Lab 12 Solution

Harsh Agrawalla

Problem 1

Find the MLE of (μ, σ^2) for the $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution using Gradient Ascent algorithm. Compare with the MLEs.

Solution

```
# Generating sample data
set.seed(1)
x <- rnorm(100, mean = 5, sd = 2) # true mu = 5, sigma = 2</pre>
```

The above code generates a random sample of size 100 from $\mathcal{N}(5,4)$.

```
# Log-likelihood function
log_likelihood <- function(mu, sigma2, x) {
  n <- length(x)
  -n/2 * log(2*pi) - n/2 * log(sigma2) - sum((x - mu)^2) / (2 * sigma2)
}</pre>
```

The above snippet defines the log-likelihood for a random sample from $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

```
# Gradient function
gradient <- function(mu, sigma2, x) {
  n <- length(x)
  dmu <- sum(x - mu) / sigma2
  dsigma2 <- -n / (2 * sigma2) + sum((x - mu)^2) / (2 * sigma2^2)
  return(c(dmu, dsigma2))
}</pre>
```

The above snippet defines the gradient $(\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu})$ and $\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma^2}$ of the log-likelihood for a random sample from $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

```
# Gradient Ascent function
gradient_ascent <- function(x, mu_init = 0, sigma2_init = 1,</pre>
                              lr = 0.01, iterations = 10000, tol = 1e-8) {
 mu <- mu_init</pre>
 sigma2 <- sigma2_init</pre>
 for (i in 1:iterations) {
    grad <- gradient(mu, sigma2, x)</pre>
    mu <- mu + lr * grad[1]</pre>
    sigma2 <- sigma2 + lr * grad[2]</pre>
    # prevent sigma2 from going negative
    if (sigma2 \le 0) sigma2 < 1e-6
    # Stopping Condition
    if (sqrt(gradient(mu, sigma2, x)[1]^2 +
             gradient(mu, sigma2, x)[2]^2 < tol){
      return(c(mu, sigma2))
    }
 }
 # Return the last value, if stopping condition is not satisfied
 return(c(mu, sigma2))
```

The above snippet defines the gradient ascent algorithm for finding the MLEs of $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

```
# Run gradient ascent
mle_estimates <- gradient_ascent(x)
names(mle_estimates) <- c("mu", "sigma2")

# Analytical MLEs
mu_mle <- mean(x)
sigma2_mle <- var(x)

mle_estimates</pre>
```

```
mu sigma2
5.217775 3.194798
```

The above are the MLEs of the parameters μ and σ^2 , using the Gradient Ascent method.

```
# Comparing the results obtained
# Absolute Error
abs_error_mu <- abs(mle_estimates["mu"] - mu_mle)</pre>
abs_error_sigma2 <- abs(mle_estimates["sigma2"] - sigma2_mle)</pre>
# Relative Error
rel_error_mu <- abs_error_mu / abs(mu_mle)</pre>
rel_error_sigma2 <- abs_error_sigma2 / abs(sigma2_mle)</pre>
# Squared Error
squared_error_mu <- (mle_estimates["mu"] - mu_mle)^2</pre>
squared_error_sigma2 <- (mle_estimates["sigma2"] - sigma2_mle)^2</pre>
# Total report
comparison_error <- data.frame(</pre>
  row.names = c("Closed Form", "Gradient Ascent", "Absolute Error",
                  "Relative Error", "Squared Error"),
  mu = c(mu_mle, mle_estimates["mu"], abs_error_mu,
         rel_error_mu, squared_error_mu),
  sigma2 = c(sigma2_mle, mle_estimates["sigma2"], abs_error_sigma2,
              rel_error_sigma2, squared_error_sigma2)
)
print(comparison_error)
```

```
mu sigma2
Closed Form 5.217775e+00 3.227048359
Gradient Ascent 5.217775e+00 3.194797771
Absolute Error 8.881784e-16 0.032250588
Relative Error 1.702217e-16 0.009993835
Squared Error 7.888609e-31 0.001040100
```

From the above values of errors, we can see that the MLEs obtained by Gradient Ascent are quite close to that of the closed form MLEs of μ and σ^2 .

Problem 2

Table 1: Data

| Income | Age | у | Income | Age | У |
|--------|-----|---|--------|-----|---|
| 45000 | 2 | 0 | 37000 | 5 | 1 |
| 40000 | 4 | 0 | 31000 | 7 | 1 |
| 60000 | 3 | 1 | 40000 | 4 | 1 |
| 50000 | 2 | 1 | 75000 | 2 | 0 |
| 55000 | 2 | 0 | 43000 | 9 | 1 |
| 50000 | 5 | 1 | 49000 | 2 | 0 |
| 35000 | 7 | 1 | 37500 | 4 | 1 |
| 65000 | 2 | 1 | 71000 | 1 | 0 |
| 53000 | 2 | 0 | 34000 | 5 | 0 |
| 48000 | 1 | 0 | 27000 | 6 | 0 |
| | | | | | |

Fit a logistic regression model for the above data and find the MLEs of coefficients of x_1 and x_2 using Gradient Ascent Algorithm.

