Again, this data-hiding is implemented by the constree encoding code; see Appendix B.2 for details.

```
allActions :: [Action]
allActions = localActions ++ travelerActions ++ childActions where
travelerActions = map MovedIn origins
childActions = replicate (length children) Created
```

Finally, we compute the private input. If the robot executed a successful *Inspect* command then the private input includes information about the inspected robot's machine.

Also, the private input differentiates between *Invalid* and *Passed* actions in a private fashion, so that each individual machine can know whether *it itself* gave an invalid command in the previous step. (All other robots cannot distinguish between *Invalid* and *Passed* actions.)

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{privateInput} :: \textit{Action} \rightarrow \textit{Constree} \\ \textit{privateInput} \; \textit{Invalid} = \textit{encode} \; (1 :: Int) \\ \textit{privateInput} \; (\textit{Inspected} \; \_r) = \textit{encode} \\ \; (\textit{processor} \; r, \textit{length} \; \$ \; \textit{memory} \; r, \textit{memory} \; r) \\ \textit{privateInput} \; \_= \textit{encode} \; (0 :: Int) \end{array}
```

With these inputs in hand, we can run any given robot by updating their input register appropriately and then running the robot's register machine:⁹

```
run :: Int \rightarrow Action \rightarrow Robot \rightarrow Robot

run \ index \ action \ robot = runMachine \$ \ setInput \ robot \ input \ \mathbf{where}

input = (index, \ allRobots, \ allActions, \ items, \ privateInput \ action)

items = (unaffected, \ dropped, \ fallen)
```

The register machines are run as described in the following function. It makes use of the constree register machine, specifically the function $runFor :: Int \rightarrow Memory \rightarrow Either\ Error\ Memory$. Refer to Appendix B for details.

```
\begin{array}{l} runMachine :: Robot \rightarrow Robot \\ runMachine \ robot = \mathbf{case} \ runFor \ (speed \$ \ processor \ robot) \ (memory \ robot) \ \mathbf{of} \\ Right \ memory' \rightarrow robot \ \{memory = memory'\} \\ Left \ \_ \rightarrow robot \ \{memory = map \ (forceR \ Nil) \ (memory \ robot)\} \end{array}
```

We only run robots that both stayed in the square and were not destroyed. We figure out which robots stayed and survived according to their index in the list of all robots. We can figure this out by checking the local action list and the survived list defined previously, remembering that all robots that weren't in the original robot list either entered or were created (and that all such robots are present). 10

```
present :: Int \rightarrow Bool

present \ i = stillAlive \ i \land stillHere \ i \ where

stillAlive = fromMaybe \ True \circ (survived!!?)

stillHere = maybe \ True \ (\neg \circ isExit) \circ (localActions!!?)
```

Finally, we construct the new robot list by running all present robots.

⁹The following code introduces the function setInput:: $Encodable\ i \Rightarrow Robot \rightarrow i \rightarrow Robot$, defined in Appendix B.1.

 $^{^{10}}$ The following code introduces the helper function is Exit :: Action \to Bool, defined in Appendix C.

```
robots' :: [Robot]

robots' = [run \ i \ a \ r \mid (i, a, r) \leftarrow triples, present \ i] where

triples = zip3 \ [0...] all Actions all Robots
```

2.4.5 **Summary**

This fully specifies the step function for Botworld cells. To summarize:

- 1. Robot machine output registers are read to determine robot intents.
- 2. Robot actions are computed from robot intents.
- 3. Lifted and dropped items are computed.
- 4. Robot inventories are updated.
- 5. Fallen items are computed.
- 6. The item list for the updated square is determined.
- 7. Incoming robots are computed.
- 8. Constructed robots are added to consideration.
- 9. Destroyed robots are removed from consideration.
- 10. Machine input registers are set.
- 11. Robot register machines are executed.

As noted previously, machine programs are expected to leave a command in the output register for use in the next step.

2.5 Games

Botworld games can vary widely. A simple game that Botworld lends itself to easily is a knapsack game, in which players attempt to maximize the value of the items collected by robots which they control. (This is an NP-hard problem in general.)

Remember that *robots are not players*: a player may only be able to specify the initial program for a single robot, but players may well attempt to acquire whole fleets of robots with code distributed throughout.

As such, Botworld games are not scored according to the possessions of any particular robot. Rather, each player is assigned a *home square*, and the score of a player is computed according to the items possessed by all robots in the player's home square at the end of the game. (The robots are airlifted out and their items are extracted for delivery to the player.) Thus, a game configuration also needs to assign specific values to the various items.

Formally, we define a game configuration as follows:

