ESTIAMTION OF LAND SURFACE EVAPOTRANSPIRATION FROM POTENTIAL EVAPOTRANSPIRATION USING NON-LINEAR, LINEAR AND COMPLEMENTARY RELATIONSHIP FUNCTIONS

Report submitted to the SASTRA Deemed to be University as the requirement for the course

CSE300 - MINI PROJECT

Submitted by

VARSHITHA K

(Reg. No.: 124158095, B.Tech CSE (IOT & A))

BHAVANA MALLINENI

(Reg. No.: 124158090, B.Tech CSE (IOT & A))

HARSHA ABHINAV K

(Reg. No.: 124158027, B.Tech CSE (IOT & A))

May 2023



SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

THANJAVUR, TAMIL NADU, INDIA – 613 401



SCHOOL OF COMPUTING THANJAVUR – 613 401

Bonafide Certificate

This is to certify that the report titled "Estimation of land surface evapotranspiration from potential evapotranspiration using Non-linear, linear and complementary Relationship functions" submitted as a requirement for the course, CSE300: MINI PROJECT for B.Tech. is a bonafide record of the work done by Mr. Harsha Abhinav K (Reg. No.124158027, CSE (IOT & A)), Ms.Bhavana Mallineni (Reg. No.124158090, CSE (IOT & A)), Ms.Varshitha K (Reg. No.124158095, CSE (IOT & A)) during the academic year 2022-23, in the School of Computing, under my supervision.

Signature of Project Supervisor	:	
Name with Affiliation	:	
Date	:	
Mini Project Viva voce held on		

Examiner 1 Examiner 2

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our Honorable Chancellor **Prof. R. Sethuraman** for providing us with an opportunity and the necessary infrastructure for carrying out this project as a part of our curriculum.

We would like to thank our Honorable Vice-Chancellor **Dr. S.**Vaidhyasubramaniam and **Dr. S. Swaminathan**, Dean, Planning & Development, for the encouragement and strategic support at every step of our college life.

We extend our sincere thanks to **Dr. R. Chandramouli**, Registrar, SASTRA Deemed to be University for providing the opportunity to pursue this project.

We extend our heartfelt thanks to Dr. A. Umamakeswari, Dean, School of Computing,

Dr. S. Gopalakrishnan, Associate Dean, Department of Computer Application, **Dr. B.Santhi**, Associate Dean, Research, **Dr. V. S. Shankar Sriram**, Associate Dean, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, **Dr. R. Muthaiah**, Associate Dean, Department of Information Technology and Information & Communication Technology

Our guide **Dr.Ezhilarasie**, Assistant Professor, School of Computing was the driving force behind this whole idea from the start. His deep insight in the field and invaluable suggestions helped us in making progress throughout our project work. We also thank the project review panel members for their valuable comments and insights which made this project better.

We would like to extend our gratitude to all the teaching and non-teaching faculties of the School of Computing who have either directly or indirectly helped us in the completion of the project.

We gratefully acknowledge all the contributions and encouragement from my family and friends resulting in the successful completion of this project. We thank you all for providing me an opportunity to showcase my skills through project.

Abbreviations

AET Actual Evapotranspiration

BR Baier-Robertson

CR Complementary Relationship

ET Evapotranspiration

HS Hargreaves-Samani

FLUXNET Flux Network

LF Linear Function

NLF Non-Linear Function

NSE Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency

PET Potential Evapotranspiration

PF Power Function

PM Penman-Monteith equation

PT Priestley-Taylor equation

RMSE Root Mean Square error

SWC Soil Water Content

SWI Soil Water Index

Notations

English Symbols

ETpa Potential Evapotranspiration of a crop with a short canopy (in mm/day)

ETpw Potential Evapotranspiration of a crop with a tall canopy (in mm/day)

ET_a Actual Evapotranspiration

*e*_s Saturation Vapor Pressure

*e*_a Actual Vapor Pressure

G Soil heat Flux density

I Thermal Index

k Proportionality constant

N Daytime length

Rn Net Radiation

Rs Solar Radiation

T Temperature

u Wind speed

vpd Vapor Pressure deficit

 X_{mi} Modelled ET time series

 X_{oi} Observed ET time series

 \overline{X}_o Mean of the observed ET

Greek Symbols

Δ Gradient of saturation vapor Pressure

 λ Latent heat of vaporization (2.453)

γ Psychometric Constant

 Σ Summation

 ρ Density of water

 α Surface Moisture Constant (0-1.26)

Abstract

Evapotranspiration (ET) is a fundamental factor in energy and hydrologic cycles. So, the precise simulation of actual evapotranspiration (AET) values is essential for ecological restoration, agriculture and water resources management. Evapotranspiration is equal to the fraction of potential evapotranspiration (PET) constrained by soil water. This study estimates PET in real-time. PET can be estimated from the abundant FLUXNET meteorological observations. However, the challenge lies in accurately simulating daily evapotranspiration through PET. Non-linear and linear approaches have been developed to simulate evapotranspiration through PET, based on soil moisture on a daily basis. Complementary Relationship functions are also developed. The evaluation showed that the accuracy of ET simulation using the non-linear method was better than linear relations and complementary relationship methods. In some regions, daily evapotranspiration can be simulated accurately with PET data. However, in some regions the result can be poor. Our results indicate that the accurate simulation of daily evapotranspiration can be achieved based on meteorological data. Due to the widespread availability of global meteorological observations, the proposed method for accurate simulation of the daily evapotranspiration can be applied globally.

KEY WORDS: Actual Evapotranspiration, Potential Evapotranspiration, Complementary Relationship, Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency

Table of Contents

Title	PAGE.NO
Bonafide Certificate	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Abbreviations	iii
Notations	iv
Abstract	v
1.Summary of the Base paper	1
1.1Introduction	1
1.2 Methods for PET calculation	2
1.3 Methods for AET calculation	3
1.4 Equations for Evaluation	4
2. Merits and Demerits of Base Paper	6
2.1 Merits of Base Paper	6
2.2 Demerits of Base Paper	7
3.Source code	8
3.1 Estimating PET values	8
3.2 Estimating AET values	10
3.3 Evaluating PET values	15
3.4 Evaluating NLF, LF, CR between AET and PET	16
4. Snapshots	20
5. Conclusion and Future Plans	24
6. References	25

CHAPTER 1

SUMMARY OF THE BASE PAPER

Base Paper Details

Title: Estimating land evapotranspiration from potential evapotranspiration constrained

by soil water daily scale **Author**: Zhaofei liu **Publication year**: 2022

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Evapotranspiration is a natural process that involves the transfer of water from the surface of the earth to the atmosphere. This process occurs through two main mechanisms: Evaporation and Transpiration. Evaporation is the process of conversion of water from liquid state to gaseous state, which is then released into the atmosphere. Transpiration, on the other hand, is the release of water vapor from plants through their leaves.

The combined process of evaporation and transpiration is crucial to the movement of water and energy in the earths system. Evapotranspiration regulates the exchange of water and energy between the land and the atmosphere, and plays a key role in the water cycle and the climate. It is an important factor that acts as a bridge between the hydrological cycle, surface energy balance and carbon cycle.

Evapotranspiration is an important factor in crop Management, as it influences the water requirements of the crops. By monitoring the water usage of the crop and water lost through evapotranspiration, farmers and agriculturists can determine how much water crops need and when to irrigate them.

