theorem begin

Foundations 1 Class Test 2013

Fairouz Kamareddine

Exercise 1

(a) Show using a calculation that

$$\neg (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \stackrel{val}{=} (P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg P \land Q).$$

State precisely at each step which equivalences you use.

You do not need to mention the steps of substitution or of

Leibniz.

[4]

(b) What can you deduce (using (a) above) about

$$\neg(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg P \land Q)?$$

[1]

Exercise 2

- (a) Remove as many parenthesis as possible from the following expression without changing its meaning: [3] $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.(\lambda z.((((((xy)z)(\lambda x.x))(\lambda x.((xz)(yz))))(\lambda x.(((xx)y)y)))))))$
- (b) Insert the full amount of parenthesis in the expression [3] $(\lambda yz.(\lambda x.xx)yz)(\lambda x.x)x$
- (c) β -reduce the following term until there are no more β -redexes showing all the reduction steps and all the possible reduction paths (note that there are four paths depending on the orders you choose for inside/outside redexes):

$$(\lambda x y z. x y z)(\lambda x. x x)(\lambda x. x) x$$
 [4]



Solutions to Exercise 1

1. (a) We show
$$\neg(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \stackrel{val}{=\!=\!=} (P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg P \land Q)$$
 by the following calculation: $\neg(P \Leftrightarrow Q)$ $\stackrel{val}{=\!=\!=} \{\text{Bi-Implication}\}$ $\neg((P \Rightarrow Q) \land (Q \Rightarrow P))$ $\stackrel{val}{=\!=} \{\text{Implication, twice}\}$ $\neg((\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg Q \lor P))$ $\stackrel{val}{=\!=} \{\text{De Morgan}\}$ $\neg(\neg P \lor Q) \lor \neg(\neg Q \lor P)$ $\stackrel{val}{=\!=} \{\text{De Morgan, twice}\}$ $(\neg \neg P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg \neg Q \land \neg P)$ $\stackrel{val}{=\!=} \{\text{Double negation, twice}\}$ $(P \land \neg Q) \lor (Q \land \neg P)$ $\stackrel{val}{=\!=} \{\text{commutativity}\}$ $(P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg P \land Q)$ (b) Using 2.(a) above, we can deduce that

(b) Using 2.(a) above, we can deduce that $\neg (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg P \land Q)$ is a tautology.

Solution to Exercise 2(a)+(b)

- (a) $\lambda xyz.xyz(\lambda x.x)(\lambda x.xz(yz))(\lambda x.xxyy)$.
- (b) $(((\lambda y.(\lambda z.(((\lambda x.(xx))y)z)))(\lambda x.x))x)$

Solution to Exercise 2(c)

- 1. $(\lambda xyz.xyz)(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda yz.(\lambda x.xx)yz)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.x)z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.(\lambda x.x)(\lambda x.x)z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.x)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} x$
- 2. $(\lambda xyz.xyz)(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda yz.(\lambda x.xx)yz)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.x)z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.(\lambda x.x)z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.(\lambda x.x)z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} x$
- 3. $(\lambda xyz.xyz)(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda yz.(\lambda x.xx)yz)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.x)z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.(\lambda x.x)(\lambda x.x)z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.(\lambda x.x)z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} x$
- 4. $(\lambda xyz.xyz)(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda yz.(\lambda x.xx)yz)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda z.(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.x)z)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.x)(\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.x)x \rightarrow_{\beta} x$