```
 \begin{aligned} \mathbf{data} \ & GameConfig = GameConfig \\ & \{ players :: [(Position, String)] \\ &, valuer :: Item \rightarrow Int \\ & \} \end{aligned}
```

With a game configuration in hand, we can compute how many points a single robot has achieved:

```
points :: Robot \rightarrow Reader \ GameConfig \ Int

points \ r = (\lambda value \rightarrow sum \ (map \ value \$ \ inventory \ r)) < \$ > asks \ valuer
```

Then we can compute the total score in any particular square:

```
score :: Botworld \rightarrow Position \rightarrow Reader\ GameConfig\ Int \\ score\ g = maybe\ (return\ 0)\ (fmap\ sum \circ mapM\ points \circ robotsIn) \circ at\ g
```

We do not provide any example games in this report. Some example games are forthcoming.

Chapter 3

Concluding notes

Botworld allows us to study self-modifying agents in a world where the agents are embedded *within* the environment. Botworld admits a wide variety of games, including games with Newcomb-like problems and games with NP-hard tasks.

Botworld provides a very concrete environment in which to envision agents. This has proved quite useful to us when considering obstacles of self-reference: the concrete model often makes it easier to envision difficulties and probe edge cases

Furthermore, Botworld allows us to constructively illustrate issues that we come across by providing a concrete game in which the issue presents itself. This can often help make the abstract problems of self-reference easier to visualize.

Forthcoming publications will illustrate some of the work that we've done based on Botworld.

Appendix A

Grid Manipulation

This report uses a quick-and-dirty *Grid* implementation wherein a grid is represented by a flat list of cells. This grid implementation specifies a wraparound grid (Pac-Man style), which means that every position is valid.

Botworld is not tied to this particular grid implementation: non-wrapping grids, infinite grids, or even non-Euclidean grids could house Botworld games. We require only that squares agree on who their neighbors are: if square A is north of square B, then square B must be south of square A.

```
type Dimensions = (Int, Int)
type Position = (Int, Int)
data Grid\ a = Grid
   \{ dimensions :: Dimensions \}
   , cells :: [a]
   \} deriving Eq
locate :: Dimensions \rightarrow Position \rightarrow Int
locate(x, y)(i, j) = (j \text{ 'mod' } y) * x + (i \text{ 'mod' } x)
indices :: Grid \ a \rightarrow [Position]
indices (Grid (x, y) _) = [(i, j) | j \leftarrow [0 .. pred y], i \leftarrow [0 .. pred x]]
at :: Grid \ a \rightarrow Position \rightarrow a
at (Grid dim xs) p = xs !! locate dim <math>p
change :: (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow Position \rightarrow Grid \ a \rightarrow Grid \ a
change f p (Grid dim as) = Grid dim $ alter (locate dim p) f as
generate :: Dimensions \rightarrow (Position \rightarrow a) \rightarrow Grid\ a
generate dim gen = let g = Grid dim (map gen \$ indices g) in g
```

A.1 Directions

Each square has eight neighbors (or up to eight neighbors, in finite non-wrapping grids). Each neighbor lies in one of eight directions, termed according to the

cardinal directions. We now formally name those directions and specify how directions alter grid positions.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{data} \ Direction = N \mid NE \mid E \mid SE \mid S \mid SW \mid W \mid NW \\ \textbf{deriving} \ (Eq, Ord, Enum, Show) \\ opposite :: Direction \rightarrow Direction \\ opposite \ d = iterate \ (\textbf{if} \ d < S \ \textbf{then} \ succ \ \textbf{else} \ pred) \ d \ !! \ 4 \\ towards :: Direction \rightarrow Position \rightarrow Position \\ towards \ d \ (x,y) = (x+dx,y+dy) \ \textbf{where} \\ dx = [0,1,1,1,0,-1,-1,-1] \ !! \ fromEnum \ d \\ dy = [-1,-1,0,1,1,1,0,-1] \ !! \ fromEnum \ d \\ \end{array}
```

