Multi-layer skip connection enabled CNN for license plate detection and recognition

First Author[1,2*], Second Author[2,3†] and Third Author[1,2†]

[1*]Department, Organization, Street, City, 100190, State, Country.
[2]Department, Organization, Street, City, 10587, State, Country.
[3]Department, Organization, Street, City, 610101, State, Country.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): iauthor@gmail.com;
Contributing authors: iiauthor@gmail.com; iiiauthor@gmail.com;
†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

License plate detection and recognition are critical components in a variety of applications, including intelligent vehicle systems, smart trafficking and law enforcement. Existing models which are used for this purpose have poor performance and are not cost-effective. So in order to achieve a stable and accurate license plate detector and recogniser, an integrated approach to license plate identification and recognition that takes advantage of cutting-edge techniques in object detection and character recognition is enabled. It utilises the multi layer skip connection CNN model for recognition along with YOLO model for detection. These are validated using public standard datasets like ALOP, ALPR, ANPR and ChineseLP. Along with these, UFPR-ALPR is used to validate CNN skip connection. Finally, the best performing versions for both YOLO part as well as CNN part are pipe-lined to provide a final working model which will be the most efficient and accurate. The model after analysis indicates that YOLOv8 is best performing among all versions with F1 score of 98 %, recall of 96.84 % and precision of 99.19 %. The CNN skip connection has99.68 % training accuracy, 0.0123 % training loss, 97.46 % validation accuracy, and 0.2444 % validation loss, and is best performing model for recognition.

Keywords: Object Detection, YOLO, Character Recognition, Deep Learning, CNN, Sequential connection, Skip connection

1 Introduction

Traffic rules are violated on the roads and it's hard to identify who is violating the traffic rules by traffic police. Also, manual handling of traffic management, parking management, law enforcement, and vehicle tracking has became difficult due to rise in number of cars. All of these problems can be solved if we can find way to effectively and accurately track the license plate number of the vehicles. Traditional methods for detecting and recognizing license plates depended mainly on handmade characteristics and heuristic-based algorithms, which frequently struggled to generalize across varied environments and light conditions[3-5]. Our research seeks to overcome critical issues in license plate detection and recognition, such as adaptability to changing lighting conditions, occlusions, and complicated backdrops.

Proposed model takes an image as an input and gives us the number plate characters as output through internal functioning of model. We focus on license plate detection and recognition utilizing cutting-edge deep learning algorithms. We intend to investigate the most recent advances in object detection algorithms. Furthermore, we look into the use of optical character recognition algorithms to extract alphanumeric characters from detected license plates. Our research seeks to overcome critical issues in license plate detection and recognition, such as adaptability to changing lighting conditions, occlusions, and complicated backdrops. Since OCR is pretrained, we cannot analyse it quantitatively so in order to analyse the character recognition in more efficient way, we developed a two different CNN model for license plate character recognition.

The system is divided into two parts- detection and recognition. The system is meant to smoothly process user inputs, which can take the form of images. After receiving an input, the system enters in detection phase, where it uses powerful machine learning techniques[22-24] to identify vehicles within the frame. The detection phase uses cutting-edge object detection algorithms, with a focus on the YOLO architecture. it provides real-time performance and great accuracy, making it an excellent choice for license plate detection tasks. Once a vehicle is successfully spotted within the frame, the algorithm proceeds to locate the license plates on it. This localization procedure is critical for identifying the area of interest holding the license plate, which is frequently hidden by factors such as vehicle orientation, lighting conditions, and occlusions. YOLO excels at accurately locating objects within complicated scenes, allowing the system to properly identify and extract license plates from observed vehicles.

After extracting the license plates, the system moves on to the recognition step, where it uses Optical Character Recognition (OCR) to decipher the alphanumeric sequences imprinted on the plates. OCR is crucial in turning the visual information present on license plates into machine-readable text, allowing for further analysis and processing. The recognition procedure begins with preprocessing processes designed to improve the quality of the retrieved license plate photos. Preprocessing techniques may include image scaling, normalization, noise reduction, and contrast enhancement. By performing these procedures, the system ensures that the input images are optimized for OCR processing. The recognition process concludes with the production of machine-readable text reflecting the alphanumeric sequence found on the license plate. This text can then be used for a variety of purposes, including vehicle

identification, access control, toll collecting, and law enforcement.



Figure 1: Process Flow of Proposed Approach; Block-A is dataset collection for both detection and recognition; Block-B is Object detection model, In Block-C license plate are localized within regions of interest containing potential license plates from the detected vehicles; In Block-D isolation of license plate from rest of the image occurs, which is crucial for extracting license plate information like characters; In Block-E character recognition model is present; In Block-F Segmentation of individual characters from the localized license plates occurs so that it can be machine-readable

Machine learning techniques and its other varient is getting popularity due to its feature extraction capability and have been applied in various applications including autonomous vehicle systems [18,22,24,27], traditional image processing based tasks [19-21],[23],[25-29] and vision based satellite image processing [30-31].

Overall, the two-phase system described in this research paper provides a complete solution for license plate detection and recognition. By merging YOLO with powerful Skip-connection based CNN algorithm, the system has the potential to speed up operations involving vehicle recognition and information retrieval. The proposed workflow can be visualized in Figure 1. The structure of the rest of the article is as follows: Section 2 concisely examines the studies in license plate detection and

recognition; in Section 3, phases of the proposed approach are discussed; Section 4 reveals the experimental outcomes. Concluding remarks and future directions of the approach are presented in Section 5.

2 Related Works

An overview of all relevant research on licence plate identification and detection is provided in this section. part 1 discusses the classic approaches to licence plate detection while part 2 focuses on several deep learning-based algorithms that have been utilised for licence plate detection. This portion concludes with a tabular summary that compares several detection and recognition models, each of which is compared separately with our suggested model. Subsection 3 provides a detailed explanation of the originality and contributions.

2.1 Traditional Approaches

Conventional techniques for identifying licence plates depended on manually designed feature extraction and traditional machine learning algorithms. These methods frequently included a number of pre-processing stages, area of interest (ROI) identification, and character recognition in order of precedence.

The major components of the suggested method by Kurchaniya et al.[3] proposed connected component algorithm for character segmentation, the wiener filter for noise reduction, morphological operations for number plate localization, and template-based matching for character identification. X. Ascar et al. [4] suggested using the binary technique for pre-processing in conjunction with a technique known as the kernel density function. The position of the licence plate can be determined using the filtered binary value of the image by multiplying the binary value by the image's original value. Similarly, In the pre-processing phase, Ganta et al.[5] uses a number of image processing methods, including morphological transformation, Gaussian smoothing, and Gaussian thresholding. Subsequently, border-following contours are applied and filtered according to character dimensions and spatial localization for number plate segmentation. Character recognition is then accomplished using the K-nearest neighbours algorithm following the region of interest filtering and de-skewing processes.
The four main components of the suggested model are segmentation, character recognition, licence plate region extraction, and pre-processing of the acquired image. When the acquired image has a high light intensity, using the Sobel edge detection technique directly or using a threshold will not effectively detect the licence plate region. During segmentation, the characters get deformed due to the use of morphological processes. suggested an innovative approach using a special edge detection method to address the aforementioned problems[9].

In this study, a robust technique for character localization, segmentation, and recognition inside the localised plate is presented. Grayscale images are created by converting images. Since the Hough transform is used to determine Hough lines, the segmentation of a greyscale image produced by identifying edges for smoothing the

image is used to reduce the amount of connected part, after which the connected part is computed[10]. The many techniques for identifying the number plate number are covered in this approach given by Laroca et al.[11] which locates the licence plate, character segmentation, which divides the extracted characters into individual parts, and character recognition, which turns a pixel into meaningful information. Grayscale converts a colourful image to grayscale. Binarization further converts a grayscale image into a black and white version[11].

