Coding Lab 3 Instructions: Git Merging

1 Group work

Welcome back! This week we will learn more about Git, in particular how to deal with merge conflicts. This will be the first coding lab where there will be some serious group work. If you do not have partner(s), let us know immediately!

2 Updating your repo

As always, commit your work, and then pull from my repo to get the latest updates. If you get an error message about auto merging failing, type git status to see which file has a merge conflict. If it's a file for which you have worked on, let us know and we'll try to help you fix it. If it's a file that you haven't done anything to (e.g., if it's the pristine copy of last week's Jupyter notebook), then simply type "git checkout --theirs {insert filename here}", commit the result, and then you should be all set. I know this sort of stuff is annoying, but today you'll finally learn about what this messy stuff is all about!

3 Starting your own repo

One of the learning goals for today is to figure out how to start your own Github repo that isn't forked from an existing repo. To start a new repo, one can initialize a repo locally and then push it up to Github. Alternatively, we can create it on Github and then make a clone on our local machine. We will go with the second approach today.

One person in your group should go to Github and log on to their account. Click the green "new" button to start a new repo. Give it a name of your choosing, make it a private repo, and select the box that says to initialize the repo with a README file. Create the repo! The next thing to do is to add your partner(s) as collaborators to this repo. Click "Settings", then "Collaborators", and add your partner(s).

Everyone in the group now needs to clone the repo to their local machine. Navigate to the "Code" tab of the repo, click the green "clone or download" tab and copy the URL. Open your terminal, and navigate to some location that is not inside your PHYS321_CodingLabs folder. (You don't want to create a repo inside a repo). Clone the repo using the command "git clone {insert copied URL here}".

4 Populating the repo

Let's start putting some files in the repo. Someone in the group who was not the person who created the original repo should copy the file hello.py into the new repo. Commit all this files, then push your changes to the repo. (I am being purposely vague about how to do this, because I want you to practice these git commands. Refer back to the instructions from previous labs if you like!).

Other members of the group now need to pull down these changes from the git repo. Do it! (Again, being purposely vague here).

5 Running code on the command line

Today we will be developing code outside of the Jupyter notebook environment. We will write Python scripts and then execute them on the command line. Let's remind ourselves of how this works. Navigate (using the

command line) to the directory where hello.py lives. Type "python hello.py" and the Python script should execute.

6 Merge conflicts

Our first goal today is to figure out how a group of people can work seamlessly with each other on the same piece of code. The central question here is the following: what if two people modify the same piece of code at the same time? How does Github help us avoid conflicting code?

As a first demonstration of this, let's make some simultaneous changes to the code. Open up hello.py in your text editor. Then:

- One person in the group should add the line "x = 5" after the second print statement. Commit the result and push to the Github repo.
- A second person in the group should add the line "y = 8" between the first and second print statements. Commit the result locally.
- If you are in a group of three, *the third member of the group* can just watch for now. But make sure that for the next set of bullet points, this group member is an active participant!

Now, have the **second member of the group** try to push their changes up to Github. This should fail! The changes should be rejected! The reason is that the first member of the group already pushed some changes to the repo, and these are changes that the second member doesn't yet have. To fix this, **second member of the group** should type "git pull origin master". This will pull down the first group member's changes and then do a merge. (Like previous merges we've done, it will probably ask you to write a commit message. If you are using the default text editor within the terminal, remember that you can type ":wq" to write and quit). If you now take a look at hello.py, you will see that changes made by both users are in the file! If you now try to push to the Github repo, you should find that you no longer have any problems. Go ahead and do this. Once this is done, the rest of the group should do another pull to get the latest changes.

The example that we did was actually a pretty easy exercise for git to handle. The two coders modified what were "obviously" different parts of the code, and so git knew it could incorporate all the changes that were made. A trickier situation occurs when two people work on the *same* part of the code but do different things. Let's see what happens when we do this.

- One person in the group should change the line "print('Hello! My name is Anna. Do you wanna build a snowman?')" to "print('Hello! My name is Elsa, and the cold never bothered me anyway.')". Commit the result and push to the Github repo.
- A second person in the group should change the line "print('Hello! My name is Anna. Do you wanna build a snowman?')" to "print('Hello! My name is Olaf, and I love warm hugs!')". Commit the result locally.
- If you are the third member of the group, watch and see what happens!

Again, have the **second member of the group** try to push their changes up to **Github**. Like before, this fails because there are changes in the remote repo that haven't been incorporated. Type "git pull origin master" to pull down these changes. This time things aren't quite so successful! You should get a scary looking message that looks like this:

```
57d0675..b0d4fee master -> origin/master
Auto-merging hello.py
CONFLICT (content): Merge conflict in hello.py
Automatic merge failed; fix conflicts and then commit the result.
```

Yikes! This is known as a merge conflict. This is git's way of telling us that two users have made simultaneous

changes to the code, in a manner that is difficult for git to know the "right" way to merge the codes. When this happens, we must resolve the merge conflict by stepping in and telling git what to do.