A.2 Botworld Grids

Finally, we define a function that updates an entire Botworld grid by one step:

```
update :: Botworld \rightarrow Botworld update g = g \{ cells = map \ doStep \$ \ indices \ g \}  where doStep \ pos = flip \ step \ (fellows \ pos) < \$ > at \ g \ pos  fellows pos = map \ (walk \ pos) \ [N ..] walk p \ d = (d, at \ g \$ \ towards \ d \ p)
```

Appendix B

Constree Language

Robots contain register machines, which run a little Turing complete language which we call the *constree language*. There is only one data structure in constree, which is (unsurprisingly) the cons tree:

```
data\ Constree = Cons\ Constree\ Constree\ |\ Nil\ deriving\ (Eq.Show)
```

Constrees are stored in registers, each of which has a memory limit.

```
\mathbf{data}\ Register = R\ \{limit :: Int, contents :: Constree\}\ \mathbf{deriving}\ (Eq, Show)
```

Each tree has a size determined by the number of conses in the tree. It may be more efficient for the size of the tree to be encoded directly into the *Cons*, but we are optimizing for clarity over speed, so we simply compute the size whenever it is needed.

A tree can only be placed in a register if the size of the tree does not exceed the size limit on the register.

```
size :: Constree \rightarrow Int

size \ Nil = 0

size \ (Cons \ t1 \ t2) = succ \$ size \ t1 + size \ t2
```

Constrees are trimmed from the right. This is important only when you try to shove a constree into a register where the constree does not fit.

```
\begin{array}{l} trim :: Int \rightarrow Constree \rightarrow Constree \\ trim \ \_Nil = Nil \\ trim \ x \ t@(Cons \ front \ back) \\ \mid size \ t \leqslant x = t \\ \mid size \ front < x = Cons \ front \ \$ \ trim \ (x - succ \ (size \ front)) \ back \\ \mid otherwise = Nil \end{array}
```

There are two ways to place a tree into a register: you can force the tree into the register (in which case the register gets set to nil if the tree does not fit), or you can fit the tree into the register (in which case the tree gets trimmed if it does not fit).

```
forceR :: Constree \rightarrow Register \rightarrow Register

forceR \ t \ r = \mathbf{if} \ size \ t \leq limit \ r \ \mathbf{then} \ r \ \{contents = t\} \ \mathbf{else} \ r \ \{contents = Nil\}

fitR :: Encodable \ i \Rightarrow i \rightarrow Register \rightarrow Register

fitR \ i \ r = forceR \ (trim \ (limit \ r) \ (encode \ i)) \ r
```

The constree language has only four instructions:

- 1. One to make the contents of a register nil.
- 2. One to cons two registers together into a third register.
- 3. One to deconstruct a register into two other registers.
- 4. One to conditionally copy one register into another register, but only if the test register is nil.

A machine is simply a list of such registers. The first register is the program register, the second is the input register, the third is the output register, and the rest are workspace registers.

The following code implements the above construction set on a constree register machine:

```
data Error

= BadInstruction Constree
| NoSuchRegister Int
| DeconstructNil Int
| OutOfMemory Int
| InvalidOutput
deriving (Eq, Show)

getTree :: Int → Memory → Either Error Constree

getTree i m = maybe (Left $ NoSuchRegister i) (Right \circ contents) (m !!? i)

setTree :: Constree → Int → Memory → Either Error Memory

setTree t i m = maybe (Left $ NoSuchRegister i) go (m !!? i) where

go r = if size t > limit r then Left $ OutOfMemory i else

Right $ alter i (const r { contents = t }) m

execute :: Instruction → Memory → Either Error Memory

execute instruction m = case instruction of
```

```
Nilify tgt \rightarrow setTree\ Nil\ tgt\ m
   Construct fnt bck tgt \rightarrow \mathbf{do}
     front \leftarrow qetTree\ fnt\ m
     back \leftarrow getTree\ bck\ m
      setTree (Cons front back) tgt m
   Deconstruct src fnt bck \rightarrow \mathbf{case} \ getTree \ src \ m \ \mathbf{of}
      Left\ err \rightarrow Left\ err
      Right\ Nil \rightarrow Left\ \$\ DeconstructNil\ src
      Right\ (Cons\ front\ back) \rightarrow setTree\ front\ fnt\ m \gg setTree\ back\ bck
   CopyIfNil\ tst\ src\ tgt \rightarrow \mathbf{case}\ getTree\ tst\ m\ \mathbf{of}
      Left\ err \rightarrow Left\ err
      Right\ Nil \rightarrow getTree\ src\ m \gg (\lambda t \rightarrow setTree\ t\ tgt\ m)
      Right \_ \rightarrow Right \ m
runFor :: Int \rightarrow Memory \rightarrow Either Error Memory
runFor\ 0\ m = Right\ m
runFor \_[] = Right[]
runFor \ \_(r:rs) \mid contents \ r \equiv Nil = Right \ r:rs
runFor\ n\ (r:rs) = tick \gg runFor\ (pred\ n) where
   tick = maybe \ badInstruction \ doInstruction \ (decode \$ \ contents \ r)
   badInstruction = Left \$ BadInstruction \$ contents r
   doInstruction (i, is) = execute \ i \ (r \{ contents = is \} : rs)
```

