# Exploration of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ Lattice Gauge Theories -Monte Carlo Simulations

## Hariprashad Ravikumar Under the guidance of Dr. Michael Engelhardt Department of Physics, New Mexico State University

December 2022

### Project Summary

This project presents a Python-based simulation framework for investigating  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  lattice gauge theories using Monte Carlo methods. It employs Metropolis algorithms to explore phase transitions and gauge field dynamics in various dimensional systems. The work integrates numerical modeling, statistical mechanics, and high-performance computation to extract physical observables such as Wilson loops  $\langle W \rangle$  and benchmark them against analytical results. The code base demonstrates applied skills in stochastic sampling, scientific programming, and algorithmic implementations, relevant to domains such as computational physics, data-driven modeling, and probabilistic inference.

#### Contents

1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory	1
2	THE MONTE CARLO METHOD $2.1  2D \text{ Ising Model } \dots $	2
3	Numerical simulation for Lattice QCD  3.1 Expectation value of Wilson loop (Analytical solution)	
4	Other Interesting Calculations in Higher Dimensions	7


## 1 $\mathbb{Z}_2$ lattice gauge theory

Consider the gauge group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and define  $U_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $U_{ij}$  is  $\pm 1$ .

$$U_{ij} = P.O.\left(\exp\left(ig_0 \int_{x_i}^{x_j} A_{\mu}.dx_{\mu}\right)\right) \tag{1}$$

All the following definitions are true even for a general gauge group G, and  $U_{ij} \in G$ , where  $U_{ij}$  is an  $n \times n$  unitary matrix. The action should be a sum over all elementary squares of the lattice, where each of these squares or 'plaquettes' is the trace of the product of the group elements surrounding the plaquette

$$S = \sum_{\square} S_{\square} = \sum_{\square} \beta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) Re \ Tr \left( U_{ij} U_{jk} U_{kl} U_{li} \right) \right]$$
 (2)



Then the action will be,

$$S = \sum_{\Pi} \beta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) Re \ Tr \left( U_{12} U_{23} U_{34} U_{41} \right) \right]$$
 (3)

$$= \sum_{\Box} \beta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) Re \ Tr \left( e^{\left( ig_0 \int_{x_{\mu_1}}^{x_{\mu_2}} A_{\mu} \cdot dx_{\mu} \right)} e^{\left( ig_0 \int_{x_{\mu_2}}^{x_{\mu_3}} A_{\mu} \cdot dx_{\mu} \right)} e^{\left( ig_0 \int_{x_{\mu_3}}^{x_{\mu_4}} A_{\mu} \cdot dx_{\mu} \right)} e^{\left( ig_0 \int_{x_{\mu_4}}^{x_{\mu_4}} A_{\mu} \cdot dx_{\mu} \right)} \right) \right]$$
(4)

$$= \sum_{\Pi} \beta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) Re \ Tr \left( exp \left( ig_0 \oint A_{\mu} . dx_{\mu} \right) \right) \right]$$
 (5)

$$= \sum_{\square} \beta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) Re \ Tr \left( exp \left( ig_0 \iint_s (\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}) ds \right) \right) \right]$$
 (6)

$$= \sum_{\square} \beta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) Re \ Tr \left( exp \left( ig_0 a^2 F_{\mu\nu} \right) \right) \right] = \sum_{\square} \beta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) Tr \left( \cos \left( g_0 a^2 F_{\mu\nu} \right) \right) \right]$$
 (7)

$$S = \sum_{\square} \beta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( Tr(\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}) - Tr\left( \frac{g_0^2 a^4 F_{\mu\nu}^2}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(a^8) \right) \right]$$
 (8)

$$= \sum_{\square} \frac{\beta g_0^2}{2n} Tr(F_{\mu\nu}^2) a^4 + \mathcal{O}(a^6)$$
 (9)

$$S = \sum_{\square} \frac{\beta g_0^2}{2n} \frac{1}{2} Tr(F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}) a^4 + \mathcal{O}(a^6)$$
 (10)

Then in the continuum limit  $a \longrightarrow 0$ ,

$$S = \frac{\beta g_0^2}{2n} \int \frac{1}{2} Tr(F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}) d^4 x + \mathcal{O}(a^6)$$
 (11)

Thus, we obtain the usual gauge theory action if we identify  $\beta = \frac{2n}{g_0^2}$ .

