

# Business Case: Target SQL

Target's operations in Brazil between 2016 and 2018

## Q1) Importing datasets and checking the structure and characteristics

1. Data type of all columns in the "customers" table.

**Ans:**

**Query:**

```
SELECT column_name, data_type
FROM norse-limiter-415014.target.INFORMATION_SCHEMA.COLUMNS
WHERE table_name = 'customers';
```

**Snapshot:**

| JOB INFORMATION |                          | RESULTS   | CHART |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Row             | column_name              | data_type |       |
| 1               | customer_id              | STRING    |       |
| 2               | customer_unique_id       | STRING    |       |
| 3               | customer_zip_code_prefix | INT64     |       |
| 4               | customer_city            | STRING    |       |
| 5               | customer_state           | STRING    |       |

**Insights:** NA

**Recommendations:** NA

2. Time range between which the orders were placed.

**Ans:**

**Query:**

```
SELECT MIN(order_purchase_timestamp) as `earliest_order_timestamp`,
       MAX(order_purchase_timestamp) as `latest_order_timestamp`
FROM target.orders;
```

**Snapshot:**

| Row | earliest_order_timestamp | latest_order_timestamp  |
|-----|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1   | 2016-09-04 21:15:19 UTC  | 2018-10-17 17:30:18 UTC |

### Insights:

The orders were placed between 2016 and 2018 where the earliest order took place on September 4, 2016 and the latest order placed on October 17, 2018.

### Recommendations: NA

- Count the Cities & States of customers who ordered during the given period.

### Ans:

### Query:

```
SELECT count(DISTINCT customer_city) as `No of Cities`,  
       count(DISTINCT customer_state) as `No of States`  
FROM target.customers c inner join target.orders o on c.customer_id = o.customer_id  
WHERE EXTRACT(year FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 2016 AND 2018;
```

### Snapshot:

| Row | No of Cities | No of States |
|-----|--------------|--------------|
| 1   | 4119         | 27           |

### Insights:

The geographical distribution of customers spread across these many cities have ordered products between 2016 and 2018.

### Recommendations:

We can identify the region having lower customers and expand the market based on the potential demand for the particular city/state.

## Q2) In-depth Exploration

- Growing trend in the no. of orders placed over the past years

### Ans:

### Query:

```
SELECT EXTRACT(year from order_purchase_timestamp) as `order_year`,  
       EXTRACT(month from order_purchase_timestamp) as `order_month`, count(order_id) as `order_count`  
FROM target.orders  
GROUP BY order_year, order_month  
ORDER BY order_year, order_month;
```

### Snapshot :

| Row | order_year | order_month | order_count |
|-----|------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1   | 2016       | 9           | 4           |
| 2   | 2016       | 10          | 324         |
| 3   | 2016       | 12          | 1           |
| 4   | 2017       | 1           | 800         |
| 5   | 2017       | 2           | 1780        |
| 6   | 2017       | 3           | 2682        |
| 7   | 2017       | 4           | 2404        |
| 8   | 2017       | 5           | 3700        |
| 9   | 2017       | 6           | 3245        |
| 10  | 2017       | 7           | 4026        |
| 11  | 2017       | 8           | 4331        |
| 12  | 2017       | 9           | 4285        |

| Row | order_year | order_month | order_count |
|-----|------------|-------------|-------------|
| 13  | 2017       | 10          | 4631        |
| 14  | 2017       | 11          | 7544        |
| 15  | 2017       | 12          | 5673        |
| 16  | 2018       | 1           | 7269        |
| 17  | 2018       | 2           | 6728        |
| 18  | 2018       | 3           | 7211        |
| 19  | 2018       | 4           | 6939        |
| 20  | 2018       | 5           | 6873        |
| 21  | 2018       | 6           | 6167        |
| 22  | 2018       | 7           | 6292        |
| 23  | 2018       | 8           | 6512        |
| 24  | 2018       | 9           | 16          |
| 25  | 2018       | 10          | 4           |

### Insights:

The number of orders increases consistently over the years for most months and thus indicates a positive trend in order volume.

### Recommendations:

Ensure there is adequate stock levels in inventory to meet fluctuating demand as there is a huge decrease in some months.

