



# **IT-314 SoftWare Engineering**

## **Lab : 7**

**Program Inspection, Debugging and Static Analysis**

Name : Harmit Khimani

Student ID: 202201231

Lab Group: 3

---

## I. PROGRAM INSPECTION:

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

### A. Data Reference Errors:

#### 1. Uninitialized Variables:

- mHead and mListForFree (Line 418): Initialized to nullptr but not always reset after memory deallocation, leading to potential dangling pointers or uninitialized access.

```
T* allocate() {  
    T* tmp = mHead;  
  
    if (!tmp) {  
        tmp = performAllocation();  
    }  
  
    mHead = *reinterpret_cast_no_cast_align_warning<T**>(&tmp);  
  
    return tmp;  
}
```

#### 2. Array Bound Violations:

- shiftUp and shiftDown operations: No checks ensure that the index is within the array bounds.

```
while (--idx != insertion_idx) {
```

---

```
mKeyVals[idx] = std::move(mKeyVals[idx - 1]);  
}
```

### 3. Dangling Pointers:

- InBulkPoolAllocator:Thereset()methodfreesmemorybutdoes not reset the pointer to nullptr.

```
std::free(mListForFree);
```

## B. Data-Declaration Errors:

### 1. Potential Data Type Mismatches:

- Castinginhash\_bytes:Hashingoperationsinvolve multiple castings between data types. If the size or attributes of the data types differ, unexpected behavior can arise.

### 2. Similar Variable Names:

- Confusionbetweensimilarlynamedvariables:Variableslike mHead, mListForFree, and mKeyVals are similar in naming, which could cause confusion during modification or debugging.

## C. Computation Errors:

### 1. Integer Overflow:

- HashComputationsinhash\_bytes:Thehashfunctionperforms multiple shifts and multiplications on large integers, potentially leading to overflow if the result exceeds
-

---

## 2. Off-by-One Errors:

- Loop Indexing in shiftUp and shiftDown: The loop conditions may result in off-by-one errors, especially if the size of the data structure is mismanaged.

```
while (--idx != insertion_idx)
```

## D. Comparison Errors:

### 1. Incorrect Boolean Comparisons:

- In conditions where multiple logical operations are combined, such as in findIdx, improper handling of && and || could lead to incorrect evaluations.

```
if (info == mInfo[idx] &&  
    ROBIN_HOOD_LIKELY(WKeyEqual::operator()(key,  
mKeyVals[idx].getFirst())) {  
    return idx;  
}
```

### 2. Mixed Comparisons:

- In some cases, different types (e.g., signed and unsigned integers) are compared, which could lead to incorrect outcomes depending on the system/compiler.

## E. Control-Flow Errors:

### 1. Potential Infinite Loop:

---

- 
- **Unterminated Loops:** In loops like `shiftUp` and `shiftDown`, there is a risk of the loop not terminating correctly if the termination condition is never met.

## F. Interface Errors:

### 1. Mismatched Parameter Attributes:

- **Function Calls:** There is potential for parameter mismatch in functions like `insert_move`. The arguments passed to these functions might not match the expected attributes (e.g., data type, size).

```
insert_move(std::move(oldKeyVals[i]));
```

### 2. Global Variables:

- **Global variables in different functions:** If the same global variable is referenced across different functions or procedures, care must be taken that they are used consistently and initialized properly. This is not explicitly seen but could be a potential error source in expansions of the code.

## G. Input/Output Errors:

### 1. Missing File Handling:

- **While the code doesn't deal with files directly,** any extension that includes I/O might introduce typical file handling errors such as unclosed files, failure to check for end-of-file conditions, or improper error handling.
-

---

2. Which category of program inspection would you find more effective?

Category A: Data Reference Errors are the most relevant due to the reliance on manual memory management, pointers, and dynamic data structures. Mistakes in pointer dereferencing and memory handling, such as improper allocation or deallocation, can result in severe problems like crashes, segmentation faults, or memory leaks. Therefore, addressing this category is crucial. Additionally, Computation Errors and Control-Flow Errors are important to consider, particularly in large-scale projects.