Evapotranspiration is also called as actual evapotranspiration (AET). Simulating actual ET values can be useful for a range of applications, including water resource management, crop yield forecasting, and climate modelling. AET values can be simulated by Potential Evapotranspiration (PET). PET is the amount of water that would be evaporated and transpired if an adequate supply of water were available in the soil. In general, AET is less than or equal to PET, as it represents the actual water use by plants, which is constrained by water availability in the soil, also called as Soil water constraint (SWC).

AET can be simulated by constructing a statistical function relationship between AET and PET under a soil water constraint. The FLUXNET global observation dataset has been widely used for evaluating ET estimations. In this proposed work, four nonlinear functions (NLF), two linear functions (LF), four complementary Relationship (CR) functions are developed to simulate AET from PET. These functions are generated by assuming relationship between AET, PET and soil water

index (SWI). The accuracy of these functions has also been evaluated using Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) coefficient.

1.2 METHODS FOR PET CALCULATION

PET is defined as the upper limit of evapotranspiration. There are 8 PET equations evaluated in this work, including 3 energy-based equations and 5 temperature-based equations. The three energy-based equations include Penman equations, Penman-Monteith (PM) equation, Priestley and Taylor (PT) equation. The five temperature based equations include Hargreaves-Samani (HS), Thronthwaite, Oudin, Hamon, and Baier-Robertson (BR) equations.

The calculation of PET values requires meteorological data such as temperature, wind speed, atmospheric pressure, vapor pressure, net radiation and soil heat flux data.

Penman Equation:

$$PET = \frac{\Delta Rn + 0.4244(1 + 0.536u)(vpd)}{(\Delta + 0.0067)(2.501 - 0.00236T)}$$
(1.2.1)

Penman-Monteith Equation:

$$PET = \frac{0.408\Delta(Rn - G) + \gamma \frac{900}{T + 273}u \times vpd}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + 0.34u)}$$
(1.2.2)

Priestley-Taylor Equation:

$$PET = \alpha \frac{\Delta}{\lambda(\Delta + \nu)} (Rn - G)$$
 (1.2.3)

Hargreaves-Samani Equation:

$$PET = n(T+17.8)\frac{Rs}{\lambda} \tag{1.2.4}$$

Where n = 0.0135

Oudin Equation:

$$PET = \frac{Rn}{\lambda \rho} \times \frac{T+5}{100} \tag{1.2.5}$$

Hamon Equation:

$$PET = k \times 0.165 \times 216.7 \times N \times \frac{vpd}{T + 273.3}$$
 (1.2.6)

Thronthwaite Equation:

$$PET = 16 \left(\frac{T}{I}\right)^a \tag{1.2.7}$$

Where,

$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{12} (0.2T)^{1.514}$$
 (1.2.8)

$$a = 6.75 \times 10^{-7} I^{3} - 7.71 \times 10^{-5} I^{2} + 1.7912 \times 10^{-2} I + 0.49239$$
 (1.2.9)

Baier-Robertson Equation:

$$PET = 0.156T + 0.158TD + 0.109Rn - 5.39 (1.2.10)$$

1.3 METHODS FOR AET CALCULATION

First, Four Non-linear functions are developed between AET and PET to simulate daily AET values under soil constraint. Here we use soil water Index (SWI) which is the ratio of SWC and saturation water content. These four NLF (NLF1-4) are developed by assuming power and exponential functions between AET and PET and SWI.

$$AET = a_1^{(SWI-1)} \times PET, \quad a_1 \in (1,10]$$
 (1.3.1)

AET =
$$\exp[a_2(SWI-1)] \times PET$$
, $a_2 \in (0,10]$ (1.3.2)

$$AET = SWI^{a}_{3} \times PET, \quad a_{3} \in (1,10)$$
 (1.3.3)

AET =
$$[1-(1-SWI)^{(a_4)} \times PET, a_4 \in (0,10]$$
 (1.3.4)

The values of the parameters a₁, a₂, a₃, a₄ need to be calibrated. For the calibration of parameter a we use least square regression for non linear functions method.

Second, linear functions are developed. Here SWC is not used.

$$AET_{linear} = a_5 \times PET,$$
 $a_5 \in (0,1]$ (1.3.5)

$$AET_{power} = a_6 \times PET^b, \qquad a_6 \in (0,1), b \in (0,1]$$
 (1.3.6)

The parameters a₅, a₆, and b needs to be calibrated

Third, the CR methods are generated. These methods involves three variables: ET_a , ET_{pa} , and ET_{pw} . ET_a is the AET, and ET_{pa} is the potential evaporation. ET_{pw} is defined as the evapotranspiration value when AET was equal to ET_{pa} . The CR methods use these three variables to calculate AET by determining some empirical relationships between them.

B1963, G1989, B2015 and M2015 equations are given below.

$$AET_{B1963} = 2ET_{pw}-ET_{pa}$$
 (1.3.7)

$$AET_{G1989} = \frac{\Delta + \gamma}{\Lambda} ET_{pw} - \frac{\gamma}{\Lambda} ET_{pa}$$
 (1.3.8)

$$AET_{B} = \left(\frac{ETpw}{ETpa}\right)^{2} \left[(2-c)ETpa - (1-2c)ETpw - c\frac{ETpw^{2}}{ETpa} \right]$$
 (1.3.9)

$$AET_{M} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [(1 + \varepsilon)ETpw-ETpa]$$
 (1.3.10)

1.4 EQUATIONS FOR EVALUATION

The six temperature-based equations are evaluated and The performance of Non-linear, linear and CR equations is evaluated. The evaluation criteria used here is the Nash and Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient (NSE) and Relative error (RE) method.

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Xmi - Xoi)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Xoi - \overline{Xoi})^2}$$
(1.4.1)

$$RE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Xmi - Xoi}{Xoi}$$
 (1.4.2)

Six temperature-based equations for calculating PET values are evaluated based on the simulation results of Penman-Monteith (PM) method. They are valuated based on the NSE and RE values.

The Non-linear functions, linear functions and CR functions are also evaluated based on the observed AET value which is calculated through latent heat flux value from the dataset. They have been evaluated based on the NSE coefficient value.

CHAPTER 2

MERITS AND DEMERITS OF THE BASE PAPER

There are many existing equations which are widely used for the calculation of PET values. In this paper, PET values have been generated using three energy-based equations: Penman, Penman-Monteith (PM), Priestley-Taylor (PT) and six temperature-based equations: Hargreaves-Samani (HS), Thronthwaite, Oudin, Hamon, Baier-Robertson (BR) equations. The 6 temperature-based equations have been evaluated and the most accurate three equations have been considered for the further estimation of AET values.

Penman-Monteith equation is widely used for estimating PET using meteorological variables such as temperature, humidity, wind speed and solar radiation. PT method is an alternative method which is based on the energy balance approach. HS and Oudin equations require fewer climate variables. The temperature based equations are effective only when the accurate temperature data is available. HS equation is the crop ET without any soil water constraint.

Peng et al. (2019) and Li et al. (2016) has evaluated the ratio of AET to PET using eddy covariance flux measurements at different cities and generated linear relationships between AET and reference crop ET. The seasonal variations of the ratio of AET to PET has also been calculated and estimated by eddy covariance measurements in different sitesThe results showed that the ratio is a function of SWC. Therefore, the ET values depend on the water availability.

The existing equations for AET calculation like PM and Shuttleworth-Wallace equatiosn, do not consider SWC or soil moisture content. In this paper four Non-linear functions, two linear functions (one linear and one power function) between AET and PET with a constraint under soil water availability have been proposed. The parameters in the equations have been calibrated. As the equations take SWI value into account, It can improve the accuracy of resulting AET values compared to other methods.