2. Can we see some kind of monthly seasonality in terms of the no. of orders being placed?

**Ans:**

**Query:**

```
SELECT EXTRACT(month from order_purchase_timestamp) as `order_month`,  
       count(order_id) as `MonthlyOrders_OverYears`  
FROM target.orders  
GROUP BY order_month  
ORDER BY order_month;
```

**Snapshot:**

| Row | order_month | MonthlyOrders_OverYears |
|-----|-------------|-------------------------|
| 1   | 1           | 8069                    |
| 2   | 2           | 8508                    |
| 3   | 3           | 9893                    |
| 4   | 4           | 9343                    |
| 5   | 5           | 10573                   |
| 6   | 6           | 9412                    |
| 7   | 7           | 10318                   |
| 8   | 8           | 10843                   |
| 9   | 9           | 4305                    |
| 10  | 10          | 4959                    |
| 11  | 11          | 7544                    |
| 12  | 12          | 5674                    |

**Insights:**

The number of orders placed are peak during 5<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup> months over the years where it crossed above 10,000 orders. Further, the initial 8 months recorded most number of orders and moving towards the year end the orders placed are comparatively decreased.

**Recommendations :**

- Launch targeted promotions and marketing campaigns towards the end of the year to stimulate demand and encourage customers to make purchases.
- Offer discounts, special deals, or limited-time offers to attract shoppers during slower periods.

3. During what time of the day, do the Brazilian customers mostly place their orders? (Dawn, Morning, Afternoon or Night)

**Ans:**

**Query:**

```
SELECT CASE
  when extract(hour from order_purchase_timestamp) between 0 and 6 then "Dawn"
  when extract(hour from order_purchase_timestamp) between 7 and 12 then "Mornings"
  when extract(hour from order_purchase_timestamp) between 13 and 18 then "Afternoon"
  else "Night"
END as `time_of_day`, count(order_id) as `order_count`
FROM target.orders
GROUP BY time_of_day
order by order_count desc;
#LIMIT 1 gives the first record as to display the first record alone where highest number of orders placed in afternoon;
```

**Snapshot:**

| Row | time_of_day | order_count |
|-----|-------------|-------------|
| 1   | Afternoon   | 38135       |
| 2   | Night       | 28331       |
| 3   | Mornings    | 27733       |
| 4   | Dawn        | 5242        |

**Insights:**

The Brazilian customers mostly placed their orders in the **AFTERNOON** with **38,135** orders, followed by 28,331 orders in the night then 27,733 in the morning; finally 5242 orders which recorded least in the dawn.

**Recommendations: NA**

### Q3) Evolution of E-commerce orders:

1. Month on month no. of orders placed in each state

**Ans:**

**Query:**

```
SELECT c.customer_state,extract(year from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as `order_year`,
      extract(month from o.order_purchase_timestamp) as `order_month`,count(o.order_id) as `No of orders`
FROM target.orders o inner join target.customers c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
GROUP BY c.customer_state,order_year,order_month
ORDER BY c.customer_state,order_year,order_month;
```

### Snapshot:

| Row | customer_state | order_year | order_month | No of orders |
|-----|----------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1   | AC             | 2017       | 1           | 2            |
| 2   | AC             | 2017       | 2           | 3            |
| 3   | AC             | 2017       | 3           | 2            |
| 4   | AC             | 2017       | 4           | 5            |
| 5   | AC             | 2017       | 5           | 8            |
| 6   | AC             | 2017       | 6           | 4            |
| 7   | AC             | 2017       | 7           | 5            |
| 8   | AC             | 2017       | 8           | 4            |
| 9   | AC             | 2017       | 9           | 5            |
| 10  | AC             | 2017       | 10          | 6            |
| 11  | AC             | 2017       | 11          | 5            |
| 12  | AC             | 2017       | 12          | 5            |

| Row | customer_state | order_year | order_month | No of orders |
|-----|----------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| 13  | AC             | 2018       | 1           | 6            |
| 14  | AC             | 2018       | 2           | 3            |
| 15  | AC             | 2018       | 3           | 2            |
| 16  | AC             | 2018       | 4           | 4            |
| 17  | AC             | 2018       | 5           | 2            |
| 18  | AC             | 2018       | 6           | 3            |
| 19  | AC             | 2018       | 7           | 4            |
| 20  | AC             | 2018       | 8           | 3            |
| 21  | AL             | 2016       | 10          | 2            |
| 22  | AL             | 2017       | 1           | 2            |
| 23  | AL             | 2017       | 2           | 12           |
| 24  | AL             | 2017       | 3           | 10           |