3. Which type of error you are not able to identified using the program inspection?

Concurrency Issues: The inspection does not consider any of the problems due to multi-threading or concurrency, such as race conditions or deadlocks. If the program were to be extended to deal with multi-threading, then shared resources, locks, and thread safety would become considerations.

Dynamic Errors: Some errors, such as those related to memory overflow, underflow, or runtime environment behaviour, may not be caught until the code is executed in a real-world scenario.

4. Is the program inspection technique is worth applicable?

Yes, the program inspection technique is valuable, particularly for detecting static errors that might not be caught by compilers, such as pointer mismanagement, array bound violations, and improper control flow. Although it may not catch every dynamic issue or

---

---

concurrency-related bug, it's an essential step to ensure code quality, especially in memory-critical applications like this C++ implementation of hash tables.

## II. CODE DEBUGGING A.

Armstrong

1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

incorrect Calculation of Remainder:

- The line `remainder=num/10;` should be `remainder=num%10;` because we want to extract the last digit of the number.

Updating num Incorrectly:

- The line `num=num%10;` should be `num=num/10;`. We want to remove the last digit from num after processing it, not take its remainder again.

2. How many breakpoints you need to fix those errors ?

Two breakpoints: 1. On the line where the remainder is calculated (`remainder=num % 10;`). 2. On the line where num is updated (`num = num / 10;`).

a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

---

- 
- Step1: Fix the calculation of the remainder to correctly extract the last digit (`remainder = num % 10;`).
  - Step2: Correctly update `num` to remove the last digit (`num = num / 10;`).

B. GCD and LCM 1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

There are two errors in the program:

- Logical Error in the `gcdMethod`: The condition in the while loop is incorrect. It should be `while (a % b != 0)` instead of `while (a % b == 0)`. The original condition can lead to an infinite loop if `b` is not a divisor of `a`.
- Logical Error in the `lcmMethod`: The condition to check whether `a` is a multiple of both `x` and `y` is incorrect. It should be `if (a % x == 0 && a % y == 0)` instead of `if (a % x != 0 && a % y != 0)`.

2. How many breakpoints do you need to fix those errors?

You need two breakpoints to debug and fix the identified errors:

- A breakpoint at the beginning of the `gcdMethod` to monitor the values of `a`, `b`, and `r`.
- A breakpoint at the beginning of the `lcmMethod` to check the initial value of `a` and how it increments during the loop.

a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the errors you identified in the code fragment?

1. Fixing the `gcdMethod`:

---



- 
- Changed the condition in the while loop from `while(a%b==0)` to `while (a % b != 0)` to correctly implement the Euclidean algorithm for calculating the GCD.

## 2. Fixing the lcm Method:

- Modified the condition in the if statement from `if(a%x!=0&&a % y != 0)` to `if (a % x == 0 && a % y == 0)` to ensure that the method correctly identifies when a is a multiple of both x and y.

C. Knapsack 1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

There are three main errors in the program:

- **Array Indexing Issue:** The line `option1=opt[n++][w];` incorrectly increments n, which can lead to out-of-bounds access in subsequent iterations. It should simply be `int option1 = opt[n][w];`.
- **Wrong Profit Calculation:** In the line `option2=profit[n-2]+opt[n-1][w-weight[n]];`, the program incorrectly uses `profit[n-2]` instead of `profit[n]` to calculate the profit of the current item.
- **Weight Condition Logic:** The condition for taking the item is correct, but the logic for `option2` should only be calculated if the item's weight does not exceed the current weight limit (w).

