QI

By defining Y as the sum of outcomes in n iid Besnoulli trials, we can say that Z = Y/n is the sample proportion an an estimate $(\hat{\rho})$ for the proportion in the averall population Given this knowledge of $Z(\hat{\rho})$ derive the following distribution for two proportion ends the a next together. Here they

Pi-P2 ~ N (PI-P2, P1(1-P1) + P2(1-P2)

Hint: First consider one proportion and think about how you can represent a Benoulli trial with the normal distribution Hint: You will need to we the central limit theorem to show their and it is quit ratinging to do so.

Surely the binomial would be more accuste.

we often say that the linear probability model (LPM) is a bad model since the probabilities predicted an fall ortists of the interpretable [ort] range. It also gives as homogenous treatment effect for varying values of regrouses which may not be desired. However... under certain condition as LPM's prediction will always fall in the [ort] & range. What are these condition?

Hint: You may need to do some revends if you don't know if

H & not possible to find a closed form solution for \$ in the logotic regression model. However, it is possible to deive a condition which is should be chare to valify. (In practice, coefficient are determined by numerical methods so the condition may not perfectly hold but arywag...) Take a standard logistic regression of the form below: $\rho(Y_{i=1}|x_{i}) = \Lambda(\beta x_{i}) = \frac{e^{\beta x_{i}}}{1 + e^{\beta x_{i}}}, \quad (x \text{ is not included})$ a) By constructing the likelihood function, considering the log-likelihood function, and then maximizing this per wint. B, show that the following condition holds for p. Note: the is maximum Likelihood estimation (MLB) yor $\hat{\beta}$: $\sum_{i=1}^{\Lambda} \epsilon_i \infty_i = 0$, note: $\epsilon_i = y_i - \Lambda(\beta \infty_i)$ b) Now consider the more green care of: $\rho(Y_{i-1}|x_i) = \Lambda(\alpha + \beta x_i) = e$ $1 + e^{\alpha + \beta x_i}$

Derive the op pint order condition that must be scatigied with $2, \hat{\beta}$. Note that you cannot value for \hat{z} and $\hat{\beta}$, \rightarrow 1, you've got to this point then I'm sure you know that anyway...