### CS 170

## 1. Study Group

None

## 2. 2-SAT

- (a) Since  $G_I$  has a strongly connected component containing both x and  $\bar{x}$  for some variable x, so there is a path from x to  $\bar{x}$ , which means  $x \Rightarrow \bar{x}$ , and this just means  $\bar{x}$  is true. There is also a path from  $\bar{x}$  to x, which means x is true. This contradicts with the prior argue. So I has no satisfying assignment.
- (b) Take any sink component, and assign variables so all the literals in this component are True. Because of how we define the graph, there is a corresponding source component which has the negations of all literals in this component. Remove this source/sink component pair, and repeat the process until the graph is empty. Since we set components to true in reverse topological order, there is no implication from a true literal to a false literal. Since no literal and its negation are in the same SCC, we never try to set a variable to be both true and false. So this produces an assignment satisfying all clauses.
- (c) The graph construction can be done in O(m+n), the assignment can be done in the process of SCC construction, which also can be done in linear time.

## 3. Perfect Matching on Trees

#### Algorithm Description:

```
function tree\_perfect\_match:
can\_match = true
mark = [false] * n
function subtree\_match(u):
   if not can\_match:
     return
   subtree\_can\_match = true
   mark[u] = true
   for v in E[u]:
     if mark[v]:
       continue
     if not subtree\_match(v):
       if subtree\_can\_match:
          subtree\_can\_match = false
       else:
          can\_match = false
          break
   return not subtree\_can\_match
subtree\_match(0)
return can_match
```

#### Correctness:

From the root, we can recursively check if there is a perfect matching in a subtree. For node x's each subtree  $T_i$ , there are three cases. First, all the subtrees of  $T_i$  has a perfect matching, then x can have at most one this kind of  $T_i$ , or there is no perfect matching for the entire tree. Second, only one  $T_i$  does not have a perfect matching, and the remaining vertect is the root of  $T_i$ , so x must be paired with it. Then node x is perfect matched. All the other cases does not have a perfect matching.

## Runtime Analysis:

```
O(|V| + |E|)
```

## 4. Huffman Coding

- (a) Each character contains k bits, so S(F) = mlog(n).
- (b) If each character appears equally in the file F, the E(F)=1.
- (c) O(log(n)).

# 5. Minimum Spanning k-Forest

- (a) Similar to the Minimum Spanning Tree proof.
- (b) Use Kruskal's algorithm until there are k connected component. Then runtime is  $O(|E|\log|V|)$ .