

STSCI 5080  
Probability Models and Inference  
Lecture 19: Maximal Likelihood Estimation

November 6, 2018

# Review of independence

## Definition

Random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent if

$$P(X_1 \in A_1, \dots, X_n \in A_n) = P(X_1 \in A_1) \cdots P(X_n \in A_n)$$

for any subsets  $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

## Discrete case

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and discrete with pmfs

$$p_{X_1}(x_1), \dots, p_{X_n}(x_n),$$

respectively, then the joint pmf of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) \\ &= P(X_1 = x_1) \cdots P(X_n = x_n) \\ &= p_{X_1}(x_1) \cdots p_{X_n}(x_n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n p_{X_i}(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

## Continuous case

- A random vector  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is continuous if there exists a joint pdf  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$P((X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B) = \int \cdots \int_B f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n$$

for any subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and continuous with pdfs

$$f_{X_1}(x_1), \dots, f_{X_n}(x_n),$$

respectively, then  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is continuous with joint pdf

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f_{X_1}(x_1) \cdots f_{X_n}(x_n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

# Setting

- Let  $\{f_\theta \mid \theta \in \Theta\}$  be a class of pmfs/pdfs where  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ , and suppose that

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f_\theta \text{ i.i.d.}$$

for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

- Find the joint pmf/pdf of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

# Setting

- Let  $\{f_\theta \mid \theta \in \Theta\}$  be a class of pmfs/pdfs where  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ , and suppose that

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f_\theta \text{ i.i.d.}$$

for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

- Find the joint pmf/pdf of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .
- The joint pmf/pdf is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(x_i).$$

# Likelihood function

Plug in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and think of the joint pmf/pdf as a function of  $\theta$ :

$$L_n(\theta) = L_n(\theta, X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta}(X_i).$$

This is called the **likelihood function** for  $\theta$ .

## Definition

An **estimator**  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for  $\theta$  is a function (statistic) of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  that takes values in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . If the estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is evaluated at some specific values of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , i.e.,  $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n$ , then  $\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is called an **estimate**.

An estimator is a random variable (vector), but an estimate is a non-random number.



# MLE

## Definition

The **maximal likelihood estimator** (MLE)  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is defined by a point in  $\Theta$  that maximizes  $L_n(\theta)$ :

$$L_n(\hat{\theta}) = \max_{\theta \in \Theta} L_n(\theta).$$

If  $\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is evaluated at specific values of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , i.e.,  $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n$ , then the value  $\hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is called an **maximum likelihood estimate**.

# Finding MLE

- In practice, it is easier to work with the log likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_n(\theta) &= \ell_n(\theta, X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ &= \log L_n(\theta) \\ &= \log \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta}(X_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_{\theta}(X_i).\end{aligned}$$

- We note that

$$\text{maximizing } L_n(\theta) \Leftrightarrow \text{maximizing } \ell_n(\theta)$$

## Theorem

*The MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  can be defined as a point in  $\Theta$  that maximizes  $\ell_n(\theta)$ :*

$$\ell_n(\hat{\theta}) = \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \ell_n(\theta).$$

# Finding a maximizer of a smooth function

## Theorem

*Let  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  and let  $g : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function. If  $\theta^*$  maximizes  $g(\theta)$  and is an interior point of  $\Theta$ , then  $\theta^*$  satisfies the first order condition (FOC):*

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_1}(\theta) = 0,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_k}(\theta) = 0.$$

# Finding MLE

## Rule of thumb

To find the MLE, find a point in  $\Theta$  that satisfies the FOC

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial \theta_1}(\theta) &= 0, \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial \theta_k}(\theta) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{*}$$

If  $k = 1$  (i.e.,  $\theta$  is one-dim.), then (\*) simplifies to

$$\ell'_n(\theta) = 0.$$

## Example 19.1

### Example

Let

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim Po(\lambda) \text{ i.i.d.}$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

- (a) Find the log likelihood function for  $\lambda$ .
- (b) Find the FOC for the MLE of  $\lambda$ .
- (c) Find the MLE.

The pmf of  $Po(\lambda)$  is

$$f_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

The joint pmf is

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\lambda}(x_i) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda} \\ &= e^{-n\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}. \quad (\text{why?}) \end{aligned}$$

The likelihood function is

$$L_n(\lambda) = e^{-n\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n X_i!}.$$

The log likelihood function is

$$\ell_n(\lambda) = \log L_n(\lambda) = -n\lambda + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \log \lambda - \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i!\right).$$

We note that

$$\ell'_n(\lambda) = -n + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\lambda}.$$

So the FOC is

$$-n + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\lambda} = 0.$$

Solving the FOC w.r.t.  $\lambda$ , we obtain the MLE

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}.$$



## Example 19.2

### Example

Let

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Ex}(\lambda) \text{ i.i.d.}$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

- (a) Find the log likelihood function for  $\lambda$ .
- (b) Find the FOC for the MLE of  $\lambda$ .
- (c) Find the MLE.