Traditional approaches[19-21] used manually developed feature extraction algorithms to characterise the isolated candidate regions and differentiate licence plates from other objects or artefacts. For licence plate classification, features like shape, texture, colour, and gradient orientation were frequently collected and input into classifiers like Support Vector Machines (SVM), k-Nearest Neighbours (k-NN)[5], or decision trees. The unique features of licence plates were taught to these classifiers through hand annotation of datasets.

Although the foundation for licence plate detection systems was established by classical methods, their performance and resilience were limited by their reliance on hand-crafted features and shallow learning algorithms in comparison to contemporary deep learning techniques. Traditional methods sought to identify and characterise licence plate sections within pictures using techniques like preprocessing, feature extraction, and region of interest (ROI) detection. Preprocessing procedures improved licence plate visibility, and feature extraction methods extracted distinctive features for categorization. Furthermore, but with restrictions on scale and viewpoint changes, template matching algorithms provide a way to compare predetermined templates against image regions. Traditional methods, however, nevertheless ran into a number of difficulties and restrictions. Sensitivity to changes in perspective, illumination, and occlusion presented serious challenges to these approaches' robustness and dependability.

2.2 Deep Learning Based Approaches

A paper on automatic system for LP detection and recognition based on deep learning proposed by Z.Selmi et al.[1] divided into three parts: detection, segmentation, and character recognition. To detect an License Plate, pre-processing is done to segment the License Plate and finally to recognize all the characters. The suggested approach seeks to use sensors to summarize and analyze different approaches and advancements in licence plate recognition in the deep learning era. Four steps—License Plate Extraction, Image Pre-processing, Character Segmentation, and Character Recognition—are included in the proposed ALPR system. Four distinct approaches to character recognition have been tested: MobileNet, Inception V3, ResNet 50, and Convolution Neural Network (CNN)[2]. This work has presented a unique approach for both character identification and licence plate detection that is based on a backpropagation neural network (BPNN) and feature extraction model that works well in dim lighting and complex backdrops[6]. The method to extract the input image's vertical edges is based on the two-dimensional wavelet transform. In order to identify prospective licence plate areas, the high density of vertical edges is computed first. After this, a plate/non-plate CNN classifier is used to confirm these possible regions. Following the

identification of the licence plate, the characters are separated using a straightforward technique based on the empty space between the characters. Lastly, a different CNN classifier is trained to classify these character candidates[7].

Similarly, a cutting-edge deep learning framework known as "Capsule Network" proposed by Sathya et al.[8] is used to construct a revolutionary V-LPR system. The suggested system is reliable and efficient in all circumstances. Furthermore, by incorporating the segmentation process—which entails training and recognising the full licence plate cropped region—within the CN framework, the suggested method seeks to reduce processing times. Additionally, the CN framework extracts features from a segmented alphanumeric character. Lastly, the approach of data augmentation is also employed.

The suggested approach by Shivakumara et al.[12] combines recurrent and convolutional neural networks, namely BLSTM (Bi-Directional Long Short Term Memory), to recognise objects. Because of its strong discriminative capacity, CNN has been employed for feature extraction; concurrently, BLSTM may extract context information by using historical data. Dense Cluster based Voting (DCV) was utilised for categorization. The study suggests a hybrid technology system that uses an interface to automatically identify and read a vehicle's number plate number from real-time photos that are taken. In essence, it involves taking the pixel data from digital photos and translating it into ASCII values or the number plate's plain text. The car is initially detected by the system, which then captures a real-time image of it[13].

Similarly, the proposed model given by Dhyani et al.[14] consists of two parts: location and nameplate identification, and it uses a convolution model based on the YOLOv6. CIOU loss considers aspect ratio and centre distance in addition to the bounding box's coverage area for determining the placement of a licence plate. a BLPNET (VGG-19-RESNET-50) model to identify number plate characters. The suggested method looks into the issue of dataset bias in the context of LPR. research on eight datasets—four gathered in mainland China and four in Brazil—showed that each dataset has a distinct, recognisable "signature" because a lightweight classification model more accurately predicts the source dataset of a licence plate (LP) image[15]. The proposed work focuses on low-quality photos and licence plate (LP) reconstruction in LR. introduced a Single-Image Super-Resolution (SISR) method with an enhanced loss function based on LPR predictions that expands on the attention/-transformer module idea by utilising the PixelShuftle layers' capabilities[16]. The suggested model uses a training-based methodology to determine if an approaching car in a certain location is suspicious or not. Every image in the collection was trained using the object detection method YOLO-v4[17]. The suggested model looks into the issues of tilted licence plates and night vision situations. In order to detect and identify licence plates in extremely low lighting, this research presents a method for doing so that improves night vision. Recursive Encoder-Decoder Network (RED-Net), a night vision enhancement module, and a collection of non-reference loss functions created for picture characteristics are the first tools used in the process[18].

Table 1: Summary of the major state-of-the art models and Proposed model

Study	Method	Strength	Weakness
plate detection	L-norm[33]	prevent overfitting and promotes simpler model	sensitivity to outliers
plate detection	MSER and SIFT[34]	detection of stable regions	
plate detection	IP and CV[35]	enhances contrast and reduces noise	require extensive parameter tuning
plate detection	Edge-based[36]	detects well-defined boundaries	struggle with poor contrast images
plate detection	CNN[37]	extract relevant features from images	require a large amount of annotated data
plate detection	Edge-Clustering[38]	excel in detecting text-like structures	struggle with complex backgrounds
plate detection	Proposed(YOLOv8)	real-time license plate detection in one pass	struggle with heavily occluded plates
character recognition	SVM with RBF Kernal[39]	handling non-linear and high-dimensional feature	sensitive to the choice of hyperparameters
character recognition	Standard ELM[39]	faster training time and good generalization	suffer from overfitting
character recognition	RBF kernal-based ELM[39]	handling diverse character styles	struggle with large-scale datasets
character recognition	LeNet type-1[40]	simple and effective CNN architecture	struggle with recognizing complex patterns
character recognition	LeNet type-2[40]	deeper architecture with increased non-linearity	simple architecture compared to recent ones
character recognition	AlexNet[40]	effective feature extraction	high computational resource requirements
character recognition	Proposed(Skip-CNN)	ability to automatically learn relevant features	require a large amount of data to generalize

Key Contributions of proposed Method

- We have analyzed various versions of state-of-the art model YOLO, which are yolov5, yolov7, yolov8 and yolov9 for license plate detection task. we concluded Yolov8 is best performing model after testing on 4 different datasets i.e ALPR, ALOP, ANPR and Chinese LPR. Our model outperforms existing state-of-the art models for licese plate detection.
- We have come up with a novel Multi-layer skip connection enabled CNN for license plate recognition task which This allows for more efficient learning of discriminative features for character recognition. our proposed model outperforms the existing approaches for character recognition from license plate.
- Created a comprehensive end-to-end model for character recognition and licence plate detection. YOLO and skip-connection CNN both elements work together to provide the full pipeline for character detection and licence plate recognition,

3 Material and Methods

To learn more intrinsic features of license plate detection and character recognition, we will describe each phase of system along with its working and features.

3.1 Phase I: Object Detection

The phase I of our system is object detection. Object detection is important in license plate recognition systems because it allows license plates to be identified and localized inside pictures or video frames. In this project, cutting-edge object detection algorithms were used, with a focus on the YOLO (You Only Look Once) model architecture. The YOLO model family is well-known for its ability to detect objects in real time with great accuracy and efficiency.

