# CAUSAL INFERENCE

## 1. Introduction to Causal Inference

**Definition:**

Causal inference refers to an intellectual discipline that considers the assumptions, study designs, and estimation strategies that allow researchers to draw causal conclusions based on data. The dominant perspective on causal inference in statistics has philosophical underpinnings that rely on consideration of counterfactual states. In particular, it considers the outcomes that could manifest given exposure to each of a set of treatment conditions. Causal effects are defined as comparisons between these 'potential outcomes.'

To establish causality in causal inference, researchers frequently employ a variety of statistical techniques, experimental designs, and observational studies. Among these techniques are propensity score matching, instrumental variables, natural experiments, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and structural equation modeling.

**Importance in drawing cause-and-effect relationships from observational data:**

The goal of much observational research is to identify risk factors that have a causal effect on health and social outcomes. However, observational data are subject to biases from confounding, selection and measurement, which can result in an underestimate or overestimate of the effect of interest.

There are a number of sophisticated statistical techniques that provide some benefits when it comes to mitigating these possible biases. Though the underlying statistical assumptions of these statistical approaches differ, in practice they are not always able to eliminate substantial sources of bias; for this reason, it is also vital to use design-based approaches to enhance causal inference.

Since controlled trials are limited in fields like public health and policy-making due to ethical or logistical issues, observational data provides valuable insights into cause-and-effect linkages. Studies that follow subjects over time show cumulative effects,

and generalizability is improved by using a variety of demographics. Hypothesis creation and policy decisions are informed by observational research, which are economical and efficient. Causal inference techniques, in spite of obstacles such confounding variables, aid in deriving significant insights from observational data, which are essential for comprehending intricate real-world dynamics and creating successful interventions.

## 2. Types of Studies in Causal Inference

**Experimental studies vs. observational studies:**

In causal inference, two primary types of studies are employed: experimental studies and observational studies.

In experimental research, like randomized controlled trials (RCTs), factors are purposefully manipulated in order to track how they affect results. To prove causation, researchers divide people into several groups at random while accounting for confounding circumstances.

Observational studies, on the other hand, observe naturally occurring phenomena without intervention. Researchers analyze existing data or conduct surveys to identify associations between variables. While observational studies cannot establish causation as definitively as experimental studies due to potential confounding factors, they are valuable for exploring cause-and-effect relationships in real-world settings where ethical or practical constraints limit experimentation.

**Advantages and limitations of each study type:**

**Experimental Studies:**
**Advantages:**

**Establishing Causality:** Because experimental studies adjust for confounding variables and allow researchers to change variables, they provide more conclusive evidence of cause-and-effect linkages.
**Randomization:** Assigning individuals to treatment groups at random reduces selection bias and increases the validity and generalizability of the outcomes.
**Control Over Variables:** By limiting the impact of unrelated variables, researchers can improve the internal validity of their study by controlling the experimental settings.

**Limitations:**

**Ethical Restrictions:** Using experimental modification to answer certain research questions is unethical, particularly if it exposes subjects to injury.
**Cost and Feasibility:** Experimental studies can be costly and time-consuming to execute, which makes them impractical for large-scale or lengthy studies.
**External Validity:** Results obtained under rigorous experimental circumstances might not be as applicable in diverse real-world environments.

**Observational Studies:**
**Advantages:**

**Relevance to the Real World:** Observational studies capture natural environments and behaviors, offering perceptions into cause-and-effect dynamics as they emerge.
**Cost-effectiveness:** Generally speaking, observational studies are more practical and economical than experimental studies, particularly when it comes to large-scale or ongoing research initiatives.
**Ethical Considerations:** Research problems that may be difficult or impractical to examine through experimental manipulation might be addressed by observational investigations.

**Limitations:**

**Confounding Variables:** Observational studies are susceptible to confounding variables, making it challenging to establish causality. While statistical methods can mitigate confounding, residual confounding may still exist.
**Selection Bias:** Non-random selection of participants or groups can introduce bias, affecting the validity of study findings.
**Limited Control:** Researchers have limited control over variables in observational studies, increasing the risk of bias and limiting the ability to establish causal relationships with certainty.

