



**R V College of Engineering**  
**Department of Computer Science and Engineering**  
**CIE - III(Improvement ): Scheme**

**Subject :  
(Code)**

**Database Management Systems (CD252IA)**

**Semester : 5<sup>TH</sup> BE**

S.N	PART-A	M	BT	Co						
1.	<p>What is the difference between lossless and lossy decomposition in DBMS?</p> <table><tr><th>Lossless</th><th>Lossy</th></tr><tr><td>The decompositions R1, R2, R2...Rn for a relation schema R are said to be Lossless if there natural join results the original relation R.</td><td>The decompositions R1, R2, R2...Rn for a relation schema R are said to be Lossy if there natural join results into addition of extraneous tuples with the original relation R.</td></tr><tr><td>Formally, Let R be a relation and R1, R2, R3 ... Rn be it's decomposition, the decomposition is lossless if – R1 ⋈ R2 ⋈ R3 .... ⋈ Rn = R</td><td>Formally, Let R be a relation and R1, R2, R3 ... Rn be its decomposition, the decomposition is lossy if – R ⊂ R1 ⋈ R2 ⋈ R3 .... ⋈ Rn</td></tr></table>	Lossless	Lossy	The decompositions R1, R2, R2...Rn for a relation schema R are said to be Lossless if there natural join results the original relation R.	The decompositions R1, R2, R2...Rn for a relation schema R are said to be Lossy if there natural join results into addition of extraneous tuples with the original relation R.	Formally, Let R be a relation and R1, R2, R3 ... Rn be it's decomposition, the decomposition is lossless if – R1 ⋈ R2 ⋈ R3 .... ⋈ Rn = R	Formally, Let R be a relation and R1, R2, R3 ... Rn be its decomposition, the decomposition is lossy if – R ⊂ R1 ⋈ R2 ⋈ R3 .... ⋈ Rn	2	L2	3
Lossless	Lossy									
The decompositions R1, R2, R2...Rn for a relation schema R are said to be Lossless if there natural join results the original relation R.	The decompositions R1, R2, R2...Rn for a relation schema R are said to be Lossy if there natural join results into addition of extraneous tuples with the original relation R.									
Formally, Let R be a relation and R1, R2, R3 ... Rn be it's decomposition, the decomposition is lossless if – R1 ⋈ R2 ⋈ R3 .... ⋈ Rn = R	Formally, Let R be a relation and R1, R2, R3 ... Rn be its decomposition, the decomposition is lossy if – R ⊂ R1 ⋈ R2 ⋈ R3 .... ⋈ Rn									
2.	<p>List the two conditions for checking the Binary decomposition?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ The FD ((R1 ∩ R2) → (R1 – R2)) is in F+,</li><li>▪ The FD ((R1 ∩ R2) → (R2 – R1)) is in F+</li></ul>	2	L1	2						
3.	<p>Define the Condition of 3NF?</p> <p>Third normal form (3NF) is based on the concept of transitive dependency. A functional dependency X → Y in a relation schema R is a transitive dependency if there exists a set of attributes Z in R that is neither a candidate key nor a subset of any key of R, and both X → Z and Z → Y hold.</p>	2	L1	2						
4.	<p>Define a Transaction with example.</p> <p>A transaction is an executing program that forms a logical unit of database processing. A transaction includes one or more database access operations—these caninclude insertion, deletion, modification, or retrieval operations.</p> <p>Ex: airline reservation systems</p>	2	L1	1						
5.	<p>Elaborate and Define ACID properties:</p> <p>Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability---0.5m each</p>	2	L1	1						
	PART-B									
1a	<p>Discuss the condition for two functional dependencies to be equivalent? Check whether relation R(A,B,C,D) having two FD sets FD1 = {A-&gt;B, B-&gt;C, AB-&gt;D} and FD2 = {A-&gt;B, B-&gt;C, A-&gt;C, A-&gt;D} are equivalent or not ?</p> <p>Condition: Two sets of functional dependencies E and F are equivalent if E+ = F+. Therefore, equivalence means that every FD in E can be inferred from F, and every FD in F can be inferred from E; that is, E is equivalent to F if both the conditions—E covers F and F covers E—hold---1m</p> <p>Step 1: Checking whether all FDs of FD1 are present in FD2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A-&gt;B in set FD1 is present in set FD2.</li><li>• B-&gt;C in set FD1 is also present in set FD2.</li></ul>	5	L3	2						

