ASSIGNMENT-3

Solution 1:

Decision Variables:

L1 = number of large units produced per day at Plant 1,

M1 = number of medium units produced per day at Plant 1,

S1 = number of small units produced per day at Plant 1,

L2 = number of large units produced per day at Plant 2,

M2 = number of medium units produced per day at Plant 2,

S2 = number of small units produced per day at Plant 2,

L3 = number of large units produced per day at Plant 3,

M3 = number of medium units produced per day at Plant 3,

S3 = number of small units produced per day at Plant 3.

Objective function:

Maximize
$$Z = 420 L1 + 360 M1 + 300 S1 + 420 L2 + 360 M2 + 300 S2 + 420 L3 + 360 M3 + 300 S3$$

Constraints:

a. Excess capacity for each plant

$$L1 + M1 + S1 \le 750$$

 $L2 + M2 + S2 \le 900$
 $L3 + M3 + S3 \le 450$

b. In-process storage space

$$20 L1 + 15 M1 + 12 S1 \le 13000$$

 $20 L2 + 15 M2 + 12 S2 \le 12000$
 $20 L3 + 15 M3 + 12 S3 \le 5000$

c. Sales forcast

$$L1 + L2 + L3 \le 900$$

 $M1 + M2 + M3 \le 1200$
 $S1 + S2 + S3 \le 750$

d. To avoid layoff that the plants should use the same percentage of their excess capacity to produce the new product. Using only two constraints from this particular case.

$$\frac{1}{750}(L1 + M1 + S1) - \frac{1}{900}(L2 + M2 + S2) = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{750}(L1 + M1 + S1) - \frac{1}{450}(L3 + M3 + S3) = 0$$

e. Non-negativity

$$L1 \ge 0$$
, $M1 \ge 0$, $S1 \ge 0$, $L2 \ge 0$, $M2 \ge 0$, $S2 \ge 0$, $L3 \ge 0$, $L3 \ge 0$, $L3 \ge 0$.

Mathematical Linear Programming Formulation

Standard form:

Maximize Z: 420 L1 + 360 M1 + 300 S1 + 420 L2 + 360 M2 + 300 S2 + 420 L3 + 360 M3 + 300 S3;

Subject to restrictions:

```
L1 + M1 + S1 <= 750
L2 + M2 + S2 <= 900
L3 + M3 + S3 <= 450
20 L1 + 15 M1 + 12 S1 <= 13000
20 L2 + 15 M2 + 12 S2 <= 12000
20 L3 + 15 M3 + 12 S3 <= 5000
L1 + L2 + L3 <= 900
M1 + M2 + M3 <= 1200
S1 + S2 + S3 <= 750
900 L1 + 900 M1 + 900 S1 - 750 L2 - 750 M2 - 750 S2 = 0
450 L1 + 450 M1 + 450 S1 - 750 L3 - 750 M3 - 750 S3 = 0
```

Solved using lpsolve in R is in separate file.

Solution 2:

To find shadow price, reduced cost, dual solution using "get.sensitivity.rhs(x)".

Shadow Price:

 $0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 12.00 \quad 20.00 \quad 60.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad -0.08 \quad 0.56$

Reduced Cost:

0.00 0.00 -24.00 -40.00 0.00 0.00 -360.00 -120.00 0.00

Dual Solution:

0.00 0.00 0.00 12.00 20.00 60.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.08 0.56

Solution 3:

The range of price and cost

\$duals

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 12.00 \quad 20.00 \quad 60.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad -0.08 \quad 0.56 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad -24.00 \quad -40.00 \quad 0.00 \\ \hline \begin{bmatrix} 17 \end{bmatrix} \quad 0.00 \quad -360.00 \quad -120.00 \quad 0.00 \\ \hline \end{bmatrix}$

\$dualsfrom is lower limit

[19] -4.444444e+01 -1.000000e+30

\$dualstill is upper limit

- $[11] \ 1.250000e+04 \ 1.000000e+30 \ 1.000000e+30 \ 1.111111e+02 \ 1.000000e+02 \ 1.000000e+30 \ 1.000000e+30 \ 2.500000e+01 \ 6.666667 \ e+01 \ 1.000000e+30$

The range for objective function variables

\$objfrom is lower limit

 $[1] \ \ 3.60e+02 \ \ 3.45e+02 \ \ -1.00e+30 \ \ -1.00e+30 \ \ 3.45e+02 \ \ 2.52e+02 \ \ -1.00e+30 \ \ -1.00e+30 \ \ 2.04e+02 \ \ -1.00e+30 \$

Solution 4:

Dual Solution
Objective Function:

Constraints:

```
\begin{array}{l} y1+20y4+y8+900y10+450y11 \geq 420 \\ y1+15y4+y8+900y10+450y11 \geq 360 \\ y1+12y4+y9+900y10+450y11 \geq 300 \\ y2+20\ y5+y7-750y10 >= 420; \\ y2+15\ y5+y8-750y10 >= 360; \\ y2+12\ y5+y9-750y10 >= 300; \\ y3+20\ y6+y7-750y11 >= 420; \\ y3+15\ y6+y8-750y11 >= 360; \\ y3+12\ y6+y9-750y11 >= 300; \\ y1,y2,y3,y4,y5,y6,y7,y8,y9 \geq 0 \\ y10,\ y11\ are\ unrestricted. \end{array}
```

Problem is solved using lpsolve in R observed that solution of dual problem is same as shadow price of primal problem and also shadow price of dual problem is same as solution of primal problem.