Reference Sheet for Elementary Category Theory

Categories

A category $\mathcal C$ consists of a collection of "objects" $\mathsf{Obj}\ \mathcal C$, a collection of "morphisms" $\mathsf{Mor}\ \mathcal C$, an operation Id associating a morphism $\mathsf{Id}_a: \mathsf a \to \mathsf a$ to each object $\mathsf a$, a parallel pair of functions src , $\mathsf{tgt}: \mathsf{Mor}\ \mathcal C \to \mathsf{Obj}\ \mathcal C$, and a "composition" operation $_:_: \forall \{\mathsf A\ \mathsf B\ \mathsf C: \mathsf{Obj}\} \to (\mathsf A \to \mathsf B) \to (\mathsf B \to \mathsf C) \to (\mathsf A \to \mathsf C)$ where for objects $\mathsf X$ and $\mathsf Y$ we define the $\mathit{tupe}\ \mathsf X \to \mathsf Y$ as follows

$$f: X \to Y \equiv \operatorname{src} f = X \wedge \operatorname{tgt} f = Y$$
 defn-Type

Moreover composition is required to be associative with Id as identity.

Instead of src and tgt we can instead assume primitive a ternary relation $\underline{\ \ }:_\to \underline{\ \ }$ and regain the operations precisely when the relation is functional in its last two arguments:

$$f: A \to B \land f: A' \to B' \implies A = A' \land B = B'$$
 unique-Type

When this condition is dropped, we obtain a *pre-category*; e.g., the familiar *Sets* is a pre-category that is usually treated as a category by making morphisms contain the information about their source and target: (A, f, B): $A \rightarrow B$ rather than just f. *This is sometimes easier to give, then src and tgt! C.f. Alg(F)*.

A categorical statement is an expression built from notations for objects, typing, morphisms, composition, and identities by means of the usual logical connectives and quantifications and equality.

Even when morphisms are functions, the objects need not be sets: Sometimes the objects are *operations*—with an appropriate definition of typing for the functions. The categories of F-algebras are an example of this.

Example Categories.

- ♦ Each digraph determines a category: The objects are the nodes and the paths are the morphisms typed with their starting and ending node. Composition is catenation of paths and identity is the empty path.
- ♦ Each preorder determines a category: The objects are the elements and there is a morphism a → b named, say, (a, b), precisely when ab.

Functors

A functor $F: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a pair of mappings, denoted by one name, from the objects, and morphisms, of \mathcal{A} to those of \mathcal{B} such that it respects the categorical structure:

$$F f: F A \to_{\mathcal{B}} F B \iff f: A \to_{\mathcal{A}} B$$
 functor-Type

$$F \operatorname{Id}_A = \operatorname{Id}_{FA}$$
 Functor

$$F(f;g) = Ff; Fg$$
 Functor

The two axioms are equivalent to the single statement that functors distribute over finite compositions, with Id being the empty composition

$$F(f; \dots; g) = Ff; \dots; Fg$$

Use of Functors.

In the definition of a category, "objects" are "just things" for which no internal structure is observable by categorical means –composition, identities, morphisms, typing.

Functors form the tool to deal with "structured" objects

Indeed in Set the aspect of a structure is that it has "constituents", and that it is possible to apply a function to all the individual constituents; this is done by $Ff: FA \to FB$.

- \diamond For example, let $A = A \times A$ and f = (x, y) (f x, f y). So is or represents the structure of pairs; A is the set of pairs of A, and f is the function that applies f to each constituent of a pair.
 - A binary operation on A is then just a function $A \to A$; in the same sense we obtain "F-ary operations".
- \diamond Also, Seq is or represents the structure of sequences; Seq A is the structure of sequences over A, and Seq f is the function that applies f to each constituent of a sequence.
- \diamond Even though F A is still just an object, a thing with no observable internal structure, the functor properties enable to exploit the "structure" of F A by allowing us to "apply" a f to each "constituent" by using F f.

Category Alg(F)

 \diamond For a functor $F: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{D}$, this category has "F-algebras", F-ary operations in \mathcal{D} as, objects – i.e., objects are \mathcal{D} -arrows $FA \to A$ – and F-homomorphisms as morphisms, and it inherits composition and identities from \mathcal{D} .

$$f: \oplus \to_F \otimes \equiv \oplus; f = F f: \otimes$$
 defn-Homomorphism

$$\mathsf{Id}: \oplus \to_F \oplus \\ \mathsf{id}\text{-}\mathsf{Homomorphism}$$

$$f\!:\!g:\oplus\to_F\odot\qquad \Leftarrow\qquad f:\oplus\to_F\otimes\,\wedge\,\,g:\otimes\to_F\odot\qquad \text{comp-Homomorhism}$$

Note that category axiom (unique-Type) is not fulfilled since a function can be a homomorphism between several distinct operations. However, we pretend it is a category in the way discussed earlier, and so the carrier of an algebra is fully determined by the operation itself, so that the operation itself can be considered the algebra.