## 2. How many breakpoints do you need to fix those errors?

You would need three breakpoints to debug and fix the errors:

- Set a breakpoint at the beginning of the nested loop to check the values of n, w, `opt[n][w]`, and other variables.
  - Set a breakpoint right before the assignment of `option1` to monitor how n is changing.
-

- 
- Set a breakpoint after the assignment of option2 to verify the calculations for both option1 and option2.

a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

1. Correcting Array Indexing:

- Changed `int option1 = opt[n++][w];` to `int option1 = opt[n][w];` to prevent `n` from being incremented incorrectly.

2. Correcting Profit Calculation:

- Modified the line `int option2 = profit[n-2] + opt[n-1][w-weight[n]];` to `int option2 = profit[n] + opt[n-1][w-weight[n]];` to reference the correct item profit.

3. Adjusting Weight Condition Logic:

- Added a condition to ensure that option2 is only calculated if the current item's weight does not exceed `w`. This prevents erroneous profit calculations for items that can't be added.

D. Magic Number 1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

There are four errors in the program:

- Logical Error in the Inner Loop: The condition in the line `while(sum==0)` should be `while(sum!=0)`. The current condition will not enter the loop when `sum` is zero, which is incorrect.
-

- 
- **IncorrectCalculationintheInnerLoop:**The line `s=s*(sum/10);` should be `s = s + (sum % 10);` to correctly accumulate the sum of the digits.
  - **MissingSemicolon:**The line `sum=sum%10` should have a semicolon at the end: `sum = sum % 10;.`
  - **LogicalErrorintheWhileLoop:**The outer loop condition `while(num>9)` should be `while(num>9 || num == 0)` to account for the scenario where the number becomes zero.

2. How many breakpoints do you need to fix those errors?

You would need three breakpoints to effectively debug and fix the errors:

1. Set a breakpoint at the beginning of the inner loop to observe the values of `sum` and `s`.
  2. Set a breakpoint at the beginning of the outer loop to check the current value of `num`.
  3. Set a breakpoint before the final `if` statement to verify the final value of `num` before making the magic number determination.
- a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

1. Correcting the Inner Loop Condition:

- Changed `while(sum==0)` to `while(sum!=0)` to ensure the loop iterates while there are digits left to process.

2. Fixing the Digit Summation Logic:

- Updated the line `s=s*(sum/10);` to `s = s + (sum % 10);` to accumulate the digits correctly.

3. Adding Missing Semicolon:

---

- 
- Added a semicolon at the end of `sum = sum % 10;`.

#### 4. Adjusting the Outer Loop Condition:

- Changed the outer loop condition from `while(num > 9)` to `while(num > 9 || num == 0)` to handle the case where num might reduce to zero.

E. Merge Sort 1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

There are four main errors in the program:

1. Incorrect Array Slicing: The lines

`int[] left = leftHalf(array + 1);` and

`int[] right = rightHalf(array - 1);` are incorrect because you cannot slice arrays by adding or subtracting integers. It should be splitting the array into halves correctly.

2. Incorrect Parameters in Recursive Calls: When calling `merge(array, left++, right--);`, you cannot use the increment/decrement operators (`++` and `--`) on the arrays. You should pass the arrays as is.
3. Incorrect

Calculation of Left and Right Sizes: The size calculation in `leftHalf` and `rightHalf` should account for the entire array. The size for the left half is  $(array.length + 1) / 2$  to correctly handle odd lengths.

- 4.

Missing Merging Logic: In the `merge` method, the original array (result) should not be passed in the manner shown. Instead, it should be the original array passed to the merge sort function which gets modified.

This logic needs to be integrated properly.

---

---

2. How many breakpoints do you need to fix those errors?

You would need three breakpoints to effectively debug and fix the errors:

1. Set a breakpoint at the beginning of the mergeSort method to inspect how the array is being split and what the left and right halves are.
2. Set a breakpoint before the merge operation to check the contents of the left and right arrays.
3. Set a breakpoint inside the merge method to see how elements are being merged back into the original array.

a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

1. Correcting Array Slicing:

- Instead of `int[] left = leftHalf(array+1);` and `int[] right = rightHalf(array - 1);`, change it to correctly split the array using `Arrays.copyOfRange`.

