

CS 170

1. Study Group

None

2. Flow vs LP

- (a) Create a directed weighted Graph G as follows:

Use s_i to represent the i -th supplier, use b_j to represent the j -th buyer. From hyper source s derive n edges to each s_i with weight $s[i]$, and from each b_j derive an edge to hyper sink t with weight $b[j]$. For each pair $(i, j) \in L$, create an edge s_i to b_j with infinity weight. Then the maximum flow of the Graph G is the answer.

- (b) Create a linear programming problem as follows:

Define x_{ij} as the number of products the supplier i supplies to buyer j , $(i, j) \in L$.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} \quad (i,j) \in L \\ & 0 \leq \sum_i x_{ij} \leq s[i] \\ & 0 \leq \sum_j x_{ij} \leq b[j] \end{aligned}$$

- (c) [The max-flow algorithm will be better!](#)

3. Feasible Routing

- (a) No. Since $f^{in}(v) - f^{out}(v) = d_v$, we can use this characteristic for each vertex and add them together. The conservation of flow tells us the LHS must be 0, which proves our statements.
- (b) Create a source node s and a sink node t . Create edges from s to each supply node v with weight $-d_v$, and create edges from each demand node v to sink node t with weight d_v . Run maxflow algorithm on the new Graph, and there is a *feasible routing* on the original Graph if and only if the maxflow of the new Graph equals $\sum_{u \in T} d_u$.

4. Applications of Max-Flow Min-Cut

The proof is too obvious to write.

5. Restoring the Balance!

Run augmenting-path from u to v on the residual graph, and if there exists an augmenting-path between u and v , then the max-flow will not change. Otherwise the max-flow will minus one.

6. Zero-Sum Games

(a) Alice:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize } p \\ & 4x_1 + 2x_2 \leq p \\ & x_1 + 5x_2 \leq p \\ & x_1 + x_2 = 1 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Bob:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } p \\ & 4y_1 + y_2 \geq p \\ & 2y_2 + 5y_1 \geq p \\ & y_1 + y_2 = 1 \\ & y_1, y_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$