Throughout the experiment, we thoroughly examined and compared four distinct versions of the YOLO model: v5, v7, v8, and v9. Each version has its own unique features and improvements, making it appropriate for a variety of applications, including license plate detection. We can roughly compare all these versions on different features as shown in the table below.

3.1.1 YOLOv5

YOLOv5 is a modern object identification model introduced by Ultralytics. It is an extension of the YOLO architecture, which is intended to achieve high accuracy and real-time performance. It presents unique architecture designs and optimization methodologies, which improve detection performance while reducing computational complexity. YOLOv5 is especially well-suited for real-time applications, making it a

popular choice for license plate detection tasks where efficiency is critical. It is especially well-suited for real-time applications, making it an appealing option for license plate identification tasks where efficiency is critical.

The architecture is a lightweight and efficient object detection model known for its speed and accuracy. This architecture has various benefits for license plate detection, including real-time performance, high accuracy, and adaptability to a variety of settings. However, issues such as dataset diversity, occlusion, and multilingual character recognition require further investigation and development. Furthermore, integrating YOLOv5 with other technologies, such as CNN for license plate recognition, provides chances to expand system capabilities.

The YOLOv5 loss function for detecting objects, including the coordinates, dimensions, and objectness scores can be defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{t},\hat{\mathbf{t}}) = \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} \left[(a_i - \hat{a}_i)^2 + (b_i - \hat{b}_i)^2\right] \\ + \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B1} \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} \left[(p_i - \hat{p}_i)^2 + (q_i - \hat{q}_i)^2\right] \\ + \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B1} \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 \\ + \lambda_{\text{noobj}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B1} \mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{noobj}} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{t},\hat{\mathbf{t}})$ represents the loss function, $\lambda_{\text{coord}}$is the coefficient for the bounding box coordinate loss term, $\sum_{i=0}^{S^2}$ represents the sum over grid cells, $\sum_{j=0}^{B-1}$ represents the sum over anchor boxes, $\mathbb{1}_{ij}^{\text{obj}}$ is an indicator function that equals 1 if object j in cell i is responsible for the prediction, a_i, b_i, p_i, q_i are the predicted bounding box coordinates (center coordinates, width, and height) for object j in cell i, $\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i$, $\hat{p}_i$, $\hat{p}_i$ are the corresponding ground truth bounding box coordinates, Y_i is the predicted confidence score for object j in cell i, $\hat{Y}_i$ is the corresponding ground truth confidence score and λ_{noobj} is the coefficient for the no-objectness loss term.

The Binary Cross-Entropy Loss is used to calculate the difference between projected probability and ground truth labels in binary classification tasks, such as detecting whether a particular region of an image has a license plate or not. The binary cross-entropy loss is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BCE}}(m,n) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [m_i \log(n_i) + (1 - m_i) \log(1 - n_i)] \tag{2}$$

where m represents the ground truth labels indicating the presence or absence of a license plate, n represents the predicted probabilities generated by the YOLOv5 model, and N is the total number of samples.

Mean Squared Error(MSE) Loss which is used to train the model to accurately localize the license plate within an image. The MSE loss function averages the squared differences between expected and actual values. The mean squared error loss is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MSE}}(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{MSE}}$ represents the MSE loss function, x_i is the ground truth label, $\hat{x}_i$ is the predicted output and N is the total number of samples.

The softmax function is often applied to the output of the final layer to obtain class probabilities for each bounding box. It is used to convert raw scores or logits into probabilities and can be defined as:

$$\text{softmax}(\mathbf{x})_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{x_j}} \tag{4}$$

where x is the input vector of logits, N is the number of classes and e is the base of the natural logarithm.



Figure 2: Architecture of YOLOv5

Overall, the YOLOv5 architecture is an efficient and effective solution for license plate detecting tasks, balancing speed, accuracy, and computing efficiency. Its simplified design and real-time performance make it ideal for use in license plate recognition systems used in a variety of applications, including traffic management, law enforcement, and automated toll collection.

3.1.2 YOLOv7

YOLOv7 incorporates innovations in model design and training approaches to improve detection accuracy and efficiency. It could involve enhancements to backbone networks, feature extraction layers, or attention methods to capture more complicated spatial connections and semantic information. This version builds on the basis provided by its predecessors, including improvements to architecture design and training tactics. It seeks to solve constraints identified in previous versions while striking a balance between detection accuracy and processing efficiency. YOLOv7 improves performance in tough settings and can handle a wide range of object identification tasks, including license plate localization.

It efficiently extracts features from input photos using a powerful backbone architecture, which is often built on convolutional neural networks (CNNs) such as ResNet or CSPDarknet. These backbone networks capture hierarchical representations of picture characteristics, which are required for accurate object detection. The YOLOv7 architecture would explicitly target license plate detection by quickly localizing and classifying license plates in pictures or video frames. It would have to deal with a variety of obstacles, including changing lighting conditions, occlusions, and license plate designs.

The loss function in YOLOv7 is often made up of numerous components, including localization loss, confidence loss, and classification loss. Here's a simplified form of the loss function equation:

$$L(b,\hat{b}) = \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} 1_{ij}^{\text{obj}} [(a_i - \hat{a}_i)^2 + (b_i - \hat{b}_i)^2] + \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} 1_{ij}^{\text{obj}} [(p_i - \hat{p}_i)^2 + (q_i - \hat{q}_i)^2]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} 1_{ij}^{\text{obj}} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 + \lambda_{\text{noobj}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B} 1_{ij}^{\text{noobj}} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 \quad (5)$$

where $L(b,\hat{b})$ represents the loss function, *coord* and λ_{noobj} are coefficients for the coordinate and no-object confidence loss terms, respectively, S^2 is the number of grid cells, B is the number of bounding boxes per grid cell, a_i, b_i, p_i, q_i, Y_i represent the predicted coordinates (center x, center y, width, height, and objectness confidence) for the i-th bounding box and $\hat{a}_i$, $\hat{b}_i$, $\hat{p}_i$, $\hat{q}_i$, $\hat{Y}_i$ represent the corresponding ground truth values.

The softmax function is often used for calculating class probabilities in the output layer of the neural network. It is defined as:

$$\text{softmax}(\mathbf{x})_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{x_j}} \quad (6)$$

where x is the input vector, e is Euler's number and N is the total number of classes.

The model is trained using Mean Squared Error Loss to reliably localize license plates in images. The mean squared error loss is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MSE}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (a_i - b_i)^2 \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{MSE}}$ represents the MSE loss function, a represents the actual (ground truth) values, b represents the predicted values and N is the total number of samples.



Figure 3: Architecture of YOLOv7

YOLOv7 continues the growth of the YOLO series by leveraging advances in deep learning algorithms for object recognition. The design would typically include convolutional neural network (CNN) layers, such as convolutional, pooling, and activation layers, followed by detection heads that predict bounding boxes, objectness scores, and class probabilities.

3.1.3 YOLOv8

YOLOv8 makes further advances in object detection by employing novel techniques including attention mechanisms and feature fusion. This version focuses on increasing model economy and inference speed while maintaining accuracy. It may use techniques like model distillation, quantization, or network pruning to reduce model size and computational complexity while retaining performance. It stresses accuracy and resilience, making it ideal for applications requiring exact localization and identification of objects, such as license plates. It outperforms in scenarios with complicated backdrops, occlusions, and variable illumination conditions.

YOLOv8 typically uses a more powerful backbone architecture than previous versions. This backbone network, which is commonly built on ResNet or Darknet variations, extracts features from input photos. The deeper and wider design enables this model to record more intricate spatial characteristics, hence improving its detection skills. The YOLOv8 design would need to overcome a number of critical difficulties associated with license plate detection. These difficulties include differences in license plate size, position, and perspective, as well as lighting conditions, occlusions, and background clutter. To adequately address these fluctuations, the design would need to be both durable and flexible.