Theorem (comp-Homomorhism) renders a semantic property as a syntactic condition!

- \diamond A contravariant functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is just a functor $\mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}^{op}$.
- \diamond A **bifunctor** from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} is just a functor $\mathcal{C}^2 \to \mathcal{D}$.

Naturality

A natural transformation is nothing but a structure preserving map between functors. "Structure preservation" makes sense, here, since we've seen already that a functor is, or represents, a structure that objects might have.

As discussed before for the case $F:\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{S}et$, each F A denotes a structured set and F denotes the structure itself.

For example, is the structure of pairs, Seq is the structure of sequences, Seq the structure of pairs of sequences, Seq the structure of sequences of sequences, and so on.

A "transformation" from structure F to structure G is a family of functions $t: \forall \{A\} \rightarrow F A \rightarrow G A$; and it is "natural" if each t_a doesn't affect the *constituents* of the structured elements in F A but only reshapes the structure of the elements, from an F-structure to a G-structure.

Reshaping the structure by t commutes with subjecting the constituents to an arbitrary morphism.

That is,
$$F f : t_B = t_A : G f$$
 for all $f : A \to B$.

$$\eta: F \to G \equiv \forall f \bullet F f; \eta_{\mathsf{tgt} f} = \eta_{\mathsf{src} f}; G f$$
 ntrf-Def

This is 'naturally' remembered: Morphism $\eta_{\mathsf{tgt}\,f}$ has type $F(\mathsf{tgt}\,f) \to G(\mathsf{tgt}\,f)$ and therefore appears at the target side of an occurrence of f; similarly $\eta_{\mathsf{src}\,f}$ occurs at the source side of an f. Moreover since η is a transformation from F to G, functor F occurs at the source side of an η and functor G at the target side.

- \diamond One also says η_a is natural in its parameter a.
- \diamond If we take $G=\operatorname{\sf Id},$ then natural transformations $F\to\operatorname{\sf Id}$ are precisely F- homomorphisms.
- Indeed, a natural transformation is a sort-of homomorphism in that the image of a morphism after reshaping is the same as the reshaping of the image.

Example natrual transformations

- \diamond rev : Seq \rightarrow Seq : $[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ $[a_n, \ldots, a_1]$ reverses its argument thereby reshaping a sequence structure into a sequence structure without affecting the constituents.
- \diamond inits: $Seq \rightarrow Seq Seq : [a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ [[], $[a_1], \cdots, [a_1, \ldots, a_n]$] yields all initial parts of its argument thereby reshaping a sequence structure into a sequence of sequences structure, not affecting the constituents of its argument.

$$J\eta: JF \to JG \qquad \Leftarrow \qquad \eta: F \to G \quad \text{where } (J\eta)_A \coloneqq J(\eta_A) \qquad \text{ntr-Ftr}$$

$$\eta K: FK \to GK \quad \Leftarrow \qquad \eta: F \to G \quad \text{where } (\eta K)_A \coloneqq \eta_{(K|A)} \qquad \text{ntr-Poly}$$

$$\mathsf{Id}_F: F \to F \qquad \qquad \text{where } (\mathsf{Id}_F)_A \coloneqq \mathsf{Id}_{(F|A)} \qquad \qquad \text{ntrf-Id}$$

$$\epsilon: \eta: F \to H \qquad \Leftarrow \qquad \epsilon: F \to G \quad \land \quad \eta: G \to H$$

$$\qquad \qquad \text{where } (\epsilon: \eta)_A = \epsilon_A: \eta_A \qquad \qquad \text{ntrf-Compose}$$

Category $Func(C, \mathcal{D})$ consists of functors $C \to \mathcal{D}$ as objects and natrual transformations between them as objects. The identity transformation is indeed an identity for transformation composition, which is associative.

Heuristic To prove $\phi = \phi_1 : \cdots : \phi_n : F \to G$ is a natural transformation, it suffices to show that each ϕ is a natural transformation.

- Theorem (ntrf-Compose) renders proofs of semantic properties to be trivial type checking!
- ⋄ E.g., It's trivial to prove tails = rev : inits : Seq rev is a natural transformation by type checking, but to prove the naturality equation by using the naturality equations of rev and inits -no definitions required- necessitates more writing, and worse: Actual thought!

Adjunctions

Nice Stuff

Further Reads

- ♦ Roland Backhouse
- ♦ Grant Malcolm
- ♦ Lambert Meertens
- ♦ Jaap van der Woude