B.1 Robot/machine interactions

Aside from executing robot machines, there are three ways that Botworld changes a robot's register machines:

A robot may have its machine written. This happens whenever the machine is constructed.

```
setState :: Memory \rightarrow Robot \rightarrow Robot

setState \ m \ robot = robot \ \{memory = fitted\} \ \mathbf{where}

fitted = zipWith \ (forceR \circ contents) \ m \ (memory \ robot) + padding

padding = map \ (forceR \ Nil) \ (drop \ (length \ m) \ (memory \ robot))
```

A robot may have its output register read. Whenever the output register is read, it is set to *Nil* thereafter.

Programs may use this fact to implement a wait-loop that waits until output is read before proceeding: after output is read, input will be updated before the next instruction is executed, so machines waiting for a *Nil* output can be confident that when the output register becomes *Nil* there will be new input in the input register.

A robot's output register is read at the beginning of each tick.

```
takeOutput :: Decodable \ o \Rightarrow Robot \rightarrow (Robot, Maybe \ o)
takeOutput \ robot = maybe \ (robot, Nothing) \ go \ (m !!? 2) \ \mathbf{where}
go \ o = (robot \ \{memory = alter \ 2 \ (forceR \ Nil) \ m \}, decode \ \ contents \ o)
m = memory \ robot
```

A robot may have its machine input register set. This happens just before the machine is executed in every Botworld step.

```
setInput :: Encodable \ i \Rightarrow Robot \rightarrow i \rightarrow Robot

setInput \ robot \ i = robot \ \{memory = set1\} \ \mathbf{where}

set1 = alter \ 1 \ (fitR \ i) \ (memory \ robot)
```

B.2 Encoding and Decoding

The following section specifies how Haskell data structures are encoded into constrees and decoded from constrees. It is largely mechanical, with a few exceptions noted inline.

```
class Encodable t where
   encode :: t \rightarrow Constree
class Decodable t where
   decode :: Constree \rightarrow Maybe \ t
instance Encodable Constree where
   encode = id
instance Decodable Constree where
   decode = Just
instance Encodable\ t \Rightarrow Encodable\ (Maybe\ t) where
   encode = maybe \ Nil \ (Cons \ Nil \circ encode)
instance Decodable\ t \Rightarrow Decodable\ (Maybe\ t) where
   decode\ Nil = Just\ Nothing
  decode\ (Cons\ Nil\ x) = Just < \$ > decode\ x
  decode = Nothing
instance Encodable\ t \Rightarrow Encodable\ [t] where
   encode = foldr (Cons \circ encode) Nil
instance Decodable\ t \Rightarrow Decodable\ [t] where
   decode\ Nil = Just\ [\ ]
  decode\ (Cons\ t1\ t2) = (:) < \$ > decode\ t1 < * > decode\ t2
```

Lisp programmers may consider it more parsimonious to encode tuples like lists, with a Nil at the end. There is some sleight of hand going on here, however: machine inputs are encoded tuples, and the inputs may sometimes need to be trimmed to fit into a register. If a robot has executed an *Inspect* command, then

the entire contents of the inspected robot will be dumped into the inspector's input register. In many cases, the entire memory of the target robot is not likely to fit into the input register of the inspector. In such cases, we would like as many full encoded registers to be fit into the input as possible.