2. Fixing Parameters in Recursive Calls:

- Update the call to merge by passing the arrays without using the increment/decrement operators: `merge(array, left, right);`.

3. Adjusting Size Calculations:

- Change the size calculation in `leftHalf` and `rightHalf` methods to `(array.length + 1) / 2` for the left half and the rest for the right half.

4. Merging Logic:

---

- 
- Ensure that the merge method correctly combines the sorted arrays back into the original array.

F. Multiply metrics 1. How many errors are there in the program?

Mention the errors you have identified.

There are five main errors in the program: 1. Array Indexing Errors: In the line

$sum = sum + first[c-1][c-k] * second[k-1][k-d];$ , the indices  $c-1$  and  $k-d$  are incorrect. They should use  $c$  and  $k$  for proper indexing since the matrix elements start from index 0.

2. Uninitialized Variables: The variable  $sum$  is being reused without resetting in the inner loop properly. This can lead to incorrect calculations in subsequent iterations. It should be reset to 0 at the start of each  $c$  and  $d$  iteration.

3. Wrong Output Input Prompt: The input prompt for the second matrix incorrectly states, "Enter the number of rows and columns of first matrix" instead of "Enter the number of rows and columns of second matrix".

4. Multiplication Logic Issue: The multiplication logic needs to access elements of the matrices correctly. The correct formula for matrix multiplication is  $first[c][k] * second[k][d]$ . 5. Potential Readability Issue: The

output formatting is slightly misleading, as it shows the product matrix but doesn't include a proper header or format.

2. How many breakpoints do you need to fix those errors?

---

---

You would need three breakpoints to effectively debug and fix the errors:

1. Set a breakpoint inside the multiplication loop to inspect the indices and the values being multiplied.
  2. Set a breakpoint before the printing of the multiplication results to check the contents of the multiply array.
  3. Set a breakpoint after reading the second matrix to verify that the inputs are being read correctly.
- a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

1. Correcting Array Indexing:

- Change `sum = sum + first[c-1][c-k] * second[k-1][k-d];` to `sum = sum + first[c][k] * second[k][d];` to correctly access the elements of the matrices.

2. Resetting Variables:

- Move the reset of the sum variable to the beginning of the inner loop for d to ensure it starts fresh for each element calculation: `sum = 0;` should be at the start of the `for (d = 0; d < q; d++)` loop.

3. Fixing Input Prompts:

- Update the prompt for the second matrix to say "Enter the number of rows and columns of the second matrix".

4. Adjusting Output Formatting:

- Consider adding header to clarify that the following output is the product matrix.
-

---

G. Quadratic Probing 1. How many errors are there in the program?

Mention the errors  
you have identified.

There are several errors in the program: 1. Syntax Error in the Insert

Method: The line `i += (i + h / h--) %`

`maxSize;` contains a space in the `+=` operator, causing a compilation

error. 2. Incorrect Hashing Logic: The line `i = (i + h * h++) % maxSize;` is

incorrect because it modifies `h` within the loop, which can lead to an

infinite loop. 3. Key Removal Logic: In the remove method, `currentSize--` is

decremented twice, which results in incorrect size management.

4. Uninitialized Value Printing: When printing the hash table, the

output might include null values or improperly formatted outputs.

5. Clear Method Logic: The `makeEmpty` method does not clear the

actual objects in the arrays, leading to potential memory issues.

2. How many breakpoints do you need to fix those errors?

To fix these errors, you would need the following breakpoints: 1. Breakpoint

on the Insert Method: Before the line containing the `i +=`  
operator to check the current value of `i`.

2. Breakpoint on the Hash Method: To observe how the hash value is  
calculated for different keys.

3. Breakpoint on the Remove Method: To ensure the correct key is  
being removed and to check the state of the hash table after the  
removal.