Solution

```
# Feature scaling (Helpful for gradient ascent convergence)
x1 <- scale(income)
x2 <- scale(age)
# Combine into a matrix X with intercept</pre>
```

```
X <- cbind(1, x1, x2) # Adding the intercept term
y <- as.numeric(y)</pre>
```

The above code scales the covariates, to bring them into a similar range and combines them into a matrix for future tasks.

The scaling of the covariates is done as below:

scaled
$$_x = \frac{x - \bar{x}}{\sigma_x}$$

```
# Sigmoid function
sigmoid <- function(z) {
  1 / (1 + exp(-z))
}</pre>
```

The above code defines the sigmoid function, $\sigma(x)$, which will be used in finding

$$p_i = \frac{e^{x_i^\intercal \beta}}{1 + e^{x_i^\intercal \beta}} \\ = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x_i^\intercal \beta}}$$

```
# Log-likelihood function
log_likelihood <- function(X, y, beta) {
  z <- X %*% beta
  sum(y * z - log(1 + exp(z)))
}</pre>
```

The above snippet defines the log-likelihood, $\ell(\beta)$ of the given data

The likelihood is given by:

$$L(\beta|x) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{y_i} (1-p_i)^{1-y_i}$$

Then, the log likelihood is given as:

$$\begin{split} \ell(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_{i} \log(p_{i}) + (1 - y_{i}) \log(1 - p_{i}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_{i} \log(\frac{p_{i}}{1 - p_{i}}) + \log(1 - p_{i}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_{i} x_{i}^{\top} \beta + \log(\frac{1}{1 + e^{x_{i}^{\top} \beta}}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_{i} x_{i}^{\top} \beta - \log(1 + e^{x_{i}^{\top} \beta}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_{i} z_{i}^{\top} - \log(1 + e^{z_{i}}) \right] \end{split}$$

```
# Gradient of the log-likelihood
gradient <- function(X, y, beta) {
  p <- sigmoid(X %*% beta)
  t(X) %*% (y - p)
}</pre>
```

The above snippet defines the gradient, $\nabla_{\beta}\ell(\beta)$ of the log-likelihood function, $\ell(\beta)$ and is given as:

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\beta}\ell(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i x_i - \frac{e^{x_i^\top\beta}}{1 + e^{x_i^\top\beta}} x_i \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i x_i - p_i x_i \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - p_i) x_i \\ &= X^\top (y - p) \end{split}$$

```
# Gradient Ascent Algorithm
gradient_ascent <- function(X, y, lr = 0.01, iterations = 10000, tol = 1e-8) {
  beta <- matrix(0, ncol = 1, nrow = ncol(X))
  for (i in 1:iterations) {
    grad <- gradient(X, y, beta)
    beta <- beta + lr * grad
}
# Stopping Condition</pre>
```

The above snippet defines the gradient ascent algorithm for finding the MLEs of coefficients of x_1 and x_2 .

```
# Running gradient ascent
beta_hat <- gradient_ascent(X, y, lr = 0.1, iterations = 10000)

# Displaying estimated coefficients
names(beta_hat) <- c("Intercept", "Income", "Age")
beta_hat</pre>
```

```
[,1]
[1,] 0.1472001
[2,] 0.9656863
[3,] 2.2176829
attr(,"names")
[1] "Intercept" "Income" "Age"
```

The above are the MLE estimates of the coefficients of x_1 and x_2 for the given data using the Gradient Ascent algorithm.

```
# Creating a data frame from given data
df <- data.frame(
    y = y,
    x1 = x1,
    x2 = x2
)

## Fitting a logistic regression model to the given data
## .. using pre- defined functions
model <- glm(y ~ x1 + x2, data = df, family = "binomial")

# Finding the coefficients for this method
coef(model)</pre>
```

```
(Intercept) x1 x2
0.1472001 0.9656863 2.2176829
```

The above snippet uses **Generalised Linear Model** to fit logistic regression to the given data and returns the intercept and coefficients of x_1 and x_2 .

```
# Comparing both results
beta_hat - coef(model)
```

[,1]
[1,] 5.983825e-13
[2,] 1.664335e-12
[3,] 3.582468e-12

On comparing the differences between the coefficients obtained in both methods, we can see that the differences are quite close to 0 and hence the Gradient Ascent algorithm works very well for estimating the MLE estimates of the coefficients of x_1 and x_2 .