2. The customers distributed across all the states

### Ans:

### Query:

```
SELECT customer_state,count(DISTINCT customer_id) as `customer_count`  
FROM target.customers  
GROUP BY customer_state  
ORDER BY customer_count desc;
```

### Snapshot:

| Row | customer_state | customer_count |
|-----|----------------|----------------|
| 1   | SP             | 41746          |
| 2   | RJ             | 12852          |
| 3   | MG             | 11635          |
| 4   | RS             | 5466           |
| 5   | PR             | 5045           |
| 6   | SC             | 3637           |
| 7   | BA             | 3380           |
| 8   | DF             | 2140           |
| 9   | ES             | 2033           |
| 10  | GO             | 2020           |
| 11  | PE             | 1652           |
| 12  | CE             | 1336           |

| Row | customer_state | customer_count |
|-----|----------------|----------------|
| 16  | MS             | 715            |
| 17  | PB             | 536            |
| 18  | PI             | 495            |
| 19  | RN             | 485            |
| 20  | AL             | 413            |
| 21  | SE             | 350            |
| 22  | TO             | 280            |
| 23  | RO             | 253            |
| 24  | AM             | 148            |
| 25  | AC             | 81             |
| 26  | AP             | 68             |
| 27  | RR             | 46             |

### Insights:

The SP state has the huge number of customers where the business has large presence and strong customer base. Where in other states business falls down because of low count of customers.

### Recommendations:

- 1) Develop targeted marketing campaigns tailored to the preferences and characteristics of customers in each state.
- 2) Explore opportunities for expansion into states with lower customer counts but significant market potential.

### **Q4) Impact on Economy**

- 1) The % increase in the cost of orders from year 2017 to 2018 (JAN to Aug)

#### Ans:

#### Query:

```
WITH Yearly_Payment AS(
SELECT EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS `order_year`,
      SUM(p.payment_value) AS `total_payment`
FROM target.payments p inner join target.orders o ON p.order_id = o.order_id
WHERE EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) IN(2017,2018) AND
      EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 1 AND 8
GROUP BY order_year)

SELECT round(((payment_2018.total_payment - payment_2017.total_payment) / payment_2017.total_payment) * 100)
      as `percentage_increase`
FROM Yearly_Payment payment_2018 inner join Yearly_Payment payment_2017
      ON payment_2018.order_year = 2018 AND payment_2017.order_year = 2017 ;
```

#### Snapshot:

#### CTE Yearly Payment:

| Row | order_year | total_payment      |
|-----|------------|--------------------|
| 1   | 2018       | 8694733.8399998639 |
| 2   | 2017       | 3669022.1199999228 |

#### Percentage Increase :

| Row | percentage_increase |
|-----|---------------------|
| 1   | 137.0               |



### Insights:

The percentage of the cost of orders from 2017 to 2018 with the months included from January to August has increased by 136.97 %(almost 137).

### Recommendations:

Use historical data to predict future order costs and plan budgets, inventory levels, and resource allocation accordingly.

- 2) Total & Average value of order price for each state.

### Ans:

### Query:

```
SELECT c.customer_state,SUM(oi.price) AS total_order_price,AVG(oi.price) as avg_order_price
FROM target.order_items oi INNER JOIN target.orders o ON oi.order_id = o.order_id
INNER JOIN target.customers c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
GROUP BY c.customer_state
order by total_order_price desc;
```

### Snapshot:

| Row | customer_state | total_order_price | avg_order_price   |
|-----|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1   | SP             | 5202955.050002... | 109.6536291597... |
| 2   | RJ             | 1824092.669999... | 125.1178180945... |
| 3   | MG             | 1585308.029999... | 120.7485741488... |
| 4   | RS             | 750304.0200000... | 120.3374530874... |
| 5   | PR             | 683083.7600000... | 119.0041393728... |
| 6   | SC             | 520553.3400000... | 124.6535775862... |
| 7   | BA             | 511349.9900000... | 134.6012082126... |
| 8   | DF             | 302603.9399999... | 125.7705486284... |
| 9   | GO             | 294591.9499999... | 126.2717316759... |
| 10  | ES             | 275037.3099999... | 121.9137012411... |
| 11  | PE             | 262788.0299999... | 145.5083222591... |
| 12  | CE             | 227254.7099999... | 153.7582611637... |

