

Who did what?: Blood Pressure Machine Documentation & Reviews

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Introduction.....	1
Huseyin Sert.....	2
Harrison James Marcks	5
Jesse Batt.....	6
Dan Steer	8

Introduction

This file will list out all **documentation** completed for **Blood Pressure Machine(BPM)** by the members of 0xDEADBEEF group and display if those documents have been reviewed and if so, by whom.

Members: Huseyin Sert (HS), Harrison James Marcks (HJM), Jesse Batt (JB) and Dan Steer (DS).

Keywords:

SDLL: Software Design Low Level

AT: Acceptance Test
FSM: Finite State Machine

On the Comments / Questions / Revision Request column, user (Name(s) given in **Reviewed By**) will specify what they are writing about and date it. Task creator will then respond. This way progress of each task will be also be viewed.

Huseyin Sert

	Task	Reviewed By	Comments / Questions / Revision Requests	Revision Made	Complete
NA	Example use case	HJM, DS, JB	HJM: Looks good. (16/11/18) DS: Looks good. (16/11/18) JB: Looks good. (16/11/18)		YES

NA	Example use case 2	HJM, DS	<p>HJM: This is wrong because of XYZ. Please change. (16/11/18)</p> <p>Response: XYZ changes have been made. (18/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: Changes are confirmed. Looks good now. (19/11/18)</p> <p>DS: Looks good. (19/11/18)</p>	YES	YES
1.	BPM Activity - AT		<p>JB: Covers functionality well (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p>		YES
2.	WhoDidWhat_Documentation_Review_BPM (this document)		<p>JB: As with the ECG review doc, all good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: I think the people reviewing this document will like it. (28/11/18)</p>		YES

3.	Creating a singular document for BPM with all components in it. -Requirements -Acceptance Tests -Designs		JB: Master doc for BPM looks good (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) DS: Laurence and Martin should like this document. (28/11/18)		YES
4.	Screen - Requirements		JB: Looks appropriate (28/11/18) DS: This covers what it needs to cover (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)		YES
5.	Human Interface - Requirements		JB: All good (28/11/18) DS: Yes all good. (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)		YES
6.	Human Interface - AT		JB: Clear (28/11/18) DS: Very simple but this should be acceptable. (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)		YES
7.	Screen – High level design		JB: Looks nice (28/11/18) DS: This is good. (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)		YES

8.	Screen - AT		JB: Good (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) DS: This is good. (28/11/18)		YES
-----------	-------------	--	---	--	-----

Harrison James Marcks

	Task	Reviewed By	Comments / Questions / Revision Requests	Revision Made	Complete
1.	POST / Power On – Requirements		JB: Covers all areas (28/11/18) DS: This is respectable. (28/11/18) HS: Seems to cover everything. Good job. (28/11/18)		YES
2.	POST / Power On – AT		JB: Consistent with Reqs (28/11/18) DS: This is wholesome . (28/11/18) HS: Seems to cover the requirements set out. (28/11/18)		YES
3.	POST / Power On – High Level FSM		JB: Looks good (28/11/18)		YES

			<p>DS: This is suitable (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Good amount of detail. May need to change the design style a little bit but good for now. (28/11/18)</p>		
4.	BPM Activity - Requirements		<p>JB: Fine (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This is noble. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Good set of requirements set out. (28/11/18)</p>		YES
6.	BPM Activity – High Level FSM		<p>JB: All clear (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This is decent. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Again, design style may need revision but it is fine. Nice detail. (28/11/18)</p>		YES

Jesse Batt

	Task	Reviewed By	Comments / Questions / Revision Requests	Revision Made	Complete
--	------	-------------	--	---------------	----------

1.	Database - Requirements		DS: This is worthy (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Good set of requirements. (28/11/18)		YES
2.	Menu - Requirements		DS: This is great (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Good set of requirements set out. (28/11/18)		YES
3.	Database – AT		DS: This is pleasant (28/11/18). HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Seems to cover the requirements. (28/11/18)		YES
4.	Menu – AT		DS: This is agreeable (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Seems to cover the requirements. (28/11/18)		YES
5.	Menu (Generic) – High Level FSM		DS: This is wonderful (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Nice design, bit simplistic. May need revision. (28/11/18)		YES

6.	Database – High Level FSM		DS: This is pleasing (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Bit too similar to ECG database design but it woks. (28/11/18)		YES
-----------	---------------------------	--	---	--	-----

Dan Steer

	Task	Reviewed By	Comments / Questions / Revision Requests	Revision Made	Complete
1.	Requirements: Networking		JB: Looks good (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Nice set of requirements. (28/11/18)		YES
2.	Acceptance Tests: Networking		JB: All good and clear (28/11/18)		YES

			HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Seems to cover up for the requirements set out. (28/11/18)		
3.	High Level FSM: Networking		JB: Nice (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Good amount of detail. Nice design. (28/11/18)		YES
4.	Requirements: Web Application		JB: Good (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Nice set of requirements listed. (28/11/18)		YES
5.	Acceptance Tests: Web Application		JB: Looks nice (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Seems to cover the acceptance tests set out. (28/11/18)		YES
6.	High Level: Web Application		JB: Looks nice (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Nice level of detail in the design. (28/11/18)		YES