---



---

4. Breakpoint in the Print Method: To validate the correct values are being printed from the hash table.

a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

1. Correcting the Insert Method: Remove the space in the += operator and correct the logic for incrementing h.

2. Fixing the Hash Method: Ensure that the hashing algorithm doesn't modify h directly and doesn't lead to an infinite loop.

3. Updating Removal Logic: Adjust the remove method to ensure currentSize is only decremented once after a successful removal.

4. Enhancing Print Logic: Add checks to avoid printing null values and ensure that the output format is clear.

5. Adjusting the Make Empty Logic: Modify the makeEmpty method to reset the actual contents of the keys and values arrays.

H. Sorting Array 1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

There are several errors in the program: 1. Class Name Error: The class name Ascending\_Order contains a space, which is not allowed in Java. It should be AscendingOrder.

2. Incorrect Loop Condition: The outer loop for (int i = 0; i >= n; i++); has an incorrect condition (i >= n), which will cause it to never execute. The correct condition should be i < n.

---

---

3. Unnecessary Semicolon: There is an unnecessary semicolon at the end of the outer loop declaration (`for (int i = 0; i >= n; i++);`), which ends the loop prematurely.

4. Sorting Logic: The comparison in the sorting condition is incorrect. It should be `if (a[i] > a[j])` to ensure that the smaller number is placed before the larger number. 5. Output Formatting: The final output will have an

extra comma if the elements are printed directly. It should be formatted correctly to avoid trailing commas.

2. How many breakpoints do you need to fix those errors?

To fix these errors, you would need the following breakpoints: 1. Breakpoint on Class Declaration: To check the correct naming of the class.

2. Breakpoint on Outer Loop: To observe the initial value of `i` and ensure that the loop condition is correct.

3. Breakpoint on Sorting Logic: To validate the values of `a[i]` and `a[j]` before and after swapping.

4. Breakpoint on Output: To check the formatting of the output and ensure it doesn't include unwanted commas.

a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

1. Renaming the Class: Change the class name from `Ascending_Order` to `AscendingOrder`.

2. Correcting the Loop Condition: Change the loop condition from `i >= n` to `i < n`.

---

- 
3. Removing the Semicolon: Remove the unnecessary semicolon after the outer loop declaration.
  4. Fixing the Sorting Logic: Change the condition in the sorting logic to `if (a[i] > a[j])`.
  5. Formatting the Output: Update the output logic to avoid trailing commas.

I. Stack Implementation 1. How many errors are there in the program?

Mention the errors you have identified.

There are several errors in the program: 1. Incorrect Logic in push Method:

The line `top--;` should be `top++;`

because we want to increment the top index to push the value onto the stack. 2. Incorrect Logic in pop Method: The line `top++;` should be `top--;`

because we want to decrement the top index to remove the top element of the stack. 3. Incorrect Condition in display Method: The loop

condition for `(int i =`

`0; i > top; i++)` is incorrect. It should be `i <= top` to ensure all elements

in the stack are displayed. 4. Handling Stack Underflow: The pop method

should return the

popped value. This can be done by storing the value being popped

before decrementing top. 5. Displaying the Stack Contents: The output

format may be

misleading because the elements are not displayed correctly after popping.

---

---

2. How many breakpoints do you need to fix those errors?

To fix these errors, you would need the following breakpoints: 1. Breakpoint

on push Method: To check the value of top before and after the increment.

2. Breakpoint on pop Method: To observe the value being popped and the state of top.

3. Breakpoint on display Method: To verify the loop condition and ensure all elements are printed correctly.

a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

1. Corrected Logic in push Method: Change top--; to top++; so that the next element is added at the correct index.

2. Corrected Logic in pop Method: Change top++; to top--; to ensure the top element is correctly removed from the stack.

3. Updated Loop Condition in display Method: Change  $i > \text{top}$  to  $i \leq \text{top}$  so that all elements in the stack are displayed.

