

Who did what?: Blood Pressure Machine Documentation & Reviews

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	1
Introduction.....	1
Huseyin Sert.....	2
Harrison James Marcks	7
Jesse Batt.....	10
Dan Steer	12

Introduction

This file will list out all **documentation** completed for **Blood Pressure Machine(BPM)** by the members of 0xDEADBEEF group and display if those documents have been reviewed and if so, by whom.

This documented is updated to reflect the changes made to it since the B1 submission. These changes can be found under the [Version 2 Comment](#) message.

Members: Huseyin Sert (HS), Harrison James Marcks (HJM), Jesse Batt (JB) and Dan Steer (DS).

Keywords:

SDLL: Software Design Low Level

AT: Acceptance Test

FSM: Finite State Machine

On the Comments / Questions / Revision Request column, user (Name(s) given in **Reviewed By**) will specify what they are writing about and date it. Task creator will then respond. This way progress of each task will be also be viewed.

Huseyin Sert

	Task	Reviewed By	Comments / Questions / Revision Requests	Revision Made	Complete
NA	Example use case	HJM, DS, JB	HJM: Looks good. (16/11/18) DS: Looks good. (16/11/18) JB: Looks good. (16/11/18)		YES

NA	Example use case 2	HJM, DS	<p>HJM: This is wrong because of XYZ. Please change. (16/11/18)</p> <p>Response: XYZ changes have been made. (18/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: Changes are confirmed. Looks good now. (19/11/18)</p> <p>DS: Looks good. (19/11/18)</p>	YES	YES
1.	BPM Activity - AT	HJM, DS, JB	<p>JB: Covers functionality well (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p>		YES
2.	WhoDidWhat_Documentation_Review_BPM (this document)	HJM, DS, JB	<p>JB: As with the ECG review doc, all good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: I think the people reviewing this document will like it. (28/11/18)</p>		YES

3.	Creating a singular document for BPM with all components in it. -Requirements -Acceptance Tests -Designs	HJM, DS, JB	JB: Master doc for BPM looks good (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) DS: Laurence and Martin should like this document. (28/11/18)		YES
4.	Screen - Requirements	HJM, DS, JB	JB: Looks appropriate (28/11/18) DS: This covers what it needs to cover (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) <u>Version 2 Comment</u> DS: This is outdated and does not apply to BPM machine, get rid of it (03/04/19) HS: Component revised and edited. (03/04/19)	YES	YES
5.	Human Interface - Requirements	HJM, DS, JB	JB: All good (28/11/18) DS: Yes all good. (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) <u>Version 2 Comment</u>	YES	YES

			<p>DS: Needs some changes to adapt to BPM(03/04/19)</p> <p>HS: Component revised and edited. (03/04/19)</p>		
6.	Human Interface - AT	HJM, DS, JB	<p>JB: Clear (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: Very simple but this should be acceptable. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p>		YES
7.	Screen – High level design	HJM, DS, JB	<p>JB: Looks nice (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This is good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p><u>Version 2 Comment</u></p> <p>DS: This is outdated and does not apply to BPM machine, get rid of it (03/04/19)</p> <p>HS: Component revised and edited. (03/04/19)</p>	YES	YES
8.	Screen - AT	HJM, DS, JB	<p>JB: Good (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This is good. (28/11/18)</p>	YES	YES

			<p><u>Version 2 Comment</u></p> <p>DS: This is outdated and does not apply to BPM machine, get rid of it (03/04/19)</p> <p>HS: Component revised and edited. (03/04/19)</p>		
<u>Version 2 Additions</u>					
9.	Changes and adjustments to the entire BPM documentation.	HJM, DS, JB	<p>JB: Changes seem appropriate. Thanks. (03/04/19)</p> <p>DS: The documentation now appropriately applies to the BPM project. (03/04/19)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (03/04/19)</p>		YES
10.	POST – Low Level design	HJM, DS, JB	<p>JB: Clear (03/04/19)</p> <p>DS: Very simple but this should be acceptable. (03/04/19)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (03/04/19)</p>		YES
11.	Database – Low Level design	HJM, DS, JB	<p>JB: Clear (03/04/19)</p> <p>DS: Very simple but this should be acceptable. (03/04/19)</p>		YES

			HJM: This looks good. (03/04/19)		
12.	Web App – Low Level design	HJM, DS, JB	JB: Looks appropriate (03/04/19) DS: This covers what it needs to cover (03/04/19) HJM: This looks good. (03/04/19)		YES
13.	BPM Activity – Low Level design	HJM, DS, JB	JB: Looks appropriate (03/04/19) DS: This covers what it needs to cover (03/04/19) HJM: This looks good. (03/04/19)		YES
14.	Networking – Low Level design	HJM, DS, JB	JB: Looks appropriate (03/04/19) DS: This covers what it needs to cover (03/04/19) HJM: This looks good. (03/04/19)		YES