#### 2 THE MONTE CARLO METHOD

The lattice regularized quantum expectation value of an observable  $\mathcal{O}(U_{ij})$  is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = Z^{-1} \int \prod_{ij} dU_{ij} \mathcal{O}(U_{ij}) e^{-S(U_{ij},g)},$$
 (12)

$$Z = \int \prod_{ij} dU_{ij} e^{-S(U_{ij},g)} \tag{13}$$

• An initial configuration  $C^{(1)}$  is stored in the memory of the computer<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Lattice Gauge Theories and Monte Carlo Simulations book by Claudio Rebbi

- From  $C^{(1)}$  the computer generates a new configuration  $C^{(2)}$  which replaces  $C^{(1)}$  in the memory, by a stochastic procedure.
- Transition probability  $p(C \longrightarrow C')$  is defined for the passage between one configuration and the next.
- From  $C^{(2)}$ , the computer generates a new configuration  $C^{(3)}$ , and so on, producing eventually a very large number of configurations.
- Probability of encountering any definite configuration  $C^{(k)}$  at larger k steps converges to a distribution proportional to the correct measure factor  $e^{-S(C)}$ .
- Assuming that after  $n_0$  steps the probability distribution has come close enough to the correct limiting one, we approximate the exact quantum expectation values by

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{n} \mathcal{O}(C^{(k)}) \tag{14}$$

• The Boltzmann distribution  $p(C) \propto e^{-S(C)}$  must be an eigenvector of the probability matrix  $p(C \longrightarrow C')$ . This is guaranteed if p obeys the detailed balance condition

$$\frac{p(C \longrightarrow C')}{p(C' \longrightarrow C)} = \frac{e^{-S(C')}}{e^{-S(C)}} \tag{15}$$

• The transition matrix  $p(C \longrightarrow C')$  is determined in two steps. First a new candidate configuration C' is selected starting from C according to some probability distribution  $p_0(C \longrightarrow C')$ , satisfying the equality


$$p_0(C \longrightarrow C') \Longrightarrow p_0(C' \longrightarrow C)$$
 (16)

- The variation in action  $\triangle S = S(C') S(C)$  that would be induced by the change is calculated.
- A pseudo-random number is then selected with a uniform probability distribution between 0 and 1, and:
  - if  $r < e^{-\Delta S}$ , the change is accepted the new configuration in the sequence is C'.
  - if  $r > e^{-\Delta S}$ , the change is rejected and the new configuration is again C.
- In the Metropolis procedure, the transitions  $P_0(C \longrightarrow C')$  and  $P(C \longrightarrow C')$  can be further qualified, for a gauge system, as  $P_0(U_{ji} \longrightarrow U'_{ji})$  and  $P(U_{ji} \longrightarrow U'_{ji})$ , all other  $U_{ji}$  being kept fixed.

#### **2.1** 2*D* Ising Model

Below are the results from the implementation of the Monte-Carlo Metropolis algorithms in 2D Ising model:

$$H(\sigma) = -\sum_{\langle ij\rangle} J_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j - \mu \sum_j h_j\sigma_j, \tag{17}$$



# 3 Numerical simulation for Lattice QCD

The vacuum expectation value of an observable in a Monte Carlo simulation approximation: (Sum over  $U_n$  with probability  $\propto e^{-S[U_n]}$ )

$$\boxed{\langle O \rangle = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}[U]e^{-S_G[U]}O[U]}{\int \mathcal{D}[U]e^{-S_G[U]}} \rightarrow \boxed{\langle O \rangle \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{U_n} O[U_n]}$$




Figure 1: Markov chain - Monte Carlo simulations of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  lattice gauge theory (1+1)

#### 3.1 Expectation value of Wilson loop (Analytical solution)

 $^2$   $^{34}$  The expectation value of the Wilson loop  $\prod_{l \in C} \sigma(l)$  is given by

$$\langle \prod_{l \in C} \sigma(l) \rangle = \frac{\int D[\sigma] \prod_{l \in C} \sigma(l) e^{-S}}{\int D[\sigma] e^{-S}}$$
(18)

where,  $S = \beta \sum_{P} (1 - \sigma(\partial P))$ 

First, the Boltzmann factor for an individual plaquette is calculated,

$$e^{-\beta(1-\sigma(\partial P))} = e^{-\beta}\cosh\beta[1+\sigma(\partial P)\tanh\beta] \tag{19}$$