4. Return Value in pop Method: Modify the pop method to return the value that was popped from the stack.

5. Adjust the Display Logic: Ensure the display method properly reflects the current state of the stack after popping elements.

J. Tower of Hanoi 1. How many errors are there in the program? Mention the errors you have identified.

---

---

There are several errors in the program: 1. Incorrect Increment and Decrement in Recursive Call: The line `doTowers(topN ++, inter--, from+1, to+1)` is incorrect. The post-increment and post-decrement operators (`++` and `--`) are used incorrectly in this context. They should not be used this way, as they do not modify the values passed to the function. 2. Missing Recursive Call for Disk Movement: The logic for handling disk movements in the recursive calls is not accurate, leading to incorrect calculations. 3. Printing Issues: The final output does not match the expected movements of the disks correctly due to the incorrect handling of parameters.

2. How many breakpoints do you need to fix those errors?

You would need the following breakpoints to fix the errors: 1. Breakpoint on the first `doTowers` call: To check the values of `topN`, `from`, `inter`, and `to` before executing the recursive calls.

2. Breakpoint before the printing statement: To observe the correct flow of disk movements.

3. Breakpoint on the second `doTowers` call: To ensure the parameters are being correctly passed after the first recursive call.

a. What are the steps you have taken to fix the error you identified in the code fragment?

1. Corrected Recursive Call: Change `doTowers(topN ++, inter--, from+1, to+1)` to `doTowers(topN - 1, inter, from, to)` in the recursive call for moving the remaining disks.

---

2. Removed Invalid Modifications: Ensure that the values for from, inter, and to are not modified with post-increment and post-decrement operators. Instead, pass the original variables directly.

3. Clarified Disk Movement Logic: Ensure that the recursive logic correctly follows the Tower of Hanoi algorithm.

## Static Analysis Tools

File	Line	Severity	Summary	Id
	49	information	Include file: <memory.h> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper re...	missingIncludeSystem
	50	information	Include file: <stdexcept.h> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper r...	missingIncludeSystem
	51	information	Include file: <string.h> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.	missingIncludeSystem
	52	information	Include file: <type_traits.h> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper r...	missingIncludeSystem

Id: missingIncludeSystem  
Include file: <memory.h> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.

```
33 // OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE SOFTWARE OR THE USE OR OTHER DEALINGS IN THE
34 // SOFTWARE.
35
36 #ifndef ROBIN_HOOD_H_INCLUDED
37 #define ROBIN_HOOD_H_INCLUDED
38
39 // see https://semver.org/
40 #define ROBIN_HOOD_VERSION_MAJOR 3 // for incompatible API changes
41 #define ROBIN_HOOD_VERSION_MINOR 11 // for adding functionality in a backwards-compatible manner
42 #define ROBIN_HOOD_VERSION_PATCH 5 // for backwards-compatible bug fixes
43
44 #include <algorithm>
45 #include <cstdlib>
46 #include <cstring>
47 #include <functional>
48 #include <limits>
49 #include <memory> // only to support hash of smart pointers
50 #include <stdexcept>
51 #include <string>
52 #include <type_traits>
53 #include <utility>
54 #if __cplusplus >= 201703L
55 #   include <string_view>
56 #endif
57
58 // #define ROBIN_HOOD_LOG_ENABLED
59 #ifdef ROBIN_HOOD_LOG_ENABLED
60 #   include <iostream>
61 #   define ROBIN_HOOD_LOG(...) \
62       std::cout << __FUNCTION__ << " " << __LINE__ << " " << __VA_ARGS__ << std::endl;
63 #else
64 #   define ROBIN_HOOD_LOG(x)
65 #endif
66
67 // #define ROBIN_HOOD_TRACE_ENABLED
```

