

NeverMind

- **Thought-to-Speech BCI Feasibility Report**

This report assesses the technical, operational, financial, and regulatory feasibility of a non-invasive EEG-based “thought-to-speech” BCI system. The goal is a 12-month project to prototype a system that converts imagined speech EEG into audible spoken phrases. We evaluate state-of-the-art methods, outline required resources, budget, and timeline, and recommend next steps toward a research prototype and eventual clinical/commercial product. All claims are supported by the latest research and industry analyses.

- **Technical Feasibility**

EEG-based speech decoding is an active research area but remains challenging. **Current status:** State-of-the-art non-invasive systems can decode only limited vocabulary or speech components. For example, a recent deep-learning model aligned EEG (or MEG) signals with pretrained speech representations (Wav2Vec2.0) to identify spoken phrases. This model achieved at best ~17–26% top-10 accuracy on EEG datasets – far above random chance but far from fluent speech. A comprehensive review emphasizes that *real-time speech-imagery BCI* (offline EEG decoding of covert speech) is “still in its infancy”. In practice, most EEG speech-BCI studies use simplified tasks (e.g. classifying a few imagined words or phonemes, or mapping motor-imagery to vowels) with extensive training and feedback.

Figure: Conceptual deep-learning architecture for EEG speech decoding. A “brain module” network maps raw EEG time-series to deep speech embeddings (e.g. wav2vec features) to align with an audio “speech module”. Modern deep-learning approaches train a neural “brain module” that ingests multi-channel EEG time series and maps them into the latent space of a pretrained speech model. This contrastive-learning strategy leverages large speech datasets to guide EEG decoding, but it still requires extensive computation and large training sets. It also shows that cutting-edge methods focus on cortical *perception* or imaginations processed by highly trained models, highlighting the difficulty of non-invasive decoding. Non-invasive EEG signals are **noisy and variable**: they have low signal-to-noise ratio and poor spatial resolution compared to invasive electrodes. Dedicated preprocessing (artifact removal, filtering) and careful feature extraction (time-frequency transforms, common spatial patterns, etc.) are needed before any machine learning.

EEG hardware: A research-grade multi-channel EEG system is required. Studies suggest optimal decoding often uses 30+ electrodes placed near speech-processing areas (e.g. Broca/Wernicke regions). However, fewer channels reduce cost and setup time, trading off information. Dry or semi-dry caps can speed preparation. A high-end 32–64 channel EEG device (with active electrodes) might cost on the order of ₹10–20 lakh, whereas 8–16 channel consumer headsets (Emotiv, OpenBCI) are cheaper but produce weaker signals. **Recommendation:** Acquire a high-quality research EEG cap (≥ 32 channels) with shielded amplifiers to maximize SNR. Use amplifiers/ADC with high sampling rate (≥ 250 Hz) to capture speech-related rhythms.

Signal processing: Implement robust preprocessing to remove eye-blink and muscle artifacts (e.g. using ICA or adaptive filtering). Use band-pass filters (e.g. 8–30 Hz for sensorimotor rhythms, plus potential high-gamma). Spatial filtering (e.g. Laplacian, CSP) can enhance relevant sources. Data augmentation and artifact-robust algorithms (as in [24]) will help improve SNR.

Machine learning: Train classifiers or end-to-end neural networks on labeled EEG data. Early approaches used SVMs or HMMs on hand-engineered features (e.g. band power of imagined speech vowels). Modern systems favor deep networks: convolutional and recurrent architectures (CNNs, RNNs, Transformers) can learn spatiotemporal EEG patterns. Transfer learning or multi-subject training (via fine-tuning) may improve generalization. Real-time operation requires efficient models; avoid overly complex networks or use GPU acceleration. Training data must pair EEG with known speech phrases. Initially, use a small, constrained vocabulary (e.g. digits, commands, or a few sentence templates) to demonstrate feasibility. For example, Brumberg et al. (2018) had 16 subjects learn to produce **three vowel sounds** (/i/, /a/, /u/) via motor-imagery EEG controlling a formant synthesizer, demonstrating real-time synthesis is possible for simple outputs.

Speech synthesis: If the EEG decoder outputs text or phonemic codes, existing TTS systems (Tacotron, WaveNet, or even formant synthesizers) can convert them to audio. For initial prototype, a simple parametric or concatenative synthesizer (as used in [20]) can provide instant audio feedback. For more natural speech, use a neural TTS engine with a generic or user voice. The pipeline: *EEG* \rightarrow *text/phoneme* \rightarrow *TTS* \rightarrow *speech output*. Real-time latency should be minimized (end-to-end latency of a few hundred ms to < 1 s is desirable).