YOLOv8's loss function is often made up of many components aimed to penalize differences between predicted and ground truth bounding box parameters, as well as anticipated class probabilities and objectness scores. The loss function for YOLOv8 is written as:

$$L(y,\hat{y}) = \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} 1_{ij}^{\text{obj}} [(x_i - \hat{x}_i)^2 + (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2] + \lambda_{\text{coord}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} 1_{ij}^{\text{obj}} [(w_i - \hat{w}_i)^2 + (h_i - \hat{h}_i)^2]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} 1_{ij}^{\text{obj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 + \lambda_{\text{noobj}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} 1_{ij}^{\text{noobj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} 1_{ij}^{\text{obj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2 + \lambda_{\text{noobj}} \sum_{i=0}^{S^2} \sum_{j=0}^{B-1} 1_{ij}^{\text{noobj}} (C_i - \hat{C}_i)^2] \quad (8)$$

where $L(y,\hat{y})$ represents the loss function, λ_{coord} and λ_{noobj} are coefficients for the coordinate and no-object confidence loss terms respectively, S^2 is the number of grid cells in the input image, B is the number of anchor boxes per grid cell, obj_ij and $noobj_ij$ are indicator variables indicating whether the bounding box contains an object or not, x_i, y_i, w_i, h_i, C_i represent the predicted coordinates (center x, center y, width, height, and objectness confidence) for the i-th bounding box and $\hat{x}_i$, $\hat{y}_i$, $\hat{w}_i$, $\hat{h}_i$, $\hat{C}_i$ represent the corresponding ground truth values.

The Binary Cross-Entropy Loss measures the difference between projected probability and ground truth labels in binary classification tasks, such as determining if an image region has a license plate. The binary cross-entropy loss is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BCE}}(a,b) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [a_i \log(b_i) + (1 - a_i) \log(1 - b_i)] \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{BCE}}$ represents the BCE loss function, a represents the ground truth labels indicating the presence or absence of a license plate, b represents the predicted probabilities generated by the YOLOv8 model, and N is the total number of samples.

The softmax function is often used for calculating class probabilities in the output layer of the neural network. It is defined as:

$$\text{softmax}(\mathbf{x})_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{x_j}} \tag{10}$$

where x is the input vector, e is Euler's number and N is the total number of classes.



Figure 4: Architecture of YOLOv8

Overall, the YOLOv8 architecture for license plate detection would aim to push the boundaries of performance, accuracy, and efficiency, allowing it to succeed in difficult real-world circumstances. Such an architecture highlight potential directions for future research and development in the field of object detection.

3.1.4 YOLOv9

YOLOv9 is the latest edition of the YOLO model family, including cutting-edge advances in deep learning and computer vision. It focuses on improving both speed and accuracy, resulting in real-time object detection with unsurpassed performance. It pushes the limits of object identification performance by investigating novel architectures, loss functions, and training methodologies. It may experiment with advanced concepts such as self-attention processes, multi-scale feature fusion, or domain-specific optimizations to produce better detection outcomes. It has sophisticated features including dynamic anchor assignment and multiscale prediction, which enhances its adaptability and effectiveness in a variety of contexts.

In the context of license plate detection, YOLOv9 would likely build upon the advancements and principles established by its predecessors in the YOLO series. This version may include more developments in backbone architectures to boost feature extraction capabilities. YOLOv9 would include a complex backbone architecture capable of extracting hierarchical features from input photos. This backbone might

be built on the most recent advances in convolutional neural network (CNN) designs, such as ResNet, EfficientNet, and Darknet. These designs are well-known for their capacity to properly record complicated visual patterns, which is critical for accurately detecting objects such as license plates.



Figure 5: Architecture of YOLOv9

YOLOv9 promises to push the frontiers of object detection technology by incorporating cutting-edge architectural components, attention processes, and training methods. By leveraging the capabilities of its predecessors and incorporating new breakthroughs, YOLOv9 aims to achieve improved performance in license plate detection tasks.

3.2 Phase II: Character Recognition

The phase II of our system is character recognition. After object detection, next step is character recognition where the extracted license plate number is found using various machine learning algorithms. In this paper, we've used Optical Character Recognition(OCR) for character recognition of license plate. Character recognition with OCR (Optical Character Recognition) is the technique of identifying and interpreting characters in photographs or scanned documents. This technology converts printed or handwritten text into digital format, allowing for automated data entry, text search, and document analysis. Three different types of OCRs are used i.e. easyOCR, paddleOCR and tessaractOCR. EasyOCR is praised for its user-friendly API and broad language coverage, whereas PaddleOCR excels in performance and scalability thanks to its base in the PaddlePaddle deep learning architecture. TesseractOCR, developed by Google, provides open-source accessibility and significant customization capabilities. Each framework has its advantages, with EasyOCR excelling in simplicity, PaddleOCR in performance, and TesseractOCR in customisation. The choice is made based on variables such as ease of use, performance requirements, language support, and project customization demands.

While OCR is an effective tool for character identification, it is often based on pre-trained models that cannot be further trained or fine-tuned for specific needs. To

overcome this constraint and obtain more accurate and specialized character recognition, custom Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) models can be created from scratch. These CNN models are trained particularly to recognize characters on license plates. In this context, two kinds of CNN architectures are frequently used: sequential models and models with skip connections.

Both sequential CNN models and networks with skip connections can be trained on labeled datasets of character images, such as the UFPR-ALPR dataset mentioned above. During training, the models learn to map input images of characters to their respective classes or labels via an optimization method, with the goal of minimizing a loss function that measures the difference between predicted and ground truth labels.

3.2.1 Sequential CNN Model

Sequential convolutional neural network (CNN) models are critical components of license plate character recognition systems. These models are intended to process input photos and extract essential features that assist in detecting alphanumeric characters on license plates. A typical sequential CNN model has numerous layers, each of which performs a specific function in the recognition process.

Sequential CNN models are built by layering convolutional layers, activation functions, pooling layers, and fully connected layers successively. These models process input images sequentially, extracting hierarchical features at varying degrees of abstraction. The last layers of the network are typically fully connected layers followed by softmax activation, which produces the probabilities for each character class. Sequential CNN models are easy to create and train, making them ideal for character recognition tasks.

The batch number formula for training a sequential CNN model is determined by various parameters, including the amount of your dataset, the computational resources available, and the desired balance between training speed and model correctness.A common formula for computing the batch number (N) is:

$$N = \frac{T}{B} \tag{11}$$

where N is the total number of batches, T is the total number of samples in the dataset and B is the desired batch size.

In a Sequential CNN model, the optimizer is commonly specified during the model compilation phase in Keras. The optimizer used is adam and is defined by:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{v_t} + \epsilon} \cdot m_t \tag{12}$$

where θ_{t+1} is the updated parameter, θ_t is the current parameter, η is the learning rate, v_t is the exponentially moving average of the squared gradient, m_t is the exponentially moving average of the gradient and ϵ is a small constant to prevent division by zero.

In a Sequential CNN model, the learning rate is a hyperparameter that controls the step size at which the model's weights are changed during training. It is critical in ensuring that the training process is both stable and consistent. It is defined by:

$$\text{New Weight} = \text{Old Weight} - \eta \times \text{Gradient} \tag{13}$$

where New Weight is the updated weight value, Old Weight is the current weight value, η is the learning rate and Gradient is the gradient of the loss function with respect to the weight.