2.1 MERITS:

- 1. New Methods for estimating AET values have been proposed, which could potentially improve our understanding of water usage by the crops and plants, which would in turn helps us in effective water management practices.
- 2. Estimating accurate AET values will improve irrigation practices as AET is the important factor that affects crop productivity.
- 3. The proposed method calculates AET values based on both PET values and Soil water availability SWC values. So, soil water constraint has been applied which improves the accuracy of AET values which the existing methods can't provide.

4. The proposed methods are relatively simple and computationally efficient

2.2 DEMERITS:

- 1. The paper doesn't compare the proposed functions with already existing functions for the calculation of AET values, which could limit our understanding the strength and weakness of proposed work and the existing work
- 2. The Accurate simulation of AET values is difficult, and we can't accurately conclude which function or method is accurate
- 3. The observed AET values are not directly given in the FLUXNET dataset. They are provided in the form of Energy (Latent heat of Flux).
- 4. The daily AET series depends on climatic characteristics and varies across the year, so the accuracy of daily AET simulations is still poor

CHAPTER 3

SOURCE CODE

3.1 Estimating PET values:

```
import numpy as np
    import pandas as pd
    import math
[ ] df=pd.read csv("Initial DataSet.csv")
df.dtypes
□→ TA_F
                      float64
    SW_IN_F
                      float64
    LW_IN_F
                      float64
    LW_OUT
                      float64
                      float64
    VPD F
    PA_F
                      float64
    WS_F
                      float64
    NETRAD
                      float64
    G_F_MDS
                      float64
    LE_F_MDS
                      float64
    SWC_F_MDS_1_QC
                      float64
    SWC_F_MDS_1
                      float64
    AET_MS
                      float64
    SWI
                      float64
    dtype: object
[ ] no_value=['LW_OUT']
    for column in no_value:
      df[column]=df[column].replace(-9999,np.NaN)
      mean=int(df[column].mean(skipna=True))
      df[column]=df[column].replace(np.NaN,mean)
def penman(Rn,T,wind,vpd,g,pa):
      #calculate psychrometric constant
      PSY = 0.000665*pa
      #calculate slope of vapor pressure curve
      slope=4098*(0.6108*np.exp((17.27*T)/(T+237.3)))/((T+237.3)**2)
      pet=(slope*(Rn-g)+6.43*PSY*(1+0.536*wind)*vpd)/((2.501-0.00236*T)*(slope+PSY))
      return pet/28.9
    df['PET_P'] = penman(df['NETRAD'], df['TA_F'], df['WS_F'], df['VPD_F'], df['G_F_MDS'], df['PA_F'])
    df['PET P']
[ ] def priestley(netrad,T,wind,vpd,g,pa):
      alpha = 1.26
      slope=4098*0.6108*np.exp((17.27*T)/(T+237.3))/((T+237.3)**2)
      PSY = 0.000665*pa
      pet = alpha*(slope*(netrad-g)/2.453*(slope+PSY))
      return pet
    df['PET_PT']=priestley(df['NETRAD'],df['TA_F'],df['WS_F'],df['VPD_F'],df['G_F_MDS'],df['PA_F']
    df['PET_PT']
```

```
def penmanMonteith(Rn,T,wind,vpd,g,pa):
   slope=4098*(0.6108*np.exp((17.27*T)/(T+237.3)))/((T+237.3)**2)
   num = 0.408*slope*(Rn-g)+PSY*(900/(T+273.15))*wind*vpd
   den = slope+PSY*(1+0.34*wind)
   pet = num/den
   return pet/28.9
 \label{eq:df'PET_PM'} $$ df'PET_PM' = penmanMonteith(df'NETRAD'),df'TA_F',df'WS_F',df'VPD_F',df'G_F_MDS',df'PA_F')$$
 df['PET_PM']
  def Hargreaves(T,ters_rad,wind):
    Ra=ters_rad
    \#pet = (0.0023*Rs*math.sqrt(8)*(T+b))/(2.501-0.002361*T)
    pet=0.0135*(T+17.8)*Ra*0.408*0.19*np.sqrt(6)
    return pet
  df['PET_HS']=Hargreaves(df['TA_F'],df['LW_OUT']-df['LW_IN_F'],df['WS_F'])
    def oudin(T,Rs):
      pet=0.55*0.35*0.35*4.95*np.exp(0.062*T)
     return pet
    df['PET_OD']=oudin(df['TA_F'],df['NETRAD'])
    df['PET_OD']
def Hamon(T):
   PET=1.6169*math.pow(10,-3)*216.7*6.108*(np.exp(17.2693*T/(T+237.3)))*0.35*0.35
   return PET
 df['PET_Ham']=Hamon(df['TA_F'])
 df['PET_Ham']
def Thornthwaite(T):
  pi=3.14
  lat=-12.4943
  lat_rad=lat*180/pi
  solar_dec=0.409*np.sin(2*pi-1.39)
  ws=np.arccos(-np.tan(lat_rad)*np.tan(solar_dec))
  N=(24/pi)*ws
  hi=12*np.power(T/5,1.514)
  a=(6.75*(1/np.power(10,7))*np.power(hi,3))-(7.751*(1/np.power(10,5))*(hi**2))+(0.01792*(hi))+(0.49239)
  PET=16*(N/360)*np.power((10*T/hi),a)
  return PET
df['PET_TH']=Thornthwaite(df['TA_F'])
df['PET_TH']
```

```
#Baier-Robertson Code

def baier_robertson(T,ters_rad):
    Ra=ters_rad
    TD=6
    PET=0.157*T+0.158*TD+0.109*Ra-5.39
    return PET

df['PET_BR']=baier_robertson(df['TA_F'],df['LW_OUT']-df['LW_IN_F'])
    df['PET_BR']
```