Technical challenges: The core challenge is low accuracy and slow speed

for rich speech. Expect to initially produce only short phrases or single words. Integration of silent-speech (via facial EMG or subvocal muscle sensors) could be considered later but is beyond the non-invasive EEG scope. Continuous real-time decoding of full sentences is likely beyond 1-year scope; focus on proof-of-concept tasks.

Technical Recommendations:

- **Limit scope initially.** Target decoding of a fixed set of words/phrases (e.g. common sentences or commands) rather than freeform speech. Consider classifying imagined utterances via keywords, then using TTS.
- **Use feedback and training.** Incorporate visual/audio feedback during experiments (as [20] shows) to train users. This improves user ability to modulate EEG features.
- **Iterate ML models.** Start with classical feature+SVM approaches on small datasets, then scale to deep networks once more data is collected.
- **Prototype integration early.** Build real-time pipeline (acquisition→preprocess→classify→synthesize) as soon as basic classification works offline, to test latency issues.
- **Operational Feasibility**

Implementing this project requires a multidisciplinary team and proper infrastructure:

- **Team:** Core personnel should include *neuroscience/BCI experts* (for EEG and experiment design), *machine learning engineers* (for signal processing and model development), *software developers* (for integration and UI), and *project management*. For example, 1–2 EEG/physiology specialists and 2–3 ML/software engineers are typical for an academic prototype. Additional consultants (speech scientists, rehabilitation therapists) can advise on later-stage clinical relevance.
- **Facilities:** A dedicated lab space is needed for EEG recording. This should be electrically shielded or quiet to reduce noise. Standard lab equipment (chairs, computers, monitors) and safety gear must be provided. Several high-end workstations/servers with GPUs will be needed for ML training and real-time inference. Data storage (encrypted) is required for EEG and personal data.
- **Equipment:** Aside from EEG hardware (caps, amplifiers, electrodes, gel), necessary items include: high-quality audio recording/playback

for stimuli, speaker/headphones, computers, and optionally eye-tracking or motion sensors to monitor artifacts. Off-the-shelf EEG SDKs and BCI platforms (e.g. OpenViBE, BCI2000) may be used to accelerate development.

- **Participant recruitment:** Initially recruit healthy volunteers for data collection and usability testing. Later, partnerships with neurology/rehab centers will allow trials with target users (e.g. locked-in patients). Plan for 10–20 subjects in pilot testing to train personalized models, subject to ethics approval.
- **Collaborations:** Seek partnerships with academic institutions (e.g. IITs, AIIMS, NIMHANS, IISc) that have BCI or EEG expertise. Industrial partners could include EEG manufacturers (g.tec, NTA-Roland), AI/ML labs (TCS Innovation Labs, Wipro), and startups in assistive tech. The Technology Innovation Hub (TIH) network in India may offer collaboration with other TIH centers on AI or neuroscience.
- **Training:** Team members may need training on EEG data analysis tools (Python MNE, MATLAB EEGLAB) and ML frameworks (PyTorch, TensorFlow). Workshops or online courses in neurotech and AI are recommended early on.
- **Data management:** Establish secure handling of sensitive EEG/person data. Implement anonymization protocols and data backups. Adhere to ethical standards (see below).

Operational Recommendations:

- **Hire or train specialists.** Ensure at least one team member has prior EEG/BCI research experience. Consider internships or collaborations with BCI labs.
 - **Plan infrastructure early.** Procure EEG equipment and set up computational resources in Months 1–2. Test basic acquisition with pilot subjects before building algorithms.
 - **Engage partners.** Initiate discussions with TIH administrators and hospitals by Month 3. Formalize MOUs for resources (lab space, patient access) by mid-project.
 - **Standardize protocols.** Develop SOPs for EEG recording (electrode placement, calibration) and data labeling, to ensure consistency across sessions.
- **Financial Feasibility**

A budget of **₹50 lakh (5 million INR)** must cover 12 months of

development. A suggested allocation (₹ in lakhs) is:

- **Personnel (20–25L):** Salaries/stipends for team (2–3 research staff or postdocs, 1–2 engineers). For example, 2 engineers @ ₹8L/year = 16L, 1 neuroscientist @ ₹9L = 9L (total ~25L). TIH grants often allow salaries.
- **Equipment (15–20L):**
 - EEG system: ₹8–12L (e.g. 32-ch. research EEG with active wet/dry electrodes).
 - Computers/GPUs: ₹3–5L (2–3 GPU-enabled workstations).
 - Misc lab supplies (amplifiers, electrodes, audio gear): ~₹2–3L.
- **Software and Services (2–3L):** Licenses (MATLAB, EEG analysis toolboxes) if needed; cloud compute credits for ML training (if used); data annotation services or participant remuneration.
- **Travel and Collaboration (2–3L):** Conferences to present results; stakeholder meetings; workshops with partners.
- **Overheads and Contingency (5–8L):** Institutional overheads (10–20% of grant), unforeseen costs, patent application fees.

