

Relational Algebra (Part 2)



Summary of Relational Operators

Operator	Notation	Meaning
Selection	$\sigma_\varphi(R)$	choose rows
Projection	$\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(R)$	choose columns
Union Intersection Difference	$R_1 \cup R_2$ $R_1 \cap R_2$ $R_1 - R_2$	set operations
Cartesian product Join Natural-join	$R_1 \times R_2$ $R_1 \bowtie_\varphi R_2$ $R_1 \bowtie R_2$	combine tables
Renaming	$\rho_{R'(A_1, \dots, A_n)}(R)$ $\rho_{R'}(R)$ $\rho_{(A_1, \dots, A_n)}(R)$	rename relation and attributes



A Complete Set of Relational Operators

- The following six operators constitute **a complete set**:
 - **selection** σ ;
 - **projection** π ;
 - **renaming** ρ ;
 - **union** \cup ;
 - **difference** $-$;
 - **Cartesian product** \times .



A Complete Set of Relational Operators

- Six operators (i.e., **selection** σ , **projection** π , **renaming** ρ , **union** \cup , **difference** - and **Cartesian product** \times) constitute **a complete set**.
- It means that the other RA operators like **intersection** and **join** are **not necessary** and can be expressed by these six operators.
 - **join:** $R_1 \bowtie_{\varphi} R_2 = \sigma_{\varphi}(R_1 \times R_2)$
 - **intersection:** $R_1 \cap R_2 = R_1 - (R_1 - R_2)$
- Hence, **intersection** and **join** do not increase the expressive power of RA.
- Nonetheless it is important to include **intersection** and **join** because they are convenient to use and commonly applied in database applications.



Relational Algebra Queries

- The output of each RA operation is a relation, which can be used again as the input for another RA operation.
- RA operations **can be nested to arbitrary depth** for expressing complex queries, as in arithmetic.
 - Parentheses and precedence rules define the order of evaluation:
from highest to lowest: $\{\sigma, \pi, \rho\}$, $\{\times, \bowtie\}$, $\{\cap\}$, $\{\cup, -\}$
 - Operators with the same precedence are evaluated from left to right.
 - Use brackets if you are not sure.
- A **query** in RA is a sequence of RA operations and each RA operation takes one or two relations as its input and produces one relation as its output.
- Different from SQL, RA considers **relations as sets** (not **multisets** as in SQL). Hence, relations produced by an RA operation **have no duplicate tuples**.



Hints for Writing RA Queries

- 1 Firstly, identify which relations need to be involved, while ignoring the rest.
- 2 Then break the answer down by considering intermediate relations, i.e., queries may be expressed as **a sequence of assignment statements**.

Example: $R := \pi_{HTeam, GTeam}(\sigma_{HScore=1}(\rho_{(HTeam, HScore, GScore, GTeam)}(\text{SOCCER})))$

- Use good names for intermediate relations;
- Keep track of attributes you have at each step.

- 3 When combining relations, check attribute names and make sure that:
 - attributes that should match are to match.
 - attributes that shouldn't match are not to match.
- 4 When using set operations, make sure that two relations of an operation have the same type (i.e., **type compatibility**).

RA Queries – Exercises (Self Join)

- Given the following relation schema:

STUDENT={StudentID, Name, DoB}

- Query 1:** Find **pairs of** students who have the same birthday. Show their names.

STUDENT		
StudentID	Name	DoB
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990
459	Peter	18-Oct-1993



RA Queries – Exercises (Self Join)

- Given the following relation schema:

$\text{STUDENT} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{Name}, \text{DoB}\}$

- Query 1:** Find **pairs of** students who have the same birthday. Show their names.

$$\pi_{R_1.\text{Name}, R_2.\text{Name}}(\sigma_{R_1.\text{StudentID} < R_2.\text{StudentID}}(\sigma_{R_1.\text{DoB} = R_2.\text{DoB}}(\rho_{R_1}(\text{STUDENT}) \times \rho_{R_2}(\text{STUDENT}))))$$

SELECT $R_1.\text{name}$, $R_2.\text{name}$

FROM Student AS R_1 , Student AS R_2

WHERE $R_1.\text{DoB} = R_2.\text{DoB}$ AND $R_1.\text{StudentID} < R_2.\text{StudentID}$;

- Why do we need $\sigma_{R_1.\text{StudentID} < R_2.\text{StudentID}}$ in the above query?
- Why do we need to use renaming in the above query?