Harrison James Marcks

	Task	Reviewed By	Comments / Questions / Revision Requests	Revision Made	Complete
--	------	-------------	------------------------------------------	---------------	----------

1.	POST / Power On – Requirements	JB, DS, HS	<p>JB: Covers all areas (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This is respectable. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Seems to cover everything. Good job. (28/11/18)</p> <p><u>Version 2 Comment</u></p> <p>HS: This is outdated and does not apply to BPM machine, I made some adjustments. (03/04/19)</p> <p>HJM: Thanks. (03/04/19)</p>		YES
2.	POST / Power On – AT	JB, DS, HS	<p>JB: Consistent with Reqs (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This is wholesome . (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Seems to cover the requirements set out. (28/11/18)</p> <p><u>Version 2 Comment</u></p> <p>HS: This is outdated and does not apply to BPM machine, I made some adjustments. (03/04/19)</p> <p>HJM: Thanks. (03/04/19)</p>		YES

3.	POST / Power On – High Level FSM	JB, DS, HS	<p>JB: Looks good (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This is suitable (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Good amount of detail. May need to change the design style a little bit but good for now. (28/11/18)</p>		YES
4.	BPM Activity - Requirements	JB, DS, HS	<p>JB: Fine (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This is noble. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Good set of requirements set out. (28/11/18)</p> <p><u>Version 2 Comment</u></p> <p>HS: This is outdated and does not apply to BPM machine, I made some adjustments. (03/04/19)</p> <p>HJM: Thanks. (03/04/19)</p>		YES
6.	BPM Activity – High Level FSM	JB, DS, HS	<p>JB: All clear (28/11/18)</p> <p>DS: This is decent. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Again, design style may need revision but it is fine. Nice detail. (28/11/18)</p>		YES

Jesse Batt

	Task	Reviewed By	Comments / Questions / Revision Requests	Revision Made	Complete
1.	Database - Requirements	DS, HJM, HS	<p>DS: This is worthy (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Good set of requirements. (28/11/18)</p>		YES
2.	Menu - Requirements	DS, HJM, HS	<p>DS: This is great (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Good set of requirements set out. (28/11/18)</p> <p><u>Version 2 Comment</u></p> <p>HS: This is outdated and does not apply to BPM machine, Got rid of it. (03/04/19)</p> <p>JB: Thanks. (03/04/19)</p>		YES

3.	Database – AT	DS, HJM, HS	<p>DS: This is pleasant (28/11/18).</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Seems to cover the requirements. (28/11/18)</p>		YES
4.	Menu – AT	DS, HJM, HS	<p>DS: This is agreeable (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Seems to cover the requirements. (28/11/18)</p> <p><u>Version 2 Comment</u></p> <p>HS: This is outdated and does not apply to BPM machine, Got rid of it. (03/04/19)</p> <p>JB: Thanks. (03/04/19)</p>		YES
5.	Menu (Generic) – High Level FSM	DS, HJM, HS	<p>DS: This is wonderful (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Nice design, bit simplistic. May need revision. (28/11/18)</p> <p><u>Version 2 Comment</u></p>		YES

			<p>HS: This is outdated and does not apply to BPM machine, Got rid of it. (03/04/19)</p> <p>JB: Thanks. (03/04/19)</p>		
6.	Database – High Level FSM	DS, HJM, HS	<p>DS: This is pleasing (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p> <p>HS: Bit too similar to ECG database design but it works. (28/11/18)</p>		YES

Dan Steer

	Task	Reviewed By	Comments / Questions / Revision Requests	Revision Made	Complete
1.	Requirements: Networking	HS, JB, HJM	<p>JB: Looks good (28/11/18)</p> <p>HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)</p>		YES

			HS: Nice set of requirements. (28/11/18)		
2.	Acceptance Tests: Networking	HS, JB, HJM	JB: All good and clear (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Seems to cover up for the requirements set out. (28/11/18)		YES
3.	High Level FSM: Networking	HS, JB, HJM	JB: Nice (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Good amount of detail. Nice design. (28/11/18)		YES
4.	Requirements: Web Application	HS, JB, HJM	JB: Good (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Nice set of requirements listed. (28/11/18)		YES
5.	Acceptance Tests: Web Application	HS, JB, HJM	JB: Looks nice (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Seems to cover the acceptance tests set out. (28/11/18)		YES

6.	High Level: Web Application	HS, JB, HJM	JB: Looks nice (28/11/18) HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) HS: Nice level of detail in the design. (28/11/18)		YES
-----------	-----------------------------	-------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-----