# 3. Challenges in Causal Inference

**Confounding variables:**

One of the main obstacles to causal inference is the presence of confounding variables. These variables have correlations with the dependent variable (the desired outcome) and the independent variable (the component under investigation), creating an erroneous link that may incorrectly imply a causal relationship. It can be challenging to determine the

true causal influence of confounding variables, which makes it challenging to evaluate the link between the independent and dependent variables.

Statistical analysis and proper study design are necessary to address confounding variables. To account for confounding, researchers use a variety of strategies, including matching, stratification, regression analysis, and instrumental variable approaches. Sensitivity analysis also has the ability to evaluate how resilient study results are to possible confounders.

## Selection bias:

When study participants are not typical of the intended audience, selection bias occurs, which can skew the results and draw incorrect inferences about the causes of the observed phenomena. The phenomenon occurs when specific attributes impact the selection of study participants, resulting in consistent discrepancies between the study's sample and the target population.

Selection bias is frequently caused by non-response bias, which occurs when people who opt out of a study differ systematically from those who do, and self-selection bias, which occurs when people actively choose to participate in a study based on particular traits. Selection bias can also result from researcher bias in participant selection or exclusion criteria.

## Endogeneity:

When an explanatory variable in a statistical model exhibits correlation with the error term, it violates the exogeneity requirement, a scenario known as endogeneity arises. This correlation may result in biased estimations and incorrect conclusions regarding causal links. It may also arise from simultaneity, measurement error, or bias from an omitted variable.

Endogeneity presents a serious problem for causal inference since it makes it difficult to determine with precision the causal relationship between an independent variable and a dependent variable. Endogeneity, for instance, can occur in econometric studies if unobserved factors affect both the independent and dependent variables, producing erroneous correlations.

# 4. Methods for Causal Inference

## Propensity Score Matching (PSM):

Propensity Score Matching (PSM) is a statistical technique that balances the distribution of variables across treatment and control groups in observational studies to minimise bias and estimate causal effects. The conditional probability of obtaining a specific therapy given a collection of observable covariates is known as the propensity score. PSM models the random assignment of participants in experimental trials by matching individuals or units with similar propensity scores across treatment groups.

**Estimation of Propensity Scores:** Based on observable covariates (e.g., baseline variables, demographic features), propensity scores are estimated for each participant using logistic regression or a suitable alternative.

**Matching:** Participants in the treatment group are paired with participants in the control group based on propensity scores that are comparable. Numerous methods, including kernel matching, caliper matching, and nearest neighbor matching, can be used for matching.

**Evaluation of Balance:** Following matching, an evaluation is conducted to make sure that the variables in each treatment group are balanced similarly. Balance can be assessed using statistical testing or visual diagnostics.

**Estimation of Treatment Effects:** Lastly, matched samples are used to estimate the treatment impact. Regression adjustment and difference-in-differences are two techniques that can be used to evaluate the treatment's causal effect on the outcome variable.

## Difference-in-Differences (DID):

A quasi-experimental technique called Difference-in-Differences (DID) compares changes in outcomes over time between a treatment group and a control group in order to assess causal effects. It is predicated on the idea that, in the absence of therapy, the groups' respective outcomes would have trended in a similar direction. DID separates the impact of treatment from confounding variables by examining pre- and post-treatment data. Valid results require careful evaluation of confounding factors and sensitivity studies, even though the results are resilient when the parallel trends assumption is met. In observational research, DID is useful for evaluating natural experiments and policy initiatives.

## Instrumental Variables (IV):

A statistical technique called instrumental variables (IV) is used to evaluate causal effects when endogeneity makes traditional regression analysis biased. Endogeneity is the phenomenon of biased estimations of causal effects resulting from a correlation between the independent variable and the error term. Instrumental variables, or factors correlated with the independent variable of interest but uncorrelated with the error term, are used by IV to address this problem.

Choosing appropriate instruments, estimating the causal effect using specialized regression techniques like two-stage least squares (2SLS), and evaluating the validity of the instruments and findings through sensitivity analyses and diagnostic tests are the essential steps in using instrumental variables.

