# HUL315: Econometric Methods

Harshit Goyal: 2021MT10143

April 19, 2024

## 1 Observing Omitted Variable Bias

### 1.1 $\rho_{x_1,x_3} > 0$

$$x_1, x_2 \sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1)$$
  
 $u, v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ 

$$x_3 = 0.60 + 0.95x_1 + 0.00x_2 + v$$
  
$$y = 3.00 + 2.00x_1 + 1.00x_2 + 1.50x_3 + u$$

Variables	$\rho$
$x_1 x_2$	-0.012
$x_2 x_3$	0.001
$x_1 x_3$	0.506

Table 1: Correlation coefficients

True Values	Regressors	
	$x_1 x_2 x_3$	$x_1 x_2$
$\beta_0 = 3.00$	3.034	3.984
$\beta_1$ 2.00	1.911	3.278
$\beta_2 = 1.00$	0.909	0.927
$\beta_3$ 1.50	1.451	-

Table 2: Regression coefficients

Table 3:  $x_3$  positively correlated with  $x_1$ 

In table 1 the  $\rho_{x_1,x_3} \approx 0.5$  i.e. positively correlated. Hence, in Table 2, we see when,  $x_3$  is not one of the regressors, the coefficient of  $x_1$  i.e.  $\beta_1$  increases significantly, while  $\beta_2$  is almost unchanged, as  $\rho_{x_2,x_3} \approx 0$ .

### 1.2 $\rho_{x_1,x_3} < 0$

$$x_1, x_2 \sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1)$$
$$u, v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

$$x_3 = 0.60 - 0.95x_1 + 0.00x_2 + v$$
  
$$y = 3.00 + 2.00x_1 + 1.00x_2 + 1.50x_3 + u$$

In table Table 4 the  $\rho_{x_1,x_3} \approx -0.55$  i.e. negatively correlated. Hence, in Table 5, we see when,  $x_3$  is not one of the regressors, the coefficient of  $x_1$  i.e.  $\beta_1$  decreases significantly, while  $\beta_2$  is almost unchanged, as  $\rho_{x_2,x_3} \approx 0$ .

The class quiz problem is analogous to the data discussed in Table 6. We can treat the latent *ability* as  $x_3$ , the log(wage) as y and train as  $x_1$ . It's given that  $\rho_{train,x_3} < 0$  as workers with less *ability* are more likely to be selected. Also  $\rho_{log(wage),ability} > 0$ ,  $\rho_{log(wage),train} > 0$ , we can see that NOT using *ability* as a regressor will decrease  $\beta_1$  i.e. underestimate the effect of training program.

Variables	ρ
$x_1 x_2$	-0.012
$x_2 x_3$	0.044
$x_1 x_3$	-0.546

Table 4: Correlation coefficients

True Values	Regressors	
	$x_1 x_2 x_3$	$x_1 x_2$
$\beta_0 = 3.00$	2.941	3.795
$\beta_1$ 2.00	2.080	0.406
$\beta_2$ 1.00	1.082	1.196
$\beta_3$ 1.50	1.545	-

Table 5: Regression coefficients

Table 6:  $x_3$  negatively correlated with  $x_1$ 

#### 1.3 Conclusion

With some other settings of data generation, I verified,

- 1. When  $\rho_{x_1,x_3} > 0$ , if  $\rho_{y,x_1}, \rho_{y,x_3}$  have the same sign, removing  $x_3$  will increase magnitude of  $\beta_1$  but if  $\rho_{y,x_1}, \rho_{y,x_3}$  have the opposite signs, removing  $x_3$  will decrease magnitude of  $\beta_1$ .
- 2. When  $\rho_{x_1,x_3} < 0$ , if  $\rho_{y,x_1}, \rho_{y,x_3}$  have the same sign, removing  $x_3$  will decrease magnitude of  $\beta_1$  but if  $\rho_{y,x_1}, \rho_{y,x_3}$  have the opposite signs, removing  $x_3$  will increase magnitude of  $\beta_1$ .

## 2 Proxy Variables to solve OVB

## **2.1** $E[x_3|z_3] = E[x_3|x_1, x_2, z_3]$ is satisfied

$$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

$$x_3 = 1.40 + 0.95z_3 + \epsilon_3$$

$$x_1 = 1.10 + 0.40x_3 + \epsilon_1$$

$$x_2 = 1.50 + 0.60x_3 + \epsilon_2$$

$$y = 3.00 + 2.00x_1 + 1.00x_2 + 15.0x_3 + u$$

 $z_3 \sim \mathcal{U}(-1,1)$ 

Variables	ρ
$x_3 z_3$	0.481
$x_1 x_2$	0.229
$x_2 x_3$	0.566
$x_1 x_3$	0.413
$x_2 z_3$	0.263
$x_1 z_3$	0.195

True Values		Regressors		
		$x_1$ $x_2$ $x_3$	$x_1 x_2 z_3$	$x_1 x_2$
$\beta_0$	3.00	3.006	3.384	-0.272
$\beta_1$	2.00	1.995	5.940	6.647
$\beta_2$	1.00	0.995	6.988	8.028
$\beta_3$	15.0	15.006	9.440	-

Table 7: Correlation coefficients

Table 8: Regression coefficients

Table 9: Proxy variable condition is satisfied

In this case, the proxy condition is not satisfied. From table Table 8 we see, the coefficients are almost same as the true values, when  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$  are used as regressors. Coefficients  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are much larger from the true values when only  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are regressors (as both  $\rho_{x_1,x_3}, \rho_{x_2,x_3} > 0$ , see Table 7). When a proxy for  $x_3$  i.e.  $z_3$  is used (Table 7 shows that  $\rho_{x_3,z_3} = 0.481$ ), the coefficients  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are closer to their true value.

### **2.2** $E[x_3|z_3] \neq E[x_3|x_1,x_2,z_3]$ satisfied

$$x_1, x_2, z_3 \sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1)$$
  
 $\epsilon, u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ 

$$x_3 = 1.40 + 0.50x_1 + 0.70x_2 + 0.95z_3 + \epsilon$$
$$y = 3.00 + 2.00x_1 + 1.00x_2 + 15.0x_3 + u$$

Variables	ρ
$x_3 z_3$	0.442
$x_1 x_2$	0.007
$x_2 x_3$	0.323
$x_1 x_3$	0.234
$x_2 z_3$	-0.001
$x_1 z_3$	-0.000

True Values		I	Regressors	
		$x_1 x_2 x_3$	$x_1 x_2 z_3$	$x_1 x_2$
$\beta_0$	3.00	3.008	24.163	24.174
$\beta_1$	2.00	1.997	9.436	9.430
$\beta_2$	1.00	1.001	11.364	11.356
$\beta_3$	15.0	14.997	14.236	-

Table 10: Correlation coefficients

Table 11: Regression coefficients

Table 12: Proxy variable condition is not satisfied

From table Table 11 we see, the coefficients are almost same as the true values, when  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$  are used as regressors. When  $x_1$   $x_2$  are used the  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  increase as  $\rho_{x_1,x_3}, \rho_{x_2,x_3} > 0$  (see Table 10). When,  $x_1$   $x_2$   $z_3$  as regressors, there is no significant improvement in the coefficients obtained, i.e. using the proxy variable doesn't solve the bias on coefficients  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ . This is because the proxy condition is not satisfied!