# Bocchi RO, Harry Luo

# Biodiversity vs. paleodiversity measurements: the incommensurability problem

# 1. Introduction

- The paper hypothesizes a current biodiversity crisis based on comparing paleodiversity and modern biodiversity.
- Claims it's harder to justify this crisis due to incommensurability between paleodiversity and biodiversity measurements.

#### 2. Measuring Biodiversity

#### • 2.1 Disclaimer on Measurements

▶ Terminology and process orientation.

## • 2.2 Species-Based Measurements

- Species Richness Index: Basic species count measure with limitations (sampling effect, nonlinearity).
- Menhinick Species Richness Index: Corrects sampling bias but has conceptual issues (normal distribution assumption).
- Gini-Simpson Index: Measures species richness and evenness but has non-linearity and practical issues

#### • 2.3 Conceptual Limitations

Richness and abundance as parts or proxies for biodiversity but not exhaustive measures.

## • 2.4 Processual Approach

▶ Phylogenetic systematics operationalizes biodiversity through evolutionary history (Faith's PD index).

# 3. Measuring Paleodiversity

#### • 3.1 Basics

▶ Paleodiversity tracks macroevolutionary trends using fossil records (Sepkoski's paleodiversity curve).

## · 3.2 Methodology

► Two-stage process: Fossil data collection and statistical correction to create paleocurves.

#### • 3.3 Issues in Data

▶ Incomplete fossil record, biases (differential preservation, sampling), classification issues.

#### 4. The Incommensurability Problem

## · Conceptual Incommensurability

- Different frameworks and purposes.
- ► Biodiversity as evolutionary process vs. paleodiversity as taxonomic patterns.

# • Data Incommensurability

▶ Different taxonomic levels (species vs. genera/families), representation biases.

#### Implications

• General crisis claims lack strong justification without shared measurement criteria.

# 5. Three Solutions

- Restructure Paleodiversity: Incorporate phylogenetic distance and functionality.
- Simplify Biodiversity: Operationalize biodiversity closer to taxa counts.
- Eliminative Approach (Santana): Focus on specific biological values rather than broad biodiversity.

#### 6. Conclusion

- Recommends using a more practical approach by focusing on specific biological measures.
- Argues for more precise conservation strategies based on deconstructed biodiversity values.