

Lecture 22: Unsolvable Problems

Harvard SEAS - Fall 2025

2025-11-18

1 Announcements

- Salil's next OH Thu 1-1:45pm SEC 3.327.
- Last SRE this Thursday! Be sure to prepare (both senders and receivers) and arrive on time.

Recommended Reading:

- Hesterberg–Vadhan 24
- MacCormick 6.0–7.6

2 Programs that analyze programs

Q: Are there problems that cannot be solved no matter how much time we allow?

We will find many examples of such impossible-to-solve problems when we turn to questions about analyzing programs. Having programs as input occurs often in the practice of computing:

Input: A RAM program P

Output: A Turing Machine M that is equivalent to P . That is, for every input x , M halts on x iff P halts on x , and if so, then $M(x) = P(x)$.

Computational Problem RAM2TM

Theorem 2.1. *There is an algorithm that solves RAM2TM. More generally, for every two of the Turing-equivalent computational models \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} that we have seen, there is an algorithm that converts an arbitrary program P in model \mathcal{M} to an equivalent program Q in model \mathcal{N} .*

Proof idea.

□

We refer to computational models \mathcal{M} for which programs can be algorithmically converted to and from equivalent programs in the RAM Model as *constructively Turing-equivalent*.

Theorem 2.2 (Universal RAM Program). *There is a RAM program U such that for every RAM program P and input x ,*

Proof idea.

□

Variants of Universal Programs.

- There are universal programs for every constructively Turing-equivalent model. Runtime statements may vary.
- There is also a universal Word-RAM program, where runtime increases by a constant factor (like in Theorem 2.2) but also word size increases by an additive constant.
- Can also do *clocked* simulations, where $U(P, x, t)$ only simulates P on x for t steps.

See the textbook for precise statements.

Importance of Universal Programs.

- Historically: Universal Turing Machine (Turing, 1936)

- Hardware vs. Software: Can build just one computer (U) and use it to execute any program P we want. Previously: build new hardware for every new type of problem we want to solve. (The Mark I computer near the main entrance of the SEC was one of the first such computers.)
- Inspired the development of modern computers (e.g. the “von Neumann Architecture”).
- Programs vs. Data: we can think of programs P as data themselves.

3 The HALTING PROBLEM

In the previous section, we were able to specify the computational problems that compilers solve, namely ones like RAM2TM. What problem might we *hope* that the universal program can solve?

Input: A RAM program P and an input x

Output: The output of P on x (if P ever halts on x)

Computational Problem DETERMINE OUTPUT

Q: Why doesn’t the Universal RAM Program solve DETERMINE OUTPUT?

A:

In fact that limitation is inherent, because the following problem is unsolvable:

Input: A RAM program P and an input x

Output: yes if _____, no otherwise

Computational Problem HALTING PROBLEM–RAM

Theorem 3.1. *There is no algorithm that solves HALTING PROBLEM–RAM.*

We’ll prove this theorem in the next class. For today, we’ll just assume it’s true.

Definition 3.2. Let $\Pi = (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}, f)$ be a computational problem. We say that Π is *solvable* if there exists RAM program P that solves Π . Otherwise we say that Π is *unsolvable*.

Note that we don't care about runtime of P in this definition; classifying problems by runtime was the subject of Computational Complexity. HALTING PROBLEM–RAM is the first unsolvable problem we have seen.

4 Unsolvable problems via reduction

Similarly to what we saw with NP-completeness and SAT, that we have one unsolvable problem (HALTING PROBLEM–RAM), we will be able to obtain more via reductions. For this, we recall the following:

Lemma 4.1. Let Π and Γ be computational problems such that $\Pi \leq \Gamma$. If Π is unsolvable, then Γ is unsolvable.

We highlight that this lemma applies to *all* reductions, including those whose runtime is more than polynomial.

The following problem is a special case of HALTING PROBLEM–RAM.

Input: A RAM program Q

Output: yes if _____, no otherwise

Computational Problem HALTS ON EMPTY–RAM

Here the empty input ε is just an array of length 0.

Theorem 4.2. HALTS ON EMPTY–RAM is unsolvable.

Proof.

A template for this reduction Red is just like the *mapping reductions* between decision problems that we discussed in the context of NP-completeness, except that now we don't care about the runtime of the reduction (since we are studying solvability vs. unsolvability):

Red(P, x):

Input : A RAM program P and an input x

Output : yes if P halts on x , no otherwise

o Construct from P and x a RAM program $Q_{P,x}$ such that

_____;

1 Call _____;

Algorithm 4.1: Template for HALTING PROBLEM–RAM \leq
HALTS ON EMPTY–RAM

How can we construct this RAM program $Q_{P,x}$ in Line 0? If P has commands C_0, \dots, C_{m-1} and x has length n , we construct $Q_{P,x}$ as follows:

The following is the key claim for the correctness of our reduction.

Claim 4.3. $Q_{P,x}$ halts on ε if and only if P halts on x .

Proof.

□

Now, we see that plugging the construction of $Q_{P,x}$ from into the reduction template (Algorithm 4.1) gives a correct reduction from the HALTING PROBLEM–RAM to HALTS ON EMPTY–RAM.

By the unsolvability of HALTING PROBLEM–RAM (Theorem 3.1) and Lemma 4.1, we deduce that HALTS ON EMPTY–RAM is unsolvable. □

Using compilers, we can deduce that HALTS ON EMPTY is unsolvable for other models.

Theorem 4.4. *For every constructively Turing-equivalent computational model \mathcal{M} , HALTS ON EMPTY– \mathcal{M} is unsolvable.*

Proof.

□

The Word-RAM model is also constructively Turing-equivalent, but the formulation is a bit more complex since a Word-RAM’s computation (in particular, whether or not it halts) depends not only on its input x but its word size w .

Input: A Word-RAM program R

Output: yes if
no otherwise

Computational Problem HALTS ON EMPTY-WORD RAM

Theorem 4.5. HALTS ON EMPTY-WORD RAM *is unsolvable*.

Our next example of an unsolvable problem is the following:

Input: A RAM program S

Output: yes if _____, no otherwise

Computational Problem IS A 3-COLORING SOLVER

Theorem 4.6. IS A 3-COLORING SOLVER *is unsolvable*.

Proof. We give a mapping reduction Red from HALTS ON EMPTY-RAM to IS A 3-COLORING SOLVER.

Given a program Q , our reduction Red constructs the program S_Q as follows:

To establish the correctness of our mapping reduction, we need to check:

Claim 4.7. S_Q solves GRAPH 3-COLORING if and only if Q halts on ε .

Proof.

□

□

We note again analogous results hold for all constructively Turing-equivalent models. In particular, the variants of IS A 3-COLORING SOLVER where the inputs are Turing Machines, Word-RAM programs, Python programs, etc. are all unsolvable.

Theorem 4.8 (Rice's Theorem, informally stated). *Every non-trivial semantic property of programs is unsolvable.*

- Semantic property: depends only on the input–output behavior of the program. (As opposed to internals like lines of code, values of variables, runtime, etc.)
- Nontrivial property: no constant answer correctly solves the problem for all inputs.
- Precise statement given in the textbook. If you study it, you may use Rice's Theorem, but be sure to verify both of the required properties when you use it!