| Row | customer_state | total_order_price  | avg_order_price   |
|-----|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 16  | MS             | 116812.63999999... | 142.6283760683... |
| 17  | PB             | 115268.07999999... | 191.4752159468... |
| 18  | PI             | 86914.07999999...  | 160.3580811808... |
| 19  | RN             | 83034.97999999...  | 156.9659357277... |
| 20  | AL             | 80314.80999999...  | 180.8892117117... |
| 21  | SE             | 58920.85000000...  | 153.0411688311... |
| 22  | TO             | 49621.74000000...  | 157.5293333333... |
| 23  | RO             | 46140.64000000...  | 165.9735251798... |
| 24  | AM             | 22356.84000000...  | 135.4959999999... |
| 25  | AC             | 15982.94999999...  | 173.7277173913... |
| 26  | AP             | 13474.29999999...  | 164.3207317073... |
| 27  | RR             | 7829.42999999...   | 150.5659615384... |

### Insights:

A revenue of 52,02,955 price value has been generated by the customers of the state SP which is huge and followed by the state RJ nearly 18,24000. The customers from the state RR recorded a very low revenue through orders which is of approx 8000.

### Recommendations:

- Adjust pricing strategies based on regional demand, competition, and customer purchasing power to maximize revenue and profitability.
- Tailor marketing campaigns and promotions to specific states based on their order price metrics.
- Focus marketing efforts on states with lower average order prices to stimulate sales and encourage larger purchases, while offering incentives to high-value states to maintain customer loyalty.

3) Total & Average value of order freight for each state.

Ans:

Query:

```

SELECT c.customer_state,
       SUM(oi.freight_value) AS total_order_freight_value,
       AVG(oi.freight_value) as avg_order_freight_value
FROM target.order_items oi INNER JOIN target.orders o ON oi.order_id = o.order_id
       inner join target.customers c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
GROUP BY c.customer_state
order by total_order_freight_value desc;

```

### Snapshot:

| Row | customer_state ▼ | total_order_freight_value ▼ | avg_order_freight_value ▼ |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1   | SP               | 718723.06999999833          | 15.147275390419248        |
| 2   | RJ               | 305589.31000000035          | 20.96092393168248         |
| 3   | MG               | 270853.46000000357          | 20.630166806306541        |
| 4   | RS               | 135522.74000000212          | 21.735804330392945        |
| 5   | PR               | 117851.68000000139          | 20.531651567944248        |
| 6   | BA               | 100156.67999999883          | 26.363958936562248        |
| 7   | SC               | 89660.26000000431           | 21.470368773946436        |
| 8   | PE               | 59449.65999999999           | 32.917862679955796        |
| 9   | GO               | 53114.979999999865          | 22.766815259322794        |
| 10  | DF               | 50625.499999999811          | 21.041354945968383        |
| 11  | ES               | 49764.599999999889          | 22.058776595744682        |
| 12  | CE               | 48351.589999999924          | 32.714201623815995        |

| Row | customer_state ▼ | total_order_freight_value ▼ | avg_order_freight_value ▼ |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 16  | PB               | 25719.730000000029          | 42.723803986710941        |
| 17  | PI               | 21218.200000000033          | 39.147970479704767        |
| 18  | MS               | 19144.030000000006          | 23.374884004884006        |
| 19  | RN               | 18860.100000000013          | 35.652362948960295        |
| 20  | AL               | 15914.589999999991          | 35.843671171171152        |
| 21  | SE               | 14111.469999999983          | 36.653168831168855        |
| 22  | TO               | 11732.680000000013          | 37.246603174603187        |
| 23  | RO               | 11417.379999999996          | 41.069712230215842        |
| 24  | AM               | 5478.889999999967           | 33.205393939393936        |
| 25  | AC               | 3686.749999999991           | 40.073369565217405        |
| 26  | AP               | 2788.500000000009           | 34.006097560975618        |
| 27  | RR               | 2235.19                     | 42.984423076923093        |

### Insights:

- The price rate at which a product is delivered from one point to another that is freight value is high in the state SP ie., 718723.
- This might be because of regional variances in shipping distances, logistics infrastructure, or carrier availability.

