

Family Violence, Trauma and Social Learning Theory

Amir Abbassi

S. Dean Aslinia

Texas A&M University-Commerce

Family violence is a historical social problem that continues to exist among modern societies. The authors of this paper identify how children learn violent behaviors and continue to teach these behaviors to their own offspring. This paper also discusses practical techniques on how to battle this serious problem among couples and contemporary families.

Family violence is a relatively new term but not necessarily a new phenomenon in human societies. Various scientific disciplines previously traced family violence back to primitive civilization (Bakan 1971; Gelles, 1985; Korbin, 1981; Radbill, 1980; Shorter, 1975; Taylor & Newberger, 1979). Violence against intimate partners and family members has existed in a more systematic way in our culture since the modern state or statehood when civilization was formed. However, in more recent times, society has recognized violence as a social problem (Gelles, 1985).

According to Barnett, Miller-Perrin and Perrin (2005), violence is defined as “an act carried out with the intention, or perceived intention of physically hurting another person” (p.15). This definition, however, does not entirely satisfy those who have

Authors' Note:

Amir Abbassi, Ph.D., LPC, LMFT is an Associate Professor in the Department of Counseling at Texas A&M University-Commerce,

S. Dean Aslinia, M.S., M.A., LPC-I, NCC is a doctoral students in the Department of Counseling at Texas A&M University-Commerce

Correspondence regarding this article should be directed to Amir Abbassi at Texas A&M University-Commerce, Department of Counseling, P.O. Box 3011, Commerce, TX 75429 or Amir_Abbassi@tamu-commerce.edu

studied violence. Potter (1999) noted that the issue of a comprehensive definition of violence is still unresolved. This is due to varied viewpoints that differ in whether or not the operational definition should include verbal, emotional, and physical violence. Most definitions of violence do not include the verbal and emotional abuse as an act of purposeful negligence. This negligence can also be carried out against children or elderly and can be considered as a passive violence against these individuals. Potter (1999) suggested that a more comprehensive definition of violence, which would include verbal and emotional violence, is important because it gives researchers a clearer picture of violence and how individuals can become more effective in accurately assessing the risks posed by it. On the other hand, most definitions of violence are considered overly broad, since some forms of physical aggression (i.e., corporal punishment) are not generally considered acts of violence in some societies (Ripoll-Nunez & Rohner, 2006). Hence, family violence should be more narrowly defined in order to include families with existing violence and prevent the exclusion of purposeful negligent behaviors.

Levesque (2001) defined violence among family members as an “act of omission or commission resulting in

physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, neglect or other form of maltreatment that hamper individuals’ healthy development” (p.17). This definition would have been a good working definition if it included the word “purposeful.” An act of violence is not a random behavior among human beings (Gelles, 1980). Alfred Adler’s theory of *Individual Psychology* explains that all behaviors have meanings and are purposeful (Adler, 1956) Moreover, common denominators to all violent acts, active as well as passive, can be considered anger or revenge. As a result, a more suitable definition for family violence among human beings may be the following: a purposeful act of omission or commission that is anger-driven or revenge-driven. This act results in physical, sexual, and/or emotional abuse, negligence or other forms of maltreatment that interfere with the psychological, emotional, or physical development of healthy individuals.

Consistent with problems related to defining family violence, the term trauma should be defined. Trauma is an emotional wound that has long-lasting effects (Gelles, 1980). The term, trauma, was originally defined by the American Psychiatric Association in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental

Disorders (*DSM-III-R*) as an event occurring outside the continuum of usual human experience (APA, 1987). This definition was subsequently expanded in the *DSM-IV-TR*.

The *DSM IV-TR* no longer requires a person to be a direct subject of an act of violence to be considered traumatized. Experiencing, witnessing, being confronted, or informed with an act of violence against others can result in the development of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in an individual (APA, 2000). According to *DSM-IV-TR*, results of trauma or traumatic events include inappropriate sexual experiences without threat, actual violence or injury. Traumatic events, according to the *DSM-IV-TR*, include the unnatural death of another person caused by violent assault, accident, or, unexpectedly seeing a dead body and/or body parts. Additionally, *DSM-IV-TR* criteria indicate a person may become traumatized in response to intense fear, helplessness or horror. Such events experienced by a person other than the one traumatized include, but are not limited to, violent personal assault, serious injury experienced by a family member, or the sudden or unexpected death of a family member. The long lasting effects of trauma are known as post traumatic stress

disorders (PTSD) by most mental health professionals. In clinical practice and experience, mental health professionals have seen signs and symptoms of PTSD reported by children who have only heard about an act of violence toward either their parents or siblings. Van der Kolk called the human reaction to trauma a "physioneurosis" (1987).