3.2 Estimating AET values:

```
[ ] import numpy as np
    import pandas as pd
    import math
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     from scipy.optimize import curve_fit
                                                                      ↑ ↓ ⊖ 目 🛊 🔏 🗎
   df=pd.read_csv("PET_Values_DataSet.csv")
    no_zero=['SWC_F_MDS_1_QC']
    for column in no_zero:
      df[column]=df[column].replace(0,np.NaN)
      mean=int(df[column].mean(skipna=True))
      df[column]=df[column].replace(np.NaN,mean)
[ ] df.dtypes
[ ] PET=df['PET_P']
    SWI=df['SWI']
    AET=df['AET_MS']
    x=SWI
    y=AET/PET
[ ] #Non Linear Function 1
    def nlf_1(x,a):
      return np.power(a,x-1)
    lower_bound=1.0
    upper_bound=10.0
    popt,pcov=curve_fit(nlf_1,x,y,bounds=(lower_bound,upper_bound))
    a1_fit=popt[0]
```

```
print('a1 : ',a1_fit)
     AET_NLF1_P=df['PET_P']*np.power(a1_fit,x-1)
    df['AET_NLF1_P']=AET_NLF1_P
    AET NLF1 PM=df['PET PM']*np.power(a1 fit,x-1)
    df['AET_NLF1_PM']=AET_NLF1_PM
    AET NLF1 PT=df['PET PT']*np.power(a1 fit,x-1)
    df['AET NLF1 PT']=AET NLF1 PT
    AET_NLF1_HS=df['PET_HS']*np.power(a1_fit,x-1)
    df['AET_NLF1_HS']=AET_NLF1_HS
    AET NLF1_OD=df['PET_OD']*np.power(a1_fit,x-1)
    df['AET_NLF1_OD']=AET_NLF1_OD
    AET_NLF1_Ham=df['PET_Ham']*np.power(a1_fit,x-1)
    df['AET_NLF1_Ham']=AET_NLF1_Ham
    AET_NLF1_TH=df['PET_TH']*np.power(a1_fit,x-1)
    df['AET_NLF1_TH']=AET_NLF1_TH
    AET_NLF1_BR=df['PET_BR']*np.power(a1_fit,x-1)
    df['AET_NLF1_BR']=AET_NLF1_BR
[ ] #Non Linear Function 2
    def nlf_2(x,a):
      return np.exp(a*(x-1))
    lower_bound=0.0
    upper_bound=10.0
    popt,pcov=curve_fit(nlf_2,x,y,bounds=(lower_bound,upper_bound))
    a2_fit=popt[0]
    print(a2_fit)
[ ] AET_NLF2_P=df['PET_P']*np.exp(a2_fit*(x-1))
    df['AET_NLF2_P']=AET_NLF2_P
     AET_NLF2_PM=df['PET_PM']*np.exp(a2_fit*(x-1))
    df['AET_NLF2_PM']=AET_NLF2_PM
     AET_NLF2_PT=df['PET_PT']*np.exp(a2_fit*(x-1))
    df['AET_NLF2_PT']=AET_NLF2_PT
     AET_NLF2_HS=df['PET_HS']*np.exp(a2_fit*(x-1))
    df['AET_NLF2_HS']=AET_NLF2_HS
    AET_NLF2_OD=df['PET_OD']*np.exp(a2_fit*(x-1))
    df['AET_NLF2_OD']=AET_NLF2_OD
     AET_NLF2_Ham=df['PET_Ham']*np.exp(a2_fit*(x-1))
     df['AET_NLF2_Ham']=AET_NLF2_Ham
     AET_NLF2_TH=df['PET_TH']*np.exp(a2_fit*(x-1))
     df['AET_NLF2_TH']=AET_NLF2_TH
     AET NLF2_BR=df['PET_BR']*np.exp(a2_fit*(x-1))
     df['AET_NLF2_BR']=AET_NLF2_BR
```

```
[ ] #Non Linear Function 3
     def nlf_3(x,a):
      return np.power(x,a)
     lower_bound=1.0
     upper_bound=10.0
     popt,pcov=curve_fit(nlf_3,x,y,bounds=(lower_bound,upper_bound))
     a3_fit=popt[0]
     print(a3 fit)
     AET_NLF3_P=df['PET_P']*np.power(x,a3_fit)
     df['AET_NLF3_P']=AET_NLF3_P
     AET_NLF3_PM=df['PET_PM']*np.power(x,a3_fit)
     df['AET_NLF3_PM']=AET_NLF3_PM
     AET_NLF3_PT=df['PET_PT']*np.power(x,a3_fit)
     df['AET_NLF3_PT']=AET_NLF3_PT
     AET_NLF3_HS=df['PET_HS']*np.power(x,a3_fit)
     df['AET_NLF3_HS']=AET_NLF3_HS
     AET_NLF3_OD=df['PET_OD']*np.power(x,a3_fit)
     df['AET_NLF3_OD']=AET_NLF3_OD
     AET_NLF3_Ham=df['PET_Ham']*np.power(x,a3_fit)
     df['AET_NLF3_Ham']=AET_NLF3_Ham
     AET_NLF3_TH=df['PET_TH']*np.power(x,a3_fit)
     df['AET_NLF3_TH']=AET_NLF3_TH
     AET_NLF3_BR=df['PET_BR']*np.power(x,a3_fit)
     df['AET_NLF3_BR']=AET_NLF3_BR
[ ] #Non Linear Function 4
    def nlf_4(x,a):
      return 1-np.power(x,a)
    lower bound=0.0
    upper bound=10.0
    popt,pcov=curve_fit(nlf_4,x,y,bounds=(lower_bound,upper_bound))
    a4_fit=popt[0]
    print(a4 fit)
    df['AET_NLF4_P']=df['PET_P']*(1-np.power(1-x,a4_fit))
    df['AET_NLF4_PM']=df['PET_PM']*(1-np.power(1-x,a4_fit))
    df['AET_NLF4_PT']=df['PET_PT']*(1-np.power(1-x,a4_fit))
  df['AET_NLF4_HS']=df['PET_HS']*(1-np.power(1-x,a4_fit))
    df['AET_NLF4_OD']=df['PET_OD']*(1-np.power(1-x,a4_fit))
    df['AET_NLF4_Ham']=df['PET_Ham']*(1-np.power(1-x,a4_fit))
    df['AET NLF4 TH']=df['PET TH']*(1-np.power(1-x,a4 fit))
    df['AET_NLF4_BR']=df['PET_BR']*(1-np.power(1-x,a4_fit))
```

```
[ ] #Linear Function 1
     def linear(AET,PET):
       mean_aet=np.mean(AET)
       mean pet=np.mean(PET)
       a5=mean_aet/mean_pet
       return a5*PET
     df['AET_lf_P']=linear(AET,df['PET_P'])
     df['AET_lf_PM']=linear(AET,df['PET_PM'])
     df['AET_lf_PT']=linear(AET,df['PET_PT'])
     df['AET_lf_HS']=linear(AET,df['PET_HS'])
     df['AET_lf_OD']=linear(AET,df['PET_OD'])
     df['AET_lf_Ham']=linear(AET,df['PET_Ham'])
     df['AET_lf_TH']=linear(AET,df['PET_TH'])
     df['AET_lf_BR']=linear(AET,df['PET_BR'])
[ ] #Linear Function 2
     def lf_2(pet,a,b):
      return a*np.power(pet,b)
     p0=[0.01,0.1]
     lower_bound=0.001
     upper_bound=1
     popt,pcov=curve_fit(lf_2,PET,AET,p0,bounds=[lower_bound,upper_bound])
     a=popt[0]
     b=popt[1]
     print('a :',a)
    print('b :',b)
     df['AET_PF_P']=a*np.power(df['PET_P'],b)
     df['AET_PF_PM']=a*np.power(df['PET_PM'],b)
     df['AET_PF_PT']=a*np.power(df['PET_PT'],b)
     df['AET_PF_HS']=a*np.power(df['PET_HS'],b)
     df['AET_PF_OD']=a*np.power(df['PET_OD'],b)
     df['AET_PF_Ham']=a*np.power(df['PET_Ham'],b)
     df['AET_PF_TH']=a*np.power(df['PET_TH'],b)
     df['AET_PF_BR']=a*np.power(df['PET_BR'],b)
[ ] #Complementary Relationship function 1
     #B1963
     df['AET_B1963_P']=2*(df['PET_PT'])-df['PET_P'];
     df['AET_B1963_PM']=2*(df['PET_PT'])-df['PET_PM'];
     df['AET_B1963_PT']=2*(df['PET_PT'])-df['PET_PT'];
     df['AET_B1963_HS']=2*(df['PET_PT'])-df['PET_HS'];
     df['AET_B1963_OD']=2*(df['PET_PT'])-df['PET_OD'];
     df['AET_B1963_Ham']=2*(df['PET_PT'])-df['PET_Ham'];
     df['AET_B1963_TH']=2*(df['PET_PT'])-df['PET_TH'];
     df['AET_B1963_BR']=2*(df['PET_PT'])-df['PET_BR'];
```

```
[ ] #Complementary Relationship function 2
    #G1989
    #calculate psychrometric constant
    PSY = 0.000665*df['PA_F']
    T=df['TA_F']
    #calculate slope of vapor pressure curve
    slope=4098*(0.6108*np.exp((17.27*T)/(T+237.3)))/((T+237.3)**2)
    # CR METHODS
    df['AET_G1989_P']=(((PSY + slope)/PSY)*(df['PET_PT']))-(PSY/slope)*(df['PET_P']);
    df['AET_G1989_PM']=(((PSY + slope)/PSY)*(df['PET_PT']))-(PSY/slope)*(df['PET_PM']);
    df['AET_G1989_PT']=(((PSY + slope)/PSY)*(df['PET_PT']))-(PSY/slope)*(df['PET_PT']);
    df['AET_G1989_HS']=(((PSY + slope)/PSY)*(df['PET_PT']))-(PSY/slope)*(df['PET_HS']);
    df['AET_G1989_OD']=(((PSY + slope)/PSY)*(df['PET_PT']))-(PSY/slope)*(df['PET_OD']);
    df['AET_G1989_Ham']=(((PSY + slope)/PSY)*(df['PET_PT']))-(PSY/slope)*(df['PET_Ham']);
    df['AET_G1989_TH']=(((PSY + slope)/PSY)*(df['PET_PT']))-(PSY/slope)*(df['PET_TH']);
    df['AET_G1989_BR']=(((PSY + slope)/PSY)*(df['PET_PT']))-(PSY/slope)*(df['PET_BR']);
```

```
c=0.12;
df['AET_B2015_P']=(np.power(df['PET_PT']/df['PET_P'],2)*(((2-c)*df['PET_P'])-((1-2*c)*df['PET_PT'])-c*np.power(df['PET_PT'],2)/df['PET_P']));
df['AET_B2015_PM']=(np.power(df['PET_PT']/df['PET_PM'],2)*(((2-c)*df['PET_PM'])-((1-2*c)*df['PET_PT'])-c*np.power(df['PET_PT'],2)/df['PET_PM']));
df['AET_B2015_PT']=(np.power(df['PET_PT']/df['PET_PT'],2)*(((2-c)*df['PET_PT'])-((1-2*c)*df['PET_PT'])-c*np.power(df['PET_PT'],2)/df['PET_PT']));
df['AET_B2015_HS']=(np.power(df['PET_PT']/df['PET_HS'],2)*(((2-c)*df['PET_HS'])-((1-2*c)*df['PET_PT'])-c*np.power(df['PET_PT'],2)/df['PET_HS']));
df['AET_B2015_Ham']=(np.power(df['PET_PT']/df['PET_Ham'],2)*(((2-c)*df['PET_Ham'])-((1-2*c)*df['PET_PT'])-c*np.power(df['PET_PT'],2)/df['PET_Ham']));
df['AET_B2015_TH']=(np.power(df['PET_PT']/df['PET_Ham'],2)*(((2-c)*df['PET_Ham'])-((1-2*c)*df['PET_PT'])-c*np.power(df['PET_PT'],2)/df['PET_Ham']));
df['AET_B2015_BR']=(np.power(df['PET_PT']/df['PET_BR'],2)*(((2-c)*df['PET_BR'])-((1-2*c)*df['PET_PT'])-c*np.power(df['PET_PT'],2)/df['PET_BR']));
```