The configuration of our sequential CNN model that we've used is described below:
Total number of images = 37234
Number of classes = 36
Number of training images = 29788 (80 percent)
Number of validation images = 7446 (20 percent)

Table 2: Configuration of sequential CNN model

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param
rescaling (Rescaling)	(None, 40, 40, 3)	0
conv2d (Conv2D)	(None, 40, 40, 16)	448
max pooling2d (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 20, 20, 16)	0
conv2d 1 (Conv2D)	(None, 20, 20, 32)	4640
max pooling2d 1 (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 10, 10, 32)	0
conv2d 2 (Conv2D)	(None, 10, 10, 64)	18496
max pooling2d 2 (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 5, 5, 64)	0
dropout (Dropout)	(None, 5, 5, 64)	0
flatten (Flatten)	(None, 1600)	0
dense (Dense)	(None, 128)	204928
dense 1 (Dense)	(None, 36)	4644

Total params: 233156
Trainable params: 233156
Non-trainable params: 0

Overall, sequential CNN models for license plate recognition use a hierarchical design to evaluate input photos, extract key characteristics, and accurately predict the alphanumeric characters on the license plate.

3.2.2 CNN Model with Skip Connection

In license plate character recognition systems, convolutional neural network (CNN) models with skip connections have emerged as an effective method for recognizing alphanumeric characters on license plates. These models use skip connections, also known as residual connections, to allow for the smooth flow of information through the network while reducing the vanishing gradient problem.

CNN models with skip connections, also known as residual networks or ResNets, use skip connections to bypass one or more layers of the network. These skip connections improve gradient flow during training, addressing the vanishing gradient problem and allowing for deeper network training. Skip connections allow the network to acquire more sophisticated and abstract representations of characters, resulting in increased recognition performance. Furthermore, skip connections encourage feature reuse and help to reduce overfitting, making CNN models with skip connections ideal for character recognition tasks with little training data.

The formula for updating the weights in a CNN model with skip connections using batch normalization is expressed as follows:

$$\text{New Weight} = \text{Old Weight} - \eta \times \text{Gradient} \tag{14}$$

where New Weight is the updated weight value, Old Weight is the current weight value, η is the learning rate and Gradient is the gradient of the loss function with respect to the weight.

In CNN model with skip connection, the optimizer is commonly specified during the model compilation phase in Keras. The optimizer used is adam and is defined by:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{v_t} + \epsilon} \cdot m_t \tag{15}$$

where θ_{t+1} is the updated parameter, θ_t is the current parameter, η is the learning rate, v_t is the exponentially moving average of the squared gradient, m_t is the exponentially moving average of the gradient and ϵ is a small constant to prevent division by zero.

The configuration of our CNN model with skip connection that we've used is described below:
Total number of images = 37234
Number of classes = 36
Number of training images = 29788 (80 percent)
Number of validation images = 7446 (20 percent)

Total params: 279332
Trainable params: 279332
Non-trainable params: 0

Table 3: Configuration of CNN model with skip connection

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param	Connected to
input 1 (InputLayer)	[(None, 40, 40, 3)]	0	[ ]
rescaling (Rescaling)	(None, 40, 40, 3)	0	['input 1 [0] [0]']
conv2d (Conv2D)	(None, 40, 40, 16)	448	['rescaling [0] [0]']
max pooling2d (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 20, 20, 16)	0	['conv2d [0] [0]']
conv2d 1 (Conv2D)	(None, 20, 20, 32)	4640	['max pooling2d [0] [0]']
conv2d 2 (Conv2D)	(None, 20, 20, 32)	9248	['conv2d 1 [0] [0]']
add (Add)	(None, 20, 20, 32)	0	['conv2d 2 [0] [0]', 'conv2d 1 [0] [0]']
max pooling2d 1 (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 10, 10, 32)	0	['add [0] [0]']
conv2d 3 (Conv2D)	(None, 10, 10, 64)	18946	['max pooling2d 1 [0] [0]']
conv2d 4 (Conv2D)	(None, 10, 10, 64)	36928	['conv2d 3 [0] [0]']
add 1 (Add)	(None, 10, 10, 64)	0	['conv2d 4 [0] [0]', 'conv2d 3 [0] [0]']
max pooling2d 2 (MaxPooling2D)	(None, 5, 5, 64)	0	['add 1 [0] [0]']
flatten (Flatten)	(None, 1600)	0	['max pooling2d 2 [0] [0]']
dropout (Dropout)	(None, 1600)	0	['flatten [0][0]']
dense (Dense)	(None, 128)	204928	['dropout [0] [0]']
dense 1 (Dense)	(None, 36)	4644	['dense [0] [0]']



Figure 6: Basic diagram of CNN model with skip connection

Overall, CNN models with skip connections use skip connections to address the obstacles of training deep neural networks, resulting in effective and efficient detection of alphanumeric letters on license plates. The use of skip connections improves the model's ability to learn complicated patterns and variations in license plate photos, resulting in better performance on character recognition tasks.

4 Experimental setup and assessment

The experiment involves python programming language and various libraries which runs on NVIDIA-SMI having with 6GB memory having GPU capability. For the training, 100 iterations were found suitable to assess the proposed approach. To validate the performance, standard evaluation measures are also utilized.

4.1 Dataset

The dataset is critical for training and evaluating the performance of license plate detection and recognition algorithms. For detection part, we've used four different standard and public dataset- ALOP (Automatic License Plate Object), ANPR (Automatic Number Plate Recognition), ALPR (Automatic License Plate Recognition) and ChineseLP. The ALOP dataset contains 2000 images, ALPR contains 4500 images, ANPR contains 2000 images and the ChineseLP dataset contains 1500 images. All of these dataset is divided into three categories- Training(70 percent), Validation(20 percent) and testing(10 percent) and each has its own significance. These datasets were chosen for their license plate image diversity, which covers a wide range of real-world circumstances and issues.

For recognition part, we've used UFPR-ALPR dataset, a widely recognized benchmark dataset designed exclusively for license plate recognition applications. The UFPR-ALPR dataset is a comprehensive collection of images of license plates taken under a variety of environmental conditions. Each image in the dataset is carefully annotated with the alphanumeric characters seen on the license plate, resulting in ground truth labels for training and evaluation.

Table 4: Dataset table used for license plate detection

Dataset	Description	Annotations	Challenges
ALOP	Diverse collection of vehicle images	Bounding boxes around license plates	Weather, Distance
ANPR	Annotated images for no. plate recognition	License plate and character annotations	Multi-country plates, Font variations
ALPR	Real-world scenarios with varying conditions	Annotations for plate regions and characters	Occlusions, Image distortions
ChineseLP	Focus on Chinese license plate detection	Annotations tailored to Chinese plates	Character format, Environmental diversity

4.2 Qualitative Analysis

To measure the quantitative behavior, standard evaluation metrics have been referred and utilized in the proposed study. We first perform qualitative analysis of Phase I i.e. detection part in which analysis of different YOLO versions is performed on a standardised and public dataset (ALOP). Next, we perform qualitative analysis of Phase II i.e. recognition part in which analysis of different CNN models is performed on a standardised and public dataset (UFPR-ALPR).

Here we begin by performing qualitative analysis of Phase I with the help of different graphs of various versions. The detailed explanation is provided for better analysis.

Table 5: Qualitative analysis of different YOLO versions used for detection



The first column shown in above set of graphs shows F1 score of different YOLO versions that we've used i.e. V5, v7, v8 and v9 respectively. The F1 score provides a balance between precision (the ability of the model to correctly identify positive samples) and recall (the ability of the model to find all positive samples). By simple analysis, we can easily say that v8 and v9 have better F1 scores than other two versions.

The second column shown in above set of graphs shows P curve of different YOLO versions that we've used i.e. V5, v7, v8 and v9 respectively. Precision is defined as the ratio of true positive predictions to total positive predictions (true positive plus false positive). A better precision means fewer false positives among positive predictions. Here v8 has best P score among all the versions.

