

## Goals:

Create at least two different “bot” agents for the PlanetWars simulation.

- One of your bots must utilise tactical analysis to inform its decisions. Examples:
  - Simple: include attacking “weakest”, “strongest”, “closest” or most productive planet.
  - Complex: include event detection (“fleet leaving planet vulnerable”), scouting or fog-of-war deception.
- Numerically compare each bots’ performance and present the results of the both performances over multiple maps.

## Technologies, Tools, and Resources used:

- Python IDE (PyCharm) with Python 3 installed
- Pyglet Documentation here: <http://pyglet.readthedocs.io/en/pyglet-1.3-maintenance/>
- Help from peers.
- Python 3 Documentation <http://docs.python.org/>
- The Lab 04 work was used as a base for the spike
  - One of the bots from this work “Simple\_Strategic.py” is used as one of the bots as it uses simple analysis to determine the planet to attack
  - The other bot used is the “Rando.py” which just attacks randomly

## Task done:

1. Got the main function to run for a set number of times for testing the bots and getting the number of wins for each.

```
351 ▶ if __name__ == '__main__':
352     with open("./logs/spike07.txt", 'w') as file:
353         file.write("Results of wins on 100 maps between Rando and Simple Strategic\n")
354         k = 0
355         while k < 100:
356             gamestate = open('./maps/map5.txt').read()
357             #players = ['Blanko', 'Rando', 'OneMove']
358             players = ['Simple_Strategic', 'Rando']
359             window = PlanetWarsWindow(gamestate=gamestate, players=players, max_game_length=500)
360             app.run()
361             app.exit()
362             window.game.logger.flush()
363             k += 1
364
```

2. Updated the update function in main to amend the text file to record wins and name.

```
253     elif self.view_id == 0:
254         msg += ' All '
255         msg += str(game.tick)
256         msg += ' Show: ' + self.label_type
257
258         self.step_label.text = msg
259         # Has the game ended? (Should we close?)
260         if not self.game.is_alive() or self.game.tick >= self.max_tick:
261             name = self.game.winner.name
262             Result[name] += 1
263             with open("./logs/spike07.txt", 'a') as file:
264                 file.write("Winner {0} || Tally{1}\n".format(name, Result))
265             self.close()
266         else:
267             self.step_label.text = "---"
268
269     def add_handlers(self):
```

Output we found out:

[illegible]

```

Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 45, 'Rando': 5}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 46, 'Rando': 5}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 47, 'Rando': 5}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 48, 'Rando': 5}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 49, 'Rando': 5}
Winner Rando|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 49, 'Rando': 6}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 50, 'Rando': 6}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 51, 'Rando': 6}
Winner Rando|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 51, 'Rando': 7}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 52, 'Rando': 7}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 53, 'Rando': 7}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 54, 'Rando': 7}
Winner Rando|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 54, 'Rando': 8}
Winner Rando|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 54, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 55, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 56, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 57, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 58, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 59, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 60, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 61, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 62, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 63, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 64, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 65, 'Rando': 9}
Winner Rando|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 65, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 66, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 67, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 68, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 69, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 70, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 71, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 72, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 73, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 74, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 75, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 76, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 77, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 78, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 79, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 80, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 81, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 82, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 83, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 84, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 85, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 86, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 87, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 88, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 89, 'Rando': 10}
Winner Simple_Strategic|| Tally {'Simple_Strategic': 90, 'Rando': 10}

```

These above results are from running this game a set number of times as stated in the code, the results can be clearly seen that the strategic bot has more than 80% win rate as compared to rando which was a random bot so the results would be a little non-deterministic despite that simple strategic were generally good with many maps.