

Given  $n$  data points, we have  $n - 1$  quadratics. Each of these quadratics has 3 parameters, hence we have a total of  $3(n - 1)$  original parameters. Concretely, Let the knots be at  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Let the quadratic between  $x_i, x_{i+1}$  be  $f_i \forall i \in \{1, 2 \dots (n - 1)\}$ . Each  $f_i$  has 3 parameters.

Let the corresponding values of the data at  $x_i$  be  $y_i$ .

We know that our splines have to fit the data. Hence, we have  $2(n - 1)$  equations as follows:

**Left side:**  $f_i(x_i) = y_i \forall i \in \{1 \dots (n - 1)\}$

**Right side:**  $f_i(x_{i+1}) = y_{i+1} \forall i \in \{1 \dots (n - 1)\}$

There are now  $n - 1$  parameters that are unaccounted for.

**Once continuously differentiable:**

Since each  $f_i$  is continuously differentiable, if the derivative of  $f_i, f_{i+1}$  match at  $x_i$ , then the entire system is once continuously differentiable.

Requiring that  $f_i^{(1)}(x_{i+1}) = f_{i+1}^{(1)}(x_{i+1}) \forall i \in \{1 \dots (n - 2)\}$  adds  $n - 2$  Equations.

This leaves us with 1 free parameter.

Hence, **yes, it is possible to create a once continuously differentiable interpolant.**

**Twice continuously differentiable:**

A twice continuously differentiable function is also once continuously differentiable.

To ensure twice continuously differentiability when the interpolant is already once continuously differentiable is the same as saying  $f_i^{(2)}(x_{i+1}) = f_{i+1}^{(2)}(x_{i+1}) \forall i \in \{1, 2 \dots (n - 2)\}$

This add  $n - 2$  equations again. Leading to a total of  $4n - 6$  equations for a total of  $3n - 3$  parameters.

The maximum value of  $n$  for which creating such an interpolant is possible can be obtained by noticing that  $4n - 6 \leq 3n - 3$  must be satisfied. Hence,  **$n \leq 3$ .**

However, sometimes, it may be possible even if  $n > 3$  **IF** some of the equations are redundant.