3.3 Evaluating PET values:

```
# Load NSE data for each PET method into a pandas DataFrame
obs=df['AET_MS']
numerator_HS = np.sum((df['PET_HS'] -obs)**2)
numerator_TH = np.sum((df['PET_TH'] -obs)**2)
numerator_OD = np.sum((df['PET_OD'] -obs)**2)
numerator_Ham = np.sum((df['PET_Ham'] -obs)**2)
numerator_BR = np.sum((df['PET_BR'] -obs)**2)
obs mean=np.mean(obs)
denominator=np.sum((obs-obs_mean)**2)
# Calculate the NSE value
NSE_HS = 1 - numerator_HS / denominator
NSE_TH = 1 - numerator_TH / denominator
NSE OD = 1 - numerator OD / denominator
NSE_Ham = 1 - numerator_Ham / denominator
NSE_BR = 1 - numerator_BR / denominator
print("NSE HS : ",NSE HS)
print("NSE_TH : ",NSE_TH)
print("NSE OD : ",NSE OD)
print("NSE_Ham : ",NSE_Ham)
print("NSE_BR : ",NSE_BR)
 #Calculating Relative Error
 # calculate mean observed value
 mean_observed = np.mean(df['PET_PM']*28.9)
 # calculate RE for each data point
 residuals_HS = (df['AET_MS'])-df['PET_HS']
 residuals_TH = (df['AET_MS'])-df['PET_TH']
 residuals_OD = (df['AET_MS'])-df['PET_OD']
 residuals Ham = (df['AET MS'])-df['PET Ham']
 residuals_BR = (df['AET_MS'])-df['PET_BR']
 relative_errors_HS=residuals_HS/mean_observed
 relative_errors_TH=residuals_TH/mean_observed
 relative_errors_OD=residuals_OD/mean_observed
 relative errors Ham=residuals Ham/mean observed
 relative_errors_BR=residuals_BR/mean_observed
 # calculate mean RE
 mean re HS=np.mean(relative errors HS)
 mean re TH=np.mean(relative errors TH)
 mean_re_OD=np.mean(relative_errors_OD)
 mean_re_Ham=np.mean(relative_errors_Ham)
 mean_re_BR=np.mean(relative_errors_BR)
 #print the values
 print("RE_HS : ",mean_re_HS)
 print("RE_TH : ",mean_re_TH)
 print("RE_OD : ",mean_re_OD)
 print("RE_Ham : ",mean_re_Ham)
 print("RE_BR : ",mean_re_BR)
```