There are two different types of family trauma: existential and pathological trauma (Gorman, 2001). However, the *DSM-IV-TR*'s definition of trauma does not make a distinction between the two. For instance, the loss of a loved one or the death of a family's pet due to natural causes can have traumatic effects on individuals who witness the event. However, the effect is not as long lasting or as damaging because people are resilient and adjust to existential situations rather quickly. This type of trauma is called existential trauma, and people who experience it, for the most part, accept it as a passage of life. This is different than pathological trauma. In this case, a person may see a loved one get killed in an unexpected accident. This traumatic event may have longer lasting effects. This paper will mostly concentrate on the pathological trauma and its implications within the family.

Family Environment and Vicarious Learning

Family violence is classified in three general categories: physical violence (including child and spousal beating), emotional violence (including verbal and non-verbal and/or negligence), and incest (including all types of sexual abuse) (Foshee, Bauman, & Linder, 1999; Gelles, 1987; O'Leary, 1993). Social thinkers have tried to explain family violence that results in trauma in unique ways (Bandura, 1978; Evans, 1989). Sociologists and feminist social theorists, in particular, blame class, race and social inequalities as possible sources for family violence and believe that until reforms and adjustments are made in social institutions, these issues will continue to exist (Benson, Fox, DeMaris, & Van Wyk, 2003; Bybee & Sullivan, 2002; Staggs, Long, Mason, Krishnan, & Riger, 2007). An example of this is found in the general public belief that poor or low-status individuals are more violent in their homes as compared to rich and high-status individuals.

Bowen (1978) demonstrated that the linear casualty model ($A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$) is no longer the case in family dysfunction (Bowen, 1978). This model was replaced by the family system's more circular model

where A may affect B, which may impinge on C, which may initially have influenced A. Moreover, researchers have discovered that functional as well as dysfunctional behaviors are passed from one generation to the next within a family system (Bowen, 1978). Each family is affected by at least seven generations of behaviors, and cultural family heritage continues to grow from one generation to the next.

Social learning theory is based on several assumptions (Bandura, 1978; Evans 1989), which include vicariously learning aggression through observing (Bandura, 1977). The Social Cognitive theory was formulated on the basis of the social learning theory, which concerned itself with the limitations of social behavior and observational learning (Eyal & Rubin, 2003). In 1978, Bandura stated that "a theory of human aggression should explain (a) how aggressive patterns are formed, (b) what provokes people to behave aggressively and (c) what sustains aggressive behavior" (p.19). Dorr & Kovaric (1980) suggested that familial and environmental background and observational learning conditions interact highly with the tendencies to influence a probable aggressive response. Both of these theories illustrate that people discover behaviors through vicarious learning; meaning one

does not need to get engaged in an actual behavior directly to learn or model it. Thus, simply witnessing a behavior is sufficient to learn and later behave in the same manner. This conclusion helps explain the reason that the majority of researchers believe that family violence is repeated from one generation to the next (Bowen, 1978).

Different types of family violence may be the result of individual mental disorders. Most offenders have been victims at one point in their lives and as a result, they continue the cycle of violence (Downs, Miller, Testa, & Panek, 1992; Dutton & Hart, 1992; Fagan, Stewart, & Hansen, 1983; Marshall & Rose, 1990; Murphy, Meyer, & O'Leary, 1993; Simons, Wu, Johnson, & Conger, 1995; Straus, Gelles, & Steinmetz, 1980). Regardless of how one explains the origin of family violence, the solution may lie in breaking the cycle of violence as the first step (Abbassi, 2008). Two of the most widely cited statistics by Carlson (1984) and Straus (1992) estimated that at least "3.3 million children yearly are at risk of exposure to parental violence" (p. 160). Straus (1992) estimated that "at least a third of American children have witnessed violence between their parents, and most have endured repeated instances" of

violence (p. 98). Many studies (Edleson & Beeman, 1999; Fantuzzo & Lindquist, 1989; Fantuzzo & Mohr, 1999; Holtzworth-Munroe, Smutzler, & Sandin, 1997; Jaffe & Sudermann, 1995; Kashani, Daniel, Dandoy, & Holcomb, 1992; Margolin, 1998; Rossman, 2001) indicated that most children who have developed PTSD have been exposed to domestic violence and abuse.

