CS120: Intro. to Algorithms and their Limitations

Anshu & Hesterberg

Lecture 12: Independent Sets and Interval Scheduling

Harvard SEAS - Fall 2023

2023-10-17

1 Announcements

Recommended Reading: CLRS Sec 16.1–16.2

2 Loose ends of Lec 11: 2-colorable graphs

Theorem 2.1. If G is a connected 2-colorable graph, then BFSColoring (G) will color G using 2 colors.

Proof. Let f^* be a 2-coloring of G. We may assume that $f^*(v_0) = 0$ without loss of generality (why?). Let f be the coloring of G found by BFSColoring(G). We argue by (strong) induction on i that $f(v_i) = f^*(v_i)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$.

Corollary 2.2. Graph 2-Coloring can be solved in time O(n+m).

Proof.

3 Definitions

In the Sender-Receiver Exercise, you've seen the definition of independent sets, which are closely related to graph colorings:

Definition 3.1. Let G = (V, E) be a graph. An *independent set* in G is a subset $S \subseteq V$ such that there are no edges entirely in S. That is, $\{u, v\} \in E$ implies that $u \notin S$ or $v \notin S$.

A proper k-coloring of a graph G is equivalent to a partition of V into k independent sets (each color class should be an independent set).

When we have a graph G = (V, E) representing conflicts, instead of partitioning V into a small number of conflict-free subsets (as coloring would), it is sometimes useful to instead find a single, large conflict-free subset. This gives rise to the following computational problem:

```
Input : A graph G = (V, E)
Output : An independent set S \subseteq V in G of maximum size
```

Computational Problem Independent Set

Example: Throwing a big party where everyone will get along.

Like with graph coloring, we can try a greedy algorithm for Independent Set:

```
1 GreedyIndSet(G)
Input : A graph G = (V, E)
Output : A "large" independent set in G
2 Choose an ordering v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1} of V;
3 S = \emptyset;
4 foreach i = 0 to n - 1 do
5 | ;
6 | return S
```

And, similarly to coloring, we can only prove fairly weak bounds on the performance of the greedy algorithm in general:

Theorem 3.2. For every graph G with n vertices and m edges, GreedyIndSet(G) can be implemented in time O(n+m) and outputs an independent set of size at least $n/(d_{max}+1)$, where d_{max} is the maximum vertex degree in G.

Proof.

However, when there is more structure in the conflict graph, a careful ordering for the greedy algorithm can yield an optimal solution. An example of such structure comes from the Interval Scheduling problem we saw in the first lecture:

Input : A collection of intervals $[a_0, b_0], \ldots, [a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}]$, where each $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and

 $a_i \leq b_i$

Output: YES if the intervals are disjoint (for all $i \neq j$, $[a_i, b_i] \cap [a_j, b_j] = \emptyset$)

NO otherwise

Computational Problem IntervalScheduling-Decision

We saw that we could solve this problem in time $O(n \log n)$ by reduction to Sorting. However, if the answer is NO, we might be satisfied by trying to schedule as many intervals as possible:

Input : A collection of intervals $[a_0, b_0], \ldots, [a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}]$, where each $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and

 $a_i \leq b_i$

Output: A maximum-size subset $S \subseteq [n]$ such that $\forall i \neq j \in S, [a_i, b_i] \cap [a_j, b_j] = \emptyset$.

Computational Problem IntervalScheduling-Optimization

Example:

Q: How can we model IntervalScheduling-Optimization as an Independent Set problem?

With this graph-theoretic modelling, we can instantiate GreedyIndSet() for IntervalScheduling-

Optimization:

```
Input : A list x of n intervals [a,b], with a,b\in\mathbb{Q}
Output : A "large" subset of the input intervals that are disjoint from each other

2 Choose an ordering of the input intervals [a_0,b_0],[a_1,b_1],\ldots,[a_{n-1},b_{n-1}];

3 S=\emptyset;

4 foreach i=0 to n-1 do

5 | ;

6 | return S
```

Q: What ordering of the input intervals should we use?

Theorem 3.3. If the input intervals are sorted by

then we have that GreedyIntervalScheduling(x) will find an optimal solution to IntervalScheduling-Optimization, and can be implemented in time $O(n \log n)$.

Proof.

4