

Department of Physics, IIT Delhi

Course Code : PYL657  
Semester - III, 2022-23

**Plasma Physics Assignment**

Harikesh Kushwaha (2021PHS7181)  
**Course Coordinator: Prof. H. K. Malik**

**Assignment Topic**  
Ideal Electron Magnetohydrodynamics: Mathematical Treatment With Role of Nonlinearity and Whistler Waves

# Introduction and History

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is a physical mathematical framework used to study the effect of magnetic fields in conducting fluids. Examples of these magnetofluids are plasma (the one we will be interested in), electrolytes, liquid metals etc.. Magnetohydrodynamics consists of three words: **magneto** which means magnetic, **hydro** which means water (in this context, liquid) and **dynamics** which refers to the dynamics of an object acted by one or many forces.

Hannes Alfvén, a Swedish scientist (he got Physics’ Nobel Prize in 1970) was the first person to use the word Magnetohydrodynamics. Michael Faraday found out that the salty water flowing through the Waterloo Bridge (in London) interacts with the magnetic field of Earth. This results in production of a potential-difference between the two river banks. Faraday, at that time, called the this effect ’magneto electric induction’.

# Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics

The main idea of MHD is this: the magnetic fields acting on the system induces currents in plasma (or the moving conductive fluid we are interested in). This polarizes the fluid which results in changes in geometry and strength of the magnetic field itself. MHD is works by describing a system with a set of partial differential equations from electrodymanics and fluid mechanics. Before we mention these equations, we’ll give a brief overview of the assumptions MHD makes, its usefulness and its limitations.

## Assumptions of MHD

MHD makes quite a few assumptions. Some of them are:

* This is a low frequency (so, long wavelength) approximation.
* It is valid only for length scales which are longer than the Debye length (say, ) and the gyroradii of electron (say, ) and ions (say, ). Which means:
* .
* The time scale should be longer than the inverses of the plamsa frequency (), electron cyclotron frequency () and ion cyclotron frequency ():
* .
* The model assumes quasi-neutrality and the electron and ion temperatures are equal.
* Frequent collisions are assumed. By frequent, we mean that the collision should be enough for the distribution of particle to be in form of Maxwellian distribution.
* Equation of state is assumed to be adiabatic.
* Finally, the model also neglects the many physical advances made after 1860 such as:
  + Displacement current in Ampere’s law
  + Relativistc effects
  + Quantum effects

### Why *Ideal* MHD?

There is one more assumption needed for MHD to become **ideal MHD**. This is the assumption about the resistivity of fluid. In MHD, the fluid is considered to have very little resistivity and hence it is treated as a perfect conductor. This limit is analogous to treating the magnetic Reynolds number as .

## Usefulness of MHD

There are some systems, in which MHD works very well while in some it performs just okay. Traditionally, MHD describes macroscopic force balance, equilibrium and dynamics on large scale. MHD is a very good predictor of plasma stability. The model describes most of the catastrophic instabilties as unstable in laboratory plamsa and solar atmosphere. The system which are described reasonably well by MHD are solar wind, heliosphere, Earth’s magnetosphere, Neutron star magnetospheres etc.

*MHD works reasonably good in most astrophysical plasmas, however, some extensions may be needed for MHD to explain these systems better.* Later, we’ll see some examples of MHD working with astrophysics.

## Limitations

Since MHD makes a lot of assumptions, it has severe limitations. It is not applicable when Non-fluid or kinetic effects are important, for example, dissipation in the turbulent solar wind and small scale dynamics of Earth’s magnetosphere. The particle distribution functions must be Maxwellian in MHD and hence it does not work when the distribution is not Maxwellian, for example, in cosmic rays. Also, when plasma is weakly ionized, the model does not works.

Note however that even if MHD describes a lot of system just mediocrly, it is a very good predictor of the stability of the system.