Among the different types of trauma, sexual trauma is the most predictive of risky behavior and seems to be more devastating, particularly for children and women (Batten, Follette, & Aban, 2001; Brener, McMahon, Warren, & Douglas, 1999). As sexual trauma may not only hurt physically, sexual trauma can also create feelings of guilt, shame, betrayal, trust and self-blame in abused children. One of the common issues that sexually abused children deal with is feeling as though they have caused the incident or deserved it in some way (Batten, Follette, & Aban, 2001). Batten, Follette and Aban (2001) looked at the relationship between risky sexual behaviors and childhood abuse. Individuals with sexual assault or abuse histories are likely to engage in risky sexual behaviors and other types of self-destructive behaviors as they grow older.

Implications for counseling

Trauma within the family may further discourage a teenager from seeking the advice of parents about risk-taking. Minuchin (1974) argued that children in the adolescent stage of life need structure. Without proper structure teens can get lost in the complexities of life. Furthermore, more often than not, abusive dysfunctional families may not provide the structure needed to support adolescents from participating in risky behavior, or may not provide appropriate supervision to reduce this behavior. Parents in abusive families were reported to be more verbally abusive and not likely to exhibit behavior that is considered emotionally healthy (Eisenberg, Cumberland, & Spinard, 1998). Researchers (Eisenberg, Cumberland, & Spinard, 1998; Grych & Fincham, 1990) suggested that a teen that is not connected to his or her parents would also be more likely to seek out mates for intimacy beyond what might be desired by an individual who received love and affection in his or her own family.

Even though the family may not be the only socialization agent of a child's life, the family may be the most salient factor in respect to the development of the child's emotional understanding (Eisenberg, Cumberland, & Spinard, 1998; Grych &

Fincham, 1990). Many times, family experiences and interactions teach children about social interactions, including what others may be thinking or feeling and how those feelings and thoughts are managed and expressed (Cassidy, Parke, Butkovsky, & Braungart, 1992; Davies & Cummings, 1994; Dunn, 1995; Dunn & Munn, 1985; Garner, Jones, & Miner, 1994; Nixon & Watson 2001; Saarni, 1990). Bjorkqvist (1997) suggested that an adolescent's identification with the roles of his or her parents as role models created imitations in his or her behavior. Counselors may wish to focus on how children view their roles and what is modeled in the family system.

Children witnessing domestic violence exhibit more externalized behaviors such as aggression, antisocial behaviors, and oppositional defiance (Fantuzzo, DePaola, Lambert, Martino, Anderson, & Sutton, 1991; Hughes, 1988; Hughes, Parkinson & Vargo, 1989). In addition, they may experience or exhibit symptoms associated with internalizing behaviors such as phobia, separation anxiety, being reserved, lower self-esteem, lower self-respect, and lower social competence as compared to other children (Adamson & Thompson, 1998; Fantuzzo et al., 1991). Children witnessing violence may also suffer more

from anxiety, depression, PTSD symptoms and develop temperamental problems (Hughes, 1988; Maker, Kemmelmeier & Peterson, 1998; Sternberg, Lamb, Greenbaum, Cicchetti, Dawud, Cortes, Krispin, & Lorey, 1993). Children become maladjusted when caregivers are consistently unreliable, absent, and/or unresponsive (Dutton, 2000). Thus, these maladjustments also delay or destroy the child's ability to develop a trusting and secure attachment to the primary caregiver. These maladjustments, if externalized, produce attachment disorders which can result in anger toward others, and, if internalized, produce depression and grief (Dutton, 2000).

Adaptive as well as maladaptive behaviors are learned in the same manner. Bandura (1977) suggested that there is an interaction of personal, behavioral and environmental variables which lend in the development and acquiring of aggressive tendencies (Eyal & Rubin, 2003). For example, childhood trauma may stem from the experience of parental shaming, poor attachment, and direct physical abuse from the primary core of abusive personality. Individuals with an abusive personality may struggle to appropriately transform their aggression and as adults often abuse their partners (Alpert, Brown,

& Courtois, 1998; van der Kolk, 1987; van der Kolk & Fislir, 1994). Other factors thought to be crucial for learning positive or negative trades include symbolizing, self-efficacy, self regulation, self-reflection, and forethought (Bandura, 1978; Evans, 1989). Emphasizing healthy adaptive behaviors and discouraging maladaptive behaviors is key to working with children of abuse and preventing the cycle of violence from continuing.

