

## Contents

---

- [Problem 1](#)
- [Problem 2](#)
- [Problem 3](#)
- [Problem 4](#)
- [Problem 5](#)

## Problem 1

---

```
format short;
%part a
A = [2 0 1 0;
     1 5 1 3;
     0 2 -3 5;
     1 2 1 0];
%part b
[P,D] = eig(A)
%part c
disp("With a result from class, we determined that a matrix which is n*n")
disp("and has n distinct eigenvectors, it must be diagonalizable. Thus, this")
disp("matrix is diagonalizable.")
```

P =

-0.0763	0.1608	-0.2901	0.9287
-0.8730	0.0273	-0.3537	-0.3566
-0.3523	-0.9687	0.7326	-0.0661
-0.3285	0.1873	0.5040	0.0775

D =

6.6197	0	0	0
0	-4.0229	0	0
0	0	-0.5256	0
0	0	0	1.9288

With a result from class, we determined that a matrix which is  $n \times n$  and has  $n$  distinct eigenvectors, it must be diagonalizable. Thus, this matrix is diagonalizable.

## Problem 2

---

```
format rat;
%part a
syms x;
fxgx = (2*x^3 - 4*x^2 + x - 2)*(x^5 - 3*x + 1);
answer = int(fxgx, x, 0, 1)
%part b
disp("No they are not orthogonal, the integral should be equal to 0, ")
disp("but it is equal to 629/630, not 0.")
```

```
%part c
h1x = x*sqrt(3) + 1
h2x = x*sqrt(3) - 1
answer = int(h1x*h2x, x, 0, 1)
disp("Thus the answer is 0, and it is orthogonal.")
```

---

answer =

629/630

No they are not orthogonal, the integral should be equal to 0, but it is equal to 629/630, not 0.

h1x =

$3^{1/2}x + 1$

h2x =

$3^{1/2}x - 1$

answer =

0

Thus the answer is 0, and it is orthogonal.

### Problem 3

---

```
format short;
%part a
u1 = [2; 3; -3; -6];
u2 = [6; -1; 4; 1];
u3 = [0; 5; -3; 6];
u4 = [-4; 5; -2; 4];
%part b
A=[u1, u2, u3, u4]
%part c
v1 = u1;
v2 = u2 - (dot(u2, v1)/dot(v1, v1))*v1;
v3 = u3 - (dot(u3, v1)/dot(v1, v1))*v1 - (dot(u3, v2)/dot(v2, v2))*v2;
v4 = u4 - (dot(u4, v1)/dot(v1, v1))*v1 - (dot(u4, v2)/dot(v2, v2))*v2 - (dot(u4, v3)/dot(v3, v3))*v3;
%part d
w1 = v1/norm(v1);
w2 = v2/norm(v2);
w3 = v3/norm(v3);
w4 = v4/norm(v4);
%part e
Q = [w1 w2 w3 w4]
%part f
R = transpose(Q)*A;
Q*R
```

```
disp("Thus, Q*R = A.")
%part g
[Q1, R1] = qr(A, 0)
```

A =

2	6	0	-4
3	-1	5	5
-3	4	-3	-2
-6	1	6	4

Q =

0.2626	0.8701	0.2439	-0.3385
0.3939	-0.0737	0.6843	0.6092
-0.3939	0.4873	-0.3436	0.6995
-0.7878	0.0095	0.5952	-0.1580

ans =

2.0000	6.0000	0.0000	-4.0000
3.0000	-1.0000	5.0000	5.0000
-3.0000	4.0000	-3.0000	-2.0000
-6.0000	1.0000	6.0000	4.0000

Thus, Q\*R = A.

Q1 =

-0.2626	0.8701	-0.2439	0.3385
-0.3939	-0.0737	-0.6843	-0.6092
0.3939	0.4873	0.3436	-0.6995
0.7878	0.0095	-0.5952	0.1580

R1 =

-7.6158	1.1818	1.5757	1.4444
0	7.2528	-1.7734	-4.7853
0	0	-8.0232	-5.5137
0	0	0	-2.3693

## Problem 4

```
format rat;
%part a
A = [3 6 -7;
     4 -4 1;
     7 -6 3];
%part b
v1 = [3; 4; -3];
v2 = [-1; 1; 0];
```

```

v3 = [2; 6; -1];
B = [v1 v2 v3];
%part c
C = inv(B)*A*B;
%part d
sev = [17; 17; 17];
values = C* sev
disp("The values vector gives us a way to get the mapped vector by multiplying")
disp("v1-v3 and adding them all up. Thus, the mapped vector is: ")
mappedVector1 = (326*v1)+(-1080*v2)+(-128*v3)
%part e
disp("To verify, since we know C = [17, 17, 17], we must find the vector")
disp("which it yields by multiplying 17 with v1-v3, and multiplying the ")
disp("resulting vector by A, which yields the mapped vector.")
v110 = 17 * v1;
v221 = 17 * v2;
v313 = 17* v3;
VBaseB = v110 + v221 + v313;
disp("Now, if we multiply A with VBaseB, we get the same mapped vector")
disp("which we got early. ")
MappedVector2 = A*VBaseB
disp("This we get the same mapped vector and this verifies our previous explanation")

```

---

values =

```

    326
   -1080
    -128

```

The values vector gives us a way to get the mapped vector by multiplying v1-v3 and adding them all up. Thus, the mapped vector is:

mappedVector1 =

```

    1802
    -544
    -850

```

To verify, since we know  $C = [17, 17, 17]$ , we must find the vector which it yields by multiplying 17 with v1-v3, and multiplying the resulting vector by A, which yields the mapped vector.

Now, if we multiply A with VBaseB, we get the same mapped vector which we got early.

MappedVector2 =

```

    1802
    -544
    -850

```

This we get the same mapped vector and this verifies our previous explanation

---

## Problem 5

```

format rat;
%part a
s1 = [5; -2; 1; -5; 0];
s2 = [-3; 1; 6; 4; 2];
s3 = [-6; 1; 0; 4; 2];
S1 = [s1 s2 s3];
rref(S1)
disp("S1 is linearly independent")
s4 = [2; 3; -4; 3; 1];
s5 = [-14; -8; 10; -8; -8];
s6 = [-3; 2; -3; 2; -2];
S2 = [s4 s5 s6];
rref(S2);
disp("S2 is linearly dependent")
%part b
u1 = s1;
u2 = s2;
u3 = s3;
%part c
v1 = u1;
v2 = u2 - (dot(u2, v1)/dot(v1, v1))*v1;
v3 = u3 - (dot(u3, v1)/dot(v1, v1)) * v1 - (dot(u3, v2)/dot(v2, v2))*v2;
%part d
y = [7; -9; 0; 3; 2];
z1 = (dot(y, v1)/dot(v1, v1)) * v1 + (dot(y, v2)/dot(v2, v2))*v2 + (dot(y, v3)/dot(v3, v3))*v3;
z2 = y - z1;
%part f
disp("point in W closest to y is represented by z2: ")
z2

```

ans =

1	0	0
0	1	0
0	0	1
0	0	0
0	0	0

S1 is linearly independent

S2 is linearly dependent

point in W closest to y is represented by z2:

z2 =

121/35
-192/25
-121/70
1045/169
1614/875