IV is predicated on a number of premises, among them the instrumental variables' exogeneity and relevance. Exogeneity guarantees that the instruments are uncorrelated with the error term, but relevance guarantees that they are linked with the independent variable.

Although instrumental variables can offer objective estimations of causal effects, care must be taken in both their selection and validation. Researchers need to make sure that the underlying assumptions are true and that the instruments they have selected match the required standards. In spite of these drawbacks, IV is a useful tool for causal inference, especially in fields like the social sciences, economics, and public health research where randomized experiments are impractical or unethical.

## Regression Discontinuity Design (RDD):

RDD is a key method in the toolkit of any applied researcher interested in unveiling the causal effects of policies. One quasi-experimental effect evaluation technique called Regression Discontinuity Design (RDD) is used to assess programmes in which eligibility for participation is determined by a cutoff point. RDD enables researchers to determine the program's effect on a certain result by comparing the individuals just above and below the cutoff point. This page will go over how to evaluate results, when to utilise RDD, how to estimate treatment effects, and the differences between sharp and fuzzy designs.

In RDD, assignment of treatment and control is not random, but rather based on some clear-cut threshold (or cutoff point) of an observed variable such as age, income, and

score. Causal inference is then made comparing individuals on both sides of the cutoff point.

## Structural Equation Modeling (SEM):

An increasingly used multivariate method used in scientific research to assess and analyze multivariate causal links is structural equation modeling, or SEM. Since SEMs examine both direct and indirect impacts on supposed causal links, they are distinct from other modeling techniques. SEM is a statistical technique that has evolved over three generations and is around 100 years old.

Confirmatory factor analysis and path analysis are two statistical techniques that are combined to create SEM. Estimating latent psychological qualities like attitude and satisfaction is the goal of confirmatory factor analysis, which has its roots in psychometrics. On the other hand, path analysis originated in biometrics and used a path diagram to determine the causal relationship between variables.

# 5. Counterfactual Framework

## Definition of counterfactuals:

Counterfactuals are hypothetical situations that depict what would have happened if a specific treatment or intervention had been administered to persons or units, either directly or indirectly, in the context of causal inference. In essence, a counterfactual is a hypothetically generated alternative result that differs from the actual result, enabling researchers to evaluate the treatment's causal impact.

Since counterfactuals offer a foundation for contrasting real results with hypothetical results under various treatment conditions, they are essential to the study of causal inference. The causal influence of the therapy on the outcome variable can be estimated by researchers by contrasting what really occurred with what could have happened.

## Role in causal inference:

Since counterfactuals offer a framework for contrasting real results with hypothetical results under various treatment conditions, they are essential to the study of causal inference. Evaluating the causal impact of an exposure, treatment, or intervention on an outcome variable is the aim of causal inference. Researchers can estimate causal effects by using counterfactuals to assess what would have happened if people or units had been exposed to other situations.

The role of counterfactuals in causal inference can be summarized as follows:

**Establishing Causality:** By enabling researchers to contrast what actually happened with what might have happened in alternative scenarios, counterfactuals offer a conceptual foundation for defining causality. The identification of causal links between variables is made possible by this comparison.

**Therapy impacts:** By comparing observed outcomes with hypothetical outcomes that reflect what would have happened in the absence of therapy, counterfactuals make it easier to estimate the impacts of treatment. This makes it possible for researchers to calculate the treatment or intervention causal influence on the outcome variable.

**Causal Mechanisms:** By allowing researchers to evaluate how various treatment circumstances result in various outcomes, counterfactuals aid in the clarification of causal mechanisms. Researchers can find intermediary steps or paths through which causal effects occur by looking at counterfactual scenarios.

**Study Design and Analysis:** Counterfactuals guide the selection of appropriate comparison groups, define outcome measures, and assess the validity of causal inference procedures in both experimental and observational investigations.

