## CS1200: Intro. to Algorithms and their Limitations

Prof. Salil Vadhan

Sender–Receiver Exercise 4: Reading for Senders

Harvard SEAS - Fall 2025

2025-10-21

# 1 Beating Exhaustive Search for Coloring

If you haven't already done so, read the SRE instructions.

The goals of this exercise are:

- to develop your skills at understanding, distilling, and communicating proofs and the conceptual ideas in them, especially for proofs in graph theory
- to reinforce the definition and algorithms we have seen for GRAPH COLORING and the related concept of independent sets
- to expose you to a nontrivial exponential-time algorithm

### 1.1 The Result

In Corollary 13.5 of the Hesterberg-Vadhan textbook, we saw that Graph 2-Coloring can be solved in time O(n+m) via BFS. However, for Graph 3-Coloring we have not seen any algorithm but exhaustive search, which can take time  $O(m \cdot 3^n)$ : there are  $3^n$  ways to pick a color for each of the n vertices, and m edges whose endpoints must be checked to have different colors. Here you will see an algorithm with a better running time than exhaustive search:

**Theorem 1.1.** Graph 3-Coloring can be solved in time  $O((1.89)^n)$ .

Even though this is still exponential, the improvement over  $3^n$  is significant and allows for solving noticeably larger problem sizes. The best known running time for 3-coloring is approximately  $O((1.33)^n)$ .

The proof will exploit the following basic relationship between coloring and independent sets:

A k-coloring of a graph G = (V, E) is equivalent to a partition of V into k independent sets (one corresponding to each color class).

#### 1.2 The Proof

The idea of the algorithm as follows. Instead of doing exhaustive search for the entire coloring (for which there are  $3^n$  possibilities), we will just do exhaustive search for the smallest color class S, which must be of size at most n/3. Once we've fixed a possible choice S for the smallest color class, we just need to check that (a) S is an independent set, and (b) when we remove S, the graph is 2-colorable. Each of these checks can be done in time O(n+m). So our runtime is dominated by the number of sets of size at most n/3, which can be shown to be at most  $c^n$  for a constant c < 1.89.

To justify this reduction to 2-colorability (and checking independence), we prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.2.** For a graph G = (V, E) and  $S \subseteq V$ , let  $G_{\backslash S} = (V \backslash S, E_{\backslash S})$  where  $E_{\backslash S} = \{\{u, v\} \in E : u, v \notin S\}.$ 

Then:

- 1. If G = (V, E) is 3-colorable, then there is an independent set  $S \subseteq V$  of size at most n/3 such that  $G_{\setminus S}$  is 2-colorable.
- 2. If for some independent set  $S \subseteq V$ ,  $G_{\setminus S}$  is 2-colorable, then G is 3-colorable. Moreover, if  $f_{\setminus S}: V \setminus S \to \{0,1\}$  is a 2-coloring of  $G_{\setminus S}$ , then a 3-coloring f of G is given by:

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} f_{\backslash S}(v) & \text{if } v \notin S \\ 2 & \text{if } v \in S \end{cases}$$

- Proof. 1. Let  $f: V \to [3]$  be a 3-coloring of G. The three color classes  $f^{-1}(0), f^{-1}(1), f^{-1}(2)$  partition V into disjoint independent sets. At least one of these sets must be of size at most n/3 (else their union would be of size greater than n). Without loss of generality, let's say  $|f^{-1}(2)| \leq n/3$ . Let  $S = f^{-1}(2)$ . Then S is an independent set. Moreover, if we restrict f to  $V \setminus S$ , it only takes on values 0 and 1, so it gives a 2-coloring of  $G_{\setminus S}$ . This is a 2-coloring of  $G_{\setminus S}$ , since every edge in  $G_{\setminus S}$  is an edge of G, and f assigns different colors to the endpoints of every edge of G.
  - 2. Suppose  $S \subseteq V$  is an independent set in G, and  $f_{\setminus S}: V \setminus S \to \{0,1\}$  is a 2-coloring of G. Define

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} f_{\backslash S}(v) & \text{if } v \notin S \\ 2 & \text{if } v \in S \end{cases}$$

We will show that f is a 3-coloring of G. Let  $e = \{u, v\}$  be any edge in G. Since S is an independent set, it is not possible for both endpoints of e to be in S. If exactly one of the endpoints of e is in S, then f will assign one endpoint color 2 and the other endpoint color 0 or color 1 (according to  $f_{\setminus S}$ ) so e will be properly colored. If both endpoints of e are in  $V \setminus S$ , then both endpoints are colored according to  $f_{\setminus S}$  and hence are properly colored since the edge e is also an edge in  $G_{\setminus S}$  and  $f_{\setminus S}$  is a coloring of  $G_{\setminus S}$ .

Given this lemma, it follows that the following algorithm is a correct algorithm for 3-coloring.

```
3by2Coloring(G):
  Input
                      : A graph G = (V, E)
  Output
                      : A 3-coloring f of G, or \perp if none exists
o foreach S \subseteq V of size at most n/3 do
      if S is an independent set in G then
           Construct the graph G_{\setminus S} as defined in Lemma 1.2;
2
          Let f_{\setminus S} = 2-Coloring(G_{\setminus S});
3
          if f_{\setminus S} \neq \bot then
4
               Construct a 3-coloring f from f_{\setminus S} as in Lemma 1.2;
               return f
7 return \perp
```

**Algorithm 1.2:** 3-Coloring by reduction to 2-Coloring

For each S, we can can check that S is an independent set and solve 2-coloring on  $G_{\backslash S}$  in time O(n+m). Thus, to bound the runtime of Algorithm 1.2, it suffices to bound the number of subsets of V of size at most n/3, which can be shown to be at most  $c^n$  for a constant c < 1.89 (Lemma 1.3 below), for an overall runtime of

$$O\left((n+m)\cdot c^n\right) \le O\left(1.89^n\right).$$

(Here we use that  $(n+m) = O((1.89/c)^n)$ , since c < 1.89.)

#### 1.3 A General Combinatorial Bound

You don't need to cover this during the Sender–Receiver Exercise, but in case you are curious, the following is a useful and quite good asymptotic bound on the number of subsets of [n] of size at most pn for any constant  $p \in [0, 1/2]$ :

**Lemma 1.3.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in [0, 1/2]$ , the number of subsets of [n] of size at most pn is at most pn for

$$c = \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^p \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)^{1-p}.$$

Notice that when p = 1/2, we have c = 2 (so we get the trivial bound of  $2^n$ ), and it can be shown that as p approaches 0, c approaches 1. Plugging in p = 1/3 as we needed above, we get

$$c = 3^{1/3} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2/3} < 1.89.$$