3.4 Estimating NLF, LF, CR between AET and PET

```
#Nash and Sutcliffe Efficieny Co-efficient
#NSE For NLF1
ET_obs = df['AET_MS']
                               # observed evapotranspiration
ET_pred_NLF1_PM = df['AET_NLF1_PM'] # predicted evapotranspiration
ET_pred_NLF1_PT = df['AET_NLF1_PT']
ET_pred_NLF1_P = df['AET_NLF1 P']
ET_pred_NLF1_HS = df['AET_NLF1_HS']
ET_pred_NLF1_OD = df['AET_NLF1_OD']
ET_pred_NLF1_Ham = df['AET_NLF1_Ham']
# Calculate the mean of the observed evapotranspiration values
ET_obs_mean = np.mean(ET_obs)
# Calculate the numerator and denominator of the NSE equation
numerator_NLF1_PM = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF1_PM)**2)
numerator_NLF1_PT = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF1_PT)**2)
numerator_NLF1_P = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF1_P)**2)
numerator\_NLF1\_HS = np.sum((ET\_obs - ET\_pred\_NLF1\_HS)**2)
numerator_NLF1_OD = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF1_OD)**2)
numerator_NLF1_Ham = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF1_Ham)**2)
denominator=np.sum((ET obs-ET obs mean)**2)
# Calculate the NSE value
NSE_NLF1_PM = 1 - numerator_NLF1_PM / denominator
NSE_NLF1_PT = 1 - numerator_NLF1_PT / denominator
NSE_NLF1_P = 1 - numerator_NLF1_P / denominator
NSE_NLF1_HS = 1 - numerator_NLF1_HS / denominator
NSE_NLF1_OD = 1 - numerator_NLF1_OD / denominator
NSE_NLF1_Ham= 1 - numerator_NLF1_Ham / denominator
d1['NLF1']=[NSE_NLF1_PM,NSE_NLF1_PT,NSE_NLF1_P,NSE_NLF1_HS,NSE_NLF1_OD,NSE_NLF1_Ham]
#Nash and Sutcliffe Efficieny Co-efficient
#NSE For NLF2
ET_obs = df['AET_MS']
                              # observed evapotranspiration
ET_pred_NLF2_PM = df['AET_NLF2_PM'] # predicted evapotranspiration
ET pred NLF2 PT = df['AET NLF2 PT']
ET_pred_NLF2_P = df['AET_NLF2_P']
ET_pred_NLF2_HS = df['AET_NLF2_HS']
ET pred NLF2 OD = df['AET NLF2 OD']
ET_pred_NLF2_Ham = df['AET_NLF2_Ham']
# Calculate the mean of the observed evapotranspiration values
ET_obs_mean = np.mean(ET_obs)
# Calculate the numerator and denominator of the NSE equation
numerator_NLF2_PM = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF2_PM)**2)
numerator_NLF2_PT = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF2_PT)**2)
numerator_NLF2_P = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF2_P)**2)
numerator NLF2 HS = np.sum((ET obs - ET pred NLF2 HS)**2)
numerator_NLF2_OD = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF2_OD)**2)
numerator_NLF2_Ham = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF2_Ham)**2)
denominator=np.sum((ET_obs-ET_obs_mean)**2)
# Calculate the NSE value
NSE_NLF2_PM = 1 - numerator_NLF2_PM / denominator
NSE_NLF2_PT = 1 - numerator_NLF2_PT / denominator
NSE\_NLF2\_P = 1 - numerator\_NLF2\_P / denominator
NSE_NLF2_HS = 1 - numerator_NLF2_HS / denominator
NSE_NLF2_OD = 1 - numerator_NLF2_OD / denominator
NSE_NLF2_Ham= 1 - numerator_NLF2_Ham / denominator
d1['NLF2']=[NSE NLF2 PM,NSE NLF2 PT,NSE NLF2 P,NSE NLF2 HS,NSE NLF2 OD,NSE NLF2 Ham]
```

```
#Nash and Sutcliffe Efficieny Co-efficient
#NSE For NLF3
ET obs = df['AET MS']
                              # observed evapotranspiration
ET pred NLF3 PM = df['AET NLF3 PM'] # predicted evapotranspiration
ET_pred_NLF3_PT = df['AET_NLF3_PT']
ET_pred_NLF3_P = df['AET_NLF3_P']
ET_pred_NLF3_HS = df['AET_NLF3_HS']
ET_pred_NLF3_OD = df['AET_NLF3_OD']
ET_pred_NLF3_Ham = df['AET_NLF3_Ham']
# Calculate the mean of the observed evapotranspiration values
ET_obs_mean = np.mean(ET_obs)
# Calculate the numerator and denominator of the NSE equation
numerator_NLF3_PM = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF3_PM)**2)
numerator_NLF3_PT = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF3_PT)**2)
numerator_NLF3_P = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF3_P)**2)
numerator_NLF3_HS = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF3_HS)**2)
numerator_NLF3_OD = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF3_OD)**2)
numerator_NLF3_Ham = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF3_Ham)**2)
denominator=np.sum((ET_obs-ET_obs_mean)**2)
# Calculate the NSE value
NSE NLF3_PM = 1 - numerator_NLF3_PM / denominator
NSE_NLF3_PT = 1 - numerator_NLF3_PT / denominator
NSE_NLF3_P = 1 - numerator_NLF3_P / denominator
NSE_NLF3_HS = 1 - numerator_NLF3_HS / denominator
NSE_NLF3_OD = 1 - numerator_NLF3_OD / denominator
NSE_NLF3_Ham= 1 - numerator_NLF3_Ham / denominator
d1['NLF3']=[NSE_NLF3_PM,NSE_NLF3_PT,NSE_NLF3_P,NSE_NLF3_Hsm]
 #Nash and Sutcliffe Efficieny Co-efficient
#NSE For NLF4
ET obs = df['AET MS']
                               # observed evapotranspiration
ET_pred NLF4 PM = df['AET_NLF4 PM'] # predicted evapotranspiration
ET_pred_NLF4_PT = df['AET_NLF4_PT']
ET_pred_NLF4_P = df['AET_NLF4_P']
ET_pred_NLF4_HS = df['AET_NLF4_HS']
ET_pred_NLF4_OD = df['AET_NLF4_OD']
ET_pred_NLF4_Ham = df['AET_NLF4_Ham']
 # Calculate the mean of the observed evapotranspiration values
ET obs mean = np.mean(ET obs)
# Calculate the numerator and denominator of the NSE equation
numerator NLF4 PM = np.sum((ET obs - ET pred NLF4 PM)**2)
numerator NLF4 PT = np.sum((ET obs - ET pred NLF4 PT)**2)
numerator_NLF4_P = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF4_P)**2)
numerator_NLF4_HS = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF4_HS)**2)
 numerator_NLF4_OD = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF4_OD)**2)
numerator_NLF4_Ham = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_NLF4_Ham)**2)
denominator=np.sum((ET_obs-ET_obs_mean)**2)
 # Calculate the NSE value
NSE_NLF4_PM = 1 - numerator_NLF4_PM / denominator
NSE_NLF4_PT = 1 - numerator_NLF4_PT / denominator
NSE_NLF4_P = 1 - numerator_NLF4_P / denominator
NSE_NLF4_HS = 1 - numerator_NLF4_HS / denominator
NSE_NLF4_OD = 1 - numerator_NLF4_OD / denominator
NSE_NLF4_Ham= 1 - numerator_NLF4_Ham / denominator
d1['NLF4']=[NSE_NLF4_PM,NSE_NLF4_PT,NSE_NLF4_P,NSE_NLF4_Hs,NSE_NLF4_OD,NSE_NLF4_Ham]
```

```
#NSE For LF1
ET_obs = df['AET_MS']
                            # observed evapotranspiration
ET_pred_LF1_PM = df['AET_lf_PM'] # predicted evapotranspiration
ET_pred_LF1_PT = df['AET_lf_PT']
ET_pred_LF1_P = df['AET_lf_P']
ET pred LF1 HS = df['AET 1f HS']
ET_pred_LF1_OD = df['AET_lf_OD']
ET pred LF1 Ham = df['AET lf Ham']
# Calculate the mean of the observed evapotranspiration values
ET_obs_mean = np.mean(ET_obs)
# Calculate the numerator and denominator of the NSE equation
numerator_LF1_PM = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF1_PM)**2)
numerator_LF1_PT = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF1_PT)**2)
numerator_LF1_P = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF1_P)**2)
numerator_LF1_HS = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF1_HS)**2)
numerator_LF1_OD = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF1_OD)**2)
numerator_LF1_Ham = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF1_Ham)**2)
denominator=np.sum((ET_obs-ET_obs_mean)**2)
# Calculate the NSE value
NSE_LF1_PM = 1 - numerator_LF1_PM / denominator
NSE_LF1_PT = 1 - numerator_LF1_PT / denominator
NSE_LF1_P = 1 - numerator_LF1_P / denominator
NSE_LF1_HS = 1 - numerator_LF1_HS / denominator
NSE_LF1_OD = 1 - numerator_LF1_OD / denominator
NSE_LF1_Ham= 1 - numerator_LF1_Ham / denominator
d1['LF']=[NSE_LF1_PM,NSE_LF1_PT,NSE_LF1_P,NSE_LF1_Ham]
#NSE For LF2 OR POWER FUNCTION
ET_obs = df['AET_MS']
                              # observed evapotranspiration
ET_pred_LF2_PM = df['AET_PF_PM'] # predicted evapotranspiration
ET_pred_LF2_PT = df['AET_PF_PT']
ET_pred_LF2_P = df['AET_PF_P']
ET pred LF2 HS = df['AET PF HS']
ET_pred_LF2_OD = df['AET_PF_OD']
ET_pred_LF2_Ham = df['AET_PF_Ham']
# Calculate the mean of the observed evapotranspiration values
ET_obs_mean = np.mean(ET_obs)
# Calculate the numerator and denominator of the NSE equation
numerator LF2 PM = np.sum((ET obs - ET pred LF2 PM)**2)
numerator_LF2_PT = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF2_PT)**2)
numerator_LF2_P = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF2_P)**2)
numerator_LF2_HS = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF2_HS)**2)
numerator_LF2_OD = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF2_OD)**2)
numerator_LF2_Ham = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_LF2_Ham)**2)
denominator=np.sum((ET_obs-ET_obs_mean)**2)
# Calculate the NSE value
NSE_LF2_PM = 1 - numerator_LF2_PM / denominator
NSE_LF2_PT = 1 - numerator_LF2_PT / denominator
NSE_LF2_P = 1 - numerator_LF2_P / denominator
NSE_LF2_HS = 1 - numerator_LF2_HS / denominator
\label{eq:NSE_LF2_OD = 1 - numerator_LF2_OD / denominator} $$NSE_LF2\_Ham = 1 - numerator_LF2\_Ham / denominator
d1['PF']=[NSE LF2 PM,NSE LF2 PT,NSE LF2 P,NSE LF2 HS,NSE LF2 OD,NSE LF2 Ham]
```

```
#NSE For Complementary relationship Function B1963
ET obs = df['AET MS']
                               # observed evapotranspiration
ET_pred_b1963_PM = df['AET_B1963_PM'] # predicted evapotranspiration
ET_pred_b1963_PT = df['AET_B1963_PT']
ET_pred_b1963_P = df['AET_B1963_P']
ET pred b1963 HS = df['AET B1963 HS']
ET_pred_b1963_OD = df['AET_B1963_OD']
ET_pred_b1963_Ham = df['AET_B1963_Ham']
# Calculate the mean of the observed evapotranspiration values
ET obs mean = np.mean(ET obs)
# Calculate the numerator and denominator of the NSE equation
numerator_b1963_PM = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_PM)**2)
numerator_b1963_PT = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_PT)**2)
numerator b1963 P = np.sum((ET obs - ET pred b1963 P)**2)
numerator_b1963_HS = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_HS)**2)
numerator_b1963_OD = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_OD)**2)
numerator_b1963_Ham = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_Ham)**2)
denominator=np.sum((ET_obs-ET_obs_mean)**2)
# Calculate the NSE value
NSE_b1963_PM = 1 - numerator_b1963_PM / denominator
NSE b1963 PT = 1 - numerator b1963 PT / denominator
NSE b1963_P = 1 - numerator_b1963_P / denominator
NSE_b1963_HS = 1 - numerator_b1963_HS / denominator
NSE_b1963_OD = 1 - numerator_b1963_OD / denominator
NSE_b1963_Ham= 1 - numerator_b1963_Ham / denominator
d1['LF']=[NSE_b1963_PM,NSE_b1963_PT,NSE_b1963_P,NSE_b1963_HS,NSE_b1963_OD,NSE_b1963_Ham]
  # Calculate the mean of the observed evapotranspiration values
   ET_obs_mean = np.mean(ET_obs)
   # Calculate the numerator and denominator of the NSE equation
   numerator_b1963_PM = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_PM)**2)
   numerator_b1963_PT = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_PT)**2)
   numerator_b1963_P = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_P)**2)
   numerator_b1963_HS = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_HS)**2)
   numerator_b1963_OD = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_OD)**2)
   numerator_b1963_Ham = np.sum((ET_obs - ET_pred_b1963_Ham)**2)
   denominator=np.sum((ET_obs-ET_obs_mean)**2)
   # Calculate the NSE value
   NSE_b1963_PM = 1 - numerator_b1963_PM / denominator
   NSE_b1963_PT = 1 - numerator_b1963_PT / denominator
   NSE_b1963_P = 1 - numerator_b1963_P / denominator
   NSE_b1963_HS = 1 - numerator_b1963_HS / denominator
   NSE b1963_OD = 1 - numerator_b1963_OD / denominator
   NSE_b1963_Ham= 1 - numerator_b1963_Ham / denominator
   d1['LF']=[NSE_b1963_PM,NSE_b1963_PT,NSE_b1963_P,NSE_b1963_Hs,NSE_b1963_OD,NSE_b1963_Ham]
```