### Recommendations:

- Tailor shipping strategies to minimize freight costs while meeting customer expectations.
- Strategically locate warehouses and distribution centers to minimize shipping distances and reduce freight costs for orders shipped to different states.

## **Q5) Analysis based on sales, freight and delivery time**

1. The no. of days taken to deliver each order from the order's purchase date . Also, calculate the difference (in days) between the estimated & actual delivery date of an order.

### Ans:

### Query:

```
SELECT
    order_id,
    DATE_DIFF(order_delivered_customer_date, order_purchase_timestamp, DAY) AS delivery_time,
    DATE_DIFF(order_delivered_customer_date, order_estimated_delivery_date, DAY) AS diff_estimated_delivery
FROM
    target.orders
WHERE order_delivered_customer_date IS NOT NULL
    AND order_estimated_delivery_date IS NOT NULL
ORDER BY delivery_time desc;
#the analysis focuses on completed orders with delivery information available.
```

### Snapshot:

| Row | order_id                      | delivery_time | diff_estimated_delivery |
|-----|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| 1   | ca07593549f1816d26a572e06...  | 209           | 181                     |
| 2   | 1b3190b2dfa9d789e1f14c05b...  | 208           | 188                     |
| 3   | 440d0d17af552815d15a9e41a...  | 195           | 165                     |
| 4   | 0f4519c5f1c541ddec9f21b3bd... | 194           | 161                     |
| 5   | 285ab9426d6982034523a855f...  | 194           | 166                     |
| 6   | 2fb597c2f772eca01b1f5c561b... | 194           | 155                     |
| 7   | 47b40429ed8cce3aee9199792...  | 191           | 175                     |
| 8   | 2fe324febf907e3ea3f2aa9650... | 189           | 167                     |

### Insights:

- Rows with null values in the calculated date difference indicate incomplete delivery information for those orders.
- This could be due to various reasons such as ongoing orders, missing delivery data, or orders that haven't been fulfilled yet.
- It could signify delays in order fulfillment or discrepancies between estimated and actual delivery dates.

### Recommendations:

- Implement robust tracking mechanisms to monitor the progress of orders from purchase to delivery.
- Utilize tracking technologies, status updates, and notifications to keep customers informed about the status of their orders and reduce uncertainty.
- Provide regular updates on order progress, potential delays, and revised delivery estimates to manage customer expectations effectively.

2. The top 5 states with the highest & lowest average freight value.

### Ans:

### Query:

```
WITH StateFreight AS(
SELECT c.customer_state,AVG(oi.freight_value) AS avg_freight_value
FROM target.order_items oi INNER JOIN target.orders o ON oi.order_id = o.order_id
| inner join target.customers c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
GROUP BY c.customer_state)

SELECT customer_state,avg_freight_value
FROM
(
    SELECT customer_state,avg_freight_value,
    ROW_NUMBER() OVER (ORDER BY avg_freight_value DESC) AS rn_high,
    ROW_NUMBER() OVER (ORDER BY avg_freight_value ASC) AS rn_low
    FROM StateFreight
)
WHERE rn_high <= 5 or rn_low <= 5
ORDER BY avg_freight_value;
```

### Snapshot:

| Row | customer_state | avg_freight_value  |
|-----|----------------|--------------------|
| 1   | SP             | 15.147275390419132 |
| 2   | PR             | 20.531651567944269 |
| 3   | MG             | 20.630166806306651 |
| 4   | RJ             | 20.960923931682483 |
| 5   | DF             | 21.041354945968422 |
| 6   | PI             | 39.147970479704838 |
| 7   | AC             | 40.073369565217362 |
| 8   | RO             | 41.069712230215814 |
| 9   | PB             | 42.723803986710969 |
| 10  | RR             | 42.984423076923072 |

### Insights:

States with lower average freight values may indicate more efficient logistics operations, optimized shipping routes, or closer proximity to distribution centers. Conversely, higher average freight values may suggest logistical challenges or inefficiencies that contribute to increased shipping costs.

### Recommendations:

- Tailor shipping strategies to address regional variations in freight costs.
- Explore options such as zone-based pricing, carrier negotiations, and fulfillment center placement to minimize shipping expenses and improve cost-effectiveness.
- Evaluate the placement of warehouses and distribution centers to reduce shipping distances and lower freight costs.

