

Math 521: Midterm 1

March 12th, 2025

9:57am–10:42am

Name

Harry Luo

Instructions

- Do not open the exam until instructed to do so.
- The exam is closed book—no textbooks, notes, or smartphones allowed.
- Write your answers in the spaces provided. If you run out of space, use the reverse side of the paper. Extra paper is available on request.
- There are three questions, each of which will be graded out of ten points.

17
30

1. Consider the set $A = \{2^{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with the standard metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$.

(a) Determine $\min A$ and $\max A$ if they exist.

(b) Determine $\inf A$ and $\sup A$.

(c) Is A open?

(d) Is A closed?

(e) Is A compact? Note: you may use the Heine-Borel theorem.

[a] as $\frac{1}{2^n}$ diverges to 0. $\min A$ D.N.E. ✓, $\max A = \{\frac{1}{2^n} : n=1\} = \frac{1}{2}$. ✓

[b] $\inf A = 0$, ✓, $\sup A = \max A = \frac{1}{2}$. ✓

[c] for any $x, y \in A$ and $x \neq y$:

$$d(x, y) = |x - y| = \left| \frac{1}{2^{n_1}} - \frac{1}{2^{n_2}} \right| \quad (\text{for } n_1 \in \mathbb{N}, n_2 \in \mathbb{N})$$

Since $\frac{1}{2^n}$ diverges, $\left| \frac{1}{2^{n_1}} - \frac{1}{2^{n_2}} \right| < \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$

-2 So for any $\text{pt } x \in A$, we have

$$B(x, \varepsilon) = \{d(x, y) < \varepsilon : x \in A, y \in A, x \neq y\}$$

and thus A is open X

Not clear how you are defining openness.

□

-1

[d] Consider complement of A : $\mathbb{R} \setminus A := C$

then for any $x \in C$. $\nexists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $x = \frac{1}{2^n}$

So for any $x, y \in C$, $d(x, y) = |x - y|$

But there are exterior pts on $x = \frac{1}{2^n}$ where $x \notin C$
not closed (✓)

Not clear what an exterior point is. we never defined this

[e] As $\inf A = 0$, $\sup A = \frac{1}{2}$, A is bounded.

A not closed

By Heine-Borel's, A is not compact ✓

⑦

2. Let S and T be two non-empty subsets of $(0, \infty)$. Define $R = \{st : s \in S, t \in T\}$ to be the set of all products of elements from S and T .

(a) Suppose that S and T are bounded. Prove that

$$\sup R = (\sup S)(\sup T).$$

(b) Prove that if $\sup S = \infty$ then $\sup R = \infty$.

[a] Pf: consider arbitrary element $r \in R$. $r = st \quad \forall s \in S, \forall t \in T$.
Since S, T are bounded,

$$s \leq \sup S (\forall s \in S), \quad t \leq \sup T (\forall t \in T)$$

so $r = st \leq \sup S \cdot \sup T$. $\sup S \cdot \sup T$ is an upper bound ✓

consider arbitrary upper bound m of R . $r \leq m$.

Suppose for contradiction $m < \sup S \cdot \sup T$.

then $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $m = \frac{\sup S \cdot \sup T - \epsilon}{\epsilon}$ ←

The details of this don't work. You probably wanted $m = \sup S \sup T - \epsilon$.

choose $t \in T$ s.t. $t > \sup T / 2\epsilon$

choose $s \in S$ s.t. $s > \sup S / 2\epsilon$

$st > \frac{\sup S \cdot \sup T}{4\epsilon}$ in your solution but this not sufficient

$m = rt = \left(\frac{\sup T}{2\epsilon} \right) \left(\frac{\sup S}{2\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1}{4\epsilon} (\sup S)(\sup T) < \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sup S \sup T$

to show $st > m$ and obtain a contradiction. ✗

$\Rightarrow m \geq \sup S \cdot \sup T$

$\Rightarrow \sup R = (\sup S)(\sup T)$ □

[b] Given $\sup S = \infty$.

case 1 T bound, then $\sup T > 0$ as $T \subseteq (0, \infty)$

then by (a), $\sup R = \sup S \sup T = \infty$

case 2 T not bounded, $\sup T = \infty$

then by (a) $\sup R = \sup S \sup T = \infty$

← This result from (a) was only true for bounded sets. It does not apply here.

∞ is a useful symbol to indicate limiting behavior but you can't do arithmetic with it.

6

3. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and let (s_n) be a sequence where $s_n \neq s$ for all n .

(a) Suppose that $\limsup \left| \frac{s_{n+1}-s}{s_n-s} \right| = L$, where $L \in [0, 1)$. Prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s$.

(b) Suppose that $\liminf \left| \frac{s_{n+1}-s}{s_n-s} \right| = 0$. Does (s_n) always converge to s ? Either prove the result or find a counterexample.

(a) to prove $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s$, suffice to prove $|s_n - s| < \varepsilon \quad (\forall n, \exists \varepsilon > 0)$
or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n - s = 0$

given $\limsup \left| \frac{s_{n+1}-s}{s_n-s} \right| = L$, where $0 \leq L < 1$

then the sequence $|s_n - s|$ converges

True, but more detail needed about how you know this.

-3

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n - s = 0$ as wanted

why does it converge to 0?

(b) consider $(s_n) = (-1)^n x_n$ and $s = 0$

$\liminf \left| \frac{s_{n+1}-s}{s_n-s} \right| = \liminf \left| \frac{(-1)^{n+1} x_n - 1}{(-1)^n x_n - 1} \right| = 0$ Not true.

But $(-1)^n x_n$ is an alternating sequence and

does not converge to 0; a counterexample

Not true.

It converges to 0.

-3

4