Counselors should also be proactive in dismissing existing myths and stereotypes that the issues of trauma and violence are always initiated by males. Studies have shown that women initiate acts of intimate violence as often as men (Straus, 2005). However, both male and female partner violence is overwhelmingly utilized as a method of coercive control (Fiebert & Gonzales, 1997; Medeiros & Straus, 2006).

Conclusion

There is a distinct relationship between family violence, trauma and how children may easily imitate behaviors they learn by either hearing or witnessing them at home. Once children are traumatized through violence in the family, they may become more likely to induce unnecessarily difficulties in their own lives and later

traumatize their own offspring, as the vicious cycle of violence continues.

Working with couples that have an insight into how violence can become a part of their family tradition helps to reduce the frequency or perhaps stop the violent and traumatic behavior altogether. Overall, understanding the concept of vicarious learning has been a very effective technique in helping families. One of the effective techniques that the authors of this paper use in couples therapy is to ask the victim, as well as the perpetrator, whether they are aware of the fact that they are modeling this behavior for their own children. Often partners are surprised when they learn that even if by chance their offspring marries someone who is not violent, they may not know how to handle calm and non-chaotic marriage life, thus potentially leading to a failed marriage. Once this insight is developed and comprehended by the couple, further steps can be taken to ensure that children are not exposed to such scenes which may permanently damage their future relationships indirectly and perhaps at an unconscious level.

References

- Abbassi, A. (2008). Social learning theory and family violence. *Encyclopedia of Interpersonal Violence*. Renzetti, C., M & Edleson, J.L. (Eds) Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Reference.
- Adamson, J. L. & Thompson, R. A. (1998). Coping with interparental verbal conflict by children exposed to spouse abuse and children from nonviolent homes. *Journal of Family Violence*, 13, 213-232.
- Adler, A. (1956). *The individual psychology of Alfred Adler* (H. L. Ansbacher & R. R. Ansbacher, Eds.). New York: Basic Books.
- Alpert, J. L., Brown, L. S., & Courtois, C. A. (1998). Symptomatic clients and memories of childhood abuse what the trauma and child sexual abuse literature tell us. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 4 (4), 941-995.
- American Psychiatric Association(1987), *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (3rd ed.) Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Bakan, D. (1971). *Slaughter of the innocents: A study of the battered child phenomenon*. Boston, Mass: Beacon.
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1978). Social learning theory of aggression. *Journal of Communication*. 28(3), 12-29.
- Barnett, Miller-Perrin & Perrin (2005). *Family violence across lifespan* (2nd Ed.). London, Sage publication Inc.

- Batten, S. V., Follette, V.M., & Aban, I.B. (2001). Experiential avoidance and high-risk sexual behavior in survivors of child sexual abuse. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 10, 101-120.
- Benson, M. L., Fox, G. L., DeMaris, A., & Van Wyk, J. (2003). Neighborhood disadvantage, individual economic distress and violence against women in intimate relationships. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 19, 207-235.
- Bjorkqvist, K. (1997). Learning aggression from models: From a social learning toward a cognitive theory of modeling. In S. Feshback & J. Zagrodzka (Eds.), *Aggression: Biological, developmental, and social perspectives*. NY: Plenum Press.
- Bowen, M. (1978). *Family therapy in clinical practice*. NY: Jason Aronson.
- Brener, McMahon, Warren, & Douglas (1999). Forced sexual intercourse and associated health-risk behaviors among female college students in the United States. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 67, 252-259.
- Bybee, D. I., & Sullivan, C. M. (2002). The process through which an advocacy intervention resulted in positive change for battered women over time. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 30, 103-132.
- Carlson, B. E. (1984). Children's observations of interparental violence. In A. R. Roberts (Ed.), *Battered women and their families*. NY: Springer.
- Cassidy, J., Parke, R.D., Butkovsky, L., & Braungart, J.M. (1992). Family-peer connections: The role of emotional expressiveness within the family and children's understanding of emotions. *Child Development*, 63, 603-618.
- Davies, P., & Cummings, E.M. (1994). Marital conflict and child adjustment: An emotional security hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 116, 387-411.
- Dorr, A. & Kovacic, P. (1980). Some people some of the time-but which people? Televised violence and its effects. In E.L. Plamer & A. Dorr (Eds). *Children and the faces of television: Teaching, Violence, Selling*. New York: Academic press.
- Downs, W. R., Miller, B. A., Testa, M., & Panek, D. (1992). Long-term effects of parent-to-child violence for women. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 7, 365-382.
- Dunn, J. (1995). *Connections between emotion and understanding in development*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Ltd., Publishers
- Dunn, J. & Munn, P. (1985). Becoming a family member: Family conflict and the development of social understanding in the second year. *Child Development*, 764-774.
- Dutton, D. G., & Hart, S. D. (1992). Risk markers for family violence in a federally incarcerated population. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 15, 101-112.
- Dutton, M. A. (2000). *Empowering and Healing the Battered Women: A model for assessment and intervention*. New York. Springer.
- Edleson, J. L., & Beeman, S. B. (1999). *Final Report: Responding to the co-occurrence of child maltreatment and adult domestic violence in Hennepin County, St. Paul, MN*. Retrieved August 4, 2009, from University of Minnesota: <http://www.mincava.umn.edu>