3. The top 5 states with the highest & lowest average delivery time.

**Ans:**

**Query:**

```
WITH StateDeliveryTime AS (
    SELECT c.customer_state,
           AVG(DATE_DIFF(o.order_delivered_customer_date, o.order_purchase_timestamp, DAY))
           AS avg_delivery_time
    FROM target.order_items oi INNER JOIN target.orders o ON oi.order_id = o.order_id inner join
         target.customers c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id
    GROUP BY c.customer_state
)
SELECT customer_state, round(avg_delivery_time) as avg_delivery_time
FROM
    (
        SELECT customer_state, avg_delivery_time,
               ROW_NUMBER() OVER (ORDER BY avg_delivery_time ASC) AS rn_lowest,
               ROW_NUMBER() OVER (ORDER BY avg_delivery_time DESC) AS rn_highest
        FROM StateDeliveryTime
    )
WHERE rn_lowest <= 5 OR rn_highest <= 5
ORDER BY avg_delivery_time ASC;
```

**Snapshot:**

| Row | customer_state | avg_delivery_time |
|-----|----------------|-------------------|
| 1   | SP             | 8.0               |
| 2   | PR             | 11.0              |
| 3   | MG             | 12.0              |
| 4   | DF             | 13.0              |
| 5   | SC             | 15.0              |
| 6   | PA             | 23.0              |
| 7   | AL             | 24.0              |
| 8   | AM             | 26.0              |
| 9   | RR             | 28.0              |
| 10  | AP             | 28.0              |

### Insights:

- States with the lowest average delivery times, which indicate regions where orders are delivered more quickly.
- This suggests efficient logistics operations, optimized shipping routes, and effective coordination with carriers.
- States with the highest average delivery times, which may indicate logistical challenges or inefficiencies impacting delivery performance.
- States with shorter delivery times are likely to provide better customer experiences, leading to higher levels of customer loyalty and positive brand perception.

### Recommendations:

- Invest in route optimization technologies, real-time tracking systems, and local delivery hubs to expedite order fulfillment and improve delivery speed.
- Collaborate closely with reliable carriers and logistics providers to improve delivery performance and reliability.

4. Find out the top 5 states where the order delivery is really fast as compared to the estimated date of delivery.

### Ans:

### Query:

```
WITH StateDeliverySpeed AS (  
    SELECT  
        c.customer_state,  
        AVG(DATE_DIFF(o.order_delivered_customer_date, o.order_estimated_delivery_date, DAY)) AS avg_delivery_speed  
    FROM target.order_items oi INNER JOIN target.orders o ON oi.order_id = o.order_id inner join  
        target.customers c on o.customer_id = c.customer_id  
    WHERE o.order_status = 'delivered'  
    GROUP BY c.customer_state  
)  
SELECT  
    customer_state,  
    round(avg_delivery_speed) as avg_delivery_speed  
FROM  
    (  
        SELECT customer_state, avg_delivery_speed,  
            ROW_NUMBER() OVER (ORDER BY avg_delivery_speed ASC) AS rn  
        FROM StateDeliverySpeed  
    )  
WHERE rn <= 5  
ORDER BY avg_delivery_speed;
```



### **Snapshot:**

| Row | customer_state | avg_delivery_speed |
|-----|----------------|--------------------|
| 1   | AC             | -20.0              |
| 2   | RO             | -19.0              |
| 3   | AM             | -19.0              |
| 4   | AP             | -17.0              |
| 5   | RR             | -17.0              |

### **Insights:**

- Orders are consistently delivered ahead of schedule.
- A negative sign in the avg\_delivery\_speed indicates that, on average, orders in that state were delivered before the estimated delivery date.
- Customers in states with negative delivery speed values are likely to perceive the brand positively and may exhibit higher levels of loyalty and repeat purchases.

### **Recommendations:**

- Continue to prioritize order fulfillment efficiency and maintain high service standards to sustain fast delivery times.
- Regularly assess and optimize logistics processes, warehouse operations, and transportation networks to minimize delivery lead times.
- Continue to prioritize order fulfillment efficiency and maintain high service standards to sustain fast delivery times.
- Regularly assess and optimize logistics processes, warehouse operations, and transportation networks to minimize delivery lead times.

### **Q6) Analysis based on the payments:**

- 1) The month on month no. of orders placed using different payment types.