CHAPTER 4 SNAPSHOTS

Penman-Monteith Equation:

```
0
       1.511162
1
       1.506868
2
       1.525567
3
       1.475580
4
       1.483668
        . . .
5108 1.736031
5109 1.574631
5110
     1.935188
5111
       1.433266
5112
       1.625606
Name: PET_PM, Length: 5113, dtype: float64
```

Priestley-Taylor Equation:

```
D→ 0
         4.081680
          3.970721
   1
   2
          4.038734
   3
          3.722600
          3.755355
           . . .
   5108 4.942757
   5109 4.281594
   5110 5.368785
   5111 3.279681
        3.759829
   Name: PET_PT, Length: 5113, dtype: float64
```

Penman Equation:

```
C> 0 1.682134

1 1.677711

2 1.711343

3 1.630486

4 1.641340

...

5108 1.950530

5109 1.784804

5110 2.175986

5111 1.623844

5112 1.840314

Name: PET_P, Length: 5113, dtype: float64
```

Hargreaves Equation:

```
0
           3.325658
₽
   1
          3.400538
   2
          3.249600
          3.208022
   3
   4
           2.931782
            . . .
   5108
          3.841980
   5109
           3.728473
           3.080945
   5110
          2.417595
   5111
   5112
          2.051654
   Name: PET_HS, Length: 5113, dtype: float64
```

Oudin Equation:

```
0
        1.758892
1
       1.725516
       1.745963
2
       1.650900
3
       1.660858
        . . .
5108
       1.864678
5109
       1.825329
5110
       1.816748
5111
       1.562271
5112
       1.528640
Name: PET_OD, Length: 5113, dtype: float64
```

Hamon Equation:

```
0
       1.514026
       1.486760
2
       1.503474
3
       1.425470
4
       1.433677
5108
     1.599857
5109
       1.568034
5110
       1.561079
5111
       1.352064
5112
      1.324034
Name: PET_Ham, Length: 5113, dtype: float64
```

Thornthwaite Equation :

```
C→ 0
           4.539295
    1
           4.365830
    2
           4.471074
           4.007886
    3
    4
           4.053379
    5108
          5.149381
    5109
           4.911238
    5110
           4.861117
           3.631652
    5111
           3.501559
    5112
    Name: PET_TH, Length: 5113, dtype: float64
```

Baier-Robertson Equation:

```
2.937867
₽
   1
           2.983312
   2
           2.854983
   3
           2.736974
           2.476433
         3.502105
   5108
   5109
           3.368636
   5110
           2.752662
   5111
           1.875464
   5112
           1.472938
   Name: PET_BR, Length: 5113, dtype: float64
```