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AB→D is present in set FD1 but not directly in FD2 but we will check whether we can derive it or not. For set FD2, (AB)<sup>+</sup> = {A, B, C, D}. It means that AB can functionally determine A, B, C, and D. So AB→D will also hold in set FD2.</li> </ul> <p>As all FDs in set FD1 also hold in set FD2, FD2 ⇒ FD1 is true.</p> <p>Step 2: Checking whether all FDs of FD2 are present in FD1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A→B in set FD2 is present in set FD1.</li> <li>B→C in set FD2 is also present in set FD1.</li> <li>A→C is present in FD2 but not directly in FD1 but we will check whether we can derive it or not. For set FD1, (A)<sup>+</sup> = {A, B, C, D}. It means that A can functionally determine A, B, C, and D. SO A→C will also hold in set FD1.</li> <li>A→D is present in FD2 but not directly in FD1 but we will check whether we can derive it or not. For set FD1, (A)<sup>+</sup> = {A, B, C, D}. It means that A can functionally determine A, B, C, and D. SO A→D will also hold in set FD1.</li> </ul> <p>As all FDs in set FD2 also hold in set FD1, FD1 ⇒ FD2 is true.</p> <p>As FD2 ⇒ FD1 and FD1 ⇒ FD2 both are true <b>FD2 = FD1</b> is true. These two FD sets are semantically equivalent. -----4m</p>			
1b	<p>Explain any 5 reasons for failure of transaction.</p> <p>A transaction or system error Local errors or exception conditions detected by the transaction, A computer failure (system crash). Concurrency control enforcement. Disk failure. Physical problems and catastrophes.-----any 5 from above -----1m each</p>	5	L2	
2a	<p>Explain the steps for finding Minimal Cover for Functional Dependencies. For the given set of FDs {A→C, AC→D, E→H, E→AD} find the minimal cover.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Steps: we define a set of functional dependencies F to be minimal if it satisfies the following conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Split the right-hand attributes of all FDs: Every dependency in F has a single attribute for its right-hand side.</li> <li>2. Remove all redundant FDs.</li> <li>3. Find the Extraneous attribute and remove it..</li> </ul> </li> <li>Example: Minimize {A→C, AC→D, E→H, E→AD}</li> <li><b>Step 1:</b> {A→C, AC→D, E→H, E→A, E→D}</li> <li><b>Step2:</b> {A→C, AC→D, E→H, E→A}</li> <li>Here Redundant FD : {E→D}</li> <li><b>Step3:</b> {AC→D} {A}<sup>+</sup>={A,C} Therefore C is extraneous and is removed. {A→D}</li> <li>Minimal Cover = {A→C, A→D, E→H, E→A}</li> </ul>	7	L3	2
2b	<p>Write the algorithm for Testing whether a schedule is serializable or not.</p>	3		