RA Queries – Exercises (Self Join)

- Given the following relation schema:

$$\text{STUDENT} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{Name}, \text{DoB}\}$$

- Query 1:** Find **pairs of** students who have the same birthday. Show their names.

Two different solutions:

(1). $\pi_{R_1.\text{Name}, R_2.\text{Name}}(\sigma_{R_1.\text{StudentID} < R_2.\text{StudentID}}(\sigma_{R_1.\text{DoB} = R_2.\text{DoB}}(\rho_{R_1}(\text{STUDENT}) \times \rho_{R_2}(\text{STUDENT}))))$

(2). $\pi_{\text{Name}, \text{Name}'}(\sigma_{\text{StudentID} < \text{StudentID}'}(\text{STUDENT} \bowtie \rho_{S(\text{StudentID}', \text{Name}', \text{DoB})}(\text{STUDENT})))$



RA Queries – Exercises (Self Join)

- Query 1: Find **pairs of** students who have the same birthday. Show their names.

(1). $\pi_{R_1.Name, R_2.Name}(\sigma_{R_1.StudentID < R_2.StudentID}(\sigma_{R_1.DoB = R_2.DoB}(\rho_{R_1}(\text{STUDENT}) \times \rho_{R_2}(\text{STUDENT}))))$

(2). $\pi_{Name, Name'}(\sigma_{StudentID < StudentID'}(\text{STUDENT} \bowtie \rho_{S(StudentID', Name', DoB)}(\text{STUDENT})))$

- If evaluating our queries over the following relation, what will be the result?

STUDENT		
StudentID	Name	DoB
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990
459	Peter	18-Oct-1993



RA Queries – Exercises (Self Join)

- Query 1 (solution 1): $\pi_{R_1.\text{Name}, R_2.\text{Name}}(\sigma_{R_1.\text{StudentID} < R_2.\text{StudentID}}(\sigma_{R_1.\text{DoB} = R_2.\text{DoB}}(\rho_{R_1}(\text{STUDENT}) \times \rho_{R_2}(\text{STUDENT}))))$.

STUDENT		
StudentID	Name	DoB
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990
459	Peter	18-Oct-1993

$\rho_{R_1}(\text{STUDENT}) \times \rho_{R_2}(\text{STUDENT})$

$R_1.\text{StudentID}$	$R_1.\text{Name}$	$R_1.\text{DoB}$	$R_2.\text{StudentID}$	$R_2.\text{Name}$	$R_2.\text{DoB}$
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993	457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993	458	Mike	16-May-1990
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993	458	Peter	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990	457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990	458	Mike	16-May-1990
458	Mike	16-May-1990	458	Peter	18-Oct-1993
458	Peter	18-Oct-1993	457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Peter	18-Oct-1993	458	Mike	16-May-1990
458	Peter	18-Oct-1993	458	Peter	18-Oct-1993



RA Queries – Exercises (Self Join)

- Query 1 (solution 1): $\pi_{R_1.Name, R_2.Name}(\sigma_{R_1.StudentID < R_2.StudentID}(\sigma_{R_1.DoB = R_2.DoB}(\rho_{R_1}(\text{STUDENT}) \times \rho_{R_2}(\text{STUDENT}))))$.

