

Reorganisation of States

1. Post-Partition Challenge:

- Nation-building continued after partition and integration of Princely States.
- Internal boundaries of Indian states needed redefinition.
- Aim: Reflect linguistic and cultural plurality without harming national unity.

2. Colonial State Boundaries:

- Based on administrative convenience.
- Aligned with British annexations or princely territories.

3. Pre-Independence Stand:

- National movement rejected colonial divisions as artificial.
- Linguistic principle was accepted for state formation.
- Congress (Nagpur session, 1920) reorganized itself on linguistic lines.

4. Change After Independence:

- Leaders feared linguistic division could cause disintegration.
- Other socio-economic issues were prioritized.
- Decision to postpone linguistic reorganization due to:
 - Uncertainty of Princely States' fate.
 - Fresh memories of partition.

5. Local Resistance & Vishalandhra Movement:

- Protests in Telugu-speaking areas of Madras province.
- Demand: Separate Andhra state for Telugu-speaking regions.
- All political groups in Andhra supported linguistic reorganization.

6. Potti Sriramulu's Role:

- Veteran Gandhian, went on an indefinite fast.
- Died after 56 days, triggering widespread unrest and violence.
- Police firings led to deaths and injuries.
- Resignations of legislators in Madras.

7. Formation of Andhra State:

- Prime Minister announced Andhra state in December 1952.
- Inspired other linguistic state movements.

8. States Reorganisation Commission (1953):

- Set up due to growing demands.
- Recommended state boundaries based on languages.
- Led to **States Reorganisation Act, 1956**:
 - Created **14 states** and **6 union territories**.

9. Concerns About Linguistic States:

- Fear of separatism and national disintegration.
- Pressure from people forced acceptance of linguistic states.
- Seen as a more democratic approach.

10. Impact of Linguistic Reorganisation:

- Over 50 years later, it strengthened national unity.
- Gave common basis for state boundaries.
- Changed democratic politics and leadership:
 - Opened political space beyond English-speaking elite.
- Accepted and institutionalized diversity:
 - Democracy meant recognizing and accommodating differences.
 - Encouraged plurality of ideas and ways of life.
- Shaped politics in the later years within this framework.