Evaluation Results of PET equations:

NSE: RE:

NSE_HS: -4.006396247369707 NSE_TH: -3.842502424736545 NSE_OD: -4.720709430859118 NSE_Ham: -4.795499688463782 NSE_BR: -4.097654247867864

RE_HS: 0.5718347608243542
RE_TH: 0.5761462076478854
RE_OD: 0.6349889142735553
RE_Ham: 0.6398464231381706
RE_BR: 0.5793918652365503

Evaluation Results of NLF, LF, CR equations:

LF1: LF2:

NSE_LF1_PM : -0.008451237276017709 NSE_LF1_PT : 0.13486521741021307 NSE_LF1_P : -0.012358209406052145 NSE_LF1_HS : -2.957974596556938 NSE_LF1_OD : 0.15068461191402693 NSE_LF1_Ham : 0.14790797298968772

NSE_LF2_PM : -4.722496787861554 NSE_LF2_PT : -3.971802240881834 NSE_LF2_P : -4.649093998636092 NSE_LF2_HS : -3.932001738685524 NSE_LF2_OD : -4.720709430859119 NSE_LF2_Ham : -4.795499688463783

NLF1:

NSE_NLF2_PM : -4.722496787861554 NSE_NLF2_PT : -3.9721592999768127 NSE_NLF2_P : -4.649093998636091 NSE_NLF2_HS : -4.006396247369707 NSE_NLF2_OD : -4.720709430859118 NSE_NLF2_Ham : -4.795499688463782

NLF2:

NSE_NLF1_PM : -4.722496787861554 NSE_NLF1_PT : -3.9721592999768127 NSE_NLF1_P : -4.649093998636092 NSE_NLF1_HS : -4.006396247369707 NSE_NLF1_OD : -4.720709430859118 NSE_NLF1_Ham : -4.795499688463782

NLF3:

NSE_NLF3_PM : -4.99316422661766 NSE_NLF3_PT : -4.583355959693831 NSE_NLF3_P : -4.956101183975049 NSE_NLF3_HS : -4.687538523547722 NSE_NLF3_OD : -4.985945787928463 NSE_NLF3_Ham : -5.024576383571744

NLF4:

NSE_NLF4_PM : -4.7260759303640105 NSE_NLF4_PT : -3.984628790589235 NSE_NLF4_P : -4.653867559827843 NSE_NLF4_HS : -4.024853362036466 NSE_NLF4_OD : -4.723747225823138 NSE_NLF4_Ham : -4.797399178413502

CR - B1963:

NSE_b1963_PM : -3.2870236928355396 NSE_b1963_PT : -3.9721592999768127 NSE_b1963_P : -3.3496533895997294 NSE_b1963_HS : -4.071581783926463 NSE_b1963_OD : -3.2899139502448627 NSE_b1963_Ham : -3.2271918971653433

CR - G1989:

NSE_g1989_PM : -1.1122821395042441 NSE_g1989_PT : -1.2337820034938751 NSE_g1989_P : -1.1246364704720038 NSE_g1989_HS : -1.2761379676202358 NSE_g1989_OD : -1.1127480832327588 NSE_g1989_Ham : -1.1005214120679603

CR - B2015

NSE_B2015_PM : -9.838615017416952 NSE_B2015_PT : -3.9721592999768127 NSE_B2015_P : -7.364070237544144 NSE_B2015_HS : -6978893821148.972 NSE_B2015_OD : -11.629512563221995 NSE_B2015_Ham : -19.409056822680476

CR - M2015:

NSE_M2015_PM : -0.25497559651659296 NSE_M2015_PT : -3.972159299976812 NSE_M2015_P : -0.44771191101264307 NSE_M2015_HS : -8.884718179468292 NSE_M2015_OD : -0.3127608095825478 NSE_M2015_Ham : -0.1588277277437391

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PLANS

According the Evaluation results of six temperature-based equations for calculating PET values, the HS equation is the most accurate and the values are close to the PM calculation values followed by Oudin and Hamon equations. The later two methods achieved a certain accuracy. Hargreaves-Samani equation can replace PM equation to calculate PET values.

The AET values have been found using NLF, LF and CR equations using the resultant PET values we got from PM, PT, penman, HS, Oudin and Hamon methods. When the performance of two linear functions is compared, the NSE value of LF is higher than that of PF function. But LF is less accurate than NLF

The evaluation results of NLF functions have shown that the exponential functions (NLF3 and NLF4) perform slightly better than the power functions (NLF1 and NLF2). The combination of NLF3 with PM equation have shown greater accuracy. The evaluation of CR methods has shown that B2015 and M2015 equations performed better than other methods. The performance of CR methods is roughly equivalent to the LF methods.

The evaluation results show that PET is suitable to simulate AET values. PET values can accurately simulate AET values on a daily scale. PET values can be calculated from meteorological data, or they can be calculated using only temperature data. Therefore, an accurate simulation of a daily series of ET can be achieved using meteorological data.

The accuracy of the simulated AET values depends on the climatic characteristics of the site. The daily AET values across the year is almost irregular. Therefore, the accuracy of daily AET values is still poor.

The paper provides methods that has the potential to make users understand about plant water use. But more work is needed to accurately simulate the AET values. Additional variables regarding climate, soil dynamics need to be added and considered to simulate more accurate daily AET series.

CHAPTER 6 REFERENCES

Akuraju, V.R., Ryu, D., George, B., Ryu, Y., Dassanayake, K., 2017. Seasonal and interannual variability of soil moisture stress functionin dryland wheat field, Australia. Agric. For. Meteorol. 232, 489–499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.10.007.

Baier, W., Robertson, G.W., 1965. Estimation of latent evaporation from simple weather ob servations. Can. J. Plant Sci. 45, 276–284. https://doi.org/10.4141/cjps65-051.

Brutsaert, W., 2015. A generalized complementary principle with physical constraints for land-surface evaporation. Water Resour. Res. 51, 8087–8093. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017720.

Hamon, W.R., 1963. Computation of direct runoff amounts from storm rainfall. Int. Assoc. Sci. Hydrol. Publ. 63, 52–62.

Hargreaves, G.H., Samani, Z.A., 1985. Reference crop evapotranspiration from temperature. Appl. Eng. Agric. 1 (2), 96–99. https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.26773.

Monteith, J.L., 1981. Evaporation and surface temperature. Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc. 107, 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1256/smsqj.45101.

Oudin, L., Hervieu, F., Michel, C., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., Anctil, F., Loumagne, C., 2005. Which potential evapotranspiration input for a lumped rainfall-runoff model? Part 2: to wards a simple and efficient potential evapotranspiration model for rainfall-runoff model ing. J. Hydrol. 303, 290–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.08.026.

Peng, L., Zeng, Z., Wei, Z., Chen, A., Wood, E.F., Sheffield, J., 2019. Determinants of the ratio of actual to potential evapotranspiration. Glob. Change Biol. 2019 (25), 1326–1343. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14577.

Priestley, C.H.B., Taylor, R.J., 1972. On the assessment of surface heat flux and evaporation using large-scale parameters. Mon. Weather Rev. 100 (2), 81–92. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1972)1002.3.CO;2.

Thornthwaite, C.W., 1948. An approach toward a rational classification of climate. Geogr. Rev. 38 (1), 55–94. https://doi.org/10.1097/00010694-194807000-00007.

Zhang, L., Cheng, L., Brutsaert, W., 2017. Estimation of land surface evaporation using a gen eralized nonlinear complementary relationship. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 122, 1475–1487. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025936.