# MHD Equations

Ideal MHD comprises of a number of partial differential equations which must be solve simultaneously either analytically or numerically. These equations are made up of the conservation of mass, conservation of momentum and conservation of energy along with the induction equation.

## The Continuity Equation

The continuity equation, given by

is nothing but conservation of mass. This says that the change in mass inside a volume equals the mass entering or leaving the surface of the volume.

## Momentum Equation

This is also known as Cauchy’s momentum equation, the equation,

describes the non-relativistic momentum transport in a liquid.

Writing the term as:

we see that the first term on the right hand side is the magnetic tension (a restoring force) and the second term is the magnetic pressure (energy density).

## Ampere and Faraday Equation

MHD does not takes into consideration the displacement current in Ampere’s law, as we saw in section 2.1. aSo, the form of Ampere’s equation used in MHD becomes:

While, the Faraday’s equation reads:

## Ideal Ohm’s Law

Assuming a perfect conductor, the ideal form of Ohm’s law is:

## Adiabatic Energy Equation

We use the adiabatic equation of state to write the adiabatic energy equation as

here is the heat capacity ratio.

## Divergence Constraint


Another equation is needed to close the set of equations which describes MHD. We use the equation

which states that the magnetic field has no divergence.

## Ideal MHD Equation

Let’s put all these seven equations together.

So, in MHD there are two vector and two scalar partial differential equations (meaning eight scalar equations) that must be solved simultaneously. The solutions can be found either analytically or numerically.




A group of sunspots stretching almost 320,000 km across  
 Image Credit: Wikimedia Commons

# Applying MHD on Sunspots

Here, we’ll consider a simple example which shows how we can use MHD to solve some physical problem. We’ll take an example of sunspot and see how MHD can be used to explain the phenomenon. See the Figure [1](#fig:sunspot) for a picture of a sunspot. Sunspots are a dark spots on the surface of the Sun that lasts typically for a number of days. These are magnetic regions on Sun which have magnetic field strength that are thousands of times stronger than the magnetic field of Earth.

Consider a sunspot as a verticle magnetic flux tube. The magnetic field lines are shown in Figure [2](#fig:flux-tube). The magnetic fields is verticle. and are the pressure and temperature inside the sunspot while and are the pressure and temperature outside the sunspot.



Vertical Magnetic Flux Tube

Since the magnetic field is straight, the magnetic tension force is zero. The magnetic pressure force is given by:

This means that the pressure inside the sunspot is equal to the pressure outside the sunspot and hence

We assume that the density is equal inside and outside the plasma, ie., . Dividing by them, the above equation becomes

Next we use equations of state

to obtain

After simplifying, this gives:

This means that in a sunspot . This is indeed the case. In the dark centers of sunspots, temperatures may drop to about 3700K (as comparision the surrounding photosphere has temperature to the 5800K).

# Non Linearty

## Why Non Linearty?

Linear MHD does not give answers to a lot of questions. For example, we saw that MHD is quite good at stability theory. However, it does not answer to the question that what happens to the equilibrium solutions if a weak perturbation is applied to it. Do they relax in a neighbouring equilibrium or oscillate about the equilibrium state? This question can be answered by non linear theory. What’s more, linear instability theory does not allow an estimate of the final extent of the unstable dynamics.

Hence it is necessary to leave the linear regime and study the non linear dynamics of the system. However, this means that even simple systems will become complicated. Hence nonlinear MHD studies are limited to object just a qualitative understanding in the simplest possible geometry while linear MHD can be used to deal quantitatively with more geometrically complicated systems. Usually, this is done by using numerical methods.

We also make some simplification to the ideal MHD equations [[eq:mhd]](#eq:mhd) to make them more tractable.

