Name: - Haush Kumar

Class :- CSB1

CRN :- 2315085

URN :- 2302543

Software Engineering (SE)

# 1-35 ignment: 1

DI. Criven a software pur juit with tight deadlines unchar initial dequirements and a high wish of changing specification with SDLC model. which would you choose and why? Analyze the strengths and weakness of atleast two other models in companion to your choice

Ay Tou software projects with light deallines unchar initial sequirements and a high wisk of changing specification, the spinal Model in the best choice, This model combines inducative development with such management sallowing flexibility Jou changing sugainements

#### Reasons

- 1.] Risk management: Since the model has with assessment at each phose, it is Suited for project like this with changing specification
- 2.) Flexibility! The itenative nature allows for change in project to accompodate for evolving ouquimments making it a good choice for this Project
- 3) Easy Prototyping: Helps in clarifying unchan augustuments through early shototyping

### Compari som

- 1. j woderfall Model !-
  - -) well structured and easy to manage (a) Strengths: -> clearly defined phases with Dutfacts
  - -> Rigid structure, backtuncking in difficult (b) weakness : -> Poor adaptobility to changing Requirements -> Lode terting phase invuosa maisk of undiscovered major issues
  - The waterfell model in unsuitable for unclear initial dequirement (c) Compavison : and changing specification unlike spinal model

- 2. | RAD Model
  - -> Enabler quick prototyping and fud back (0) strength:
    - -> Reduced development time suitable for tight deadlines
  - (b) Weakness:
- -> Requires keighly skilled eleveloper
- > low wisk analysis
- -> Scalability issues for compile projects
- (c) Compavision: while RAD model in good fou tight dealline and evolving orequirement it lacks the wisk management and scalability of Spinal model
- Ø.2. A Banking application viequien a secure login eystem white the functional auquirument in to implement MFA, what possible non-functional requirement should be considered? How could ignoring them impact the purjourned and user experience
- Any White MAA in a functional suguissement, several non functional suguissements must be considered to ensure , security, performance and user-experience

## Key- Non Functional Requirements:

- 1. Security: -> Encryption: Crudulials, authentication tokun, and sussion dates · must be enerypted eving strong algorithm
  - -> Access Control: Implement position bassed access control to unitwict operation
  - -> Compliance: Enewer adherence to industry standards
- 2.) Penjoymance: -> Response time: Authentication should be completed within 2-3 sec -) Concernent users: The system should handle thousands of
  - simultaneous Lagin sequests
  - -> load Balancing: the dieteributed authentication sources to premit bottleneck
- 3.) Availability: aptime auavante: Achieve 99%. availability to ensure customers Can access their accounts anytime
  - -> Redundoncy: Implement failsake mechanisms to avoid downtime in case of sower failure

- 41) enability and Accessibility:
  - -> User Friendly MAA: After multiple MFA sprien (SMS, ermail) for convenience
  - -> Enview handling :- Provide Clean mestages instead of generic "Legen foiled"
    vurlonsive
  - -) Accessibility compliance: Ensure compatibility with some enador few differently-abled ason.

### Impact of ignoring Non-Functional Requirements

- 1.) gennity bruschu: Lack of encryption on weak authentication mechanisms could lead to date leaks, identify that or fraud.
- 2.) Penjoumone Issue: An unstable system could cuash under high traffic
- 3) Downtime: Répeated downtime can lead to lott of custement truit ou d'omage reputation
- 4.) Poon User Experience: Confusing every messages on complicated MFA procusses may make authoritication functating for customers
- Q.3. "Software Development Projet estimation is often laborious and time Consuming"

  Justify and explain different types of estimation techniques.
- Au Estimation of software development project in blowners and time consuming due to many factors:
- -) unclear Requirements: Projects often legin. with incomplète on evolving
  specifications.
- -> Changing Sope: fuqueit modifications impact east and time techniques
- Technology: The availability of skilled resources and Technology choice offect estimation occuracy
- oncount, increase complexity

- Oue to these challenges organizations use different estimation techniques to improve occuracy and manage united, a few of them are !.
- 1.) size metuieur. It measures the magnitude ou complexity of a software project These metains our used on a base for effort and cost estimation
  - (a) Common size Materice !-
    - (0) Lines et code !- Measures total lines et lo vecce code
    - (1) Function points: Measurer the eystems functionality band on usus industrion, infants and subjects.
- El Empueiral estimation: uses historical project data and mathematical models to predict affort, contand statime. It
  - (a) Constructive cost Model: Uses historical data and project characteristics for estimate affect
- 3.) Heweitte Estimation! use sule of themb or experit judgement to estimate project size, effort and cost. It is based on experience and intution deather than strict formular
- (a) expect Judgement: Services elevelopour entimale based on part project (b) Delphi Technique: A group of expects give independent entimales and concurrent in reached after multiple rounch.
- Analytic Estimation: Mu mathematical and logical models to brush down software estimation into structured components. It is based well defined journales and step-by-step calculations
  - (a) Example:(b) function Point Analysis! Renakes software into functional compounts
    out assignes complexity points
    - (e) Algorithmia Modeli: usus formula to calculate offort based on ripida like project size and complexity

Dy. for the following tasks their deviations and defendencies make an activity chart and a GANT chart showing the Project schedule

Task	Ouration (days)	Dependencies
TL	10	
Τ2	15	T 1
Т3		T1, T2
74	20	
T5		
76	15	T3, T4
T7		T3
Τ8	3.5	T 7
T9	12	76
TOO	5	T5, T9
TII	10	
T12	20	T10
T13	35	T3, T4
T14	10	T8, T9
TIS	26	T12, T14
T 16	10	TIS

Assume that a sourous, unanticipated setbock occur and introd of taking to days, task 75 takes no days. Revise the activity chart accordingly highlighting the new cuitical path. Duaw up the new our charts showing how the peropet might be organized.

- · Activity chart ( Network Diagram)
  - . Grant t chart (Before Betback)
  - · up dated Grantt Chart (After set back, Highlighting Guitical Path)