This breakdown totals ~50L. The largest share is personnel, reflecting the labor-intensive R&D nature. Equipment costs are one-time, but include high-spec EEG and compute. TIH funding should cover these if procurement happens early. Unused funds in one category (e.g. equipment) could shift to additional staffing or extended trial duration.

Financial Recommendations:

- **Detailed budgeting:** Prepare line-item budget and justify each expense. Prioritize core needs (EEG, staff).
- **Phased spending:** Buy equipment in Q1, recruit staff by Q2. Reserve some funds for end-of-project commercialization tasks (prototyping enclosure, certification fees).
- **Leveraging resources:** Explore sharing EEG labs at partner institutions to reduce equipment cost. Use open-source software where possible to minimize license fees.

Regulatory and Ethical Considerations (India)

- **Ethics Approval:** Any human EEG experiments require Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) approval. Prepare a detailed protocol covering informed consent, safety (no shocks, only EEG), and data privacy. Participants should be healthy volunteers initially, then

patients (with severe communication disabilities) in later trials.

- **Medical Device Rules:** Brain-computer interfaces fall into a regulatory gray area in India. According to analyses, the *Medical Device Rules, 2017* do not explicitly address BCI devices. Our device is non-invasive and intended as an assistive device (likely Class A or B risk). We should consult CDSCO guidance: it may require registration as a medical device if marketed for health use. For clinical testing, the device might be treated as an investigational device needing CDSCO permission.
- **Data Protection:** The Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 does not yet explicitly classify neural data. However, EEG data can reveal health or emotional states, so we must treat it as sensitive personal data. Implement strong encryption and explicit consent forms covering “brainwave data”. Store data in compliance with organizational IT security policies.
- **Safety and Privacy:** EEG is low-risk, but ensure electrical safety standards (use medical-grade equipment and certified power supplies). Minimize any discomfort (gel, cap tightness). Privacy is critical: while our system is intended to read *intended speech*, there is hypothetical risk of unintended “mind-reading.” Policies should clarify that system decodes only trained speech signals (no hidden subconscious reading).
- **Ethical issues:** Address concerns about autonomy and misuse. Ensure users (especially vulnerable patients) fully understand limitations. Engage an ethics advisor or neuroethicist if possible. Maintain transparency about accuracy limits (to manage expectations).
- **Intellectual Property:** Early exploration for patentable components (novel algorithms or hardware integration) is advisable. Note that Indian patent law currently excludes “computer programs per se”, so any algorithmic innovation may need strong hardware tie-in or novel medical application narrative. TIH partnership may facilitate access to IP consultants.
- **Standards and Guidelines:** Internationally, bodies like OECD and FDA have issued BCI guidance. While not legally binding in India, they can inform best practices (e.g. data encryption, software validation). We should align with ISO 13485 (medical devices quality) if pursuing productization.

Regulatory/Ethical Recommendations:

- **Early compliance planning:** Submit ethics application in Q1. Consult CDSCO if planning any clinical testing with patients before product

registration.

- **Data governance:** Draft a clear data management and privacy plan. Anonymize EEG recordings and store consent documents separately.
- **Patient safety:** Incorporate a medical monitor for any clinical tests. Prepare a troubleshooting/stop protocol if subjects become distressed.
- **Community engagement:** Engage with patient advocacy groups (e.g. ALS foundations) to understand user concerns and ensure ethical deployment.

Roadmap and Milestones (12 Months)

A month-by-month plan helps track progress. Major milestones are grouped by quarter:

• Months 1–3: Project Setup

- Finalize team hires and lab setup. Procure EEG equipment and computing resources.
- Develop experiment protocols (word list, stimuli) and obtain ethics clearance.
- Set up basic software pipeline: EEG acquisition to storage, simple preprocessing scripts.
- Conduct pilot recordings on a few healthy subjects to test equipment and collect sample data.