## Potential outcomes framework:

The potential outcomes framework is a cornerstone of causal inference, positing that each individual or unit has multiple potential outcomes corresponding to different treatment conditions. These potential outcomes represent what the outcome would be if the individual received a particular treatment or intervention. In reality, individuals are typically exposed to only one treatment condition, and the observed outcome corresponds to that specific condition. However, the potential outcomes under alternative treatments remain unobservable. The causal effect of a treatment is defined as the difference between the potential outcome under the treatment condition and the potential outcome under the control condition.

Causal inference involves comparing the observed outcome with the potential outcomes under alternative treatment conditions to estimate the causal effect. This framework provides a rigorous and flexible approach to causal inference, forming the basis for various causal inference methods such as randomized controlled trials, propensity score matching, instrumental variables, and difference-in-differences. By formalizing the concept of causal effects and the comparison of counterfactual scenarios, the potential outcomes framework enables researchers to make valid inferences about cause-and-effect relationships in diverse fields such as epidemiology, economics, and social sciences.

# 6. Causal Inference in Machine Learning

## Causal learning vs. predictive modeling:

**Causal learning:** Understanding cause-and-effect linkages between variables is the main goal of causal learning. It looks for patterns in how changes in one variable affect changes in another, frequently with the goal of locating treatments that can lead to the intended results. Causal learning techniques are essential for making well-informed judgements in fields such as economics, policy-making, and healthcare since they place a high priority on identifying causal pathways. For causal inference, methods including propensity score matching, instrumental variables, and structural equation modeling are frequently employed.

Predictive modeling: On the other hand, predictive modeling focuses on using patterns discovered from past data to make precise predictions about data that will be seen or unknown in the future. Predictive models don't always indicate causality, even though they could show relationships between variables. Regression, decision trees, and neural networks are examples of predictive modeling approaches that place a high priority on prediction accuracy. These techniques are commonly applied in fields like natural language processing, image classification, and recommendation systems.

## Challenges and approaches in integrating causal inference with machine learning algorithms:

Integrating causal inference with machine learning algorithms poses several challenges due to differences in objectives, assumptions, and methodologies. However, several approaches have been proposed to address these challenges:

**Assumption Alignment:** Strong assumptions, such the consistency and exchangeability assumptions, are frequently the foundation of causal inference techniques. Aligning these presumptions with the properties of the data and the learning objective is necessary for integrating these techniques with machine learning algorithms. Techniques for sensitivity analysis can be used to evaluate how resilient causal inference results are to alterations in these presumptions.

**Feature Selection:** Machine learning algorithms may prioritize forecasting accuracy, whereas causal inference approaches generally prioritize uncovering causal links between variables. The process of combining machine learning and causal inference frequently

entails choosing features that are both causally meaningful and predictive. Finding features that have a causal influence on the outcome variable is the goal of strategies like causal feature selection.

**Interpretability of the Model:** While some machine learning algorithms, like deep neural networks, may not be able to be understood, causal inference techniques frequently yield results that do. Creating models, like causal decision trees or rule-based models, that strike a compromise between interpretability and prediction accuracy is necessary to integrate causal inference with machine learning.

**Causal Discovery:** By identifying causal correlations from observational data, causal inference techniques can enhance machine learning algorithms. Statistical dependencies and structural constraints are used by methods like causal discovery algorithms to infer causal structures from data. These inferred causal structures can then be included into machine learning models to enhance causal inference.

# 7. Applications of Causal Inference

## Healthcare: Treatment effectiveness evaluation

In healthcare, causal inference is crucial for evaluating the effectiveness of treatments, interventions, and medical practices. By assessing cause-and-effect relationships between treatments and patient outcomes, healthcare professionals can make informed decisions about patient care, treatment protocols, and resource allocation. Here are some applications of causal inference in healthcare for treatment effectiveness evaluation:

**Clinical Trials:** The most reliable method for determining the efficacy of a treatment is a randomized controlled trial (RCT). Trial data are analyzed using causal inference techniques, which allow for the internal validity of the comparison of results between treatment and control groups and take into consideration confounding variables.