The third column shown in above set of graphs shows PR curve of different YOLO versions that we've used i.e. V5, v7, v8 and v9 respectively. Recall is the ratio of true positive predictions to total positive cases (true positive + false negative). The PR curve gives information on the model's capacity to recover relevant instances (recall) while maintaining high precision. The YOLOv8 model has best PR score and is therefore best performing in that sense.

The fourth column shown in above set of graphs shows R curve of different YOLO versions that we've used i.e. V5, v7, v8 and v9 respectively. Recall, also known as sensitivity or true positive rate, is the proportion of actual positives recognized properly by the model. A higher recall means that the model can accurately capture the majority of positive cases. The V9 is the best performing as it has highest R score.

These measures are frequently used to assess the effectiveness of classification models, especially when the class distribution is unbalanced. They give information about how well a model can accurately categorize instances of a specific class, taking into account both false positives and false negatives.

Next we perform qualitative analysis of Phase II with the help of different graphs of various model. The detailed explanation is provided for better analysis.

Table 6: Qualitative analysis of different CNN models used for recognition



The first column of above set of graphs shows the training and validation accuracy of both sequential CNN model and CNN model with skip connection respectively. Training accuracy indicates the percentage of successfully categorized samples in the training set. A high training accuracy implies that the model is effectively learning from the training data and producing correct predictions. Whereas validation accuracy is identical to training accuracy, except it is calculated on a distinct dataset called the validation dataset. It gives an estimate of how well the model will perform on unseen data. A high validation accuracy indicates that the model has generalized effectively and can make accurate predictions on previously unseen data. By comparing the

training and validation accuracy of the sequential CNN model and the CNN model with skip connection, we can evaluate how well they learn from training data and generalize to new, unseen samples.

The second column of above set of graphs shows the training and validation loss of both sequential CNN model and CNN model with skip connection. The training loss is calculated as the difference between the expected output of the model and the actual ground truth labels for the training data. It measures how well the model performs during training, with lower numbers representing higher performance. Whereas validation loss, like training loss, computes the difference between predicted and actual values. It acts as a proxy for how well the model will perform on new data. Lower validation loss suggests improved generalization performance. By comparing the training and validation losses of the sequential CNN model and the CNN model with skip connection, we can assess their respective training effectiveness and generalization ability.

Ideally, we want high training and validation accuracy, which means the model has learned the underlying patterns in the data and can make accurate predictions. Similarly, we aim for modest training and validation loss, showing that the model's predictions are close to the true labels. However, achieving high accuracy while minimizing loss may not always be possible, particularly in complicated datasets or when dealing with overfitting. It is critical to strike a balance and optimize the model based on the specific needs of the challenge at hand.

4.3 Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative analysis for license plate detection and recognition involves a comprehensive evaluation of various performance metrics to assess the effectiveness and accuracy of detection and recognition systems. This analytical process typically entails the systematic review and measurement of key indicators such as precision, recall, F1 score, and other relevant variables. By quantitatively assessing these metrics, we can gain valuable insights into the performance of the detection and recognition algorithms under different conditions and scenarios.

The quantitative analysis for license plate detection on different YOLO versions is presented in the table.

The table presented above displays the performance metrics, including F1 score, Precision, and Recall, for each YOLO version applied to different datasets. These metrics serve as indicators of the effectiveness of each YOLO version in accurately recognizing license plates across diverse datasets. By examining these performance metrics, we can evaluate the performance of each YOLO version in terms of its ability to detect and localize license plates with precision and recall. This analysis aids in the selection of the most suitable YOLO model for license plate detection tasks based on its performance across various datasets.

Table 7: Quantitative analysis of different YOLO versions on various datasets

	ALOP			ALPR			ANPR			ChineseLP		
Model	F1	P	R	F1	P	R	F1	P	R	F1	P	R
YOLOv5	0.929	0.999	0.869	0.984	0.972	0.927	0.928	0.968	0.893	0.984	0.972	0.926
YOLOv7	0.968	0.953	0.984	0.915	0.899	0.933	0.970	0.963	0.978	0.917	0.982	0.861
YOLOv8	0.979	0.991	0.968	0.932	0.968	0.900	0.922	0.949	0.897	0.907	0.904	0.911
YOLOv9	0.986	0.984	0.989	0.980	0.977	0.984	0.974	0.972	0.978	0.836	0.835	0.839

The quantitative analysis for license plate recognition on different CNN models is depicted in the table below:

Table 8: Quantitative analysis of different CNN models for character recognition

Model	Training Accuracy	Training Loss	Validation Accuracy	Validation Loss
Sequential CNN Model	99.54	0.0198	97.58	0.3664
CNN Model with skip connection	99.68	0.0123	97.46	0.2444

This table compares the performance metrics for each of the CNN models utilized in the study. The Training Accuracy and Validation Accuracy metrics measure the model's ability to accurately identify samples throughout the training and validation phases, respectively. In contrast, the Training Loss and Validation Loss show the errors made by the model during training and validation, with smaller values indicating better performance. This analysis aids in determining the best effective CNN model for license plate recognition based on its performance across various criteria.

Figure 7: Above images are the results obtained when Phase I i.e. detection phase is performed on car images with different conditions. In first image sideways view of license plate of car is present, in second image normal front view of license plate is present and in third image low resolution vehicle picture is present. Although these conditions are different from each other and can be caused in real-time, our detection model accurately detects license plate with high confidence.

Figure 8: Above images are the results obtained when Phase II i.e. recognition phase is performed on car images with different conditions. In first image sideways view of license plate of car is present, in second image normal front view of license plate is present and in third image low resolution vehicle picture is present. After successful detection of these license plates, our recognition model accurately recognises license plate characters.

4.4 Performance assessment and comparison with state-of-the-art techniques

This section presents a comparison between our suggested model and some cutting-edge approaches to licence plate recognition and detection. The objective is to evaluate our model's performance against current methods using a range of criteria in order to shed light on its applicability and highlight opportunities for development. This comparative analysis sheds light on the advantages and disadvantages of the licence plate detection and identification system we have developed. Comparing our methods to current ones confirms its applicability and points out areas for improvement.

Table 9: Performance comparison of proposed YOLOv8 with the existing state-of-the-art methods using precision, recall and F1 score

Method	Precision(P)	Recall(R)	F1 Score
L-norm[33]	96.10	95.40	95.30
MSER & SIFT[34]	90.47	83.73	86.96
IP & CV[35]	93.80	91.30	92.53
Edge-based[36]	95.00	81.00	87.44
CNN[37]	92.60	96.80	94.65
Edge-clustering[38]	91.00	96.00	93.43
YOLOv8(Proposed)	99.19	96.84	98.00

Table 10: Performance comparison of proposed Skip-connection CNN with the existing methods for character recognition

Method	Training Accuracy	Testing Accuracy
SVM with RBF Kernal[39]	96.40	93.35
Standard ELM[39]	91.07	87.77
RBF kernal-based ELM[39]	99.40	96.38
LeNet type-1[40]	74.63	73.78
LeNet type-2[40]	89.00	85.86
AlexNet[40]	98.97	97.06
CNN with Skip-Connection(proposed)	99.54	97.58

Our YOLOv8-based model performs better than the L-norm[33] method, which made use of feature filtering, binarization, edge-aware property, and L-norm picture smoothing. Our approach produces better results than the l-norm in multiple places. The L-norm method's image smoothing and binarization procedures may cause the licence plate region's fine-grained details and textures to disappear. The accuracy of further processing processes, such feature extraction and classification, may be impacted by this information loss. The L-norm approach has trouble adapting to changes in backdrops, typefaces, and licence plate designs. For instance, edge-aware property might not be resilient to changes in picture noise or brightness, which could result in uneven detection performance under various settings. thresholds. This hand tuning procedure might take a long time and requires specialised skills.