## Reduced MHD Equations

The original MHD equation (Equation [[eq:mhd]](#eq:mhd)) contain seven independent dynamic variables: hree velocity components, Two magnetic field components as , Density and Pressure. However, assuming incompressiblity and homogeneous density, we can reduce the number of dynamic variables to four, two velocity components (As ) and two magnetic field components. Pressure is now a functions of and while density is a constant. This is called the **reduced MHD equations**. The complete equations are:

With

# Whistler: The Hall MHD

In ideal MHD, there are only 3 types of waves: Alfvén wave, slow magnetosonic wave and fast magnetosonic wave. However, if we include the Hall term, we will get two more waves: whistler wave and the ion cyclotron wave. To get a dispersion relation for whistler, we’ll start by normalizing MHD equations with generalized form of Ohm’s law.

## Ohm’s Law and Normalization

Taking only the resistive and Hall term in the generalized form of Ohm’s law, we get:

Taking curl of both sides and using Faraday equation, this gives:

This equation can be normalized by defining Alfvén speed , Alfvén time and being the length of Normalization. Using these, the Equation [[eq:ohm1]](#eq:ohm1) can be written in normalized form as:

Here, is the ion inertial length and is the Lundquist number defined as:

Similarly, by help of to normalize pressure, the normalized form of the above momentum equation (Equation [[eq:momentum]](#eq:momentum)) is:

## Whistler Wave

As stated earlier, when Hall term is added to the MHD equations, we get two more waves one of them being the whistler wave. To get the dispersion relation, we’ll start by the normalized equations [[eq:ohm-normal]](#eq:ohm-normal) and [[eq:momentum-normal]](#eq:momentum-normal). Ignoring the resistive term, assuming a uniform background fields and assuming the perturbation to be incompressible so that and . Next, we’ll take curl of the momentum equation [[eq:momentum-normal]](#eq:momentum-normal) and consider only the normal term. This gives:

Similarly, taking the linear form of the Ohm’s equation [[eq:ohm-normal]](#eq:ohm-normal):


Now, replacing with and with and then eliminating gives:

While taking curl of the above equation gives:

Combining Equations [[eq:el1]](#eq:el1) and [[eq:el2]](#eq:el2) and equating the determinant of the coefficients to zero, we get the dispersion relation:

Taking the square root gives two waves, the dispersion relations are:

Note that in above equation, we have normalized the quantites to the real quantites. Equation [[eq:whistler]](#eq:whistler) is the dispersion relation for the whistler wave. See the Figure [3](#fig:whistler) for the dispersion curve. The is right-hand polarized while the is left-hand polarized.



Dispersion Relation for Whistler Waves

# Conclusion

We started by discussing history and basic introduction of MHD followed by assumptions made by the model along with its usefulness and limitations. After that, we wrote the set of seven equations which are needed to solve simultaneously. We also talked about what is *ideal* in the ideal MHD. This was followed by a simple example where we used MHD to show that the temperature of sunspots (Equation [[eq:sunspot-temp]](#eq:sunspot-temp)) are lower than its surrounding. Then we proceded to show that linear MHD is not always helpful and it is not able to answer a lot of questions. We talked about using nonlinearty to answer these questions and discussed the complications made by introducing nonlinearty as well as restrictions posed by this on physical systems in which we can use this. It turned out that numerical approach will be much more fruitful for non linear case. We also talked about the reduced MHD equations [[eq:reduced-mhd-1]](#eq:reduced-mhd-1) and [[eq:reduced-mhd-2]](#eq:reduced-mhd-2) which is easier to tackle.

Finally, we saw that including Hall effect in the MHD equations [[eq:mhd]](#eq:mhd) results in generation of whistler mode. We normalized and linearized the Ohm’s equation [[eq:ohm-normal]](#eq:ohm-normal) and the momentum equation [[eq:momentum-normal]](#eq:momentum-normal) to get the dispersion relation [[eq:whistler]](#eq:whistler) for the whistler wave. We saw that there are two waves in the mode, one right and other left polarized. We also made a plot (Figure [3](#fig:whistler)) of the dispersion curve using matplotlib and Python.