STUDENT		
StudentID	Name	DoB
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990
459	Peter	18-Oct-1993

$$R' = \sigma_{R_1.DoB = R_2.DoB}(\rho_{R_1}(\text{STUDENT}) \times \rho_{R_2}(\text{STUDENT}))$$

R ₁ .StudentID	R ₁ .Name	R ₁ .DoB	R ₂ .StudentID	R ₂ .Name	R ₂ .DoB
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993	457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993	459	Peter	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990	458	Mike	16-May-1990
459	Peter	18-Oct-1993	457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
459	Peter	18-Oct-1993	459	Peter	18-Oct-1993

$$\pi_{R_1.Name, R_2.Name}(\sigma_{R_1.StudentID < R_2.StudentID}(R'))$$

R ₁ .Name	R ₂ .Name
Lisa	Peter



RA Queries – Exercises (Self Join)

- **Query 1 (solution 2):** $\pi_{Name, Name'} (\sigma_{StudentID < StudentID'} (\text{STUDENT} \bowtie \rho_{S(StudentID', Name', DoB)}(\text{STUDENT})))$.

STUDENT		
StudentID	Name	DoB
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990
459	Peter	18-Oct-1993

R' = STUDENT $\bowtie \rho_{S(StudentID', Name', DoB)}(\text{STUDENT})$				
StudentID	Name	DoB	StudentID'	Name'
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993	459	Peter
459	Peter	18-Oct-1993	457	Lisa
459	Peter	18-Oct-1993	459	Peter
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993	457	Lisa
458	Mike	16-May-1990	458	Mike

$\pi_{Name, Name'} (\sigma_{StudentID < StudentID'} (R'))$	
Name	Name'
Lisa	Peter

RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 1)

- Given the following relation schemas:

STUDENT={StudentID, Name, DoB}

ENROL={StudentID, CourseNo, Semester, EnrolDate}

- Query 2:** Which students have **never** enrolled in any course? Show their IDs and names.

STUDENT		
StudentID	Name	DoB
456	Tom	02-Jan-1991
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990

ENROL			
StudentID	CourseNo	Semester	EnrolDate
456	COMP2400	2010 S2	02-Jul-2010
458	COMP2400	2010 S2	23-Jun-2010
458	COMP2600	2010 S2	05-Aug-2010



RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 1)

- Given the following relation schemas:

$\text{STUDENT} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{Name}, \text{DoB}\}$

$\text{ENROL} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{CourseNo}, \text{Semester}, \text{EnrolDate}\}$

- Query 2:** Which students have **never** enrolled in any course? Show their IDs and names.

Hints:

- (1) All the students
- (2) Students who have enrolled in at least one course

Answer: Students in **the result (1) but not in the result (2)**.



RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 1)

- Given the following relation schemas:

$\text{STUDENT} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{Name}, \text{DoB}\}$

$\text{ENROL} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{CourseNo}, \text{Semester}, \text{EnrolDate}\}$

- Query 2:** Which students have **never** enrolled in any course? Show their IDs and names.

- (1) All the students

$$R_1 := \pi_{\text{StudentID}}(\text{STUDENT})$$

- (2) Students who have enrolled in at least one course

$$R_2 := \pi_{\text{StudentID}}(\text{ENROL})$$

Answer: Students in **the result (1) but not in the result (2)**

$$\pi_{\text{StudentID}, \text{Name}}((R_1 - R_2) \bowtie \text{STUDENT})$$



RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 1)

- **Query 2:** Which students have **never** enrolled in any course? Show their IDs and names.
- If evaluating our query over the following relations, what will be the result?
 - $R_1 := \pi_{StudentID}(\text{STUDENT})$
 - $R_2 := \pi_{StudentID}(\text{ENROL})$
 - $\pi_{StudentID, Name}((R_1 - R_2) \bowtie \text{STUDENT})$

STUDENT		
StudentID	Name	DoB
456	Tom	02-Jan-1991
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990

ENROL			
StudentID	CourseNo	Semester	EnrolDate
456	COMP2400	2010 S2	02-Jul-2010
458	COMP2400	2010 S2	23-Jun-2010
458	COMP2600	2010 S2	05-Aug-2010



RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 1)

- **Query 2:** Which students have **never** enrolled in any course? Show their IDs and names.
- If evaluating our query over the following relations, what will be the result?
 - $R_1 := \pi_{StudentID}(\text{STUDENT})$
 - $R_2 := \pi_{StudentID}(\text{ENROL})$
 - $\pi_{StudentID, Name}((R_1 - R_2) \bowtie \text{STUDENT})$

R_1
StudentID
456
457
458

R_2
StudentID
456
458

$\pi_{StudentID, Name}((R_1 - R_2) \bowtie \text{STUDENT})$	
StudentID	Name
457	Lisa



RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 2)

- Given the following relation schemas:

STUDENT={StudentID, Name, DoB}

ENROL={StudentID, CourseNo, Semester, EnrolDate}

- Query 3:** Which students have **only** enrolled in the course COMP2400?
Show their IDs and names.

STUDENT		
StudentID	Name	DoB
456	Tom	02-Jan-1991
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990

ENROL			
StudentID	CourseNo	Semester	EnrolDate
456	COMP2400	2010 S2	02-Jul-2010
457	COMP2400	2010 S2	08-Jul-2010
458	COMP2400	2010 S2	23-Jun-2010
458	COMP2600	2010 S2	05-Aug-2010



RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 2)

- Given the following relation schemas:

$\text{STUDENT} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{Name}, \text{DoB}\}$

$\text{ENROL} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{CourseNo}, \text{Semester}, \text{EnrolDate}\}$

- Query 3:** Which students have **only** enrolled in the course COMP2400?
Show their IDs and names.

Hints:

- (1) Students who have enrolled in the course COMP2400.
- (2) Students who have enrolled in a course but not COMP2400.

Answer: Students in **the result (1) but not in the result (2)**.



RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 2)

- Given the following relation schemas:

$\text{STUDENT} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{Name}, \text{DoB}\}$

$\text{ENROL} = \{\text{StudentID}, \text{CourseNo}, \text{Semester}, \text{EnrolDate}\}$

- Query 3:** Which students have **only** enrolled in the course COMP2400?
Show their IDs and names.

(1) Students who have enrolled in the course COMP2400.

$$R_1 := \pi_{\text{StudentID}}(\sigma_{\text{CourseNo} = 'COMP2400'}(\text{ENROL}))$$

(2) Students who have enrolled in a course other than COMP2400.

$$R_2 := \pi_{\text{StudentID}}(\sigma_{\text{CourseNo} \neq 'COMP2400'}(\text{ENROL}))$$

Answer: Students in **the result (1) but not in the result (2)**.

$$\pi_{\text{StudentID}, \text{Name}}((R_1 - R_2) \bowtie \text{STUDENT}) =$$

$$\pi_{\text{StudentID}, \text{Name}}((\pi_{\text{StudentID}}(\sigma_{\text{CourseNo} = 'COMP2400'}(\text{ENROL})))$$

$$- \pi_{\text{StudentID}}(\sigma_{\text{CourseNo} \neq 'COMP2400'}(\text{ENROL}))) \bowtie \text{STUDENT})$$



RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 2)

- **Query 3:** Which students have **only** enrolled in the course COMP2400?
Show their IDs and names.
- If evaluating our query over the following relations, what will be the result?
 - $R_1 := \pi_{StudentID}(\sigma_{CourseNo='COMP2400'}(ENROL))$
 - $R_2 := \pi_{StudentID}(\sigma_{CourseNo \neq 'COMP2400'}(ENROL))$
 - $\pi_{StudentID, Name}((R_1 - R_2) \bowtie STUDENT)$

STUDENT		
StudentID	Name	DoB
456	Tom	02-Jan-1991
457	Lisa	18-Oct-1993
458	Mike	16-May-1990

ENROL			
StudentID	CourseNo	Semester	EnrolDate
456	COMP2400	2010 S2	02-Jul-2010
457	COMP2400	2010 S2	08-Jul-2010
458	COMP2400	2010 S2	23-Jun-2010
458	COMP2600	2010 S2	05-Aug-2010