• Months 4–6: Core Development

- Implement advanced preprocessing (artifact removal, feature extraction). Begin offline classification experiments.
- Collect a larger EEG dataset: e.g. subjects imagining speaking a small set of words or syllables. Label data accurately.
- Develop initial ML models (e.g. SVM or shallow CNN) for binary/multiclass classification of this limited vocabulary. Iterate for accuracy improvement (~50–70% target initially).
- Integrate a basic text-to-speech engine; test pipeline end-to-end in offline (non-real-time) mode.
- Prepare first “non-commercial” demo video showing EEG input and corresponding speech output (even if limited phrases).

• Months 7–9: Integration and Real-time Testing

- Optimize models for real-time: prune networks, move to optimized inference framework (TensorRT or ONNX).
- Implement real-time system: live EEG → preprocessing → model inference → TTS. Monitor latency and stability.
- Conduct iterative user studies: have subjects try to “speak” via the system, collect feedback, and refine models. Compare unimodal vs

multimodal feedback (audio+visual cues as in [20] to boost performance).

- Expand vocabulary if feasible (e.g. full digits 0–9 or command phrases). Keep ground truth recording for evaluation.
- Validate performance: compute accuracy, speed, and user satisfaction metrics.

• **Months 10–12: Demonstration and Planning**

- Finalize a research prototype demonstration: perhaps a tablet or screen showing real-time decoded speech from EEG. Record a polished demo.
- Analyze results and prepare technical reports/publication drafts.
- Begin IRB/clinical planning for next phase (if partnering with hospital for patient trials).
- Develop a commercialization plan and pitch: define target users, price model, and regulatory pathway. Possibly file provisional patents on unique aspects.

Milestones: Each quarter should culminate in a demo or report. E.g., Q2 – “Offline prototype with X-word accuracy”, Q3 – “Live demo with Y subjects”, Q4 – “Final prototype ready for pilot testing”. Regular progress reviews and risk assessments are essential.

Commercialization and Future Clinical Strategy

To transition from prototype to product:

- **Market positioning:** The primary market is assistive communication for non-verbal patients (ALS, stroke, severe paralysis). Competing solutions include eye-tracking spellers, speech wheelchairs, and (in future) invasive BCIs. A non-invasive EEG BCI could be lower-cost and safer alternative, albeit with current limitations. Unique selling points: hands-free, silent communication channel.
- **Business model:** Initially, sell to hospitals and research labs. Later, a home unit for personal use. Consider a device + service model: hardware sale plus periodic software updates and user training. Evaluate pricing (likely ₹2–5 lakh per unit in India, given niche market).
- **Clinical validation:** Plan a clinical trial in Year 2 with disabled volunteers to demonstrate utility. Design a small study (5–10 patients) to show improved communication ability vs baseline. Use standardized metrics (e.g. WPM, error rate, user satisfaction). Seek ICMR or CDSCO approval if data used for regulatory submission.
- **Manufacturing:** For commercialization, design a custom, user-

friendly headset (possibly wireless) and a simple user interface. Explore partnering with an Indian medtech manufacturer for cost-effective production. TIH may facilitate prototyping workshops or scaling units.

- **Regulatory certification:** Ultimately, obtain CDSCO registration and possibly CE/FDA approval for global markets. Begin documentation (design history file, test reports) early. A cleared Class II medical device would enhance credibility.
- **Intellectual property and funding:** Pursue patents on any novel algorithms or hardware. Use TIH and incubator resources for business mentorship. After 1-year R&D, seek further funding (Venture or grants) for product development and clinical trials.
- **Ethical deployment:** As a medical device, ensure post-market surveillance. Collect user feedback continuously. Engage with ethics boards on issues of user autonomy and data rights.

Commercialization Recommendations:

- **Engage early with users.** Involve speech therapists and potential end-users in design (usability, language support).
- **Build partnerships.** Leverage TIH's industry linkages for scaling; collaborate with NGOs for distribution.
- **Secure IP.** File provisional patents in India (and internationally if warranted) by project end to protect innovations.
- **Plan for scale.** After proof-of-concept, develop a product roadmap (v1 prototype → v2 commercial device) and identify future funding (VC, government schemes, CSR grants).

Conclusion: Developing an EEG-based thought-to-speech system within one year is ambitious but can yield a compelling proof-of-concept. A realistic approach is to focus on limited-scope demonstrations (fixed phrases or controlled vocabularies) while building a robust pipeline and team. With ₹50L funding, assembling the right hardware and talent is feasible. Critical success factors include iterative prototyping, strong partnerships (technical and clinical), and attention to ethical/regulatory requirements. By the end of Year 1, the goal should be a working research prototype with measurable decoding accuracy, serving as a springboard for extended trials and eventual commercialization in healthcare communication. The steps and resources outlined above provide a structured path to achieve this vision.

