

Normalisation – Part 3

Summary and Discussion

Summary of Normal Forms

- 1NF, 3NF and BCNF are popular in practice. Other normal forms are rarely used.
 - 1NF:** only atomic values for attributes
(*part of the definition for the relational data model*);
 - 2NF:** an intermediate result in the history of database design theory;
 - 3NF:** lossless and dependencies can be preserved;
 - BCNF:** lossless but dependencies may not be preserved.
 - 3NF can only **minimise (not necessarily eliminate) redundancy**. So a relation schema in 3NF may still have update anomalies.
 - A relation schema in BCNF **eliminates redundancy**.
-

Why Denormalisation?

- **Do we need to normalize relation schemas in all cases** when designing a relational database?
 - The normalisation process **may degrade performance** when data are frequently queried.
 - Since relation schemas are decomposed into many smaller ones after normalisation, queries need to **join many relations together** in order to return the results.
 - Unfortunately, **join operation is very expensive**.
 - When data is **more frequently queried rather than being updated** (e.g., data warehousing system), a weaker normal form is desired (i.e., **denormalisation**).
-



Denormalisation

- **Denormalisation** is a **design process** that
 - happens after the normalisation process,
 - is often performed during the physical design stage, and
 - reduces the number of relations that need to be joined for certain queries.
 - We need to distinguish:
 - **Unnormalised** – there is no systematic design.
 - **Normalised** – redundancy is reduced after a systematic design (to minimise data inconsistencies).
 - **Denormalised** – redundancy is introduced after analysing the normalised design (to improve efficiency of queries)
-

Trade-offs



- A good database design is to **find a balance** between desired properties, then normalise/denormalise relations to a desired degree.



Trade-offs – Data Redundancy vs. Query Efficiency

- Normalisation: **No Data Redundancy but No Efficient Query Processing**
- Data redundancies are eliminated in the following relations.

STUDENT		
Name	<u>StudentID</u>	DoB
Tom	123456	25/01/1988
Michael	123458	21/04/1985

COURSE	
<u>CourseNo</u>	Unit
COMP2400	6
COMP8740	12

ENROL		
<u>StudentID</u>	<u>CourseNo</u>	<u>Semester</u>
123456	COMP2400	2010 S2
123456	COMP8740	2011 S2
123458	COMP2400	2009 S2

- However, the query for “list the names of students who enrolled in a course with 6 units” requires 2 join operations.

```
SELECT Name, CourseNo FROM ENROL e, COURSE c, STUDENT s WHERE  
e.StudentID=s.StudentID and e.CourseNo=c.CourseNo and c.Unit=6;
```



Trade-offs – Data Redundancy vs. Query Efficiency

- Denormalisation: **Data Redundancy but Efficient Query Processing**
- If a student enrolled 15 courses, then the name and DoB of this student need to be stored repeatedly 15 times in ENROLMENT.

ENROLMENT					
Name	<u>StudentID</u>	DoB	<u>CourseNo</u>	<u>Semester</u>	Unit
Tom	123456	25/01/1988	COMP2400	2010 S2	6
Tom	123456	25/01/1988	COMP8740	2011 S2	12
Michael	123458	21/04/1985	COMP2400	2009 S2	6

- However, the query for “list the names of students who enrolled a course with 6 units” can be processed efficiently (no join needed).

```
SELECT Name, CourseNo FROM ENROLMENT WHERE Unit=6;
```



Discussion

- Both normalisation and denormalisation are useful in database design.
 - **Normalisation**: obtain database schema avoiding redundancies and data inconsistencies
 - **Denormalisation**: join normalized relation schemata for the sake of better query processing
 - Some problems of (de-)normalisation:
 - FDs **cannot handle null values**.
 - To apply normalisation, FDs must be **fully specified**.
 - The algorithms for normalisation **are not deterministic**, leading to different decompositions.
-