

Theorem: (The set of feasible solutions to an LPP is a convex set)

Proof:- Let the LPP be to determine  $x$   
so that maximize  $Z = CX$ ,  $x^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$   
subject to constraints,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^n$   
 $Ax = b$ ,  $x \geq 0$

Let  $x_1$  &  $x_2$  be two feasible solutions of

$$\underbrace{Ax_1 = b} \quad ; \quad \underbrace{Ax_2 = b} \quad ; \quad x_1 \geq 0 \quad \& \quad x_2 \geq 0$$
$$\underline{x = \lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda) x_2}, \quad \underline{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1}.$$

Clearly,  $\underline{Ax} = A(\underline{\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2})$   
 $= \lambda \underline{Ax_1} + \underline{(1-\lambda)} \underline{Ax_2}$   
 $= \lambda b + (1-\lambda)b = b$

Again, since  $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$   
 $\lambda, 1-\lambda \geq 0, \underline{\therefore x \geq 0}$  ✓

Hence  $x$  is also feasible solution to the problem. Thus the set  
 $S = \{x \mid \underline{x \text{ is a feasible sol}^n \text{ to LPP}}\}$   
 is convex set.

Theorem: (FES to BFS)  
If an LPP has a FS then it also has an BFS

Proof:- Let the LPP be to determine  $x$  so as to maximize

$$Z = cx ; c, x^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Subject to the constraints

$Ax = b$ ,  $x \geq 0$  where  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  real matrix and  $b, c$  are  $m \times 1$  and  $1 \times n$  real matrices respectively.  
Let  $\rho(A) = m$  (Rank of matrix)

Since there exist a feasible solution,

$\Rightarrow$  System is consistent

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{P(A, b)} = \boxed{P(A)} \quad \& \quad \textcircled{m} < n$$

Let  $x = (\underline{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n})$  be a feasible solution so that  $x_j \geq 0$  for all  $j$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $x$  has  $p$  positive components,   
 &  $n-p$  zero.

Relabel the components such that the first  $p$  components are positive & correspondingly columns of  $A$  have been relabelled

accordingly then  $\rightarrow \underline{\boxed{a_1}x_1 + \boxed{a_2}x_2 + \dots + \boxed{a_p}x_p = b}$



$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  are 1st  $P$  columns of  $A$ .

Two cases now do arise:

Case-I The vectors  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  are LI  
(rank =  $m$ )  
Then  $P \leq m$ .

If  $P = m$  solution is non-degenerate B.F.S.  
with  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$  as basic var

If  $P < m$  then set  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  can be  
extended to  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_m\}$   
to form a basis for the columns of  $A$ .

Then, we have

$$a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_m x_m = b$$

where  $x_j = 0$  for  $j = \underline{p+1}, \underline{p+2}, \dots, \underline{m}$

Thus we have, in this case, a degenerate basic feasible solution with  $m-p$  of the basic variables zero.

Case-II The set  $\{a_1, \underline{a_2}, \dots, \underline{a_p}\}$  is LD.  
Obviously  $p > m$ .

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p\}$  be a set of constants  
(not all zero) such that

$$\underline{\alpha_1 a_1 + \alpha_2 a_2 + \dots + \alpha_p a_p = 0}$$

Suppose  $\alpha_r \neq 0$

then

$$a_r = - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq r}}^p \frac{\alpha_j a_j}{\alpha_r}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j x_j = b$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq r}}^p \alpha_j x_j + \alpha_r x_r = b$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq r}}^p (\alpha_j) x_j + (x_r) \left( - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq r}}^p \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_r} \alpha_j \right) = b$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq r}}^p \alpha_j x_j - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq r}}^p \left( \frac{\alpha_j x_r}{\alpha_r} \right) \alpha_j = b$$

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq r}}^p \left( x_j - \frac{x_r}{a_r} a_j \right) a_j = b$$

(p-1)

Start  $\rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^p x_j a_j = b$

p-variables.

$$a_j = 0 \rightarrow x_j - 0 \geq 0$$

$$a_j < 0 \rightarrow x_j - \frac{x_r}{a_r} a_j \geq 0$$

$$a_j > 0 \rightarrow x_j - \frac{x_r}{a_r} a_j \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_j \geq \frac{x_r}{a_r} a_j \Rightarrow \left( \frac{x_j}{a_j} \right) \geq \left( \frac{x_r}{a_r} \right)$$

$j=1, \dots, p$   
 $j \neq r$



$$\frac{x_r}{\alpha_r} = \min_j \left\{ \frac{x_j}{\alpha_j}, \underline{\alpha_j > 0} \right\}$$

↖ Which  $j$  is our 'r'

$p$	$+ve$	<u>Variable.</u>	$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$
$p-1$	$+ve$	$\forall \alpha$	$\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_{p-1}$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \hat{x}_1 &= x_1 - \frac{x_r}{\alpha_r} \alpha_1 \\ \hat{x}_2 &= x_2 - \frac{x_r}{\alpha_r} \alpha_2 \\ &\dots \hat{x}_p = x_p - \frac{x_r}{\alpha_r} \alpha_p \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$\underbrace{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_p}_{(p-1) \text{ columns}}$$

If this  $(p-1)$  columns  $\underline{L\hat{I}}$   
then stop

If  $(p-1)$  column not  $\underline{L\hat{I}} \rightarrow \underline{LD}$   
↓

$$\beta_1 a_1 + \beta_2 a_2 + \dots + \beta_{p-1} a_{p-1} = 0$$

Some  $\beta_j \neq 0$

$$\boxed{p-z = M}$$

Ex:- Let  $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 4$  &  $x_3 = 1$   
be a feasible solution to the system

$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 = 2 \\ \underline{x_1 + 4x_2} = 18 \end{cases}$$

Reduce this FS to a BFS.  $2 \times 3$

Solution:-

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 18 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Rank } A = 2$$

$$a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, a_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$p = 3 > \boxed{\text{rank} = m = 2}$$

$$\underline{d_1 a_1 + d_2 a_2 + d_3 a_3 = 0} \quad \text{for some } d_i \neq 0$$

$$d_3 = -1$$

$$a_3 = d_1 a_1 + d_2 a_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = d_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + d_2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 2d_1 - d_2 = 2 \\ \underline{\underline{d_1 + 4d_2 = 0}} \end{cases}$$

$$\boxed{d_1 = 8/9}$$
$$d_2 = -2/9$$



$$\frac{x_0}{\alpha_j} = \min_j \left\{ \frac{x_j}{\alpha_j}, \alpha_j > 0 \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ \frac{2}{8/9} \right\} = \left( 9/4 \right)$$

$$\left( j=1 \right) \rightarrow$$

$$\left( \alpha=1 \right)$$

$$\hat{x}_1 = x_1 - \frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} \alpha_1 = \underline{\underline{0}}$$

$$\hat{x}_2 = x_2 - \left( \frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} \right) \alpha_2 = 4 - \frac{9}{4} \left( -\frac{2}{9} \right) = \left( 9/2 \right)$$

$$\hat{x}_3 = x_3 - \left( \frac{x_1}{\alpha_1} \right) \alpha_3 = 1 - \frac{9}{4} (-1) = \left( \frac{13}{4} \right)$$

BFS.

$\boxed{\Sigma x}$

$$x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, x_3 = 1 \quad \text{is FS}$$

Of system

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 = 4 \\ 2x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 2 \end{cases}$$

Reduce FS to  $\boxed{\text{BFS}}$



How to find an Improve  $\boxed{\text{BFS}}$

M, W, Thu, Fri, SAT