Image noise and occlusion may affect MSER areas and SIFT [34] descriptors. In situations where licence plates are partially hidden or surrounded by busy backgrounds, this sensitivity may result in erroneous or missing detections. When used with MSER regions, heuristic-based filtering may not always be able to distinguish between genuine licence plate regions and false positives. The model's resilience may be limited by this reliance on heuristic rules, which could result in uneven performance across various datasets or situations.

When licence plates are positioned against highly textured backdrops, MSER regions and SIFT descriptors may have trouble correctly localising the plates. This may result in incorrect region suggestions or misdetections, which would impair the model's overall functionality.

Pre-processing operations like morphological filtering, Gaussian blur, and RGB to HSV conversion add more intricacy and computing overhead to the detection pipeline[35]. This may result in longer processing times and more resources needed, which would reduce the system's overall effectiveness. The technique uses contour detection to find possible regions for licence plates. Nevertheless, in intricate scenarios with overlapping items, changing lighting, or textured backdrops, contour-based techniques could find it difficult to precisely localise licence plates. False positives or missing detections may arise from this. While geometric filtering can aid in the refinement of potential regions according to size and shape restrictions, non-standard or unusually shaped licence plates may not be well handled by this method. The

architecture and hyperparameters of the model, along with the representativeness and quality of the training data, all affect how well the CNN classifier performs when it comes to detecting licence plates.It is not as flexible and adaptive as the more sophisticated object detection framework found in the proposed approach YOLOv8.

When locating licence plates in photos with complicated backgrounds, variable lighting, or low contrast, edge detection[37] algorithms may not be able to locate them accurately. This can lead to false positives or missed detections, especially if the margins of the licence plate are blurry or poorly defined. Because edge detection methods are susceptible to noise and visual artefacts, they may provide false positives for edges and inaccurate results when choosing candidates for characters. To address these problems, preprocessing and noise reduction techniques are needed, which complicates the detection pipeline. Assumptions regarding character size, shape, and spacing are used in the selection of candidate characters for VLP localization. In actuality, variances in the appearance and arrangement of characters can provide difficulties for precise segmentation, resulting in incorrect localization of licence plates. Inconsistent performance may arise from computationally demanding operations used in the edge detection and character selection processes.

The regularisation parameter and kernel width are two hyperparameter choices that have a significant impact on SVM with RBF kernel performance [38]. Handcrafted features or feature descriptors taken from licence plate photos are the foundation of SVM with RBF kernel. The ability of this method to automatically extract discriminative features from unprocessed pixel data may be limited. SVM with RBF kernel does not explicitly describe the spatial relationships between pixels or features inside the image; instead, it treats each feature independently. Its inability to take use of the structural relationships and contextual information found in licence plate characters may result in worse than ideal recognition results. Instead of requiring human feature engineering, a CNN model with skip connections can automatically train discriminative features from raw pixel data, possibly capturing more intricate patterns and variances in character appearance.

The random initialization of hidden layer weights in standard ELM[39] may not be sufficient to capture intricate patterns and variances in character look. Typically, manual attributes or descriptors taken from licence plate photos are needed as input for standard ELM. This reliance on feature engineering can be laborious and could make it more difficult for the model to adjust to various contexts and datasets. Standard ELM does not explicitly represent the spatial relationships between pixels or features inside the image; instead, it treats each feature individually. CNNs, on the other hand, acquire hierarchical representations of features, identifying contextual information and spatial relationships in licence plate photos that might enhance recognition accuracy.

CNN models might not adapt well to novel situations or previously undiscovered variants if they were trained on a particular dataset or in a particular setting. To

increase generalisation, adaption strategies like domain adaptation or fine-tuning on domain-specific data might be required. Even though preprocessing techniques like Gaussian blur filtering can reduce noise, they might not be enough to deal with all kinds of distortions[39]. Real-time applications may not necessarily be a good fit for edge clustering techniques, particularly if they involve heavy processing or require parameter adjustment. Edge clustering might take into account contextual information from the surrounding scene, but it might merely take into account local edge information.

Compared to more recent CNN architectures like VGG, ResNet, or EfficientNet, LeNet[40] has a comparatively shallow architecture. It might have trouble capturing intricate patterns and variances in character shapes, particularly in datasets with a lot of classes or a lot of variation in writing styles. LeNet might be susceptible to changes in image quality, including noise, aberrations, and resolution. To increase tolerance to such changes, preprocessing techniques like picture normalisation or data augmentation can be necessary. It can be difficult to implement and train AlexNet from scratch, particularly for users who are not very experienced with deep learning. Its capacity to capture long-range dependencies in larger photos may be impacted by this constraint. AlexNet can only handle fixed-size input images, which could be problematic for variable-length character sequences.

5 Conclusion

The proposed work of license plate detection and character recognition has been carried out to detect license plate of different vehicles in different orientations, surroundings, quality etc. The system works in two stages: initial detection with YOLO models, followed by license plate identification. Multiple YOLO variants were tested and compared to establish the most successful detection model. The four public standard datasets used in the experimental evaluation were ALOP, ALPR, ANPR, and ChineseLP. YOLOv8 outperformed the other YOLO versions evaluated, with a precision of 99.19%, recall of 96.84%, and F1 score of 98.00%. These findings demonstrate YOLOv8's ability to reliably detect license plates in a variety of settings. For license plate recognition, OCR models were first evaluated, but due to their pretrained nature, they were substituted with CNN models for analysis. Training and validation tests on the UFPR-ALPR dataset yielded good results: 99.68% training accuracy, 0.0123% training loss, 97.46% validation accuracy, and 0.2444% validation loss. These findings demonstrate the stability and higher performance of the proposed CNN models when compared to previous techniques. Future research activities will focus on increasing the model's performance by fine-tuning backbone parameters or investigating hybrid model architectures. Furthermore, there is potential to expand the proposed method by including low-cost vision sensor-based prototypes for intelligent vehicle tracking systems, increasing the system's applicability and scalability in real-world settings.

6 Details of reference citations

1. Z. Selmi, M. Ben Halima and A. M. Alimi, "Deep Learning System for Automatic License Plate Detection and Recognition," 2017 14th IAPR International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR), Kyoto, Japan, 2017, pp. 1132-1138, doi: 10.1109/ICDAR.2017.187.

2. M.A. Jawale, P. William, A.B. Pawar, Nikhil Marriwala, Implementation of number plate detection system for vehicle registration using IOT and recognition using CNN, Measurement: Sensors, Volume 27, 2023, 100761, ISSN 2665-9174, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measen.2023.100761.

3. Kurchaniya, Diksha Ansari, Mohd. (2022). An Enhanced Approach for Number Plate Detection and Recognition. Recent Patents on Computer Science. 15. 412-420. 10.2174/2666255813999200904161500.

4. Davix, X. Ascar, C. Seldev Christopher, and D. Judson. "Detection of the vehicle license plate using a kernel density with default search radius algorithm filter." Optik 218 (2020): 164689.

5. Ganta, Srikanth, and Praveen Svsrk. "A novel method for Indian vehicle registration number plate detection and recognition using image processing techniques." Procedia Computer Science 167 (2020): 2623-2633.

6. Xie, Fei, et al. "A robust license plate detection and character recognition algorithm based on a combined feature extraction model and BPNN." Journal of Advanced Transportation 2018 (2018).

7. Slimani, Ibtissam, et al. "An automated license plate detection and recognition system based on wavelet decomposition and CNN." Array 8 (2020): 100040.

8. Sathya, K. B., S. Vasuhi, and V. Vaidehi. "Perspective vehicle license plate transformation using deep neural network on genesis of CPNet." Procedia Computer Science 171 (2020): 1858-1867.