Because cons trees are trimmed from the right, we get this behavior for free if we forgo the terminal *Nil* when encoding tuple objects. With this implementation, the memory of the inspected robot (which is a list) will be the rightmost item in the cons tree, and if it does not fit, the registers will be lopped off one at a time. (By contrast, if we Nil-terminated tuple encodings and the machine did not fit, then the entire machine would be trimmed.)

```
instance (Encodable a, Encodable b) \Rightarrow Encodable (a, b) where
   encode(a, b) = Cons(encode(a)(encode(b)))
instance (Decodable a, Decodable b) \Rightarrow Decodable (a, b) where
   decode\ (Cons\ a\ b) = (,) < \$ > decode\ a < * > decode\ b
   decode\ Nil = Nothing
instance (Encodable a, Encodable b, Encodable c) \Rightarrow Encodable (a, b, c) where
   encode(a, b, c) = encode(a, (b, c))
instance (Decodable a, Decodable b, Decodable c) \Rightarrow Decodable (a, b, c) where
   decode = fmap \ flatten \circ decode \ \mathbf{where} \ flatten \ (a, (b, c)) = (a, b, c)
instance (Encodable a, Encodable b, Encodable c, Encodable d, Encodable e) \Rightarrow
   Encodable (a, b, c, d, e) where
  encode(a, b, c, d, e) = encode(a, (b, (c, (d, e))))
instance Encodable Bool where
   encode\ False = Nil
   encode\ True = Cons\ Nil\ Nil
instance Decodable Bool where
   decode\ Nil = Just\ False
   decode (Cons Nil Nil) = Just True
  decode \_ = Nothing
```

The special token Cons Nil (Cons Nil Nil) (which cannot appear as an item in an encoded list of Bools) is allowed to appear at the beginning of an encoded Int, in which case it denotes a negative sign.

```
instance Encodable\ Int\ \mathbf{where} encode\ n |\ n < 0 = Cons\ (Cons\ Nil\ (Cons\ Nil\ Nil))\ (encode\ \$\ negate\ n) |\ otherwise = encode\ \$\ bits\ n \mathbf{where} bits\ 0 = [\ ] bits\ x = \mathbf{let}\ (q,r) = quotRem\ x\ 2\ \mathbf{in}\ (r\equiv 1):bits\ q instance Decodable\ Int\ \mathbf{where} decode\ (Cons\ (Cons\ Nil\ (Cons\ Nil\ Nil))\ n) = negate\ <\$>\ decode\ n decode\ t = unbits\ <\$>\ decode\ t \mathbf{where}
```

```
unbits[] = 0
     unbits (x : xs) = (if x then 1 else 0) + 2 * unbits xs
instance Encodable Instruction where
   encode\ instruction = \mathbf{case}\ instruction\ \mathbf{of}
     Nilify tqt
                                \rightarrow encode (0 :: Int, tqt)
     Construct fnt bck tqt \rightarrow encode (1 :: Int, (fnt, bck, tqt))
     Deconstruct src fnt bck \rightarrow encode (2 :: Int, (src, fnt, bck))
     CopyIfNil tst src tgt
                              \rightarrow encode (3 :: Int, (tst, src, tgt))
instance Decodable Instruction where
   decode \ t = \mathbf{case} \ decode \ t :: Maybe \ (Int, Constree) \ \mathbf{of}
     Just (0, arg) \rightarrow Nilify < \$ > decode arg
     Just (1, args) \rightarrow uncurry 3 \ Construct < \$ > decode \ args
     Just (2, args) \rightarrow uncurry 3 \ Deconstruct < \$ > decode \ args
     Just (3, args) \rightarrow uncurry3 \ CopyIfNil < \$ > decode \ args
                     \rightarrow Nothing
     where uncurry3 f(a, b, c) = f a b c
instance Encodable Register where
   encode \ r = encode \ (limit \ r, contents \ r)
instance Decodable Register where
   decode = fmap (uncurry R) \circ decode
instance Encodable Color where
   encode = encode \circ fromEnum
instance Encodable Frame where
   encode(F \ c \ s) = encode(c, s)
instance Encodable Processor where
   encode(P s) = encode s
instance Encodable Item where
                               = encode (0 :: Int, t, w)
   encode (Cargo \ t \ w)
   encode (RegisterPart \ r) = encode (1 :: Int, r)
   encode\ (ProcessorPart\ p) = encode\ (2 :: Int, p)
   encode (FramePart f)
                              = encode (3 :: Int, f)
   encode Shield
                               = encode (4 :: Int, Nil)
instance Encodable Direction where
   encode = encode \circ fromEnum
instance Decodable Direction where
   decode\ t = ([N..]!!?) \implies decode\ t
```