### **Ans:**

### Query:

```
SELECT
    EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS order_year,
    EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS order_month,
    p.payment_type,
    COUNT(*) AS num_orders
FROM target.orders o INNER JOIN
    target.payments p ON o.order_id = p.order_id
GROUP BY order_year, order_month, payment_type
ORDER BY order_year, order_month, num_orders DESC;
```

### Snapshot:

| Row | order_year | order_month | payment_type | num_orders |
|-----|------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| 1   | 2016       | 9           | credit_card  | 3          |
| 2   | 2016       | 10          | credit_card  | 254        |
| 3   | 2016       | 10          | UPI          | 63         |
| 4   | 2016       | 10          | voucher      | 23         |
| 5   | 2016       | 10          | debit_card   | 2          |
| 6   | 2016       | 12          | credit_card  | 1          |
| 7   | 2017       | 1           | credit_card  | 583        |
| 8   | 2017       | 1           | UPI          | 197        |
| 9   | 2017       | 1           | voucher      | 61         |
| 10  | 2017       | 1           | debit_card   | 9          |
| 11  | 2017       | 2           | credit_card  | 1356       |
| 12  | 2017       | 2           | UPI          | 398        |

| Row | order_year | order_month | payment_type | num_orders |
|-----|------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| 79  | 2018       | 7           | credit_card  | 4755       |
| 80  | 2018       | 7           | UPI          | 1229       |
| 81  | 2018       | 7           | voucher      | 281        |
| 82  | 2018       | 7           | debit_card   | 242        |
| 83  | 2018       | 8           | credit_card  | 4985       |
| 84  | 2018       | 8           | UPI          | 1139       |
| 85  | 2018       | 8           | voucher      | 295        |
| 86  | 2018       | 8           | debit_card   | 277        |
| 87  | 2018       | 8           | not_defined  | 2          |
| 88  | 2018       | 9           | voucher      | 15         |
| 89  | 2018       | 9           | not_defined  | 1          |
| 90  | 2018       | 10          | voucher      | 4          |

### Insights:

- Over the years the customers tends to use different mode of payments like using credit card,debit card,voucher,UPI transactions etc.
- The credit card usage recorded more number of orders over the years from 2016 to 2018.

### Recommendations:

- Prioritize payment security and fraud prevention measures to safeguard customer transactions and build trust.
  - Tailor promotional campaigns and marketing initiatives to capitalize on trends in payment method usage.
  - Offer exclusive discounts, incentives, or rewards for customers using specific payment methods to encourage adoption and drive sales.
- 2) The no. of orders placed on the basis of the payment installments that have been paid.

### Ans:

### Query:

```
SELECT p.payment_installments,
       COUNT(o.order_id) AS num_orders
FROM target.payments p INNER JOIN
     target.orders o ON p.order_id = o.order_id
GROUP BY p.payment_installments
ORDER BY p.payment_installments;
```

### Snapshot:

| Row | payment_installments | num_orders |
|-----|----------------------|------------|
| 1   | 0                    | 2          |
| 2   | 1                    | 52546      |
| 3   | 2                    | 12413      |
| 4   | 3                    | 10461      |
| 5   | 4                    | 7098       |
| 6   | 5                    | 5239       |
| 7   | 6                    | 3920       |
| 8   | 7                    | 1626       |
| 9   | 8                    | 4268       |
| 10  | 9                    | 644        |
| 11  | 10                   | 5328       |
| 12  | 11                   | 23         |

| Row | payment_installments | num_orders |
|-----|----------------------|------------|
| 13  | 12                   | 133        |
| 14  | 13                   | 16         |
| 15  | 14                   | 15         |
| 16  | 15                   | 74         |
| 17  | 16                   | 5          |
| 18  | 17                   | 8          |
| 19  | 18                   | 27         |
| 20  | 20                   | 17         |
| 21  | 21                   | 3          |
| 22  | 22                   | 1          |
| 23  | 23                   | 1          |
| 24  | 24                   | 18         |

### Insights:

The more number of customers chose payment installment “1” may be because of their convenience which recorded 52546 orders followed by installment “2” and then ‘3’

### Recommendations:

- Highlight installment payment options during the checkout process to increase awareness and encourage utilization.
- Provide a variety of installment plans with different terms and conditions to cater to diverse customer preferences and financial situations.