	<p><b>Algorithm 21.1. Testing Conflict Serializability of a Schedule S</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. For each transaction <math>T_i</math> participating in schedule S, create a node labeled <math>T_i</math> in the precedence graph.</li><li>2. For each case in S where <math>T_j</math> executes a read_item(X) after <math>T_i</math> executes a write_item(X), create an edge (<math>T_i \rightarrow T_j</math>) in the precedence graph.</li><li>3. For each case in S where <math>T_j</math> executes a write_item(X) after <math>T_i</math> executes a read_item(X), create an edge (<math>T_i \rightarrow T_j</math>) in the precedence graph.</li><li>4. For each case in S where <math>T_j</math> executes a write_item(X) after <math>T_i</math> executes a write_item(X), create an edge (<math>T_i \rightarrow T_j</math>) in the precedence graph.</li><li>5. The schedule S is serializable if and only if the precedence graph has no cycles.</li></ol>																																																											
3a	<p>Explain the properties of Attribute preservation and dependency preservation?</p> <p>Attribute preservation---2.5m</p> <p>Dependency preservation-2.5m</p>	5	L2	3																																																								
3b	<p>Given a relational schema <math>R = \{ \text{SSN, ENAME, PNUMBER, PNAME, PLOCATION, HOURS} \}</math> and the decomposed table <math>R1 = \{ \text{ENAME, PLOCATION} \}</math> and <math>R2 = \{ \text{SSN, PNUMBER, HOURS, PNAME, PLOCATION} \}</math> and <math>\text{FD} = \{ \text{SSN} \rightarrow \text{ENAME, PNUMBER} \rightarrow \{ \text{PNAME, PLOCATION} \}, \{ \text{SSN, PNUMBER} \} \rightarrow \text{HOURS} \}</math>. Identify whether the given decomposition of R, R1 and R2 is lossless or lossy decomposition ?</p> <p>Matrix:</p> <table><tr><td></td><td>SSN</td><td>ENAME</td><td>PNUMBER</td><td>PNAME</td><td>PLOCATION</td><td>HOURS</td></tr><tr><td>R1</td><td></td><td>a</td><td></td><td></td><td>a</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>R2</td><td>a</td><td></td><td>a</td><td>a</td><td>a</td><td>a</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> <p>Final matrix</p> <table><tr><td></td><td>SSN</td><td>ENAME</td><td>PNUMBER</td><td>PNAME</td><td>PLOCATION</td><td>HOURS</td></tr><tr><td>R1</td><td>b</td><td>a</td><td>b</td><td>b</td><td>a</td><td>b</td></tr><tr><td>R2</td><td>a</td><td>b</td><td>a</td><td>a</td><td>a</td><td>a</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> <p>\</p> <p>It's a lossy decomposition</p>		SSN	ENAME	PNUMBER	PNAME	PLOCATION	HOURS	R1		a			a		R2	a		a	a	a	a									SSN	ENAME	PNUMBER	PNAME	PLOCATION	HOURS	R1	b	a	b	b	a	b	R2	a	b	a	a	a	a								5	L3	
	SSN	ENAME	PNUMBER	PNAME	PLOCATION	HOURS																																																						
R1		a			a																																																							
R2	a		a	a	a	a																																																						
	SSN	ENAME	PNUMBER	PNAME	PLOCATION	HOURS																																																						
R1	b	a	b	b	a	b																																																						
R2	a	b	a	a	a	a																																																						
4a	<p>Given a relation <math>R(A, B, C, D)</math> and Functional Dependency set <math>\text{FD} = \{ AB \rightarrow CD, B \rightarrow C \}</math>, determine whether the given R is in 2NF? If not convert it into 2 NF.</p> <p>Solution: No non-prime attribute should be partially dependent on Candidate Key</p> <p>Since R has 4 attributes: - A, B, C, D, and Candidate Key is AB, Therefore, prime attributes (part of candidate key) are A and B while a non-prime attribute are C and D</p> <p>a) FD: <b><math>AB \rightarrow CD</math></b> satisfies the definition of 2NF, that non-prime attribute(C and D) are fully dependent on candidate key AB</p> <p>b) FD: <b><math>B \rightarrow C</math></b> does not satisfy the definition of 2NF, as a non-prime attribute(C) is partially dependent on candidate key AB( i.e. key should not be broken at any cost)</p> <p><b>As FD <math>B \rightarrow C</math>, the above table R( A, B, C, D) is not in 2NF---3M</b></p> <p><b>Conversion to 2NF:</b></p> <p>a) R1( B, C)</p> <p>b) R2(A, B, D)-----2M</p>	5	L3	1																																																								
b	With a transition diagram explain the states for transaction execution	5	L2	2																																																								

	<pre> graph LR     Start[Begin transaction] --&gt; Active((Active))     Active -- "Read, Write" --&gt; Active     Active -- "End transaction" --&gt; PartiallyCommitted([Partially committed])     PartiallyCommitted -- "Commit" --&gt; Committed([Committed])     PartiallyCommitted -- "Abort" --&gt; Failed((Failed))     Failed -- "Abort" --&gt; Terminated([Terminated])     Committed -- "Abort" --&gt; Terminated   </pre> <p>Diag-2m Expl-3m</p>			
5.	<p>List and explain with examples the types of problems that can be encountered if two transactions are executing concurrently.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Lost Update Problem.</li> <li>• The Temporary Update (or Dirty Read) Problem.</li> <li>• The Incorrect Summary Problem.</li> <li>• The Unrepeatable Read Problem.</li> </ul> <p>List -2m Explanation- 8m</p>	10	L2	1