Note that only the robot's frame and inventory are encoded into constree. The processor and memory are omitted, as these are not visible in the machine inputs.

```
instance Encodable\ Robot\ where
encode\ (Robot\ f\ i\ \_\ \_) = encode\ (f,i)
instance Encodable\ Command\ where
```

```
= encode (0 :: Int, head \$ elemIndices d [N ..])
   encode (Move d)
   encode (Lift i)
                           = encode (1 :: Int, i)
   encode (Drop i)
                           = encode (2 :: Int, i)
   encode\ (Inspect\ i)
                           = encode (3 :: Int, i)
   encode (Destroy i)
                           = encode (4 :: Int, i)
   encode\ (Build\ is\ m) = encode\ (5::Int,is,m)
   encode Pass
                           = encode (6 :: Int, Nil)
instance Decodable Command where
   decode \ t = \mathbf{case} \ decode \ t :: Maybe \ (Int, Constree) \ \mathbf{of}
                    \rightarrow Move < \$ > (([N..]!!?) \implies decode \ d)
     Just(1,i)
                    \rightarrow Lift < \$ > decode i
     Just(2,i)
                    \rightarrow Drop < \$ > decode i
     Just(3,i)
                    \rightarrow Inspect < \$ > decode i
     Just(4,i)
                    \rightarrow Destroy < \$ > decode i
     Just (5, x) \rightarrow uncurry Build < \$ > decode x
     Just (6, Nil) \rightarrow Just Pass
                     \rightarrow Nothing
```

Note that *Passed* actions and *Invalid* actions are encoded identically: robots cannot distinguish these actions. Note also that *Inspected* actions do not encode the result of the inspection.

instance Encodable Action where

```
encode \ a = \mathbf{case} \ a \ \mathbf{of}
  Passed
                             \rightarrow encode (0 :: Int, Nil)
  Invalid
                             \rightarrow encode (0 :: Int, Nil)
  Created
                             \rightarrow encode (1 :: Int, Nil)
  MoveBlocked\ d
                             \rightarrow encode (4 :: Int, direction d)
                             \rightarrow encode (2:: Int, direction d)
  MovedOut d
  MovedIn d
                             \rightarrow encode (3:: Int, direction d)
  CannotLift i
                             \rightarrow encode (6 :: Int, i)
  GrappledOver i
                             \rightarrow encode (7 :: Int, i)
  Lifted i
                             \rightarrow encode (5 :: Int, i)
  Dropped i
                             \rightarrow encode (8 :: Int, i)
  InspectTargetFled \ i \rightarrow encode \ (9 :: Int, i)
  InspectBlocked i
                             \rightarrow encode (10 :: Int, i)
  Inspected i _
                             \rightarrow encode (11 :: Int, i)
  DestroyTargetFled i \rightarrow encode (12 :: Int, i)
  DestroyBlocked i
                            \rightarrow encode (13 :: Int, i)
  Destroyed i
                             \rightarrow encode (14 :: Int, i)
  Built is _
                             \rightarrow encode (15 :: Int, is)
  BuildInterrupted\ is\ 	o encode\ (16::Int,is)
  where direction d = head \$ elemIndices d [N..]
```

Appendix C

Helper Functions

This section contains simple helper functions used to implement the Botworld step function. The first few are used to distinguish different types of items and actions:

```
isPart :: Item \rightarrow Bool
isPart (RegisterPart \_) = True
isPart item = isProcessor item \lor isFrame item
isProcessor :: Item \rightarrow Bool
isProcessor (ProcessorPart \_) = True
isProcessor \_ = False
isFrame :: Item \rightarrow Bool
isFrame (FramePart \_) = True
isFrame \_ = False
isFrame \_ = False
isShield :: Item \rightarrow Bool
isShield Shield = True
isShield \_ = False
isExit :: Action \rightarrow Bool
isExit (MovedOut \_) = True
isExit \_ = False
```

The rest are generic functions that assist with list manipulation. One to extract a single item from a list (or fail if the list has many items):

```
singleton :: [a] \rightarrow Maybe \ a

singleton \ [x] = Just \ x

singleton \ \_ = Nothing
```

