Proof:

- 1. First, prove the relation to be equivalent:
 - Reflective: $a \sim a \Rightarrow f(a) = f(a)$, TRUE.
 - Symmetric: $a \sim b \Rightarrow f(a) = f(b) \Rightarrow f(b) = f(a) \Rightarrow b \sim a$, TRUE.
 - transitive: $a \sim b, b \sim c \Rightarrow f(a) = f(b), f(b) = f(c) \Rightarrow f(a) = f(c) \Rightarrow a \sim c$, TRUE.
- 2. Then, prove its equivalence classes to be the fibers of f:

Let C be the set of equivalence classes of A under \sim , and let F be the set of fibers of f. We will show that C=F.

Take an arbitrary element $a \in A$. The equivalence class of $a \in A$ is:

$$\{x \in A \mid x \sim a\} = \{x \in A \mid f(x) = f(a)\}$$

$$= f^{-1}\{f(a)\}$$
(1)

which by definition is the fiber of f.

Since a was arbitrary, every equivalence class is a fiber of f, i.e. $C \subseteq F$.

Conversely, let F' be an arbitrary fiber of f for some $b \in B$. Then by definition,

$$F' = f^{-1}\{b\}$$
= $\{x \in A \mid f(x) = b\}$ (2)

.

Since f is surjective, $\exists a \in A \ s.t. \ f(a) = b$. Consider the equivalence class of a:

$$\{x \in A \mid x \sim a\} = \{x \in A \mid f(x) = f(a)\}\$$

$$= \{x \in A \mid f(x) = b\}\$$

$$= F'.$$
(3)

Since F' was arbitrary, every fiber of f is an equivalence class, i.e. $F \subseteq C$. Thus, C = F.

Prove by contradiction:

1. Consider an arbitrary **column** in the multiplication table of G. Suppose that the colum is *not* a permutation of G. Then there would be at least two identical elements in this column, which we denote as a. This implies that

$$\exists x, y \in G, x \neq y, s.t. \ xa = ya \tag{4}$$

Applying x^{-1} from right on both sides:

$$x^{-1}xa = x^{-1}ya$$

$$a = x^{-1}ya$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{-1}y = e.$$
(5)

Since inverse of an element is unique, y = x, which is a contradiction.

2. Similarly, consider arbitrary \mathbf{row} in the multiplication table of G . Suppose that this row is *not* a permutation of G, i.e. there are at least two repeating elements, denoted as b. This implies

$$\exists x, y \in G, x \neq y, s.t. \ xa = xb. \tag{6}$$

Applying a^{-1} from left on both sides:

$$xaa^{-1} = xba^{-1}$$

$$x = xba^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow ba^{-1} = e.$$
(7)

Since inverse of an element is unique, b = a, a contradiction.

3. Multiplication tables are special cases of Latin squares, in particular, they hold hold the property of associativity. This restricts the set of possible Latin squares, because:

The group operation must be associative, menaing for every single combinitation of three elements, $a, b, c \in G$, (ab)c = a(bc).

In a table, this means:

• let entry $(a,b) \coloneqq d$ and entry $(d,c) \coloneqq e$, then we must have entry (d,c) equal to entry (a,e).

This is a strong restriction on the possible arrangements of elements in a Latin square, and thus only a small subset of Latin squares can be multiplication tables of groups.

P3

We check each axiom one by one:

Closure: Satisfied.

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a + b \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{ext}}$.

If at least one of the numbers is ∞ , the sum is $\infty \in \mathbb{R}_{ext}$.

associativity: Satisfied.

We want to show that for any $a,b,c\in\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{ext}},(a+b)+c=a+(b+c)$. We have two cases:

- If all elements are real, then the sum is trivially associative.
- If at least one element is ∞ , then both sides equal ∞ .

Identity: Satisfied.

The identity element is $0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{ext}}$. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{ext}}$, a+0=0+a=a.

Inverse: NOT satisfied.

Assume not, then for $\infty \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{ext}}$, $\exists a \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{ext}} s.t.a + \infty = 0$. This is a contradiction, since $a + \infty = \infty$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{ext}}$.

Therefore, $(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{ext}},+)$ is not a group.

$$G = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z^n = 1 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}$$
 (8)

a. Prove that G is a group under multiplication.

We check for each axiom:

Closure:

let $a,b\in G$, then $a^{n_1}=1,b^{n_2}=1$, for some $n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}^+$. Need to show that $ab\in G\Leftrightarrow (ab)^k=1$ for some $k\in\mathbb{Z}^+$.

Take $k = n_1 n_2$, then

$$(ab)^k = a^{n_1 n_2} b^{n_1 n_2} = 1^{n_2} 1^{n_1} = 1. (9)$$

Exists such k, and so $ab \in G$, i.e. closure is satisfied.

Assoc.

Taivially satisfied, as $G \subset \mathbb{C}$, each element is a complex number, and multiplication of complex numbers is associative.

Identity.

Trivially satisfied, as $1 \in G$ (take n = 1), and for any $a \in G$, a1 = 1a = a.

Inverse.

Consider arbitrary $a \in G$. Exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t. $a^n = 1$. Rewriting,

$$a^{n-1}a = 1 \Rightarrow a^{n-1} = a^{-1}. (10)$$

Since $(z^{n-1})^n = (z^n)^{n-1} = 1, z^{n-1} \in G$.

Therefore, (G, \times) is a group.

b. (G, +) is not a group.

Assume identity exists, then for any $a \in G$,

$$e + a = a + e = a. (11)$$

Since $a, e \in \mathbb{C}$, the identity must be 0. However, $0 \notin G$, since $0^n = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, a contradiction.