

CS/IS C363 Data Structures & Algorithms

Review: Top Down Design

Technique: Divide-and-Conquer

Examples: Sorting, Matching Parentheses

1

Algorithm Design

- Top-Down Design (Top Down Decomposition)
 1. Divide the problem into sub problems.
 2. Find solutions for sub problems
 3. Combine the sub solutions.

Divide-And-Conquer

- Special case of Top-Down-Design
 - Structure of sub problem(s) is same as the (original) problem
 - i.e. once a decomposition and combination have been worked out, the process can be repeated i.e. “recursed”
 - Size of the problem should reduce progressively (as we recur)
 - i.e. size of the input (to the problem/sub-problem)

Divide-And-Conquer: Example i

- Sort, in-place, a list of N elements.
 - Assume list is stored as an array (i.e. logically contiguous memory locations): $A[0], A[1], \dots A[n-1]$
- Design
 - Sub-problem: Sort a list of $N-1$ numbers ($A[0], A[1], \dots A[n-2]$)
 - Combination: Insert $A[n-1]$ in order (i.e. in the right position)
 - Termination: Stop when size is ≤ 0 .
 - Why?
- Algorithm
 - // Precondition: A is an array indexed from 0 to $n-1$
 - // Postcondition: A is ordered in place
 - $\text{insertSort}(A, n) \{$
 - // sort A in-place - $\}$

Divide-And-Conquer: Example i

□ Algorithm

□ // Precondition: A is an array of size n

□ // Postcondition: A is ordered in place

```
insertSort(A, n) {  
    if (n > 1) { insertSort(A, n-1);  
                insertInOrder(A[n-1], A, n-1); }  
}
```

□ **Note:** Of course, `insertInOrder` has to be designed. **End of Note**

□ **Exercise:** Apply Divide-and-Conquer to design `insertInOrder`.

Divide-And-Conquer: Example ii

- Sort a list of N elements.
 - Assume list is stored as an array (i.e. logically contiguous memory locations): $(A[0], A[1], \dots, A[n-1])$
- Design
 - Sub-problems: Sort sub-lists of (approx.) $n/2$ numbers
 - $(A[0], A[1] \dots A[\text{mid}])$ and $(A[\text{mid}+1], A[\text{mid}+2], \dots, A[n-1])$
 - where $\text{mid} = \text{floor}(n/2)$
 - Combination: Merge two sorted lists to get a single sorted list.
 - Termination: When list size is ≤ 1

Divide-And-Conquer: Example ii

□ Algorithm

□ // Precondition: A is an array indexed from st to en

□ // Postcondition: A is ordered in place

```
mergeSort(A, st, en) {  
    if (en-st < 1) return;  
    mid=floor((st+en)/2);  
    mergeSort(A, st, mid);  
    mergeSort(A, mid+1,en);  
    merge(A, st, mid, A, mid+1, en, A, st, en);  
}
```

□ Note: merge has to be designed. End of Note

□ Exercise: Apply Divide-and-Conquer to design merge.

Divide-and-Conquer – Example III

- Count the number of strings of matched parentheses of length N . (Assume $N=2K$ for some K)
 - Data Model (for strings of matched parentheses):
 - An empty string has matching parentheses (trivially)
 - If a string S has matching parentheses then (S) has matching parentheses
 - If non-empty strings $S1$ and $S2$ each have matching parentheses then the concatenation $S1 S2$ has matching parentheses
 - This is an inductive data model:
 - Strings with 0 pairs;
 - Strings with $K+1$ pairs given strings with K pairs;
 - Strings with $K1+K2$ pairs given strings with $K1$ pairs and strings with $K2$ pairs

Divide-and-Conquer – Example III

- Data Model (for strings of matched parentheses):
 - An empty string has matching parentheses
 - If a string S has matching parentheses then (S) has matching parentheses
 - If non-empty strings $S1$ and $S2$ each have matching parentheses then the concatenation $S1 S2$ has matching parentheses.
- Data Model – Rewritten (combining 2 & 3):
 - An empty string has matching parentheses
 - If strings $S1$ and $S2$ each have matched parentheses
 - then the concatenation $(S1) S2$ has matching parentheses
- [Exercise: Argue that these two models are equivalent
- Argue that this (either one) model is complete.]

