

**1. How do we implement the following list of commands successively? How do you ensure that commands are executed regardless of whether each previous command succeeds, and how do you implement them? Also, what is another method to warrant that a command executes only if the previous command succeeds?**

**a. (cd /XXXdirectory)**

**b. (find . -name 'a\*' -exec rm {} \ ) [removes all files starting with filename a in XXXdirectory]**

**c. (ls -la) [lists files in the current directory "XXXdirectory" after removing]**

Answer:

- ☐ ``cd /XXXdirectory``: Changing the current directory to `"/XXXdirectory."`
- ☐ ``find . -name 'a*' -exec rm {} \``: Finding files in the current directory and its subdirectories whose names start with 'a' and removing them using the ``rm`` command.
- ☐ ``ls -la``: Listing all files in the current directory (now `"/XXXdirectory"`) in long format.

### **1) Execute regardless of the success or failure of the previous command:**

To implement a sequence of commands that execute regardless of the success or failure of the previous command, we can use:

**Semicolon (;):** we can use a semicolon to separate the commands. For example:

```
cd /XXXdirectory ; find . -name 'a*' -exec rm {} \ ; ls -la
```

This will change the directory to `"XXXdirectory,"` remove files starting with 'a' in the current directory, and then list the contents of the directory.

### **2) Command executes only if the previous one succeeds:**

**Logical AND (&&):** To ensure that each command executes only if the previous one succeeds (returns a zero exit status), we can use the logical AND operator:

```
cd /XXXdirectory && find . -name 'a*' -exec rm {} \ && ls -la
```

This will change the directory, remove files starting with 'a,' and list the contents, but each command depends on the success of the previous one.

**2. How would you implement sub shells by implementing "(" and ")"**.

**For example, a. `echo "-$(sed 's/cat/lion/g' a.txt)" > hello.txt`**

**i. Purpose: First, replace all occurrences of cat with lion in file "a.txt" and echo into hello.txt file.**

Answer:

Subshells are created using parentheses "(" and ")". To implement a subshell, we can enclose a group of commands within parentheses.

- ☐ ``echo "-$(sed 's/cat/lion/g' a.txt)" > hello.txt`` : Replacing all occurrences of "cat" with "lion" in the file "a.txt" and echoing the result into the file "hello.txt."

**Subshell Example:**

**`(cp original.txt backup.txt && sed 's/apple/orange/g' original.txt) > result.txt`**

In this case we perform multiple operations within a subshell, like creating a backup of a file and then manipulating the original file:

Explaining this example in detail:

- ☐ ``(cp original.txt backup.txt && sed 's/apple/orange/g' original.txt)`` is enclosed in parentheses, creating a subshell.
- ☐ ``cp original.txt backup.txt`` copies the contents of ``original.txt`` to ``backup.txt``.
- ☐ ``sed 's/apple/orange/g' original.txt`` replaces all occurrences of "apple" with "orange" in ``original.txt``.
- ☐ The output of the subshell (combined output of both commands) is then redirected to ``result.txt``

### 3. How would you implement running commands in the background by supporting “&” and “wait”?

Answer:

#### Running Commands in the Background:

##### □ “&”:

To run a command in the background, you can use the ampersand (`&`) symbol.

For example:

we can assume `long_running_command` can be deployment of any shell script.

**`long_running_command &`**

This will start the ``long_running_command`` in the background, allowing us to continue using the terminal without waiting for the command to finish.

##### □ “wait”:

To wait for background commands to complete, you can use the ``wait`` command. For instance:

**`long_running_command &`**  
**`other_command`**  
**`wait`**

Here, ``other_command`` will execute after ``long_running_command`` is complete, and ``wait`` will ensure all background commands are finished before continuing.