The pdf of  $Ex(\lambda)$  is

$$f_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}.$$

The joint pdf is

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^n f_{\lambda}(x_i) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} \\ &= \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}.\end{aligned}$$

The likelihood function is

$$L_n(\lambda) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}.$$

The log likelihood function is

$$\ell_n(\lambda) = \log L_n(\lambda) = n \log \lambda - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

We note that

$$\ell'_n(\lambda) = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

So the FOC is

$$\frac{n}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 0.$$

Solving the FOC w.r.t.  $\lambda$ , we obtain the MLE

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}}.$$

# Lifetimes of electronic components

- An exponential distribution is used for modeling lifetimes of electronic components (e.g. laptops).
- Suppose that we observe the lifetimes of three electronic components, and we fit an exponential distribution to them:

$$X_1, X_2, X_3 \sim \text{Ex}(\lambda) \text{ i.i.d.}$$

for some  $\lambda$ .

- Now, the actual data are  $X_1 = 3, X_2 = 1.5$ , and  $X_3 = 2.1$ .
- The MLE for  $\lambda$  is

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}} = \frac{1}{2.2} \approx 0.45.$$

## Example 19.3

### Example

Suppose that  $\sigma_0^2$  is known (e.g.  $\sigma_0^2 = 9$ ). Let

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma_0^2) \text{ i.i.d.}$$

for some  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ .

- (a) Find the log likelihood function for  $\mu$ .
- (b) Find the FOC for the MLE of  $\mu$ .
- (c) Find the MLE.

The pdf of  $N(\mu, \sigma_0^2)$  is

$$f_{\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma_0^2)}.$$

The joint pdf is

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^n f_{\mu}(x_i) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}} e^{-(x_i-\mu)^2/(2\sigma_0^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_0^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^2/(2\sigma_0^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

The likelihood function is

$$L_n(\mu) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma_0^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i-\mu)^2/(2\sigma_0^2)}.$$

The log likelihood function is

$$\ell_n(\mu) = \log L_n(\mu) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma_0^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2.$$

We note that

$$\ell'_n(\mu) = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu).$$

So the FOC is

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) = 0.$$

Solving the FOC w.r.t.  $\mu$ , we obtain the MLE

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}.$$

## Example 19.4

### Example

Let  $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$  for some  $0 < p < 1$ .

- (a) Find the log likelihood function for  $p$ .
- (b) Find the FOC for the MLE of  $p$ .
- (c) Find the MLE.



The sample size is 1 in this example. The pmf of  $X$  is

$$f_p(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}.$$

The likelihood function is

$$L(p) = \binom{n}{X} p^X (1-p)^{n-X}.$$

The log likelihood function is

$$\ell(p) = \log \binom{n}{X} + X \log p + (n - X) \log(1 - p).$$

We note that

$$\ell'(p) = \frac{X}{p} - \frac{n - X}{1 - p}.$$

So the FOC is

$$\frac{X}{p} - \frac{n - X}{1 - p} = 0.$$

Solving the FOC w.r.t.  $p$ , we obtain the MLE:

$$\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n}.$$

## Example: Postponement of death

- There is a theory that people can postpone their death until after an important event.
- To test the theory, Phillips and Smith<sup>1</sup> (1990) collected data on deaths around some (important!) festival for a certain group of people.
- Of 103 deaths, 33 died the week before the festival and 70 died the week after.

---

<sup>1</sup>D.P. Phillips and D.G. Smith. (1990). "Postponement of death until symbolically meaningful occasions". *JAMA* **263** 1947-1951.

- Suppose that each person dies after the festival with probability  $p$ .
- The total number of deaths after the festival  $X$  follows  $Bin(n, p)$  where  $n = 103$ .
- In this example,  $X = 70$ , and so the MLE of  $p$  is

$$\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n} = \frac{70}{103} = 0.68\dots$$

# Functions of MLE

## Definition

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the MLE of  $\theta$ . Then the MLE of  $g(\theta)$  is  $g(\hat{\theta})$ .

## Example 19.5

### Example

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim Po(\lambda)$  i.i.d. for some  $\lambda$ . The MLE is

$$\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}.$$

We want to estimate

$$\theta = g(\lambda) = P_{\lambda}(X_1 = 0) = e^{-\lambda}.$$

Then the MLE of  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta} = g(\hat{\lambda}) = e^{-\bar{X}}.$$

## Example: Number of penalty shootouts

- You are a big fan of a soccer team in the English premier league.
- There are 7 fouls committed by your team that led to penalty shootouts among 38 games.
- Find the MLE of the probability that your team commits no such fouls in a randomly chosen game.

## Example: Number of penalty shootouts

- You are a big fan of a soccer team in the English premier league.
- There are 7 fouls committed by your team that led to penalty shootouts among 38 games.
- Find the MLE of the probability that your team commits no such fouls in a randomly chosen game.
- Answer:

$$e^{-7/38} \approx 0.816.$$