Divide-and-Conquer – Example III

- Counting strings of matched parentheses (k pairs):
 - Count matched pairs of the form
 - (*matched_pairs_1*) *matched_pairs_2*
- Sub-problems:
 - The sub strings of matched pairs could be of any length:
 - But if *matched_pairs_1* has j-1 pairs, then *matched_pairs_2* must have k-j pairs.
 - so there will be a pair of sub-problems for each j from 1 to k
 - count strings of matched parentheses (j-1 pairs)
 - count strings of matched parentheses (k-j pairs)
- Combination
 - Sum from j = 1 to k
 - Product of the two counts (see sub-problems above)

Divide-and-Conquer – Example III

□ Input: K (number of pairs)

□ Algorithm:

□ // Precondition: $K \geq 0$

□ `countMatchedPars(K)`

`if $K == 0$ return 1;`

`else {`

`count = 0;`

`for $j = 1$ to K {`

`count += countMatchedPars($j-1$) * countMatchedPars($K-j$)`

`}`

`return count;`

`}`

CS/IS C363 Data Structures & Algorithms

Review: Efficiency & Complexity

Resources and Measurements

Time and Space Complexity

- Order Complexity and Notation
- Examples

Cost Models

Resources

□ Resources and Usage

Resource	Resource Usage
CPU	CPU Time
Space – Main Memory and Secondary Memory	Memory Used (during computation)
I/O Devices (including networking devices)	I/O Time (for input/output and swapping) Communication Time (for message exchanges)
Power	Power consumed (for the entire process)

□ Measurement

- Absolute (exact) measurement
- Design Time measurement (estimate)

Algorithmic Complexity

- Design Time Measurement of Resource Usage
 - Measured and expressed in proportion to problem size (i.e. input size)
- Factors:
 - Time Complexity
 - Space Complexity
 - I/O Complexity
 - [Will not be covered in this course.].
 - Energy Complexity
 - [Models are complex and not completely understood today. Not covered in this course.]

Complexity - Example [1]

□ Example 1 (Y and Z are input)

$X = 3 * Y + Z;$

// operations: addition, multiplication, assignment

$X = 2 + X;$

// operations: addition, assignment

We count it in the abstract:

each statement takes 1 unit of time

under the assumption / knowledge

- that the difference across instruction sets and hardware organization is a “small constant factor” and
- that the typical statement is made of a constant number of operations

Space Complexity : 1 unit

Complexity - Example [2]

```
// a and N are input  
j = 0;  
while (j < N) {  
    a[j] = a[j] * a[j];  
    b[j] = a[j] + j;  
    j = j + 1;  
}
```

```
// 3 statements and 1 comparison inside  
the loop  
// N iterations, so time taken is  $4*N + 2$   
units  
// because comparison happens one extra  
time  
//  $N+1$  units of storage - array b and variable j
```



Complexity - Example [3]

```
// a and N are input
j = 0;
while (j < N) do {
    k = 0;
    while (k < N) do {    a[k] = a[j] + a[k];    k
= k + 1; }
    b[j] = a[j] + j;
    j = j + 1;
}
```

```
// Inner loop: 3 units per iteration * N iterations =
3 * N + 1
```

```
// Outer loop : (3 * N + 5) units per iteration * N
iterations
```

```
// Total time: 2 + 5*N + 3*N*N
// N+2 units of storage - array b and variables
// comparisons happen 1 extra time
j and k
```



Complexity - examples

□ (Order of) Complexity:

Example	Time Units	Time Complexity Order	Space Units	Space Complexity Order
1	2	Constant	1	Constant
2	$4*N+2$	Linear	$N+1$	Linear
3	$1 + 5*N + 3*N*N$	Quadratic	$N+2$	Linear

