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**Abstract**

**Abstracts must include sufficient information for reviewers to judge the nature and significance
of the topic, the adequacy of the investigative strategy, the nature of the results, and the
conclusions. The abstract should summarize the substantive results of the work and not merely
list topics to be discussed.
An abstract is an outline/brief summary of your paper and your whole project. It should have an
intro, body and conclusion. It is a well-developed paragraph, should be
exact in wording, and must be understandable to a wide audience. Abstracts should be no more
than 250 words, formatted in Microsoft Word, and single-spaced, using size 12 Times New
Roman font.**
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