Roughly speaking, there are three ways to resolve a merge conflict:

- We can use the command "git checkout --theirs {insert filename here}" to tell git that you don't want to keep your version of the file, and instead want to go with the other person's changes.
- The opposite approach is "git checkout --ours {insert filename here}", which keeps your changes and discards the other person's changes.
- The final option is to review the changes manually and figure out what we want. This is by far the most common way to do things, because usually both coders have written something useful, and we want to surgically pick some things from one person's contributions and other things from the other person's contributions.

Let's practice the third option. On the computer with the merge conflict, open hello.py with a text editor. You should see something like this:

Notice how git has inserted some markers like <<<<<, ======, and >>>>>>. This is git's way of showing where the different versions of the code differ. Between <<<<< and ====== we see the version that we had on our local computer, and between ====== and >>>>>> we see the "other" person's version. What needs to happen now is that we need to replace all of this with the final version that we want. In this case, let's just delete the lines with the markers, so that we end up with

```
hello.py

hello.py

print('Hello world!')

y = 8

print('Hello! My name is Olaf, and I love warm hugs!')

print('Hello! My name is Elsa, and the cold never bothered me anyway')

x = 5
```

In other words, we're deciding to keep *both* print statements. We didn't have to do this. We can put whatever we want and remove the markers.

Once we've manually fixed the merge conflicts, we can save the text file. Commit the change to tell git that the conflict has been resolved. At this point, the workflow is the same as what we had before. Do a push to the remote repo, and then have everyone do a pull to get the latest changes.

Congratulations! After suffering through git these last few coding labs (I know the learning curve can be frustrating), you know how to do the following tasks on git:

• Fork someone's repo so that you have your own personal copy under your github username.

¹Of course, as with most things in git, when I say "discard" the other person's changes, I don't mean the information is thrown away forever. Remember that git is software designed for version control, where we can always go back to an earlier, pre-merge version of the code—an earlier commit—if we want to.

- Pull changes from the original repo into your own copy.
- Clone a repo from github onto your local machine.
- Make git commits to keep track of changes.
- Check out old versions of your code from earlier commits.
- Revert back to old versions of your code.
- Push changes to a remote repo.
- Create branches to do code development in a way that does not mess up code that works.
- Merge branches into a master branch when code development is complete.
- Issue a pull request to ask that your development branch be merged into the master branch.
- Fix merge conflicts.

Of course git has capabilities beyond what we have learned in the last three coding labs, but the skills that you have developed to this point are sufficient for most day-to-day tasks involving git. At this point, it's mostly just practice until it becomes second nature. It may seem annoying at first, but I strongly recommend using git for version control. It's a good skill to have if you're ever going to do any collaborative coding. Some people have even taken it to a complete extreme and have planned their weddings using github! See https://readwrite.com/2013/06/12/have-the-nerdiest-wedding-on-the-block-with-github/.

7 Exercise: Surface Brightness Fluctuation Simulations

This week's coding work is a little bit more open-ended than in previous weeks. Recall in class when we discussed the *surface brightness fluctuation method* for determining distances that if we look at a collection of stars (e.g., in an elliptical galaxies), the picture looks much smoother if the collection is far away, so that there are lots of stars in an individual pixel. This logic can be applied in reverse: by measuring the variance between different pixels, we can infer how far away the galaxy is—the lower the variance, the greater the distance.

This week, you will

- Write some code that simulates this effect. In other words, write code that demonstrates the scaling relation that we discussed in class, where the farther away a galaxy is, the smaller the standard deviation between pixels of the image.
- Unlike in previous weeks, you will *submit one code per group*. Push your code to the repo that you created at the beginning of this coding lab. Remember to add me and your TAs as collaborators!
- In addition to pushing your code, you will also need to push
 - 1. At least one plot that demonstrates your results. Think about what sort of plot(s) would best illustrate your point.
 - 2. A short text file with a description of what your code does and how your plot illustrates what you are trying to show. Also include a discussion of how you could make your code more realistic.
 - 3. A short text file with a description of how you distributed the work between group members. Every member of the group should do an equal amount of work! Be sure to list your names and student IDs in the file.

Once you've discussed the problem with your group and feel that you are ready to start coding, you are strongly encouraged to discuss your strategy with us. A little struggle is excellent, but we don't want groups to spend too much time going down an incorrect path!

One new aspect of this week's assignment is its collaborative nature. I would like to suggest two possible ways to code collaboratively:

- Decide on the overall structure of the code and write down a list of functions that need to be written. Divide up the list of functions amongst you. Each person should create a new branch on their git repo to indicate which function they are writing. One group member might be working on a branch called dev_function_1 to develop function_1, while another group member might be working on a branch called dev_function_2 to develop function_2. When you think you have something that works, push the branch to the remote github repo and issue a pull request for someone else in the group to review (the same way you did on your last coding lab). Only merge the change into the master branch when everyone is satisfied. Note that because different people are writing different functions that will eventually have to interact with each other, it is absolutely crucial to very carefully define the *interfaces*, i.e., the exact inputs and outputs of each function.
- Have two people work in front of the same computer, practicing what is known as pair coding. This is fairly commonly practiced in industry. One person is the driver, and does all the typing. This person worries about little details like getting the syntax right. The other person is the navigator. This person watches the driver to catch mistakes and also makes suggestions about the overall direction. If you decide to do pair coding, you must switch roles frequently.

Don't forget to push your work to the repo when you are done!