**Observational Studies:** Observational studies are used to assess therapy effectiveness when randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are not practical or morally acceptable. Utilizing methods like difference-in-differences, instrumental variables, and propensity score matching, causal inference techniques are used to estimate causal effects from observational data while accounting for confounding variables.

**Comparative Effectiveness Research (CER):** Research on the comparative efficiency of various treatments for a given ailment is known as comparative effectiveness research, or CER. By calculating causal effects and taking into account variables including patient

characteristics, treatment adherence, and long-term outcomes, causal inference techniques assist in determining the most successful treatments.

**Personalized Medicine:** By pinpointing patient groupings most likely to benefit from particular therapy, causal inference helps design personalized treatment plans. Healthcare professionals can better meet the needs of each patient by customizing therapies and reducing side effects by evaluating patient data and treatment results.

## Economics: Policy evaluation:

**Impact Evaluation:** To determine the causal relationship between policies or interventions and economic results, causal inference techniques such as difference-in-differences, instrumental variables, and regression discontinuity designs are employed. These techniques aid researchers in distinguishing the policy's effects from other variables that might affect results.

**Labour Market Policies:** The efficiency of labor market policies, like minimum wage legislation, unemployment insurance, and job training initiatives, is assessed through the application of causal inference. Researchers can evaluate the causal impact of these policies on employment, salaries, and workforce participation by comparing outcomes between and within treatment and control groups, as well as before and after they were implemented.

**Education Policies:** To assess how school vouchers, smaller class sizes, and teacher training initiatives affect student learning, causal inference techniques are used. To evaluate the causal impact of these policies on student achievement, graduation rates, and educational attainment, researchers employ matching techniques and quasi-experimental designs.

## Social sciences: Understanding societal impacts:

**Evaluation of Public Policies:** The effects of public policies and interventions on social outcomes like poverty, inequality, crime, education, and social mobility are assessed using causal inference techniques. To quantify the causal impact of policies on societal well-being, researchers use instrumental factors, propensity score matching, and quasi-experimental approaches.

**Programme Evaluation:** The efficacy of social programmes and interventions designed to address social issues like homelessness, substance misuse, mental health, and family violence is evaluated through the application of causal inference. Quasi-experimental and

randomized controlled trials are used by researchers to assess programme effects and determine optimal intervention strategies.

**Social Inequality and Mobility:** Researchers can better understand the causes of social inequality and mobility by using causal inference techniques. Researchers can provide guidance for policies intended to promote social mobility and lessen inequities by examining patterns of mobility both within and between generations and identifying the factors that contribute to inequality.

# 8. Ethical Considerations

## Implications of drawing causal conclusions:

**Validity and Accuracy:** Because causal findings can have far-reaching effects, it is crucial to make sure they are both. Ineffective or detrimental policies and initiatives may result from inaccurate or biased causal inferences, which could endanger people or communities.

**Fairness and Equity:** When drawing causal findings, it is important to take into account the possible effects on various societal groups, especially the most disadvantaged or marginalized individuals. Rather than escalating already-existing inequalities or injustices, policies and initiatives based on causal conclusions should seek to enhance fairness, equity, and social justice.

**Making Informed Decisions:** Practitioners, policymakers, and the general public should all be informed about causal conclusions in an open and efficient manner. To enable well-informed decision-making, it is essential to clearly explain the constraints and uncertainties related to causal claims, as well as the evidence supporting such assertions.

## Responsibility in interpreting and communicating causal findings:

Interpreting and communicating causal findings responsibly is crucial to ensure that research contributes to informed decision-making and positive societal outcomes. Here's how individuals involved in this process can fulfill their responsibility:

**Accuracy and Rigour:** It is the duty of researchers to carry out thorough analyses and appropriately interpret findings related to causality. This entails applying the proper

techniques, taking any confounders into consideration, and admitting to limitations and uncertainty in the data.

**Transparency:** To enable others to evaluate the validity and trustworthiness of the findings, researchers should be open and honest about their methodology, presumptions, and potential biases. To aid with replication and verification, this entails granting access to data, code, and additional resources.