- Eisenberg, N., Cumberland, A., & Spinrad, T. L. (1998). Parental socialization of emotion. *Psychological Inquiry*, 9, 241-273.
- Evans, R. I. (1989). *Albert Bandura: The man and his ideas-a-dialogue*. NY: Praeger.
- Eyal, K. & Rubin, A. M. (2003). Viewer aggression and homophily, identification, and parasocial relationships with television characters. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 47(1), 77-95.
- Fagan, J. A., Stewart, D. K., & Hansen, K. V. (1983). Violent men or violent husbands? Background factors and situational correlates. In D. Finkelhor, R. J. Gelles, G. T. Hotaling, & M. A. Straus (Eds.), *The dark side of families: Current family violence research* (pp. 49-67). Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Fantuzzo, J. & Lindquist, C. (1989). The effects of observing conjugal violence on children. *Journal of Family Violence*, 4 (1), 77-94
- Fantuzzo, J. & Mohr, W. (1999) Prevalence and effect of child exposure to domestic violence. *The Future of Children: Domestic Violence and Children*, (3), 21-32
- Fantuzzo, J. W., DePaola, L. M., Lambert, L., Martino, T., Anderson, G., & Sutton, S. (1991). Effects of interparental violence on the psychological adjustment and competencies of young children. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*, 59(2), 258-266.
- Fiebert, M. S. & Gonzales, M. D. (1997) College women who initiate assaults on their male partners and the reasons offered for such behaviors. *Psychological Reports* 80, 583-590.
- Foshee, V. A., Bauman, K. E., & Linder, G. F. (1999). Family violence and perpetration of adolescent dating violence: Examining social learning and social control processes. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 61, 331-342.
- Garner, P. W., Jones, D. C. & Miner, J. L. (1994). Social competence among low-income preschoolers: Emotion socialization practice and social cognitive correlates. *Child Development*, 65, 622-637.
- Gelles, R. J. (1980). Violence in the family: A review of research in the seventies. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 42 (4), 873-885
- Gelles, R. J. (1985). Family Violence. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 11, 347-367.
- Gelles, R. J. (1987) Family violence. Newbury Park, CA: Sage
- Gorman, W. (2001). Refugee survivors of torture: Trauma and treatment. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 32 (5), 443-451.
- Grych, J.H., Fincham, F. D. (1990) Marital conflict and children's adjustment: A cognitive-contextual framework. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108 (2), 267-290.
- Holtzworth-Munroe, A., Smutzler, N., Saadin, E. (1997). A brief review of research on husband violence part I: Maritally violent versus nonviolent men. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 2(1), 65-99.
- Hughes, H. M. (1988). Psychological and behavioral correlates of family violence in child witness and victims. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 58, 77-90.