9. Prabhakar, Priyanka, P. Anupama, and S. R. Resmi. "Automatic vehicle number plate detection and recognition." 2014 International Conference on Control, Instrumentation, Communication and Computational Technologies (ICCICCT). IEEE, 2014.

10. Tejas, K., et al. "Efficient Licence Plate Detection By Unique Edge Detection Algorithm and Smarter Interpretation Through IoT." 7th international conference on soft computing and problem solving, SocProS 2017, 23-24 December 2017, Bhubaneswar, India. 2017.

11. Laroca, Rayson, et al. "A first look at dataset bias in license plate recognition." 2022 35th SIBGRAPI Conference on Graphics, Patterns and Images (SIBGRAPI). Vol. 1. IEEE, 2022.

12. Shivakumara, Palaiahnakote, et al. "CNN-RNN based method for license plate recognition." CAAI Transactions on Intelligence Technology 3.3 (2018): 169-175.

13. Choong, Young Jung, Lee Kim Keong, and Tan Chye Cheah. "License plate number detection and recognition using simplified linear-model." Journal of critical reviews 7.3 (2020): 55-60.

14. Dhyani, Abhisri, et al. "ML Based Number Plate Recognition Model using Computer Vision." 2023 3rd Asian Conference on Innovation in Technology (ASIANCON). IEEE, 2023.

15. Sinthia, Camelia, and Md Hasanul Kabir. "Detection and recognition of bangladeshi vehicles' nameplates using yolov6 and blpnet." 2023 International Conference on Electrical, Computer and Communication Engineering (ECCE). IEEE, 2023.

16. Jagtap, Vaidehi, et al. "Suspicious Vehicle Recognition using Number Plate." 2022 International Conference on Signal and Information Processing (IConSIP). IEEE, 2022.

17. Nascimento, Valfride, et al. "Combining attention module and pixel shuffle for license plate super-resolution." 2022 35th SIBGRAPI Conference on Graphics, Patterns and Images (SIBGRAPI). Vol. 1. IEEE, 2022.

18. M. Ramchandani, S. P. Sahu and D. K. Dewangan, "A Comparative Study in Pedestrian Detection for Autonomous Driving Systems," 2022 OPJU International Technology Conference on Emerging Technologies for Sustainable Development (OTCON), Raigarh, Chhattisgarh, India, 2023, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/OTCON56053.2023.10113992.

19. Sahu, S., Sahu, S. P., Dewangan, D. K. (2023, June). Pedestrian detection using ResNet-101 based Mask R-CNN. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 2705, No. 1). AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0134276

20. Sahu, S., Sahu, S.P., Dewangan, D.K. (2023). Pedestrian Detection Using MobileNetV2 Based Mask R-CNN. In: Joby, P.P., Balas, V.E., Palanisamy, R. (eds) IoT Based Control Networks and Intelligent Systems. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, vol 528. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-5845-82$_2$

21. N. Bhattacharya and D. K. Dewangan, "Notice of Removal: Fusion technique for finger knuckle print recognition," 2015 International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, Signals, Communication and Optimization (EESCO), Visakhapatnam,

India, 2015, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/EESCO.2015.7253990.

22. Ojha, A., Sahu, S.P., Dewangan, D.K. (2022). VDNet: Vehicle Detection Network Using Computer Vision and Deep Learning Mechanism for Intelligent Vehicle System. In: Noor, A., Sen, A., Trivedi, G. (eds) Proceedings of Emerging Trends and Technologies on Intelligent Systems . ETTIS 2021. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 1371. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-3097-2_9

23. Bhattacharya, N., Dewangan, D.K., Dewangan, K.K. (2018). An Efficacious Matching of Finger Knuckle Print Images Using Gabor Feature. In: Saini, A., Nayak, A., Vyas, R. (eds) ICT Based Innovations. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 653. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-6602-3_15

24. Dewangan, D.K., Sahu, S.P. (2021). Lane Detection for Intelligent Vehicle System Using Image Processing Techniques. In: Verma, G.K., Soni, B., Bourennane, S., Ramos, A.C.B. (eds) Data Science. Transactions on Computer Systems and Networks. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-1681-5_21

25. D. K. Dewangan and S. P. Sahu, "Predictive Control Strategy for Driving of Intelligent Vehicle System against the Parking Slots," 2021 5th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), Madurai, India, 2021, pp. 10-13, doi: 10.1109/ICICCS51141.2021.9432362.

26. Singh, A., Bansal, A., Chauhan, N., Sahu, S.P., Dewangan, D.K. (2022). Image Generation Using GAN and Its Classification Using SVM and CNN. In: Noor, A., Sen, A., Trivedi, G. (eds) Proceedings of Emerging Trends and Technologies on Intelligent Systems . ETTIS 2021. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 1371. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-3097-2_8

27. D. K. Dewangan, S. P. Sahu and K. V. Arya, "Vision-Sensor Enabled Multilayer CNN Scheme and Impact Analysis of Learning Rate Parameter for Speed Bump Detection in Autonomous Vehicle System," in IEEE Sensors Letters, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1-4, March 2024, Art no. 6002504, doi: 10.1109/LSENS.2024.3360095.

29. Dewangan, D. K., Rathore, Y. (2011). Image quality costing of compressed image using full reference method. International Journal of Technology, 1(2), 68-71.

30. Dewangan, D. K., Rathore, Y. (2011). Image Quality estimation of Images using Full Reference and No Reference Method. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science, 2(5).

31. P. Pandey, K. K. Dewangan and D. K. Dewangan, "Satellite image enhancement techniques — A comparative study," 2017 International Conference on Energy, Communication, Data Analytics and Soft Computing (ICECDS), Chennai, India, 2017,

pp. 597-602, doi: 10.1109/ICECDS.2017.8389506.

32. P. Pandey, K. K. Dewangan and D. K. Dewangan, "Enhancing the quality of satellite images using fuzzy inference system," 2017 International Conference on Energy, Communication, Data Analytics and Soft Computing (ICECDS), Chennai, India, 2017, pp. 3087-3092, doi: 10.1109/ICECDS.2017.8390024.

33. Huang, Junqing, et al. "Feature extraction for license plate location based on L 0-norm smoothing." Open Computer Science 9.1 (2019): 128-135.

34. Lim, Hao Wooi, and Yong Haur Tay. "Detection of license plate characters in natural scene with MSER and SIFT unigram classifier." 2010 IEEE Conference on Sustainable Utilization and Development in Engineering and Technology. IEEE, 2010.

35. Selmi, Zied, Mohamed Ben Halima, and Adel M. Alimi. "Deep learning system for automatic license plate detection and recognition." 2017 14th IAPR international conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR). Vol. 1. IEEE, 2017.

36. Anoual, Hinde, et al. "Vehicle license plate detection in images." 2011 International Conference on Multimedia Computing and Systems. IEEE, 2011.

37. Selmi, Zied, Mohamed Ben Halima, and Adel M. Alimi. "Deep learning system for automatic license plate detection and recognition." 2017 14th IAPR international conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR). Vol. 1. IEEE, 2017.

38. Hsu, Gee-Sern, Jiun-Chang Chen, and Yu-Zu Chung. "Application-oriented license plate recognition." IEEE transactions on vehicular technology 62.2 (2012): 552-561.

39. Yun Yang1 , Donghai Li1 , Zongtao Duan1 1School of Information Engineering, Chang'an University, Xi'an, People's Republic of China E-mail: yangyun@chd.edu.cn

40. Bora, Mayur Bhargab, et al. "Handwritten character recognition from images using CNN-ECOC." Procedia Computer Science 167 (2020): 2403-2409.