The expansion of the Boltzmann factor reads

$$e^{-\beta \sum_{P} (1 - \sigma(\partial P))} = \prod_{P} e^{-\beta} \cosh \beta [1 + \sigma(\partial P) \tanh \beta]$$

$$(20)$$

$$= (e^{-\beta}\cosh\beta)^{N^2} \prod_{P} [1 + \sigma(\partial P)\tanh\beta]$$
(21)

$$= (e^{-\beta}\cosh\beta)^{N^2} \left[ [1 + \sigma(\partial P_1)\tanh\beta][1 + \sigma(\partial P_2)\tanh\beta] \dots [1 + \sigma(\partial P_{N^2})\tanh\beta] \right]$$
(22)

$$= (e^{-\beta}\cosh\beta)^{N^2} \left[ \left[ 1 + \tanh\beta \left( \sum_{G^{(1)}} \prod_{P \in G^{(1)}} \sigma(\partial P) \right) + \dots + (\tanh\beta)^{N^2} \left( \sum_{G^{(N^2)}} \prod_{P \in G^{(n^2)}} \sigma(\partial P) \right) \right] \right]$$

$$e^{-\beta \sum_{P} (1 - \sigma(\partial P))} = \left(e^{-\beta} \cosh \beta\right)^{N^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N^2} (\tanh \beta)^n \left[ \sum_{G^{(n)}} \prod_{P \in G^{(n)}} \sigma(\partial P) \right]$$
(23)

Then the Boltzmann factor (partition function) is

$$Z = \int D[\sigma] e^{-\beta \sum_{P} (1 - \sigma(\partial P))}$$
 (24)

$$= (e^{-\beta}\cosh\beta)^{N^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N^2} (\tanh\beta)^n \left[ \sum_{G^{(n)}} \int D[\sigma] \prod_{P \in G^{(n)}} \sigma(\partial P) \right]$$
 (25)

the only non zero term in this sum is when n=0, i.e.  $G^{(0)}$  empty lattice graph, because,

$$\int D[\sigma] \prod_{P \in G^{(n)}} \sigma(\partial P) = \sum_{\{\sigma \in C\}} \prod_{l \in C} \sigma(l) \sum_{\{\sigma \notin C\}} 1 = 0$$
(26)

So,

$$Z = (e^{-\beta}\cosh\beta)^{N^2} \tag{27}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems, John B. Kogut, Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 659 – Published 1 October


 $<sup>^3 \</sup>rm Gauge$  Theories of the Strong and Electroweak Interaction by Manfred Böhm, Ansgar Denner, Hans Joos, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-322-80160-9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Lecture notes, A. Muramatsu- Lattice gauge theory- Summer 2009

Now, the numerator,

$$\int D[\sigma] \prod_{l \in C} \sigma(l) e^{-S} = (e^{-\beta} \cosh \beta)^{N^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N^2} (\tanh \beta)^n \left[ \sum_{G^{(n)}} \int D[\sigma] \prod_{l \in C} \sigma(l) \prod_{P \in G^{(n)}} \sigma(\partial P) \right]$$
(28)

here, only those  $G^{(n)}$  that contribute is the one in which  $\partial G^{(n)} = C$ , this is a single graph consisting of Ar[C] plaquettes, where Ar[C] is the number of plaquettes which are enclosed by C, i.e. the area enclosed by C. applies.



Then,

$$\int D[\sigma] \prod_{l \in C} \sigma(l) e^{-S} = (e^{-\beta} \cosh \beta)^{N^2} (\tanh \beta)^{Ar[C]}$$
(29)

Therefore,

$$\langle \prod_{l \in C} \sigma(l) \rangle = \frac{(e^{-\beta} \cosh \beta)^{N^2} (\tanh \beta)^{Ar[C]}}{(e^{-\beta} \cosh \beta)^{N^2}}$$
(30)

$$= (\tanh \beta)^{Ar[C]} \tag{31}$$

$$=e^{Ar[C]\ln\tanh\beta} \tag{32}$$

$$\langle \prod_{l \in C} \sigma(l) \rangle = e^{-f(\beta)Ar[C]}$$
(33)

where

$$f(\beta) = -\ln \tanh \beta. \tag{34}$$

In our numerical calculation, the beta,  $\beta = 0.3$ , so

$$f_{\text{analytical}}(\beta) = -\ln \tanh 0.3 = 1.23335831883$$
 (35)

#### 3.2 From Monte-Carlo simulation

From the lattice Monte Carlo simulation, we got the expected value from the analytical solution

$$f_{\text{numerical}}(\beta) = 1.2334 \pm 0.0049 \, \checkmark$$
 (36)






Figure 2: Expectation value of Wilson loops - Monte Carlo simulations of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  lattice gauge theory (1+1)

# 4 Other Interesting Calculations in Higher Dimensions

All other interesting calculations from higher dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  Monte Carlo simulations are archived in a GitHub repository: github.com/Hariprashad-Ravikumar/Z2\_LatticeGauge\_Monte\_Carlo\_Simulation