- Hughes, H. M., Parkinson, D. & Vargo, M. (1989). Witnessing spouse abuse and experiencing physical abuse. *Journal of Family Violence*, 4, 197-209.
- Jaffe, P. G. & Suderman, M. (1995). Child witnesses of woman abuse: Research and community responses. In S. M. Stith & M. A. Straus (Eds.), *Understanding partner violence*. Minneapolis, MN: National Council on Family Relations.
- Kashani, J. H., Daneil, A. E., Dandoy, A. C., Holcomb, W.R. (1992). Family Violence: Impact on children. *Journal of American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 31 (2), 181-189.
- Korbin, J. (1981). *Child abuse and neglect: Cross-cultural perspectives*. Berkeley, CA: University California Press. Calif. Press
- Levesque, R. J. R. (2001). *Culture and family violence*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Maker, A. H., Kemmelmeier, M. & Peterson, C. (1998). Long-term psychological consequences in women of witnessing parental physical conflict and experiencing abuse in childhood. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 13, 574-589.
- Margolin, G. (1998). There effects of domestic violence on children. In P.K. Trickett & C. Schellenbach (Eds.). *Violence against children in the family and the community*. American Psychological Association. Washington, D.C.
- Marshall, L. L., & Rose, P. (1990). Premarital violence: The impact of family of origin violence, stress, and reciprocity. *Violence and Victims*, 5, 51-64.
- Medeiros, R. A. & Straus, M. A. (2006). Gender differences in risk factors for physical violence between dating partners by university students. *Intimate Violence Intervention Study Group Meeting*. New York University.
- Minuchin, S. (1974). *Families and Family Therapy*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Murphy, C. M., Meyer, S., & O'Leary, K. D. (1993). Family of origin violence and MCMI-II psychopathology among partner assaultive men. *Violence and Victims*, 8, 165-176.
- Nixon, C. L., Watson, A. C. (2001). Family Experiences and Early Emotion Understanding. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 47(2), 300-322.
- O'Leary, K. D. (1993). Through a psychological lens: Personality traits, personality disorders, and levels of violence. In R. J. Gelles & D. R. Loseke (Eds.), *Current controversies on family violence* (pp.7-30). Newbury Parks, CA: Sage.
- Potter, W. J. (1999). *On Media Violence*. London:Sage.
- Radbill, S. (1980). A history of child abuse and infanticide. In R. Helfer & C. Kempe (Eds.), *The Battered Child* (3rd ed.) (pp. 3-20). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Ratner, H. H., & Stettner, L. J. (1991). Thinking and feeling: Putting Humpty Dumpty together again. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 37 (1), 1-26
- Ripoll-Nunez, K. J., & Rohner, R. P. (2006). Corporal punishment in cross-cultural perspective: Directions for a research agenda. *Cross-Cultural Research*, 40 (3), 220-249.

- Rossman, B.B.R. (2001). Long term effects of exposure to adult domestic violence. In Graham-Bermann, S.A. and Edleson, J.L. (Eds.) *Domestic violence in the lives of children: The future of research, intervention, and social policy* (pp. 35-66). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Saarni, C. (1990). Emotional competence: How emotions and relationships become integrated. In R. A. Thompson, (Ed.) *Socioemotional development* (115-182). Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Shorter, E. (1975). *The making of the modern family*. New York: Basic
- Simons, R., Wu, C., Johnson, C., & Conger, R. D. (1995). A test of various perspectives on the intergenerational transmission of domestic violence. *Criminology*, 33, 141-171.
- Staggs, S. L., Long, S. M., Mason, G. E., Krishnan, S., & Riger, S. (2007). Intimate partner violence, social support, and employment in the postwelfare reform era. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 22, 345-367.
- Sternberg, K. J., Lamb, M. E., Greenbaum, C., Cicchetti, D., Dawud, S., Cortes, R. M., Krispin, O. & Lorey, F. (1993) Effects of domestic violence on children's behavior problem and depression. *Developmental Psychology*. 29(11), 44-52.
- Straus, M. A., Gelles, R. J., & Steinmetz, S. K. (1980). *Behind closed doors: Violence in the American family*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
- Straus, MA. 1992. Children as witnesses to marital violence: a risk factor of lifelong problems among a nationally representative sample of American men and women. In D.F. Schwarz (Ed) *Children and Violence: Report of the Twenty-Third Ross Roundtable on Critical Approaches to Common Pediatric Problems*. (98-119). Columbus, OH: Ross Lab.
- Straus, M. A. (2005). Woman's violence toward men is a serious social problem. In D. R. Loseke, R. I. Gelles & M. Cavanaugh, *Current Controversies on Family Violence* (2nd Ed.). Sage publication Inc.
- Taylor, L., Newberger, E. H. (1979). Child abuse in the international year of the child. *New England. J. Med.* 301, 1205-1212.
- Van der Kolk, B. A. (1987). *Psychological Trauma*. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Publishing Inc.
- Van der Kolk, B. A., & Fisler, R. E. (1994). Childhood abuse and neglect and loss of self-regulation. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic*, 58, 145-168.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.