Analysis: 1 on    Warning Details

File	Line	Severity	Summary	Id	CWE
		53 information	Include file: <utility> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.	missingIncludeSystem	0
		78 information	Include file: <iostream> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.	missingIncludeSystem	0
		60 information	Include file: <iostream> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.	missingIncludeSystem	0
		69 information	Include file: <iostream> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.	missingIncludeSystem	0

Id: missingIncludeSystem  
Include file: <iostream> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.

```

44 #include <algorithm>
45 #include <cstdlib>
46 #include <cstring>
47 #include <functional>
48 #include <limits>
49 #include <memory> // only to support base of smart pointers
50 #include <stdexcept>
51 #include <string>
52 #include <type_traits>
53 #include <utility>
54 #if __cplusplus >= 201703L
55 #   include <string_view>
56 #endif
57
58 // #define ROBIN_HOOD_LOG_ENABLED
59 #if ROBIN_HOOD_LOG_ENABLED
60 #   include <iostream>
61 #   define ROBIN_HOOD_LOG(...) \
62       std::cout << __FUNCTION__ << " " << __LINE__ << " " << __VA_ARGS__ << std::endl;
63 #else
64 #   define ROBIN_HOOD_LOG(x)
65 #endif
66
67 // #define ROBIN_HOOD_TRACE_ENABLED
68 #if ROBIN_HOOD_TRACE_ENABLED
69 #   include <iostream>
70 #   define ROBIN_HOOD_TRACE(...) \
71       std::cout << __FUNCTION__ << " " << __LINE__ << " " << __VA_ARGS__ << std::endl;
72 #else
73 #   define ROBIN_HOOD_TRACE(x)
74 #endif
75
76 // #define ROBIN_HOOD_COUNT_ENABLED
77 #if ROBIN_HOOD_COUNT_ENABLED
78 #   include <iostream>

```

Analysis Log    Warning Details

File	Line	Severity	Summary	Id	CWE
		51 information	Include file: <string> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.	missingIncludeSystem	0
		52 information	Include file: <type_traits> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.	missingIncludeSystem	0
		53 information	Include file: <utility> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.	missingIncludeSystem	0
		78 information	Include file: <iostream> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.	missingIncludeSystem	0

Id: missingIncludeSystem  
Include file: <utility> not found. Please note: Cppcheck does not need standard library headers to get proper results.

```

37 #define ROBIN_HOOD_M_INCLUDED
38
39 // see https://en.cppreference.com/
40 #define ROBIN_HOOD_VERSION_MAJOR 3 // For incompatible ABI changes
41 #define ROBIN_HOOD_VERSION_MINOR 11 // For adding functionality in a backwards-compatible manner
42 #define ROBIN_HOOD_VERSION_PATCH 5 // For backwards-compatible bug fixes
43
44 #include <algorithm>
45 #include <cstdlib>
46 #include <cstring>
47 #include <functional>
48 #include <limits>
49 #include <memory> // only to support base of smart pointers
50 #include <stdexcept>
51 #include <string>
52 #include <type_traits>
53 #include <utility>
54 #if __cplusplus >= 201703L
55 #   include <string_view>
56 #endif
57
58 // #define ROBIN_HOOD_LOG_ENABLED
59 #if ROBIN_HOOD_LOG_ENABLED
60 #   include <iostream>
61 #   define ROBIN_HOOD_LOG(...) \
62       std::cout << __FUNCTION__ << " " << __LINE__ << " " << __VA_ARGS__ << std::endl;
63 #else
64 #   define ROBIN_HOOD_LOG(x)
65 #endif
66
67 // #define ROBIN_HOOD_TRACE_ENABLED
68 #if ROBIN_HOOD_TRACE_ENABLED
69 #   include <iostream>
70 #   define ROBIN_HOOD_TRACE(...) \
71       std::cout << __FUNCTION__ << " " << __LINE__ << " " << __VA_ARGS__ << std::endl;

```

Analysis Log    Warning Details