RA Queries – Exercises (Difference 2)

- **Query 3:** Which students have **only** enrolled in the course COMP2400? Show their IDs and names.
- If evaluating our query over the following relations, what will be the result?
 - $R_1 := \pi_{StudentID}(\sigma_{CourseNo='COMP2400'}(ENROL))$
 - $R_2 := \pi_{StudentID}(\sigma_{CourseNo \neq 'COMP2400'}(ENROL))$
 - $\pi_{StudentID, Name}((R_1 - R_2) \bowtie STUDENT)$

R_1
StudentID
456
457
458

R_2
StudentID
458

$\pi_{StudentID, Name}((R_1 - R_2) \bowtie STUDENT)$	
StudentID	Name
456	Tom
457	Lisa



More Hints for Writing RA Queries

- Pay attention to keywords like **not**, **never**, **only**, **always**, **exactly**, etc. which often indicates the use of **difference** in the corresponding RA queries.
- To show “never”:
 - Find all the (combinations of) tuples that are involved.
 - Use difference to subtract those that have occurred.
- To show “only” and “always”:
 - Find all the (combinations of) tuples that are involved.
 - Use difference to subtract those that didn’t always occur.



Equivalence of RA and SQL Queries (1)

- Each RA query can be easily re-written in SQL, or vice versa.

- Selection:** $\sigma_\varphi(R)$ corresponds to

```
SELECT DISTINCT * FROM R WHERE  $\varphi$ ;
```

- Projection:** $\pi_{A_1, \dots, A_n}(R)$ corresponds to

```
SELECT DISTINCT  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  FROM R;
```

- Renaming:** $\rho_{S(B_1, \dots, B_n)}(R)$ (with attributes A_1, \dots, A_n in R) corresponds to

```
SELECT  $A_1$  AS  $B_1, \dots, A_n$  AS  $B_n$  FROM R AS S;
```



Equivalence of RA and SQL Queries (2)

- **Union:** $R_1 \cup R_2$ corresponds to

```
SELECT * FROM R1 UNION SELECT * FROM R2
```

- **Intersection:** $R_1 \cap R_2$ corresponds to

```
SELECT * FROM R1 INTERSECT SELECT * FROM R2
```

- **Difference:** $R_1 - R_2$ (with attributes A_1, \dots, A_n) corresponds to

```
SELECT * FROM R1 EXCEPT SELECT * FROM R2
```

```
SELECT DISTINCT * FROM R1 WHERE NOT EXISTS
```

```
(SELECT * FROM R2
```

```
WHERE R1.A1=R2.A1 AND ... AND R1.An=R2.An)
```

SQL eliminates duplicate tuples in the resulting relations of set operations UNION, INTERSECT and EXCEPT.



Equivalence of RA and SQL Queries (3)

- **Cartesian Product:** $R_1 \times R_2$ corresponds to

```
SELECT * FROM  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ;
```

- **Join:** $R_1 \bowtie_{\varphi} R_2$ corresponds to

```
SELECT DISTINCT * FROM  $R_1$  INNER JOIN  $R_2$  ON  $\varphi$ ;
```

(φ may contain $=, <, \leq, >, \geq, \neq$)

- **Natural-Join:** $R_1 \bowtie R_2$ corresponds to

```
SELECT DISTINCT * FROM  $R_1$  NATURAL JOIN  $R_2$ ;
```

Outer joins are not considered in the traditional relational algebra, as well as aggregation.



Summary

- RA is a **procedural query language** defined in the relational model.
An RA query itself suggests a procedure for constructing the result (i.e., implement the query).
- RA is **not used as a query language by users**.
- RA is **used for the internal representation and processing of SQL queries** in relational DBMSs, which is a basis of query optimisation techniques.
- Thus, to understand how SQL queries are processed and how they can be optimised, we **first need to understand relational algebra**.