

## **Smart Contract Security Audit Report**

**Project Name : GivingThanks (Codehawks - First Flight)**

**Date : 2025.02.15**

**Prepared By : Harisuthan**

### [H-1] TITLE (Root + Impact) :

The **updateRegistry** function in the **GivingThanks** contract can be called by anyone, allowing anyone to update the registry. this one cause destroy the contract

### Description :

Allowing access to anyone to change the **registry** can lead to a loss of control over the contract. so we need to make sure **onlyowner** can update the registry.

### Impact :

Anyone can accesss and change the registry address to any address they want.serverly breaking the functionality of the protocol.

### Proof of Concepts :

this below test case shows how anyone can access the **updateRegistry** function and change the registry address to any address they want.

```
function testregistrychangewithoutownercheck() external{  
  
    vm.prank(address(1));  
  
    address dummyregistryaddress = address(0);  
  
    charityContract.updateRegistry(dummyregistryaddress);  
  
    assert(address(charityContract.registry()) == dummyregistryaddress);}
```

## Recommended mitigation :

Add a modifier to the **updateRegistry** function to ensure that only the owner can update the registry. below code shows how we can add access control so only owner can update the registry.

```
modifier onlyowner(){
```

```
    require(msg.sender == owner, "Only owner can call this function");  
    _;
```

```
}
```

```
function updateRegistry(address _registry) public onlyowner {  
    registry = _registry;  
}
```

## [H-2] TITLE (Root + Impact):

The **constructor** in the **GivingThanks** contract passes the wrong argument when setting ``registry = CharityRegistry(msg.sender);``.

This destroys the entire functionality of the contract.

### Description :

In the **GivingThanks** contract, the **constructor** mistakenly assigns ``msg.sender`` as an argument when initializing the ``CharityRegistry`` instance.

This is incorrect because ``msg.sender`` in the constructor refers to the deployer of the contract, not an actual ``CharityRegistry`` contract ``address``.

As a result, the ``registry`` variable is set to an invalid address, breaking all functionalities dependent on the ``registry``.

This prevents proper interaction with the ``CharityRegistry`` contract, making donation tracking, charity validation, or any registry-related operations non-functional

### Impact :

The contract fails to interact with the intended **CharityRegistry**.

### Proof of Concepts :

In the test case below, you can see that I print the original ``registry`` contract address and the address assigned by the line `registry = CharityRegistry(msg.sender);`.

```
vm.prank(admin);
```

```
registryContract = new CharityRegistry();
```

```
console.log("Original registry address" , address(registryContract));
```

```
vm.prank(admin);
```

```
charityContract = new GivingThanks(address(registryContract));
```

```
console.log("Charity contract assigned registry address" ,address(charityContract.registry()));
```

### Result :

Original registry address 0x3Ede3eCa2a72B3aeCC820E955B36f38437D01395

Charity contract assigned registry address 0xA10a84CE7d9AE517a52c6d5cA153b369Af99ecF

### Recommended mitigation :

Simply pass the correct ``registry address`` as an argument, instead of setting it to ``msg.sender``

```
constructor(address _registry) ERC721("DonationReceipt", "DRC") {
```

```
    registry = CharityRegistry(_registry);
```

```
    owner = msg.sender;
```

```
    tokenCounter = 0;
```

```
}
```

## [L-1] TITLE (Root + Impact) :

In the ``GivingThanks`` contract, the ``owner`` variable is not declared as ``immutable``, which increases gas fees.

### Description :

In the ``GivingThanks`` contract, the ``owner`` variable is stored in regular storage instead of being declared as immutable. This leads to higher gas costs because every access to the ``owner`` variable requires a storage read, which is more expensive than reading from bytecode.

Since the owner value does not change after deployment, marking it as immutable would optimize gas efficiency by storing it directly in the contract's bytecode, reducing the cost of retrieval.

### Impact :

Higher gas costs for every access to the ``owner`` variable, which can accumulate over time and increase the overall cost of contract interactions.

Proof of Concepts :

Impact of Using immutable on Gas Fees

```
function testgetownergascost_notimmutable() external {
```

```
    charityContract.owner();
```

```
    uint256 gasprice = gasleft();
```

```
    console.log("Gas fees left before using the immutable keyword: " , gasprice);
```

```
}
```

```
function testgetownergascost_immutable() external {
```

```
    charityContract.owner();
```

```
    uint256 gasprice = gasleft();
```

```
    console.log("Gas fees left after using the immutable keyword: " , gasprice);
```

```
}
```



By comparing the gas fees left before and after using the immutable keyword, we can observe the following:

Gas fees left before using the immutable keyword: **1073712725**

Gas fees left after using the immutable keyword: **1073714906**

Before using immutable: The gas usage was **1073712725**, indicating that the contract's operations consumed more gas.

After using immutable: The gas usage reduced to 1073714906, showing that the contract became more efficient and used less gas after declaring the owner variable as immutable.

Recommended mitigation :

Declare the ``owner`` variable as immutable to optimize gas efficiency and reduce gas costs for accessing the owner variable.

```
address public immutable owner;
```