Here are several techniques commonly used in Generative AI to automatically create predefined prompts for an uploaded document:

1. Template-based Prompt Generation

• Description:

Predefined placeholders and static templates.

• Example:

```
"Summarize the key points from {section_name}."
```

2. Metadata-based Prompt Generation

• Description:

Generate prompts dynamically using document metadata like title, author, and date.

• Example:

```
"Explain the implications of the report titled '{document_title}' published on {publish_date}."
```

3. Extractive Prompt Generation (Keyword Extraction)

• Description:

Extract important keywords or terms using NLP (e.g., TF-IDF, RAKE).

• Example:

```
"Define and discuss the following terms extracted from the document: {extracted_terms}."
```

4. Semantic Prompting (Embedding-based)

Description:

Use document embeddings to generate semantically relevant questions or prompts.

• Example:

```
"Discuss similarities between {section_A} and {section_B}, based
```

```
on semantic analysis."
```

5. Summarization-based Prompting

• Description:

Generate summary-based prompts using abstractive or extractive summarization models.

• Example:

```
"Provide a detailed explanation for: {extracted_summary_point}."
```

6. Question Generation (QG)

• Description:

Automatically generate questions from the document content using Question Generation models.

• Example:

```
"What are the main arguments presented in section {section_number}?"
```

7. Named Entity-based Prompting

• Description:

Use named entity recognition (NER) to create entity-focused prompts.

• Example:

```
"Explain the significance of {named_entity} as discussed in the document."
```

8. Structured Document Prompting

• Description:

Leverage structural elements (headers, sections, tables) for prompts.

• Example:

```
"Describe the main points outlined under the heading
```

```
'{header_name}'."
```

9. Prompt Refinement (LLM-based)

• Description:

Use large language models iteratively to refine initial prompts.

• Example:

```
Initial: "Give details about finance." \rightarrow Refined: "Summarize the financial projections for fiscal year {year} in section {section}."
```

10. Agentic Prompt Generation

• Description:

Agent-based systems dynamically generating prompts based on interactions and document context.

• Example:

"After reviewing section {section_name}, discuss potential areas requiring further clarification."

Commonly Used Tools & Libraries:

- OpenAl GPT Models (GPT-3.5, GPT-4, GPT-4 Turbo)
- Hugging Face Transformers (for summarization, question generation, and embeddings)
- SpaCy/NLTK (for NLP tasks like NER, Keyword extraction)
- LangChain/LlamaIndex (for structured prompting and embedding-based semantic retrieval)
- Azure Document Intelligence/Azure Cognitive Services (metadata extraction, layout analysis)

Evaluation of GenAl Approaches for Generating Predefined Prompts

1. OpenAl GPT-4 / GPT-3.5-turbo

- Description: Language models capable of generating human-like text.
- Use Cases: Generating example prompts, summaries, section-specific questions.
- Resources Needed:
 - OpenAl API key
 - Token management
 - Prompt engineering expertise
- Benefits:
 - High language fluency and contextual accuracy
 - Easy integration via API
- Limitations:
 - API cost and token limit
 - May generate irrelevant prompts if context is poor
 - Not deterministic; outputs may vary

2. LangChain

- Description: Python framework for developing LLM-powered applications with modular components.
- Use Cases: Chaining document loaders, retrievers, and LLMs to generate prompts.
- Resources Needed:
 - LangChain library
 - Python knowledge
 - Chain configuration skills
- Benefits:
 - Modular and composable
 - Supports memory and prompt templates
- Limitations:
 - Steep learning curve
 - Slower execution with large chains
 - Debugging complexity

3. LlamaIndex

- Description: Framework for indexing and querying document content with LLMs.
- Use Cases: Automatically extract relevant sections to base prompt generation.
- Resources Needed:
 - Document loaders

- Index storage (in-memory/vector DB)
- Python-based setup
- Benefits:
 - Efficient document structuring
 - Query-aware context fetching
- Limitations:
 - Additional pre-processing step
 - Costly if using embeddings frequently
 - Dependent on document cleanliness

Combined Workflow Architecture

- 1. Document Upload
- 2. Text Extraction/OCR (Azure, Tesseract, etc.)
- 3. LlamaIndex (Indexing Document)
- 4. LangChain (Chaining Query + Prompt Template)
- 5. OpenAl GPT-4 (Generate Predefined Prompts)
- 6. Present Prompts in UI or Chatbot

Summary Table

| Approach | Resources Needed | Benefits | Limitations | Implementation Effort |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| GPT-4 / GPT-3.5 | API Key, Prompt Engineering | Fluent output, flexible | Token limits, API costs, hallucinations | Medium |
| LangChain | Python, LangChain, chaining knowledge | Modular, memory support | Debug complexity, learning curve | High |
| LlamaIndex | Index setup, document loaders | Structured content retrieval | Preprocessing needed, sensitive to document quality | Medium |