□ Why

- Capturing proportionality (i.e. growth rate)
- Machine independent measurement
- Asymptotic values (of input sizes)

Motivation for Order Notation – Growth Rates

$\log_2 N$	N	N^2	N^3	$2N$
1	2	4	8	4
3.3	10	10^2	10^3	$>10^3$
6.6	100	10^4	10^6	$>10^{25}$
9.9	1000	10^6	10^9	$>10^{250}$
13.2	10000	10^8	10^{12}	$>10^{2500}$

Motivation for Order Notation - Big O

□ Examples

- $100 * \log_2 N < N$ for $N > 1000$
- $70 * N + 3000 < N^2$ for $N > 100$
- $105 * N^2 + 106 * N < 2^N$ for $N > 26$
- Abstraction – Upper Bound
 - $100 * \log_2 N$ is $O(\log N)$
 - $70 * N + 3000$ is $O(N)$
 - $105 * N^2 + 106 * N$ is $O(N^2)$
 - $2 * 2^N + 106 * N^{17} + 1789$ is $O(2^N)$



Motivation for Order Notation

N	$N^2/10$	$N^3/10$	$2N/10$
2	0.4	0.8	0.4
10	10	102	>102
100	103	105	>1024
1000	105	108	>10249
10000	107	1011	>102499

Compare , for example,

an $O(N)$ Algorithm A running on a machine M1 with speed x , with an $O(N*N)$ Algorithm B running on a machine with speed $10x$



Motivation for Order Notation

N	$N^2/10^4$	$N^3/10^4$	$2N/10^4$
2	0.0004	0.0008	0.0004
10	0.01	0.1	>0.1
1000	100	10 ⁵	>10 ²⁴ 6
10 ⁴	10 ⁴	10 ⁸	>10 ²⁴ 96
10 ⁶	10 ⁸	10 ²⁰	?!*@

Compare , for example,

an $O(N)$ Algorithm A running on a machine M1 with speed x , with an $O(N*N)$ Algorithm B running on a machine with speed $10000x$



Order Notation and Conventions

- Asymptotic Complexity – Upper Bound

$g(n)$ is $O(f(n))$

if there is a constant c such that $g(n) \leq c(f(n))$

i.e. if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g(n) / f(n)) = c$ and $c \neq 0$

- We are usually not interested in the best case.

- Typical measures are for the worst case and the average (or expected) case.



Binary Search Algorithm

```
// A indexed from 1 to N
```

```
low = 1; high = N;
```

```
while (low <= high) {
```

```
    mid = (low + high) / 2;
```

```
    if (A[mid] == x) return x;
```

```
    else if (A[mid] < x) low = mid + 1;
```

```
    else high = mid - 1;
```

```
}
```

```
return Not_Found;
```

Worst case:

- Loop executes until $low > high$ i.e. until size of list becomes 0
- Size halved in each iteration
 $N, N/2, N/4, \dots 1$

Number of steps is K

$= N$ such that 2^K
i.e. $\log N$
steps
where N is input size

Time complexity $O(\log N)$



Time Complexity

□ Polynomial Time Complexity

- Time Complexity is $O(N^k)$ for some constant k , where N is input size.

□ Exponential Time Complexity

- Time Complexity is $O(2^N)$, where N is the input size.

Time Complexity

- Consider the following algorithm:

```
int fact(int N) {
    j=1; prod=1;
    while (j<=N) {
        j=j+1; prod=prod*j;
    }
    return prod;
}
```

What is the time complexity?

Is this polynomial time? Why or why not?

Uniform Cost vs. Logarithmic Cost

- Uniform Cost – All basic operations cost same (constant) amount of time (irrespective of the data size)
- Logarithmic Cost – Each operation has a cost that is proportional to the size of the data
- Hint:

- Refer to the RAM model slide for assumptions;
- consider the call *fact(100)*.