One to safely access items in a list at a given index:

```
(!!?) :: [a] \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Maybe \ a
[] !!? \_ = Nothing
```

```
(x: \_) !!? 0 = Just x
(\_: xs) !!? n = xs !!? pred n
```

One to safely alter a specific item in a list:

```
alter :: Int \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
alter i f xs = maybe xs go (xs !!? i) where
go x = take i xs + (f x : drop (succ i) xs)
```

One to remove a specific set of indices from a list:

And one to selectively drop the first n items that match the given predicate.

```
\begin{array}{l} drop N :: Int \rightarrow (a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow [\,a\,] \rightarrow [\,a\,] \\ drop N \ 0 \ \_ \ xs = xs \\ drop N \ n \ p \ (x : xs) = \mathbf{if} \ p \ x \ \mathbf{then} \ drop N \ (pred \ n) \ p \ xs \ \mathbf{else} \ x : drop N \ n \ p \ xs \\ drop N \ \_ \ [\,] = [\,] \end{array}
```

Appendix D

Visualization

The remaining code implements a visualizer for Botworld grids. This allows you to print out Botworld grids and Botworld scoreboards (assuming that you have access to a Botworld game configuration).

In Botworld grid visualizations, colors are given a three-letter code:

```
instance Show Color where
  show Red = "RED"
  show Orange = "RNG"
  show Yellow = "YLO"
  show Green = "GRN"
  show Blue = "BLU"
  show Violet = "VLT"
  show Black = "BLK"
  show White = "WYT"
```

Each cell is shown using three lines: the first for items, the second for item weights, the third for robots (by color). At most two things are shown per row. (This is by no means a perfect visualization, but it works well for simple games.)

```
visualize :: Botworld \rightarrow Reader GameConfig String
visualize g = \mathbf{do}
rowStrs \leftarrow mapM showRow rows :: Reader GameConfig [String]
return $ concat rowStrs + line
where
unpaddedRows = chunksOf r (cells g) where (r, \_) = dimensions g
pad row = row + replicate (maxlen - length row) Nothing
rows = map pad unpaddedRows
maxlen = maximum (map length unpaddedRows)
line = concat (replicate maxlen "+-----") + "+\n"
```

Items are crudely shown as follows:

```
showValue :: Item \rightarrow Reader \ GameConfig \ String
showValue \ b = \mathbf{do}
  value \leftarrow asks \ valuer
  return \$ \mathbf{case} \ b \ \mathbf{of}
     FramePart (F Red _)

ightarrow " [R] "
     FramePart\ (F\ Orange\ \_) \rightarrow "[0]"
     FramePart\ (F\ Yellow\ \_)\ \rightarrow "[Y]"
     FramePart\ (F\ Green\ \_)\ \rightarrow "[G]"
     FramePart (F Blue \_) \rightarrow "[B]"
     FramePart\ (F\ Violet\ \_)\ \rightarrow "[V]"
     FramePart (F \ Black \_) \rightarrow "[K]"
     FramePart\ (F\ White\ \_)\ \rightarrow "[W]"

ightarrow "[#]"
     ProcessorPart _
     RegisterPart _

ightarrow "[|]"
                                    \rightarrow "\\X/"
     Shield
     x \rightarrow printf "$%d" (value x)
showWeight :: Item \rightarrow String
showWeight item
   | weight item > 99 = "99+"
   | otherwise = printf "%dg" $ weight item
showRow :: [Cell] \rightarrow Reader \ GameConfig \ String
showRow \ xs = \mathbf{do}
  v \leftarrow showCells\ cellValue\ xs
  w \leftarrow showCells\ cellWeight\ xs
  r \leftarrow showCells (return < \$ > cellRobots) xs
  return \$ line + v + w + r
showCells \ strify \ xs = \mathbf{do}
  strs \leftarrow mapM \ (maybe \ (return "//////") \ strify) \ xs
  return \$ "|" + intercalate "|" strs ++ "| n"
cellValue \ sq = \mathbf{do}
  value \leftarrow asks \ valuer
  case sortBy (flip $ comparing value) (itemsIn sq) of
     [] \rightarrow return "
     [b] \rightarrow printf "
                           \%3s " <\$> show Value b
     [b,c] 	o printf " %3s %3s " <$> showValue\ b <*> showValue\ c
     (b:c:\_) 	o printf " %3s %3s\x2026" <$> showValue\ b <*> showValue\ c
cellWeight \ sq = \mathbf{do}
  value \leftarrow asks \ valuer
  return $ case sortBy (flip $ comparing value) (itemsIn sq) of
     [\ ] \rightarrow "
     [b] \rightarrow printf " %3s " (showWeight \ b)
     [b,c] \rightarrow printf " %3s %3s " (showWeight\ b)\ (showWeight\ c)
     (b:c:\_) \rightarrow printf " %3s %3s\x2026" (showWeight\ b)\ (showWeight\ c)
cellRobots\ sq = \mathbf{case}\ sortBy\ (comparing\ \$\ color\ \circ\ frame)\ (robotsIn\ sq)\ \mathbf{of}
```

```
[] \rightarrow " \qquad " \\ [f] \rightarrow printf " \quad \text{%s} \quad " (clr f) \\ [f,s] \rightarrow printf " \quad \text{%s} \quad \text{%s} \quad " (clr f) (clr s) \\ (f:s:\_) \rightarrow printf " \quad \text{%s} \quad \text{%s} \times 2026" (clr f) (clr s) \\ \text{where } clr = show \circ color \circ frame \\ chunksOf \_[] = [] \\ chunksOf \ r \ xs = (take \ r \ xs) : (chunksOf \ r \ \$ \ drop \ r \ xs)
```