**Contextualization:** It's critical to place causal findings in the perspective of the larger body of scientific literature and their practical applications. When discussing the findings' applicability to theory, policy, and practice, researchers ought to take into account competing theories as well as any possible unintended implications.

## 9. Future Directions

### Emerging methods in causal inference:

**High-dimensional data**: Researchers working on observational studies as well as randomized trials are finding more and more access to high-dimensional data. This creates opportunities for more consistently reliable learning about a wider range of causal concerns, but it also poses statistical difficulties. There are numerous ways to obtain high-dimensional data, such as through high-dimensional exposures, confounders, and mediators. In each of these scenarios, variable selection or other forms of dimension reduction (along the lines of principal components analysis) may be used in statistical procedures. Both the interpretability and the plausibility of the causal identifying assumptions require careful study. Furthermore, there may be trade-offs in these high-dimensional data challenges between making strong assumptions about the modeling or dimension-reduction aspects of the problem.

**Precision medicine:** Over the past few decades, there has been a lot of interest in precision medicine, ranging from the Human Genome Project's potential impact to President Obama's Precision Medicine Initiative and beyond. It can be conceptualized as selecting the most appropriate course of treatment for each patient based on available data and administering it at the appropriate moment. While the concept of precision medicine was primarily driven by the desire to select drugs or other treatments based on a person's genetic information or other indicators, we can also consider more broadly, extending outside the realm of medicine, customized actions and policies.

**Causal machine learning:** Study design, establishing causal estimands, identifying (causal) assumptions, and statistical modeling are all part of causal inference

methodologies. To design an outcome model, a propensity score model, or both to draw conclusions about causality, for instance, we must educate ourselves on the distributions or functions of the observed data (like mean functions). By avoiding strong parametric assumptions, machine learning techniques help analysts reduce the possibility of bias resulting from model misspecification. Key distinctions exist between causal machine learning and ordinary machine learning. With the state of the globe today, we are not attempting to forecast what will occur next.

In causal machine learning, our goal is to forecast the outcome of changing a certain aspect of the world. (For instance, what if every member of our target demographic adhered to a specific treatment regimen?) Because of this, significant consideration must be given to the study's design, which variables to include in which models, and other issues before machine learning techniques are used. There is still a lot of study to be done in this field.

### Integrating causal inference into data science workflows:

Integrating causal inference into data science workflows involves incorporating methods and principles from causal inference into the process of data collection, analysis, and interpretation. Here's how it can be done:

**Problem Formulation:** First, identify the relevant causal question in detail. Determine your target estimate of the causal relationship, as well as any relevant confounders.

**Data Collection:** Gather pertinent information that enables the determination of causal relationships. Take into account data sources that provide details on the result, confounders, treatment/exposure, and other pertinent variables. Verify the accuracy and completeness of the data to enable reliable causal inference.

**Preprocessing and Exploration:** To deal with missing numbers, outliers, and inconsistencies, preprocess the data. Investigate the data to learn about variable distributions, correlations, and any confounding relationships.

## 10. Conclusion:

Solid causal inference is essential for making well-informed decisions when analyzing data in a variety of domains. Researchers evaluate the impact of treatments or interventions and describe causal linkages using basic notions like prospective outcomes and counterfactuals. Through the use of instrumental variables, propensity score matching, and randomized controlled trials, among other techniques, researchers can account for confounding variables and establish causation from observational data. These methods facilitate assessments of treatment efficacy, policy impacts, and societal

phenomena and find applications in healthcare, economics, social sciences, and data science.

However, deriving causal conclusions entails ethical obligations that call for accountability, justice, accuracy, and transparency in study methods. Incorporating techniques and concepts into data collection, analysis, interpretation, and communication is necessary to integrate causal inference into data science workflows. Researchers can make sure that causal inference improves the validity, reliability, and interpretability of their findings by employing a methodical approach. This will ultimately support equitable results, social justice, and evidence-based decision-making.

## 11. References:

1.  sciencedirect.com/topics/social-sciences/causal-inference
2.  ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles
3.  dimewiki.worldbank.org/Regression_Discontinuity
4.  ecologicalprocesses.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s13717-016-0063-3
5.  ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9991894/