## Statistical Physics, Monte Carlo, and Stochastic Neural Networks

Goal: derive a generative model for (quantum) state reconstruction.

Setting:  $\vec{X}_{i} = (0,1,1,...,1)$   $\vec{X}_{a} = (1,0,1,...,0)$   $\vec{X}_{a} = (1,0,1,...,0)$   $\vec{X}_{i0,000} = (1,1,0,...,1)$ 

Use it to determine (approximately) the unknown (underlying) PCX) or YCX)

Generative modelling: given  $p(\vec{x}) \approx P(\vec{x})$  use it to determine the liklihood of  $\vec{x}$  not in the dataset  $\vec{x} \in \mathcal{D}$ 

or use P(X) to produce new X.

Our approach: use a stochastic neural network called a restricted Boltzmann machine (RBM).

End result: calculating estimators (0) from the model p(x).

Bored? MC\_Tutorial/Tutorial\_MC.pdf → 20 Ising Model H= - J Z 0; 20; 2 7 25x Review: thermal distribution Consider it "ground truth". · X = 0 = 13 the "state" of the system · Ex is its energy (E=H) · P.F. Z= Ze BEX T trace is hard ~ 2" Recall the definition of an "expectation value" E[0] = (0) = = (41014) Z= LY(7) = > P(x) Ox e.g.) Bottzmann dist: (0) = 1 20x e BEX Briefly: what expectation values are interesting?

eg) energy 
$$V = \langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} E_{x} e^{-\beta E_{x}}$$

note  $V = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial \beta}$ 

e.g.) Specific heat  $C = \frac{\partial U}{\partial T}$ 
 $C = \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{k_{B}}{(k_{B}T)^{2}} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \beta}$ 
 $= k_{B} \beta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \log Z}{\partial \beta^{2}}$ 

now

 $\langle (E - \langle E \rangle)^{2} \rangle = \langle E^{2} \rangle - \langle E \rangle^{2}$ 
 $= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} E_{x}^{2} e^{-\beta E_{x}} - (-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \beta})^{2}$ 
 $= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} Z}{\partial \beta^{2}} - (\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \beta})^{2}$ 
 $= \frac{2^{2} \log Z}{2\beta^{2}} - (\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \beta})^{2}$ 

in practice estimate this using  $p(x) \cong P(x)$ 

in practice estimate this using  $p(x) \cong P(x)$ 

Basic "data driven" approach: take D= { X2}

debine an approximate pcx) = Pcx)

eg.) simplest PCX) = IDII Zeeg Sx, xe

then from (0) = ZP(x)Ox

 $\approx \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{\vec{x}_i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ 

exact only when 11011-so, however often we have a small finite set of samples (think 10,000)

We want our approximation to "generalize" well on unseen data Xa E D.

Machine learning ideas can help us here through a clever parametric approach (think interpolation)

Markov	Chain	Monte	carlo
- \			

Philosophy is to use MCMC to produce D. The goal of the technique is to produce D efficiently (and with the correct PCX)

- important: D= { Xa} Brit produced randomly but through "importance sampling"

idea: begin with a configuration  $\vec{X}_1$  and modify to produce  $\vec{X}_2$ 

 $\overrightarrow{X}_{1} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{X}_{2} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{X}_{3} \cdots \rightarrow \overrightarrow{X}_{(|\mathcal{D}|)}$ 

we simply need an appropriate "transition probability"
from  $X_2 \to X_{k+1}$  (call  $\mu \to \nu$ )

 $T(\mu \rightarrow \nu) \geq 0$   $\sum_{i} T(\mu \rightarrow \nu) = 1$ 

To approximate PCX) correctly those must satisfy (D) detailed balance

2 ergodicity

Next: use d.b. to compare Metropolis updates with "Block Gibbs" updates of RBM.