Finally, the scoreboard function takes a game configuration and prints out a scoreboard detailing the scores of each player (broken down according to the robots in the player's home square at the end of the game).

```
scoreboard :: Botworld \rightarrow Reader \ GameConfig \ String
scoreboard g = \mathbf{do}
  scores \leftarrow mapM \ scoreCell = sortedPositions
  return $ unlines $ concat scores
  where
     sortedPositions = do
       ps \leftarrow map \ fst < \$ > asks \ players
       scores \leftarrow mapM (score g) ps
       let comparer = flip \$ comparing snd
       return $ map fst $ sortBy comparer $ zip ps scores
     scoreCell \ p = do
       header \leftarrow playerLine p
       let divider = replicate (length header) '-'
        breakdown \leftarrow \mathbf{case} \ maybe \ [\ ] \ robotsIn \ \$ \ at \ g \ p \ \mathbf{of}
          [] \rightarrow return [" No robots in square."]
          rs \rightarrow mapM \ robotScore \ rs
       return \$ header : divider : breakdown
     robotScore \ r = \mathbf{do}
       pts \leftarrow points \ r
       let name = printf " %s robot" (show $ color $ frame r) :: String
       return $ name ++ ": $" ++ printf "%d" pts
     playerLine p = do
        total \leftarrow score \ g \ p
       name \leftarrow lookup \ p < \$ > asks \ players
       let moniker = fromMaybe (printf "Player at %s" (show p)) name
       return $ printf "%s $%d" moniker total
```

Bibliography

- [1] Alex Altair. A comparison of decision algorithms on newcomblike problems. 2013.
- [2] Martin Gardner. Mathematical Games: The fantastic combinations of John Conway's new solitaire game "Life". *Scientific American*, (223):120–123, October 1970.
- [3] Sudhakar Govindavajhala and Andrew W. Appel. Using memory errors to attack a virtual machine. In *In IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*, pages 154–165, 2003.
- [4] Marcus Hutter. Universal Artificial Intelligence: Sequential Decisions based on Algorithmic Probability. Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [5] Laurent Orseau and Mark B. Ring. Space-time embedded intelligence. In Joscha Bach, Ben Goertzel, and Matthew Iklé, editors, AGI, volume 7716 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 209–218. Springer, 2012.
- [6] Vladimir Slepnev. A model of UDT with a halting oracle. http://lesswrong.com/lw/8wc/a_model_of_udt_with_a_halting_oracle/, 2011.
- [7] Vladimir Slepnev. A model of UDT without proof limits. http://lesswrong.com/lw/b0e/a_model_of_udt_without_proof_limits/, 2012.
- [8] Wikipedia. Markov decision process Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Markov_decision_process&oldid=589500076, 2014. [Online; accessed 10-April-2014].
- [9] Eliezer Yudkowsky and Marcello Herreshoff. Tiling agents for self-modifying AI, and the Löbian obstacle. 2013.