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# Introduction

This file will list out all **documentation** completed for **Blood Pressure Machine(BPM)** by the members of 0xDEADBEEF group and display if those documents have been reviewed and if so, by whom.

Members: Huseyin Sert (HS), Harrison James Marcks (HJM), Jesse Batt (JB) and Dan Steer (DS).

Keywords:

SDLL: Software Design Low Level

AT: Acceptance Test

FSM: Finite State Machine

On the Comments / Questions / Revision Request column, user (Name(s) given in **Reviewed By**) will specify what they are writing about and date it. Task creator will then respond. This way progress of each task will be also be viewed.

# Huseyin Sert

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Task** | **Reviewed By** | **Comments / Questions / Revision Requests** | **Revision Made** | **Complete** |
| **NA** | Example use case | HJM, DS, JB | HJM: Looks good. (16/11/18)  DS: Looks good. (16/11/18)  JB: Looks good. (16/11/18) |  | YES |
| **NA** | Example use case 2 | HJM, DS | HJM: This is wrong because of XYZ. Please change. (16/11/18)  Response: XYZ changes have been made. (18/11/18)  HJM: Changes are confirmed. Looks good now. (19/11/18)  DS: Looks good. (19/11/18) | YES | YES |
| **1.** | BPM Activity - AT |  | JB: Covers functionality well (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  DS: This looks good. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **2.** | WhoDidWhat\_Documentation\_Review\_BPM (this document) |  | JB: As with the ECG review doc, all good. (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  DS: I think the people reviewing this document will like it. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **3.** | Creating a singular document for BPM with all components in it.  -Requirements  -Acceptance Tests  -Designs |  | JB: Master doc for BPM looks good (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  DS: Laurence and Martin should like this document. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **4.** | Screen - Requirements |  | JB: Looks appropriate (28/11/18)  DS: This covers what it needs to cover (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **5.** | Human Interface - Requirements |  | JB: All good (28/11/18)  DS: Yes all good. (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **6.** | Human Interface - AT |  | JB: Clear (28/11/18)  DS: Very simple but this should be acceptable. (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **7.** | Screen – High level design |  | JB: Looks nice (28/11/18)  DS: This is good. (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **8.** | Screen - AT |  | JB: Good (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  DS: This is good. (28/11/18) |  | YES |

# Harrison James Marcks

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Task** | **Reviewed By** | **Comments / Questions / Revision Requests** | **Revision Made** | **Complete** |
| **1.** | POST / Power On – Requirements |  | JB: Covers all areas (28/11/18)  DS: This is respectable. (28/11/18)  HS: Seems to cover everything. Good job. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **2.** | POST / Power On – AT |  | JB: Consistent with Reqs (28/11/18)  DS: This is wholesome . (28/11/18)    HS: Seems to cover the requirements set out. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **3.** | POST / Power On – High Level FSM |  | JB: Looks good (28/11/18)  DS: This is suitable (28/11/18)  HS: Good amount of detail. May need to change the design style a little bit but good for now. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **4.** | BPM Activity - Requirements |  | JB: Fine (28/11/18)  DS: This is noble. (28/11/18)  HS: Good set of requirements set out. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **6.** | BPM Activity – High Level FSM |  | JB: All clear (28/11/18)  DS: This is decent. (28/11/18)  HS: Again, design style may need revision but it is fine. Nice detail. (28/11/18) |  | YES |

# 

# Jesse Batt

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Task** | **Reviewed By** | **Comments / Questions / Revision Requests** | **Revision Made** | **Complete** |
| **1.** | Database - Requirements |  | DS: This is worthy (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Good set of requirements. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **2.** | Menu - Requirements |  | DS: This is great (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Good set of requirements set out. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **3.** | Database – AT |  | DS: This is pleasant (28/11/18).  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Seems to cover the requirements. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **4.** | Menu – AT |  | DS: This is agreeable (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Seems to cover the requirements. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **5.** | Menu (Generic) – High Level FSM |  | DS: This is wonderful (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Nice design, bit simplistic. May need revision. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **6.** | Database – High Level FSM |  | DS: This is pleasing (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Bit too similar to ECG database design but it woks. (28/11/18) |  | YES |

# 

# Dan Steer

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Task** | **Reviewed By** | **Comments / Questions / Revision Requests** | **Revision Made** | **Complete** |
| **1.** | Requirements: Networking |  | JB: Looks good (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Nice set of requirements. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **2.** | Acceptance Tests: Networking |  | JB: All good and clear (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Seems to cover up for the requirements set out. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **3.** | High Level FSM: Networking |  | JB: Nice (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Good amount of detail. Nice design. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **4.** | Requirements: Web Application |  | JB: Good (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Nice set of requirements listed. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **5.** | Acceptance Tests: Web Application |  | JB: Looks nice (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Seems to cover the acceptance tests set out. (28/11/18) |  | YES |
| **6.** | High Level: Web Application |  | JB: Looks nice (28/11/18)  HJM: This looks good. (28/11/18)  HS: Nice level of detail in the design. (28/11/18) |  | YES |