

- **Introduction to Epistemic Asymmetry**

- Defines “prehistory” and focuses on paleontology and geology.
- Introduces epistemic asymmetry: more knowledge of the tiny (microphysical) than the past.
- Highlights importance for philosophers, scientists, and others.

Limits to Our Knowledge of Prehistory

- **Example of Sauropod Trackways**

- Differentiates wide-gauge and narrow-gauge tracks.
- Explores hypotheses: same species, substrate, different species.
- **Wilson and Carrano (1999) Biomechanical Analysis**
 - Titanosaurs likely made wide-gauge tracks; femur morphology supports this.
- **Speculation on Titanosaur Locomotion**
 - Semi-bipedal hypothesis is speculative.
- **Conclusion:** Transition from solid science to speculation in historical sciences.

The Time Asymmetry of Knowledge

- **Introduction to Time Asymmetry**

- More knowledge of the past than the future.
- **Paul Horwich’s Explanation**
 - Recording systems provide past information; precording systems for future do not exist.
 - **Fork Asymmetry:** Correlated events have common causes, not common effects.
- **Implications:** Explains extensive past records and limited future knowledge.

The Past vs. the Microphysical

- **Introduction to Epistemic Scope Asymmetry**

- Contrasts knowledge of the past with the microphysical.

- **Asymmetry of Manipulability**

- **Ian Hacking:** Scientists can manipulate microphysical entities, aiding theory testing.

- **Role Asymmetry of Background Theories**

- **Dampening Role:** Historical theories limit evidence (e.g., taphonomy).
- **Enlarging Role:** Microphysical theories create new evidence (e.g., optics).
- **Conclusion:** Asymmetries create an epistemic asymmetry favoring the microphysical.

Scientific Realism

- **Overview of Scientific Realism**

- Realists believe scientific theories describe both observable and unobservable aspects.

- **Epistemological Optimism**

- **Boyd, Psillos, McMullin, Leplin, Devitt:** Knowledge of unobservables is possible and actual.

- **Critiques and Alternatives**

- **Social Constructivists and Arthur Fine’s NOA:** Share optimism but differ on metaphysical claims.

- **Skeptical Arguments**

- **Pessimistic Induction:** Past scientific beliefs about unobservables often discarded.
- **Underdetermination:** Observable evidence insufficient for unique truths about unobservables.

- **Realists’ Defense**

- **Inference to the Best Explanation:** Success of theories implies their approximate truth.

A Skewed Debate

- **Fictional Analogy**

- Investigators study two kinds of unobservables (K and K*).
- Philosophers focus on K, neglecting K*.

- **Epistemic Differences**

- **Genus/Species Confusion:** Overgeneralizing from K to all unobservables.
- **High-Level Generality:** Debate at genus level misses species-specific challenges.

- **Parallel to Realism Debate**

- Realists focus on microphysical (K), neglecting historical (K*).
- **Consequences:** Overlooks epistemic asymmetries, leading to incomplete conclusions.