



**Agentic AI Lab**

**(CSCR 3215)**

**B.TECH 3<sup>rd</sup> YEAR**

**SEMESTER: 6<sup>th</sup>**

**SESSION: 2025-2026**

**Submitted By:**

**Bhavishya Bhardwaj**

**(2023498559)**

**SECTION: H (G2)**

**Submitted To**

**Mr. Ayush**

**Kumar Singh**

**Assistant Professor**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING**

**SHARDA SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY**

**SHARDA UNIVERSITY, GREATER NOIDA**

## Lab Report: 5 Levels of Text Splitting

### Aim

To study and implement different **levels of text splitting techniques** used in Natural Language Processing (NLP) for efficient text processing, chunking, and downstream tasks such as retrieval, summarization, and question answering.

---

### Objective

- Understand why text splitting is required in NLP
  - Implement multiple text splitting strategies
  - Analyze the effect of different splitting levels
  - Compare structured vs unstructured splitting
- 

### Software & Tools Used

- **Programming Language:** Python
  - **Platform:** Jupyter Notebook / Google Colab
  - **Libraries:**
    - langchain
    - textwrap
    - re
    - nltk (if used)
    - os
- 

### Theory

Large text documents cannot be processed directly by language models due to **token limits**. Text splitting divides large text into smaller, manageable chunks while preserving meaning and structure.

Different splitting levels are used based on:

- Context retention
  - Semantic coherence
  - Model constraints
-

## **Methodology / Levels of Text Splitting**

### **Level 1: Character-Based Text Splitting**

- Splits text based on a fixed number of characters.
- Simple but may break sentences or words.

**Use Case:** Basic chunking when structure is not important.

---

### **Level 2: Recursive Character Text Splitting**

- Splits text hierarchically using separators like paragraphs, sentences, and characters.
- Preserves structure better than simple character splitting.

**Use Case:** Long documents with mixed formatting.

---

### **Level 3: Token-Based Text Splitting**

- Splits text based on token count rather than characters.
- Ensures compatibility with language model token limits.

**Use Case:** LLM-based applications.

---

### **Level 4: Sentence-Based Text Splitting**

- Splits text into complete sentences.
- Maintains semantic integrity.

**Use Case:** Question answering and summarization tasks.

---

### **Level 5: Semantic / Document-Based Splitting**

- Splits text using document structure such as headings, sections, or meaning.
- Provides highest contextual relevance.

**Use Case:** Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) systems.

---

## **Code Explanation**

- Input text is loaded from a file or variable.
- Different text splitters are applied sequentially.
- Output chunks are printed and analyzed.

- Chunk size and overlap are adjusted to optimize results.
- 

## **Results**

- Character splitting produces fast but less meaningful chunks.
  - Recursive splitting maintains better structure.
  - Token and sentence-based splitting produce context-aware chunks.
  - Semantic splitting provides the best retrieval performance.
- 

## **Applications**

- Chatbots
  - Document retrieval systems
  - Text summarization
  - Question answering systems
  - LLM-based applications
- 

## **Advantages**

- Improves efficiency and accuracy
  - Prevents token overflow
  - Enhances contextual understanding
- 

## **Limitations**

- Improper chunk size may reduce performance
  - Semantic splitting requires additional computation
- 

## **Conclusion**

This experiment demonstrated five different levels of text splitting techniques. Advanced splitting methods preserve semantic meaning and significantly improve performance in NLP and LLM-based applications.

---

## **Future Scope**

- Adaptive chunk sizing
  - Multilingual text splitting
  - Integration with vector databases
-